| Exhibit MYP-1, Multiyear Procurement Criteria | | Date: February 2015 |
|---|---|------------------------|
| Appropriation / Budget Activity: 0300 Missile Procurement - Defensewide / Major Equipment (BA-01) | P-1 Item Nomenclature: Aegis BMD Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) Block IB | |

1. Multiyear Procurement Description:

This proposed Multiyear Procurement (MYP) covers the purchase of 196 Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) Block IB Aegis ballistic missile interceptors in FY 2016 through FY 2019 under a single four-year fixed price type contract. The SM-3 Block IB program includes three years of initial production (FY 2012 - FY 2014) and Full Production beginning in FY 2015.

This multiyear contract uses Economic Order Quantity Advance Procurement funding to provide the U.S. Government maximum savings in price and delivery schedule. Advance Procurement funding in FY 2016 - FY 2018 will enable Raytheon Missile Systems to authorize and place order materials, equipment suppliers and subcontractors with sufficient lead time to support the planned delivery schedule within the context of the multiyear funding, prices, and cancellation ceilings.

2. Benefit to the Government:

a. Substantial Savings:

This multiyear contract will provide the U.S. Government maximum savings in both price and delivery schedule. Implementation of this proposed MYP will yield substantial savings through the term of the contract. Specifically, savings for the FY 2016 through FY 2019 attributable to this multiyear procurement (MYP) strategy is estimated at \$306.42 million (TY\$s), for a total of 14.1%.

b. Stability of Requirement:

The SM-3 Block IB incorporates a two-color, all reflective infrared seeker, enabling longer range acquisition and increased threat discrimination over the previous SM-3 Block IA. A Throtteable Divert Attitude Control System (TDACS) provides a more flexible and lower cost alternative to the Solid Divert Attitude Control System in the Block IA. Initial Production of the SM-3 Block IB began in FY 2012 (12) with larger rate production quantities in FY 2013 (33) and FY 2014 (52). In accordance with the full rate production acquisition decision memorandum, the production rate objective through the multiyear period is up to 52 missiles per year.

c. Stability of Funding:

Sufficient funding exists within the current budget controls to execute this procurement. The Missile Defense Agency has demonstrated its commitment to a stable funding stream for the SM-3 Block IB MYP through every step of this year's budget process by fully funding the requirement. This commitment was reaffirmed by top level DoD leadership through its support to the final budget submission. In addition, the Secretary of Defense supports the quantities and funding profile provided in this request. The budget submission includes sufficient funding to execute the program.

d. Stable Configuration:

SM-3 Block IB was found to be Operationally Suitable and Effective by Commander Operational Test and Evaluation Force October 2014 following the assessment of Developmental Test/Operational Tests. The missile has been in initial production since the FY 2012 contract. The 68th SM-3 BLK IB will be produced in late calendar year

P-1 Shopping List - Item No 17-MD09

Exhibit MYP-1, Multiyear Procurement Criteria

(MYP, Page 1 of 6)

Exhibit MYP-1, Multiyear Procurement Criteria Date: February 2015

Appropriation / Budget Activity:

P-1 Item Nomenclature:

0300 Missile Procurement - Defensewide / Major Equipment (BA-01)

Aegis BMD Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) Block IB

2015. SM-3 Block IB configuration is governed by a rigorous control process at both the Government and contractor facilities. All SM-3 Block IB procured under the multi-year contract would be of the same design. Concurrent procurement of material will ensure that sufficient parts exist to maintain the stable configuration.

e. Realistic Cost Estimate:

Cost estimates reflect experience with Standard Missile 3 (SM-3) manufacturing since 2005, including 139 procured SM-3 Block 1A and 120 Block IB through June 2014. The cost estimates for the multi-year are based on 10 years of SM-3 procurement history and established learning curves and quantity curves. The savings shown in these exhibits are based on historical experience, contract awards, and surveys of primary equipment vendors. There is a high degree of confidence the SM-3 Block IB program can achieve the stated savings and procure the multiyear procurement (MYP) missiles within the funding identified. This MYP will be a fixed price incentive firm contract.

f. National Security:

The QDR and DPG emphasize the criticality of the planned antiballistic missile infrastructure, including investments in defensive interceptors such as the SM-3 Block IB. The SM-3 Block IB is deployed on DDG and CG class ships and planned for the Aegis Ashore platform defending U.S. interests at home and abroad. The current SM-3 Block IB inventory is significantly lower than force structure requirements, making the procurement of additional SM-3 Block IBs critical for the defense of the homeland and protection of U.S. forces and interests abroad.

3. Source of Savings:

The stability and upfront material purchase of a multiyear contract for the SM-3 IB Missile will enable Raytheon Missile Systems and its suppliers to implement a more efficient planning and manufacturing cycle predicated on a production rate that supports the delivery cycle.

| | <u>\$ ir</u> | <u> Millions</u> |
|--------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Inflation | \$ | 7.166 |
| Vendor Procurement | \$ | 239.406 |
| Manufacturing | \$ | 59.851 |
| Design/Engineering | \$ | 0.000 |
| Tool Design | \$ | 0.000 |
| Support Equipment | \$ | 0.000 |
| Other | \$ | 0.000 |
| Workload Savings | \$ | 0.000 |
| Total | \$ | 306.423 |

4. Advantages of the MYP:

The proposed multi-year contract provides significant savings over a year-by-year contracting approach. It provides enhanced stability to the configuration of the missile by

P-1 Shopping List - Item No 17-MD09

Exhibit MYP-1, Multiyear Procurement Criteria

(MYP, Page 2 of 6)

Exhibit MYP-1, Multiyear Procurement Criteria

Date:

February 2015

Appropriation / Budget Activity:

P-1 Item Nomenclature:

0300 Missile Procurement - Defensewide / Major Equipment (BA-01)

Aegis BMD Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) Block IB

protecting against the potential loss of vendors at low procurement quantities. It also provides increased stability to the engineering base for the program by providing a predictable workload over the 4 year period. Each of these is a significant benefit over the year-by-year contracting approach. This MYP strategy has been structured to achieve significant savings and will elminate the need to develop an annual plan on a yearly basis; one year of planning will replace four independent years of planning. Savings resulting from economic order quantities and independent planning result in benefit to industry and government.

5. Impact on Defense Industrial Base:

The stability afforded by the use of a multiyear procurement will allow the prime contractor to enter into long term agreements with suppliers, at every tier, which provide substantial benefit. Such long term agreements incentivize both the prime and subsontractors to invest in process improvements that yield long term benefits in terms of product quality and cost. The contractor and subcontractor will be at a reduced risk when implementing production process improvements, facility improvements, tooling design improvements, and fabrication process improvements. The ability for the government and industry to enter into a long-term agreement will allow industry the opportunity to place capital investments upfront, which reduces the overall cost and improves the quality of the SM-3 Block IB.

6. Multiyear Procurement Summary:

| | Annu | <u>ıal</u> | <u>MultiYear</u> | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Contra | acts | Contract | | | | |
| Quantity | 19 | 6 | 196 | | | | |
| Total Contract Price | \$ 2,17 | 8.918 \$ | 1,872.495 | | | | |
| Cancellation Ceiling (highest point) | | | | | | | |
| Funded | | \$ | 0.000 | | | | |
| Unfunded | | \$ | 0.000 | | | | |
| \$ Cost Avoidance Over Annual | | \$ | 306.423 | | | | |
| % Cost Avoidance Over Annual | | | 14.1 % | | | | |

P-1 Shopping List - Item No 17-MD09

Exhibit MYP-1, Multiyear Procurement Criteria (MYP, Page 3 of 6)

| Exhibit MYP-2 Total Program Fun | ding Plan (MD <i>A</i> | ۸) | | | | e: Februar | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------|-------|--------|--------|------------|----------|-------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| PROCUREMENT | | • | | | P-1 | Line Iter | n Nomeno | clature - A | egis BMD |) Standard | d Missile- | 3 (SM-3) B | lock IB (MD |
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | TOTAL |
| Procurement Quantity | | | 40 | 52 | 52 | 52 | | | | | | | 196 |
| Annual Procurement | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Cost | | | 452.6 | 572.2 | 575.0 | 579.1 | | | | | | | 2178.9 |
| Less PY Adv Procurement | | | | (86.9) | (88.6) | (90.2) | | | | | | | (265.7) |
| Net Procurement (= P-1) | | | 452.6 | 485.3 | 486.5 | 488.9 | | | | | | | 1913.2 |
| Plus CY Adv Procurement | | | 86.9 | 88.6 | 90.2 | | | | | | | | 265.7 |
| Weapon System Cost | | | 539.5 | 573.9 | 576.7 | 488.9 | | | | | | | 2178.9 |
| Multiyear Procurement | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Cost (P-1) | | | 389.4 | 491.6 | 494.0 | 497.5 | | | | | | | 1872.5 |
| Less PY Adv Procurement | | | | (61.0) | (71.9) | (87.3) | | | | | | | (220.2) |
| Net Procurement (= P-1) | | | 389.4 | 430.5 | 422.1 | 410.2 | | | | | | | 1652.3 |
| Advance Procurement | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 'For FY17 | | | 61.0 | | | | | | | | | | 61.0 |
| 'For FY18 | | | 48.4 | 23.5 | | | | | | | | | 71.9 |
| 'For FY19 | | | 38.3 | 28.2 | 20.8 | | | | | | | | 87.3 |
| Plus CY Adv Procurement | | | 147.8 | 51.7 | 20.8 | | | | | | | | 220.2 |
| Weapon System Cost | | | 537.2 | 482.2 | 442.8 | 410.2 | | | | | | | 1872.5 |
| MultiyearSavings (\$) | | | 2.3 | 91.6 | 133.9 | 78.7 | | | | | | | 306.4 |
| Multiyear Savings (%) (total only) | | | | | | | | | | | | | 14.1 % |
| Cancellation Ceiling, Funded | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cancellation Ceiling, Unfunded | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLAYS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual | | | 129.5 | 342.7 | 486.0 | 512.0 | 383.2 | 188.6 | 74.0 | 37.3 | 18.4 | 7.3 | 2178.9 |
| Multiyear | | | 128.9 | 319.9 | 418.5 | 420.1 | 314.7 | 157.1 | 61.9 | 30.4 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 1872.5 |
| Savings | | | 0.5 | 22.9 | 67.5 | 91.9 | 68.5 | 31.5 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 306.4 |

Exhibit MYP-2, Total Program Funding Plan

^{*} Numbers may not add due to rounding.

| Exhibit MYP-3 Total Contract Fundi | ing Plan (MDA | ١) | | | | te: Februar | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------|-------|--------|--------|-------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| PROCUREMENT | | | | | P-1 | Line Item | n Nomenc | lature - A | egis BMD | Standar (| d Missile- | 3 (SM-3) B | lock IB (MD |
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | TOTAL |
| Procurement Quantity | | | 40 | 52 | 52 | 52 | | | | | | | 196 |
| Annual Procurement | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Cost | | | 452.6 | 572.2 | 575.0 | 579.1 | | | | | | | 2178.9 |
| Less PY Adv Procurement | | | | (86.9) | (88.6) | (90.2) | | | | | | | (265.7) |
| Net Procurement (= P-1) | | | 452.6 | 485.3 | 486.5 | 488.9 | | | | | | | 1913.2 |
| Plus CY Adv Procurement | | | 86.9 | 88.6 | 90.2 | | | | | | | | 265.7 |
| Contract Price | | | 539.5 | 573.9 | 576.7 | 488.9 | | | | | | | 2178.9 |
| Multiyear Procurement | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Cost (P-1) | | | 389.4 | 491.6 | 494.0 | 497.5 | | | | | | | 1872.5 |
| Less PY Adv Procurement | | | | (61.0) | (71.9) | (87.3) | | | | | | | (220.2) |
| Net Procurement (= P-1) | | | 389.4 | 430.5 | 422.1 | 410.2 | | | | | | | 1652.3 |
| Advance Procurement | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 'For FY17 | | | 61.0 | | | | | | | | | | 61.0 |
| 'For FY18 | | | 48.4 | 23.5 | | | | | | | | | 71.9 |
| 'For FY19 | | | 38.3 | 28.2 | 20.8 | | | | | | | | 87.3 |
| Plus CY Adv Procurement | | | 147.8 | 51.7 | 20.8 | | | | | | | | 220.2 |
| Contract Price | | | 537.2 | 482.2 | 442.8 | 410.2 | | | | | | | 1872.5 |
| MultiyearSavings (\$) | | | 2.3 | 91.6 | 133.9 | 78.7 | | | | | | | 306.4 |
| Multiyear Savings (%) (total only) | | | | | | | | | | | | | 14.1 % |
| Cancellation Ceiling, Funded | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cancellation Ceiling, Unfunded | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLAYS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual | | | 129.5 | 342.7 | 486.0 | 512.0 | 383.2 | 188.6 | 74.0 | 37.3 | 18.4 | 7.3 | 2178.9 |
| Multiyear | | | 128.9 | 319.9 | 418.5 | 420.1 | 314.7 | 157.1 | 61.9 | 30.4 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 1872.5 |
| Savings | | | 0.5 | 22.9 | 67.5 | 91.9 | 68.5 | 31.5 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 306.4 |

Exhibit MYP-3, Total Contract Funding Plan

^{*} Numbers may not add due to rounding.

| Exhibit MYP-4 Present Value Analysis (MDA) | | | | | | e: February | y 2015 | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--|--------|-------|------|------|------|------|--------|--|
| PROCUREMENT | | | | | P-1 | P-1 Line Item Nomenclature - Aegis BMD Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) Block IB (MDA | | | | | | | | |
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | TOTAL | |
| Annual Proposal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Then Year Cost | | | 129.5 | 342.7 | 486.0 | 512.0 | 383.2 | 188.6 | 74.0 | 37.3 | 18.4 | 7.3 | 2178.9 | |
| Constant Year Cost | | | 127.2 | 330.9 | 460.8 | 476.9 | 350.7 | 169.5 | 65.3 | 32.3 | 15.7 | 6.1 | 2035.6 | |
| Present Value | | | 124.1 | 319.5 | 440.7 | 451.5 | 328.7 | 157.3 | 60.0 | 29.4 | 14.1 | 5.5 | 1930.9 | |
| Multiyear Proposal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Then Year Cost | | | 128.9 | 319.9 | 418.5 | 420.1 | 314.7 | 157.1 | 61.9 | 30.4 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 1872.5 | |
| Constant Year Cost | | | 126.7 | 308.8 | 396.8 | 391.3 | 288.0 | 141.2 | 54.7 | 26.4 | 12.7 | 5.2 | 1751.7 | |
| Present Value | | | 123.6 | 298.2 | 379.5 | 370.5 | 270.0 | 131.0 | 50.2 | 24.0 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 1663.0 | |
| Difference | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Then Year Cost | | | 0.5 | 22.9 | 67.5 | 91.9 | 68.5 | 31.5 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 306.4 | |
| Constant Year Cost | | | 0.5 | 22.1 | 64.0 | 85.6 | 62.7 | 28.3 | 10.6 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 283.8 | |
| Present Value | | | 0.5 | 21.3 | 61.2 | 81.0 | 58.8 | 26.3 | 9.8 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 267.9 | |
| Multiyear Savings (\$) | | | 0.5 | 22.9 | 67.5 | 91.9 | 68.5 | 31.5 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 306.4 | |

NOTE: MYP Procurement Period is 10 years. Real Interest Rate for MYP Procurement Period of 10 years is 1.01000000%. (OMB Circular No. A-94, January 2014)

Exhibit MYP-4 Present Value Analysis

^{*} Numbers may not add due to rounding.