Note: Your TA probably will not cover all the problems. This is totally fine, the discussion worksheets are not designed to be finished in an hour. They are deliberately made long so they can serve as a resource you can use to practice, reinforce, and build upon concepts discussed in lecture, readings, and the homework.

Graphs Cheatsheet 2.0

Bellman-Ford Algorithm

```
def bellman_ford(G=(V, E), s):
   for all v in V:
       dist(v) = infinity # distances
       par(v) = none # parents in shortest paths tree
   dist(s) = 0
   # since all paths contain at most |V| - 1 edges, we relax all edges |V| - 1
       times to ensure we've computed all distances correctly
   repeat |V| - 1 times:
       for each edge (u, v) in E:
           if dist(v) > dist(u) + w(u, v):
              dist(v) = dist(u) + w(u, v)
              par(v) = u
   # if we are still able to update distances, then there must be a negative
       cycle
   for each edge (u, v) in E:
       if dist(v) > dist(u) + w(u, v):
          return "Negative Cycle detected."
   return dist, par
```

 \hookrightarrow Runtime of Bellman-Ford: O(|V||E|).

MST Important Definitions/Theorems

Minimum Spanning Tree (MST): is a subset of the edges of a connected, edge-weighted undirected graph that connects all the vertices together, without any cycles and with the minimum possible total edge weight. To find the MST of a graph, you can use either Kruskal's algorithm or Prim's algorithm.

Cut Property: the lightest edge e across a given cut belongs to some MST. If that e is uniquely lightest (i.e. it's lighter than all other edges across the cut), then it belongs to all MSTs.

Cycle Property: the uniquely heaviest edge in a given cycle cannot belong to any MST. Note that if the heaviest edge is *not* unique, then it may belong to some MST.

Kruskal's Algorithm

 \hookrightarrow Runtime of Kruskal's: $O(|E| \log |E|)$.

Prim's Algorithm

General Idea:

```
def prims(G=(V, E), s):
   T = []
   added = set()

while added != V:
      (u, v) = "lightest edge where u in added and v in (V / added)"
      T.append((u, v))
      added.add(v)

return T
```

Optimized Implementation

1 True and False Practice

For the following problems, if your answer is True, justify your answer; if you answer is False, provide a counterexample.

- (a) True or False: Kruskal's algorithm works with negative edges.
- (b) We modify a graph G with negative edges by adding a large positive constant to each edge, making all edges positive. Let's call this modified graph G'.

True or False: If we run Dijsktra's on G', the resulting shortest paths on G' are also the shortest paths on G.

(c) Let G = (V, E) be a DAG with positive edge weights. We first run Dijkstra's algorithm to compute the distance from the source s to every other vertex v. Afterwards, we store the vertices in increasing order of their distance from s.

True or False: this sequence of vertices be a valid topological sort of G.

(d) Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph. Let G' = (V', E') where $V' = V \bigcup \{u\}$ and $E' = E \bigcup E_u$, where E_u is some set of edges that include u.

True or False: any MST of G is the subset of some MST of G'.

2 Bellman Ford Properties

Recall that Dijkstra's algorithm relies on the fact that we will visit vertices in increasing order of distance. So if the shortest path to a vertex u goes through v, we will visit v before u because dist[v] < dist[u]. This is not true when we have negative edges, since it can happen that dist[v] > dist[u]. In this problem, we will see how Bellman Ford algorithm avoids this issue.

Let G = (V, E) be a directed weighted graph with possibly negative weights, such that |V| = n and |E| = m. We want to find the shortest path from a fixed source s to every vertex in V.

- (a) Suppose G has no cycles of negative total weight. Prove that for any pair of vertices u, v, there is a shortest path that has most n-1 edges. Hint: If the shortest path has more than n-1 edges, what can we say about the number of vertices on the path?
- (b) Let $d_k[v]$ denote shortest distance from s to v using **at most** k **edges**. Prove that if $d_n[v] < d_{n-1}[v]$, then there has to be negative weight cycle in G.
- (c) For the remaining parts, assume that G has no negative weight cycles. What is $d_k[s]$ for any value $k \geq 0$? What is the value of $d_1[v]$ if v is a neighbor of s?
- (d) For a fixed k > 1, suppose you are given the values $d_{k-1}[v]$ for all $v \in V$, give an algorithm to compute $d_k[v]$ for all v in O(n+m) time.
- (e) Given a value of K < N, describe a $O(n + K \cdot m)$ algorithm to find the length of the shortest path from s to every v that uses at most K edges. Prove that your algorithm is correct.

3 Finding Counterexamples

In this problem, we give example greedy algorithms for various problems, and your goal is to find a counterexample where they do not find the best solution.

(a) In the travelling salesman problem, we have a weighted undirected graph G(V, E) with all possible edges (i.e. G is a complete graph). Our goal is to find the cycle that visits all the vertices exactly once with minimum length.

One greedy algorithm is: Build the cycle starting from an arbitrary start point s, and initialize the set of visited vertices to just s. At each step, if we are currently at vertex u and our cycle has not visited all the vertices yet, add the shortest edge from u to an unvisited vertex v to the cycle, and then move to v and mark v as visited. Otherwise, add an edge from the current vertex to s to the cycle, and return the now complete cycle.

(b) In the maximum matching problem, we have an undirected graph G(V, E) and our goal is to find the largest matching E' in E, i.e. the largest subset E' of E such that no two edges in E' share an endpoint.

One greedy algorithm is: While there is an edge e = (u, v) in E such that neither u or v is already an endpoint of an edge in E', add any such edge to E'. (Challenge: Can you prove that this algorithm still finds a solution whose size is at least half the size of the best solution?)

(c) In the interval scheduling problem from lecture, our greedy algorithm instead repeatedly picks the interval with the smallest number of conflicts.

4 Horn Formula Practice

(a) Find the variable assignment that solves the following horn formula:

$$(x \land z) \Rightarrow y, z \Rightarrow w, (y \land z) \Rightarrow x, \Rightarrow z, (\bar{z} \lor \bar{x}), (\bar{w} \lor \bar{y} \lor \bar{z})$$

- (b) Show that any implication clause of the form $(x_i \lor x_j \lor ...) \Rightarrow$ True is always satisfiable. Hint: what disjunction clause is this equivalent to?
- (c) Show that any implication clause of the form False $\Rightarrow x_k$ is always satisfiable.

5 Longest Huffman Tree

Under a Huffman encoding of n symbols with frequencies f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n , what is the longest a codeword could possibly be? Give an example set of frequencies that would produce this case, and argue that it is the longest possible.

6 Doctor

A doctor's office has n customers, labeled $1, 2, \ldots, n$, waiting to be seen. They are all present right now and will wait until the doctor can see them. The doctor can see one customer at a time, and we can predict exactly how much time each customer will need with the doctor: customer i will take t(i) minutes.

Discussion 5

(a)	We want to minimize the average waiting time (the average of the amount of time each customer
	waits before they are seen, not counting the time they spend with the doctor). What order
	should we use? You do not need to justify your answer for this part.
	Hint: sort the customers by


- (b) Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n denote an ordering of the customers (so we see customer x_1 first, then customer x_2 , and so on). Prove that the following modification, if applied to any order, will never increase the average waiting time:
 - If i < j and $t(x_i) \ge t(x_j)$, swap customer i with customer j.

For example, if the order of customers is 3, 1, 4, 2 and $t(3) \ge t(4)$, then applying this rule with i = 1 and j = 3 gives us the new order 4, 1, 3, 2.

(c) Let u be the ordering of customers you selected in part (a), and x be any other ordering. Prove that the average waiting time of u is no larger than the average waiting time of x—and therefore your answer in part (a) is optimal.

Hint: Let i be the smallest index such that $u_i \neq x_i$. Use what you learned in part (b). Then, use proof by induction (i.e. exchange argument).

7 MST Tutorial



- 1. List the first six edges added by Prim's algorithm in the order in which they are added. Assume that Prim's algorithm starts at vertex A and breaks ties lexicographically.
- 2. List the first **seven** edges added by Kruskal's algorithm in the order in which they are added. You may break ties in any way.

8 MST Basics

For each of the following statements, either prove or give a counterexample. Always assume G = (V, E) is undirected and connected. Do not assume the edge weights are distinct unless specifically stated.

- (a) Let e be any edge of minimum weight in G. Then e must be part of some MST.
- (b) If e is part of some MST of G, then it must be a lightest edge across some cut of G.

- (c) If G has a cycle with a unique lightest edge e, then e must be part of every MST.
- (d) For any r > 0, define an r-path to be a path whose edges all have weight less than r. If G contains an r-path from s to t, then every MST of G must also contain an r-path from s to t.