

RFP 176 - OSGi Test Cases, Malicious Bundles Framework

Draft

16 Pages

Abstract

Present document is a proposal to extend existing OSGi test cases framework with a set of malicious bundles aimed at assessing robustness of OSGi platforms against known Java/OSGi security vulnerabilities. The goal is also to provide a set of known OSGi vulnerabilities assessment specifications and a common security test framework to help OSGi partners implement platforms with a minimal level of acceptable robustness against commonly known OSGi cyber-security threats.



0 Document Information

0.1 License

DISTRIBUTION AND FEEDBACK LICENSE, Version 2.0

The OSGi Alliance hereby grants you a limited copyright license to copy and display this document (the "Distribution") in any medium without fee or royalty. This Distribution license is exclusively for the purpose of reviewing and providing feedback to the OSGi Alliance. You agree not to modify the Distribution in any way and further agree to not participate in any way in the making of derivative works thereof, other than as a necessary result of reviewing and providing feedback to the Distribution. You also agree to cause this notice, along with the accompanying consent, to be included on all copies (or portions thereof) of the Distribution. The OSGi Alliance also grants you a perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, fully paid-up, royalty free, limited license (without the right to sublicense) under any applicable copyrights, to create and/or distribute an implementation of the Distribution that: (i) fully implements the Distribution including all its required interfaces and functionality; (ii) does not modify, subset, superset or otherwise extend the OSGi Name Space, or include any public or protected packages, classes, Java interfaces, fields or methods within the OSGi Name Space other than those required and authorized by the Distribution. An implementation that does not satisfy limitations (i)-(ii) is not considered an implementation of the Distribution, does not receive the benefits of this license, and must not be described as an implementation of the Distribution. "OSGi Name Space" shall mean the public class or interface declarations whose names begin with "org.osgi" or any recognized successors or replacements thereof. The OSGi Alliance expressly reserves all rights not granted pursuant to these limited copyright licenses including termination of the license at will at any time.

EXCEPT FOR THE LIMITED COPYRIGHT LICENSES GRANTED ABOVE, THE OSGI ALLIANCE DOES NOT GRANT, EITHER EXPRESSLY OR IMPLIEDLY, A LICENSE TO ANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IT, OR ANY THIRD PARTIES, OWN OR CONTROL. Title to the copyright in the Distribution will at all times remain with the OSGI Alliance. The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted therein are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

THE DISTRIBUTION IS PROVIDED "AS IS," AND THE OSGI ALLIANCE (INCLUDING ANY THIRD PARTIES THAT HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE DISTRIBUTION) MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NON-INFRINGEMENT, OR TITLE; THAT THE CONTENTS OF THE DISTRIBUTION ARE SUITABLE FOR ANY PURPOSE; NOR THAT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUCH CONTENTS WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY THIRD PARTY PATENTS, COPYRIGHTS, TRADEMARKS OR OTHER RIGHTS.

NEITHER THE OSGI ALLIANCE NOR ANY THIRD PARTY WILL BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO ANY USE OR DISTRIBUTION OF THE DISTRIBUTION.

Implementation of certain elements of this Distribution may be subject to third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights (such a third party may or may not be a member of the OSGi Alliance). The OSGi Alliance is not responsible and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

The Distribution is a draft. As a result, the final product may change substantially by the time of final publication, and you are cautioned against relying on the content of this Distribution. You are encouraged to update any implementation of the Distribution if and when such Distribution becomes a final specification.

The OSGi Alliance is willing to receive input, suggestions and other feedback ("Feedback") on the Distribution. By providing such Feedback to the OSGi Alliance, you grant to the OSGi Alliance and all its Members a non-exclusive, non-transferable,



January 1, 2016

worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free copyright license to copy, publish, license, modify, sublicense or otherwise distribute and exploit your Feedback for any purpose. Likewise, if incorporation of your Feedback would cause an implementation of the Distribution, including as it may be modified, amended, or published at any point in the future ("Future Specification"), to necessarily infringe a patent or patent application that you own or control, you hereby commit to grant to all implementers of such Distribution or Future Specification an irrevocable, worldwide, sublicenseable, royalty free license under such patent or patent application to make, have made, use, sell, offer for sale, import and export products or services that implement such Distribution or Future Specification. You warrant that (a) to the best of your knowledge you have the right to provide this Feedback, and if you are providing Feedback on behalf of a company, you have the rights to provide Feedback on behalf of your company; (b) the Feedback is not confidential to you and does not violate the copyright or trade secret interests of another; and (c) to the best of your knowledge, use of the Feedback would not cause an implementation of the Distribution or a Future Specification to necessarily infringe any third-party patent or patent application known to you. You also acknowledge that the OSGi Alliance is not required to incorporate your Feedback into any version of the Distribution or a Future Specification.

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE AND AGREE TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS DELINEATED ABOVE.

0.2 Trademarks

OSGi™ is a trademark, registered trademark, or service mark of the OSGi Alliance in the US and other countries. Java is a trademark, registered trademark, or service mark of Oracle Corporation in the US and other countries. All other trademarks, registered trademarks, or service marks used in this document are the property of their respective owners and are hereby recognized.

0.3 Feedback

This document can be downloaded from the OSGi Alliance design repository at https://github.com/osgi/design The public can provide feedback about this document by opening a bug at https://www.osgi.org/bugzilla/.

0.4 Table of Contents

0 Document Information	2
0.1 License	
0.2 Trademarks	
0.3 Feedback	3
0.4 Table of Contents	
0.5 Terminology and Document Conventions	5
0.6 Revision History	
1 Introduction	6
2 Application Domain	7
2.1 Terminology + Abbreviations	7
2.2 OSGi test cases & security	
2.3 OSGi framework considerations	
2.4 OSGi certification scope & security	7
3 Problem Description	8
4 Use Cases	
4.1 Malicious test cases targeting network communications	9
4.2 Malicious test cases targeting OS	10
4.3 Malicious test cases targeting OSGi framework	10
4.4 Malicious test cases within bundles	
4.5 Potential future developments	11



Milance	Draft	January 1, 2016
5 Implementation considerations	3	12
6 Requirements		14
7.1 References		15
7.2 Authors Address		16



0.5 Terminology and Document Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY" and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in 1.

Source code is shown in this typeface.

0.6 Revision History

The last named individual in this history is currently responsible for this document.

Revision	Date	Comments
Initial, Rev1.0	07-24-2015	RFP Creation
		Julien HELMER, Sogeti High-Tech, julien.helmer@sogeti.com
Rev2.0	07-28-2015	[JH] Typo corrections, requirements clarification, added mention about known OSGi vulnerabilities list and malicious test cases specifications, mentioned a testsuite execution model.
Rev2.1	07-28-2015	[JH] Removed statements related to commitments on security recommendations
Rev3.0	07-30-2015	[JH, AB] Reformatting to better fit in OSGi expected format for RFP.
Rev4.0	08-26-2015	[JH] Adding implementation considerations, clarification of the requirements
Rev5.0	09/10/15	[JH] Adding detailed description to test cases summary.
		[JH, AB] Adding alternative implementation considerations.
Rev6.0	01/01/16	[AB] adapted the document to the most recent RFP template with the 1st page on license information.



1 Introduction

A state-of-the-art list of known OSGi vulnerabilities and associated OSGi test framework were created in past French national Open the Box project ([3].) within SOGETI HIGH-TECH to assess robustness of several OSGi embedded platforms from various manufacturers. This framework is based on malicious bundles specifications and test suite that were developed specifically to assess robustness of OSGi platforms against commonly known OSGi vulnerabilities.. Detailed list and description of the malicious bundles developed until end of 2014 is presented in [4].

The vulnerabilities covered were estimated from state-of-the-art studies, especially work published by INRIA in past years ([7]., [8]., [9]., [10].).

The test framework also includes some basic scripts so as to execute every compiled malicious bundle test case as automatic and simple as possible on OSGi target platform..

This RFP aims at sharing this security test framework with OSGi expert groups. The goal is to continue the development of this security test framework in collaboration with OSGi Alliance and build from this framework a tool that could help OSGi members build more robust platforms. The framework can be splitted as below:

- The state-of-the-art list of known OSGi vulnerabilities (currently up to Q4"2014, will be updated when need be)
 - This document provides a view on known OSGi vulnerabilities and associated attack vectors. This
 can be used as guidelines by OSGi members when considering evaluation of overall platform
 robustness level against cyber-security threats.
- · Malicious bundle test cases technical specifications
 - This document can be used as guidelines by OSGi members when considering specific security test case(s) development for internal assessment of their OSGi platform implementation.
- Malicious bundles test suite
 - This test suite can either be integrated within the standard OSGi test suite shared among all OSGi partners, or can be provided as a service from OSGi Alliance "test center" to the OSGi partners (possibly a better model to cope with confidentiality concerns of OSGi partners, also allow limited audience for malicious bundle source code access and consequently reduce the risk of malicious test bundles dissemination)



January 1, 2016

2 Application Domain

This proposal is focused on OSGi test case framework.

2.1 Terminology + Abbreviations

TC(s) = Test Case(s)

RSA = Remote Service Admin

JAAS = Java Authentication and Authorization Service

2.2 OSGi test cases & security

OSGi test cases are currently oriented on functional testing of OSGi framework API. The main goal of currently available OSGi tests cases is to ensure OSGi implementations will be inline with OSGi specification so that compatibility between framework/services will be guaranteed given a specific version of OSGi specification.

Few OSGi test cases cover security considerations (ex: JMX framework secure TC, JNDI secure TC, RSA secure TC), following the approach of functional testing with security enabled (permission manager effective). Hence, "secure" test cases are not focusing on security vulnerabilities but aimed to ensure a service will still operate correctly under restricted permissions, or will handle permissions as expected by OSGi framework specification.

2.3 OSGi framework considerations

According to OSGi framework documents available online for main framework (Felix, Equinox, Knopflerfish), security configuration (permissions definition) is mainly left to developer responsibility. In most cases, documents also clearly highlight the fact that developers will most of the time never have to consider security restriction as by default all permissions are given to any services once security is enabled.

Implementing secure OSGi framework or bundles come more from developers specific needs rather than commonly shared best practices provided to developers community.

In addition, some OSGi framework provider could consider security or hardening as an added value subject to specific commercial conditions (pricing, level of service).

2.4 OSGi certification scope & security

Current OSGi certification process is aimed to ensure a platform will operate inline with a targeted version of OSGi specification. Hence, test cases and reference implementation are provided to help partners and developers in order to assess compatibility of their implementation with targeted OSGi API and features sets.

In this scope, current test cases framework does ensure a platform will keep operating as expected with Java/OSGi security enabled (see 2.2). However, OSGi compliant implementation that passed certification process successfully are not checked against known security vulnerabilities or programming flaw (e.g., robustness against known attacks, known malicious behaviors).



January 1, 2016

As a consequence, today OSGi certification do not provide any guarantee regarding cyber-security and this aspect has to be managed specifically by each partners based on their own expertise in this field.

3 Problem Description

Current OSGi test cases do not provide any malicious security test case that is aimed at vulnerabilities assessment within OSGi framework/bundle implementations. As a consequence, most developers have no practical mean to easily assess robustness level of their OSGi framework or bundles implementation, except a few of them who are already skilled in Java/OSGi security. This potentially means that many unsecured OSGi platforms will reach public market with a risk of security or privacy breaches being exposed.

The goal of our proposal is to bring into OSGi community a framework that could help developers in getting a first estimate of their implementation robustness. As presented in the introduction, this framework is constituted of:

- A state-of-the-art list of known OSGi vulnerabilities
- · Malicious bundle test cases technical specifications
- · Malicious bundles test suite

Malicious bundles are aimed at implementing attacks exploiting commonly known OSGi vulnerabilities. When malicious bundle is executed on developer's target, results will allow to determine if the implemented platform is vulnerable or robust against attacks. The list of currently available malicious bundles will be described in next section.

Because security is a major concern in IoT business, OSGi community has to build secure solutions based on a first level of commonly shared vulnerabilities assessment. Then of course, depending on the expertise level of each OSGi solution provider, the security level can be extended and promoted as a commercial differenciator. At least a minimum acceptable security level shall be a common requirement for any OSGi product, and proposed security framework can help reaching this common milestone among the OSGi partners.

4 Use Cases

Find here below the description of a set of security attacks. They are grouped by topics:

Network communication



Draft January 1, 2016

- OS
- OSGi core framework
- · Within bundles

Those test cases (Open the Box project [4].) were developed as malicious bundles and tested under OSGi R4 and R5 specification, within:

- Felix 4.2.1
- Knopflerfish 5.3.3

Compiled using Java SE 1.5.

Within test cases detailed status tracking sheet (Open the Box project [4].), each test case is associated with:

- Identifier: Number of the test case in the testsuite framework
- Description: Short text description of the test case purpose
- Availability: Indicator that aimed to track latest status of the test case (e.g., to be developed or available)
- Maturity: Indicator that aimed to track stability of the implemented test case (e.g., known limitations). Generally a comment is associated so to clarify which aspects of the test case can be improved.
- Severity: This indicator is currently missing. Would be a metric reflecting how critical the vulnerability covered by the test case is for the platform if not addressed.

Currently available test cases are summarized below, grouped by application domain.

4.1 Malicious test cases targeting network communications

This type of attacks targets a malicious usage of the network interface on OSGi platform. The groups of malicious test cases covered in past Open the Box project [4]. are listed below:

- Network sockets exhaustion
 - A bundle will try to open the maximum number of ports possible. As a result, the targeted platform can no longer accept or open any further network communication.
- Pharming, network configuration manipulation (ex: DNS config)

Pharming[p] is a cyber attack intended to redirect a website's traffic to another, fake site Pharming definition on Wikipedia, link: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pharming

A malicious bundle will try to modify /etc/hosts file, so to redirect the use of a legitimate server on the
use of a malicious server controlled by an external attacker.



4.2 Malicious test cases targeting OS

This type of security attacks targets a malicious interaction on JVM or Native Operating System

The groups of malicious test cases that are implemented in past Open the Box project are listed below with examples:

- Data leakage
 - Dump system properties using System methods, such as: System.getProperties, System.getEnv.
 Also system information could be dumped from clipboard keylogger.
- Log files recovery and sharing
 - From a malicious bundle, access to system log files and send them to remote attacker server
 platform. If no security considerations applied during framework or bundles development, log files
 could store sensitive information about the platform, allowing external attackers to leverage their
 understanding of the system and design more sophisticated/targeted attacks.
- Password files access permission
 - From a malicious bundle, access to password shadow (hashs) files
- Filesystem discovery
 - From a malicious bundle, explore platform filesystem directories and files. Files of specific interests may then be transferred over network to remote attacker server platform.
- Execution flow manipulation
 - From a malicious bundle, stop OSGi framework by invoking stop() method from bundle(0)
 - From a malicious bundle, stop OSGi framework by using "java.lang.Runtime.getRuntime().halt(0)" or "java.lang.System.exit(0)"
- Symbolic links manipulation
- System configuration files manipulation

4.3 Malicious test cases targeting OSGi framework

This type of security attacks targets a malicious interaction with OSGi core framework. The groups of malicious test cases that were implemented in past Open the Box project [4]. are listed below with examples:

- Theft of data, bundles, or framework
 - Dump of all installed bundles using "BundleContext.bundles" or "BundleContext.getBundles"
- Improperly formatted data injection

January 1, 2016

- Invalid header for MANIFEST.MFfile
- Denial-of-Service (ex: resource exhaustion, infinite loop, dead lock, nested service subscription)
 - Resource exhaustion by implicitly requesting import of useless packages (e.g.: 1million imports)
- Bundles injection
 - · Malicious bundle could download, install, and start untrusted bundles within the framework

4.4 Malicious test cases within bundles

This type of security attacks targets malicious operations possible from within an OSGi bundle.

Currently covered malicious test cases groups for this use-case are listed below with examples:

- Denial-of-service (infinite loop, resource overload, resource exhaustion, allocation, dead lock, race condition, bundle state manipulation, nested service/framework invocation)
 - Malicious bundle could be a JAR with limited size, but which can fully occupy memory space once decompressed
 - Platform CPU overload due to complex processings or recursive operations performed in malicious bundle
 - · Declare a huge number of services
 - Platform resource exhaustion by subscription to mutually dependant services (infinite loop)
- Malicious data forging/injection
 - Injection of unexpected data (non normalised, could be pseudo-random patterns) to services API. If API does not implement proper input validation, this could lead to service crash or exploitable vulnerabilities fingerprinting (e.g.: buffer overflows)Load malicious fragment class
 - Load of targeted malicious code segment from current Bundle Classloader (e.g.: Bundle.loadClass() method). This could lead to execution of untrusted (unsigned) code.
- Temporary files extraction
 - Similarly to system log file extraction, a malicious bundle could access and transfer temporary files to external remote attacker server.

4.5 Potential future developments

Find here below a list of interesting topics foreseen for more OSGi malicious test cases developments:

Bundles signature manipulation



January 1, 2016

Ensure digital signature can not be re-built without previous access or knowledge of original legitimate signing key (certificate chain-of-trust robustness). Test cases also need to assess that signature tampering effectively leads to bundle loading failure. To be mentioned here that INRIA Sfelix team already published in 2007 some considerations with regard to secure deployment of bundles including digital signature framework ([6].)

- Bundle code injection (Java ByteCode manipulation/injection)
- Authentication mechanisms robustness

This topic has to be further studied, either in scope of OSGi service(s) providing user authentication features (ex: JAAS) or in case components based access control (CBAC) is used.

Bundles fingerprinting

Identify available bundles on a platform and associated versions, then to compare this current bundles list+version to commonly known vulnerable bundles list+version.

OSGi UPnP service: NAT manipulation

Because UPnP specification states security is optional, security concerns related to manipulation of NAT rules through UPnP are rarely addressed in product implementation. In case of OSGi bundle, this could result in malicious bundles using UPnP to open backdoor on WAN gateways for external attackers to remotely access end-users LAN or machines.

OSGi USB service: robustness against BadUSB-like attacks

Ensure USB service/driver implements support only for expected type of USB devices (support for others shall be removed or disabled), also with minimum set of USB operations and permissions so to restrict USB usage to only few usecases supported by the platform.

5 Implementation considerations

The proposed security testsuite framework is aimed to be integrated within existing OSGi test cases framework, as functional tests, on top of latest version of OSGi framework.

If RFP is accepted, security test cases framework will then consider

- Latest available OSGi framework reference implementation;
- Include customisation guidelines of reference implementation chosen so to provide counter-measure examples associated to each test cases.



January 1, 2016

If need be, additionnal informational bundles may be developed and included so to monitor platform activity and provide more visibility regarding vulnerable or malicious program behaviors (e.g., monitor network activity, provide notification in case suspicious behaviors are triggered)

Due to OSGi test cases framework implementation, some may consider alternative implementations.

Possible alternative could be to provide here presented security test framework as open-source community project (e.g., OSGi or Eclipse open-source projects). This may be considered as an option to minimize impacts on OSGi test cases framework and to easily manage the configuration flexibility required by the security test cases (e.g., customize resource consumption boundaries inline with every platform capabilities and resource objectives).



6 Requirements

- REQ-01: Test framework MAY be integrated as ordinary OSGi test cases;
- REQ-02: Test framework MUST be appliable on any OSGi framework (be it open-source or not).
- REQ-03: Every malicious bundle test case MUST provide description of the vulnerability tested.
- REQ-04: Every malicious bundle test case MUST provide clear vulnerability assessment result (PASS/FAIL). In case of FAIL, a longer description of the possible failure causes SHOULD be provided.
- REQ-05: It MAY be required to develop vulnerability scoring system to sort test results by severity (e.g., CVSS [5].)
- REQ-06: A tracking spread sheet for all malicious TC with associated status (TC Identifier, description, availability, maturity, severity of the vulnerability) SHOULD be maintained inside OSGi Alliance
- REQ-07: List of supported Java/OSGi known vulnerabilities SHOULD be periodically updated on regular basis, so to keep align on state-of-the-art practices for this domain. Every OSGi release MAY integrate a new set of security test cases.



7 Document Support

7.1 References

- [1]. Bradner, S., Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, RFC2119, March 1997.
- [2]. Software Requirements & Specifications. Michael Jackson. ISBN 0-201-87712-0
- [3]. Open the box project websites: http://openthebox.org/, Minalogic project description (link))
- [4]. Open the Box deliverable SP4.3.5b Exigences techniques Framework de Pentest, v0.31 (2014)
- [5]. Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) Specification : https://www.first.org/cvss/specification-document
- [6]. INRIA SecureFelix project: http://sfelix.gforge.inria.fr
- [7]. Parrend, P., Frénot, F.: Java Components Vulnerabilities An Experimental Classification Targeted at the OSGi Platform. INRIA Rapport de recherche n 6231 (June 2007)
- [8]. Parrend, P., Frénot, F.: More Vulnerabilities in the Java/OSGi Platform: A Focus on Bundle Interactions. INRIA Rapport de recherche n 6649 (September 2008)
- [9]. Parrend, P., Frénot, F.: Security benchmarks of OSGi plateform: toward Hardened OSGi. JohnWilay & Sons, Inc. (April 2009)
- [10]. Goichon, F., Salagnac, G., Frénot, S.: Exploiting Java Code Interactions. INRIA Rapport de recherche n 0419 (December 2011)



7.2 Authors Address

Name	HELMER Julien
Company	SOGETI HIGH-TECH
Address	95 CHEMIN DE L'ETOILE – 38330 MONTBONNOT-SAINT-MARTIN – FRANCE
Voice	-
e-mail	<u>julien.helmer@sogeti.com</u>

Name	BOTTARO André
Company	Orange
Address	28 Chemin du Vieux Chêne, 38243 Meylan, France
Voice	+33 4 38 42 80 89
e-mail	andre.bottaro@orange.com

End of Document