Parallel Programming Exercise 4 - 8

Author	張凱捷 (r08922054@ntu.edu.tw)
Student ID	R08922054
Department	資訊工程所

(If you and your team member contribute equally, you can use (co-first author), after each name.)

1 Problem and Proposed Approach

Problem

A prime number is a positive integer evenly divisible by exactly two positive integers: itself and 1. The first five prime numbers are 2, 3, 5, 7, and 11. Sometimes two consecutive odd numbers are both primes. For example, the odd integers following 3, 5, and 11 are all prime numbers. However, the odd integer following 7 is not a prime number. Write a parallel program to determine, for all integers less than 1,000,000, the number of times that two consecutive odd integers are both primes.

Approach

Sequential version: Build a prime table by prime sieving algorithm. In the beginning, we iterate through all integers from 2 to n. Once we face an integer x which is not sieved by any other integer yet, we consider it as a prime and use it to sieve the integers between $x \cdot 2$ and n. Then, we go through all the elements again and count the number of consecutive primes. The overall time complexity is $O(n \cdot \log \log n)$.

Parallel version: For each processor, build a small prime table with the size equal to \sqrt{n} . Then, use the small prime table to sieve the prime table for its own block. The difference is we need to find two more integers in its block because we want to let the smaller prime number of the prime pair belong to the current block. In the end, use a similar approach in the sequential version to find all prime pairs in each block and reduce the sum to the first processor.

2 Theoretical Analysis Model

We require all the processors to build a small prime table, so it will cost $\chi(\sqrt{n} \ln \ln \sqrt{n})$. For the entire prime table, we build it in parallel. Suppose we can equally separate them, we can do it in $\chi(n \ln \ln n)/p$. Finally, to get the sum of the answer in each processor, we need to spend $\lambda \lceil \log p \rceil$ on reduction.

Hence, the expected execution time of the parallel algorithm is approximately:

$$\chi(\sqrt{n} \ln \ln \sqrt{n}) + \chi(n \ln \ln n)/p + \lambda \lceil \log p \rceil \tag{1}$$

The time complexity:

$$O((n \ln \ln n)/p + \log p) \tag{2}$$

We can further formalize the speedup related to processors by the isoefficiency relation:

$$\begin{cases}
\sigma(n) = \chi(\sqrt{n} \ln \ln \sqrt{n}) \\
\phi(n) = \chi(n \cdot \ln \ln n) \\
\kappa(n, p) = \lambda \lceil \log p \rceil \\
\psi(n, p) \le \frac{\sigma(n) + \phi(n)}{\sigma(n) + \phi(n)/p + \kappa(n, p)}
\end{cases}$$
(3)

3 Performance Benchmark

Use Equation 1 and the real execution time of one processor to get the value of χ . λ is approximately equal to 10^{-6} second. Then, use the same equation again to get all the estimation execution time.

I wrote a script to automatically submit the jobs with p = [1, 8]. For each job, I ran the main program for 20 times, and eliminate the smallest / largest 5 record. The value in the table is the average of the rest ten records.

Table 1: The execution time (in second)

Processors	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Real execution time	0.00440	0.00222	0.00167	0.00149	0.00131	0.00080	0.00070	0.00061
Estimate execution time	0.00440	0.00220	0.00147	0.00110	0.00088	0.00074	0.00063	0.00055
Speedup	1.00000	1.98369	2.62806	2.95761	3.36225	5.51054	6.28083	7.26066
Karp-flatt metrics		0.00822	0.07076	0.11748	0.12178	0.01776	0.01908	0.01455

Real execution time

0.00500
0.00450
0.00400
0.00350
0.00350
0.00250
0.00250
0.00150
0.00150
0.00100
0.00050

4 Processors

Figure 1: The performance of diagram.

4 Conclusion and Discussion

4.1 What is the speedup respect to the number of processors used?

2

3

The speedup is less than p since there exist some overhead while doing parallel programming.

4.2 How can you improve your program furthermore?

0.00000

Consider some cache effects.

4.3 How do the communication and cache affect the performance of your program?

In this problem, the only part that requires communication is a reduction in an integer, so it does not affect our outcome too much.

4.4 How do the Karp-Flatt metrics and Iso-efficiency metrics reveal?

The Karp-Flatt metrics values fluctuate because the experiment uncertainty could lead to some small numeric error. However, it still has an increasing trend due to the sequential execution part is not small enough to be ignorable.