

# Postnikov-type convergence in $\infty$ -categories

Jiacheng Liang

September 23, 2023

## 1. Postnikov-type decomposition

There are many examples of Postnikov-type tower in stable homotopy theory and chromatic homotopy theory such as

(1) The Postnikov tower of a space  $X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \vdots \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & & \tau_{\leq 1} X \\ X & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & & \tau_{\leq 0} X \end{array}$$

(2) The chromatic tower of a spectrum  $X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \vdots \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & & L_1 X \\ X & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & & L_0 X \end{array}$$

Although the tower could be constructed in corresponding homotopy category, the description of convergent condition is not well shaped in classical framework. However, Lurie provided a reasonable approach about Postnikov of truncation tower in [2], which actually can be generalized in any ascending sequence of reflective subcategories of any  $\infty$ -category.

Throughout the following content, the  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\infty$ -category,  $I = \{\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{C}_n \dots\}$  is an ascending sequence of reflective replete full subcategories of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** An  $I$ -tower in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , which we view as a diagram

$$X_\infty \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0.$$

which satisfies that for each  $n \geq 0$ , the map  $X_\infty \rightarrow X_n$  exhibits  $X_n$  as a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -reflection of  $X_\infty$ .

We define a  $I$ -pretower to be a functor from  $N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ :

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0$$

which exhibits each  $X_n$  as a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -reflection of  $X_{n+1}$ .

We let  $\text{Post}_I^+(\mathcal{C})$  denote the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft, \mathcal{C})$  spanned by the  $I$ -towers, and  $\text{Post}_I(\mathcal{C})$  the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^{op}, \mathcal{C})$  spanned by the  $I$ -pretowers. We have an evident forgetful functor  $\phi : \text{Post}_I^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Post}_I(\mathcal{C})$ . We will say that  $I$ -towers in  $\mathcal{C}$  are convergent if  $\phi$  is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft$  spanned by those pairs  $(C, n)$  where  $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$  (by convention, we agree that this condition is always satisfied when  $n = \infty$ ). Then we have a coCartesian fibration  $p : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft$ , which classifies a tower of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_2 \\ \downarrow F_1 \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \\ \downarrow F_0 \\ \mathcal{C} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \nearrow F_2 \\ \nearrow F_1 \\ \xrightarrow{F_0} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \\ \mathcal{C}_0 \end{array}$$

where  $F_n$  is the  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -reflection functor.

**Proposition 1.3.** We can identify  $I$ -towers and with coCartesian sections of  $p$ , and  $I$ -pretowers with coCartesian sections of the induced fibration  $\mathcal{E}' = N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op}) \times_{N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft} \mathcal{E}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Post}_I^+(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Post}_I(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\ \text{Fun}_{/N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft}^{c\text{Cart}}(N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Fun}_{/N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})}^{c\text{Cart}}(N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op}), \mathcal{E}') \end{array}$$

According to [1] 7.4.1.1, the  $I$ -towers in  $\mathcal{C}$  converge if and only if the tower above exhibits  $\mathcal{C}$  as the homotopy limit of the sequence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{F_0} \mathcal{C}_0.$$

Now we introduce a useful lemma which implies any  $N_*(J)$ -diagram in  $QC$  is homotopy to a strict diagram  $J \rightarrow QCat$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** [2] 4.2.4.4. *Let  $J$  be a small ordinary category, and  $QCat$  denote the simplicial category of (small)  $\infty$ -categories, which is  $sSet$ -enriched by the form  $Fun(C, D)^\simeq$ , and  $QC = N_\Delta(QCat)$  denote the  $\infty$ -category of (small)  $\infty$ -categories. Then the following induced map is an equivalence,*

$$N_\Delta(F(J, sSet_+)^{\circ}) \rightarrow Fun(N_*(J), sSet_+^{\circ}) = Fun(N_*(J), QC)$$

where  $sSet_+$  is the Cartesian model category of marked simplicial sets, and  $F(J, sSet_+)$  is endowed with projective or injective model, and  $(-)^{\circ}$  means full subcategory of cofibrant-fibrant objects.

**Proposition 1.5.** *If  $I$ -towers in  $\mathcal{C}$  are convergent, then every  $I$ -tower in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a limit diagram. Indeed, given objects  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have natural homotopy equivalences*

$$Map_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \simeq \operatorname{holim} Map_{\mathcal{C}}(F_n X, F_n Y) \simeq \operatorname{holim} Map_{\mathcal{C}}(X, F_n Y),$$

and the composition of these 2 equivalences is induced by the composition  $Y \rightarrow F_n Y$ . So  $Y$  is the limit of the  $I$ -pretower  $\{F_n Y\}$ .

Lurie gives this formula without a proof, which actually needs some straitening techniques.

*Proof:* Let  $f : N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op}) \rightarrow QC$  be the straitening presheaf by  $p' : \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})$ . By 1.4, it is homotopy to  $N_\Delta(q)$  where  $q$  is a strict diagram  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op} \rightarrow QCat$ . Without loss of generalization, we can assume  $q$  has the form  $\dots \rightarrow N_\Delta(\mathcal{D}_n) \xrightarrow{N_\Delta(G_n)} N_\Delta(\mathcal{D}_{n-1}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow N_\Delta(\mathcal{D}_0)$  where  $G_n : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$  is an Joyal fibration of simplicial categories. Then  $q$  is an isofibrant diagram by [1] 4.5.6.6. So we have an (essentially unique) equivalence  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow N_\Delta(\mathcal{D}) = N_\Delta(\varprojlim \mathcal{D}_n)$  and

$$\varprojlim Map_{\mathcal{C}}(F_n X, F_n Y) = \varprojlim Hom_{\mathcal{D}_n}^*(G_n X, G_n Y) = Hom_{\varprojlim \mathcal{D}_n}^*(X, Y) \simeq Map_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$$

Furthermore, we note that

$$\dots \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{D}_n}^*(G_n(-), G_n(-)) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{D}_{n-1}}^*(G_{n-1}(-), G_{n-1}(-)) \rightarrow \dots$$

gives an simplicial functor  $(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^{\triangleleft} \times \mathcal{D}^{op} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow Kan$ . Let

$$\{*\} \times N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^{\triangleleft} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow N_\Delta(\mathcal{D}) \times N_\Delta(\mathcal{D})$$

be  $(X, F_n Y)$  induced by the  $I$ -tower  $\{Y \rightarrow F_n Y\}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . By Composition we get a diagram  $N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft \times N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  which has the form  $(m, n) \mapsto Hom_{\mathcal{D}_m}^*(G_m X, G_m(F_n Y))$ . Take the sub-diagram  $(\Delta^2 \times N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op}))^\triangleleft \subset N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft \times N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft$  we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^*(X, Y) & & \\
& \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
\vdots & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \vdots & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \vdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^*(X, F_n Y) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Hom_{\mathcal{D}_n}^*(G_n X, G_n F_n Y) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & Hom_{\mathcal{D}_n}^*(G_n X, G_n Y) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^*(X, F_{n-1} Y) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Hom_{\mathcal{D}_{n-1}}^*(G_{n-1} X, G_{n-1} F_{n-1} Y) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & Hom_{\mathcal{D}_{n-1}}^*(G_{n-1} X, G_{n-1} Y) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\vdots & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \vdots & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \vdots
\end{array}$$

which gives

$$Map_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \simeq \text{holim } Map_{\mathcal{C}}(F_n X, F_n Y) \simeq \text{holim } Map_{\mathcal{C}}(X, F_n Y),$$

and the composition of these 2 equivalences is induced by the composition  $Y \rightarrow F_n Y$ .

□

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\infty$ -category in which any  $I$ -pretower admits a limit, where  $I = \{\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{C}_n \dots\}$  be an ascending sequence of reflective replete full subcategories of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $I$ -towers in  $\mathcal{C}$  are convergent if and only if, for every diagram  $X : N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The diagram  $X$  is a  $I$ -tower.*
- (2) *The diagram  $X$  is a limit in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the restriction  $X \mid N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^{op}$  is a  $I$ -pretower.*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Post}'_I(\mathcal{C})$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(N(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{op})^\triangleleft, \mathcal{C})$  spanned by those towers which satisfy condition (2). Using Proposition [1] 7.3.6.13, we deduce that the restriction functor  $\text{Post}'_I(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Post}_I(\mathcal{C})$  is a trivial Kan fibration.

If conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent, then  $\text{Post}'_I(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Post}_I^+(\mathcal{C})$ , so that  $I$ -towers in  $\mathcal{C}$  are convergent.

Conversely, suppose that  $I$ -towers in  $\mathcal{C}$  are convergent. Using 1.5, we deduce that  $\text{Post}_I^+(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq$

$\text{Post}'_I(\mathcal{C})$ , so we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Post}_I^+ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Post}'_I \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & \text{Post}_I & \end{array}$$

Since both of the vertical arrows are trivial Kan fibrations, we conclude that the inclusion  $\text{Post}_I^+(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{Post}'_I(\mathcal{C})$  is an equivalence, so that  $\text{Post}_I^+(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Post}'_I(\mathcal{C})$  by repleteness. This proves that (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2).  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. Lurie. *Kerodon*. version 2023.04.24. 1.3, 1, 1
- [2] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Princeton University Press, 2009. 1, 1.4