



## **RFP-190: Resolution of JPMS modules**

**Draft**

**10 Pages**

### **Abstract**

Since Java 9 the fixed set of system packages was replaced by a mutable set of JPMS modules. This set can be defined at build time via static linking or introspected at runtime through reflection. This opens opportunities for OSGi to customize the JRE. This also means some application logic may come as JPMS modules not under the control of the OSGi developer. This RFP describes the requirements needed to use the Resolver service to discover the set of modules that are needed to satisfy a given set of OSGi bundles in order to enable further tool support for build-time interoperation between bundles and modules.

---

# 0 Document Information

---

## 0.1 License

### **DISTRIBUTION AND FEEDBACK LICENSE, Version 2.0**

The OSGi Alliance hereby grants you a limited copyright license to copy and display this document (the "Distribution") in any medium without fee or royalty. This Distribution license is exclusively for the purpose of reviewing and providing feedback to the OSGi Alliance. You agree not to modify the Distribution in any way and further agree to not participate in any way in the making of derivative works thereof, other than as a necessary result of reviewing and providing feedback to the Distribution. You also agree to cause this notice, along with the accompanying consent, to be included on all copies (or portions thereof) of the Distribution. The OSGi Alliance also grants you a perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, fully paid-up, royalty free, limited license (without the right to sublicense) under any applicable copyrights, to create and/or distribute an implementation of the Distribution that: (i) fully implements the Distribution including all its required interfaces and functionality; (ii) does not modify, subset, superset or otherwise extend the OSGi Name Space, or include any public or protected packages, classes, Java interfaces, fields or methods within the OSGi Name Space other than those required and authorized by the Distribution. An implementation that does not satisfy limitations (i)-(ii) is not considered an implementation of the Distribution, does not receive the benefits of this license, and must not be described as an implementation of the Distribution. "OSGi Name Space" shall mean the public class or interface declarations whose names begin with "org.osgi" or any recognized successors or replacements thereof. The OSGi Alliance expressly reserves all rights not granted pursuant to these limited copyright licenses including termination of the license at will at any time.

EXCEPT FOR THE LIMITED COPYRIGHT LICENSES GRANTED ABOVE, THE OSGi ALLIANCE DOES NOT GRANT, EITHER EXPRESSLY OR IMPLIEDLY, A LICENSE TO ANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IT, OR ANY THIRD PARTIES, OWN OR CONTROL. Title to the copyright in the Distribution will at all times remain with the OSGi Alliance. The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted therein are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

THE DISTRIBUTION IS PROVIDED "AS IS," AND THE OSGi ALLIANCE (INCLUDING ANY THIRD PARTIES THAT HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE DISTRIBUTION) MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NON-INFRINGEMENT, OR TITLE; THAT THE CONTENTS OF THE DISTRIBUTION ARE SUITABLE FOR ANY PURPOSE; NOR THAT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUCH CONTENTS WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY THIRD PARTY PATENTS, COPYRIGHTS, TRADEMARKS OR OTHER RIGHTS.

NEITHER THE OSGi ALLIANCE NOR ANY THIRD PARTY WILL BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO ANY USE OR DISTRIBUTION OF THE DISTRIBUTION.

Implementation of certain elements of this Distribution may be subject to third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights (such a third party may or may not be a member of the OSGi Alliance). The OSGi Alliance is not responsible and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

The Distribution is a draft. As a result, the final product may change substantially by the time of final publication, and you are cautioned against relying on the content of this Distribution. You are encouraged to update any implementation of the Distribution if and when such Distribution becomes a final specification.

The OSGi Alliance is willing to receive input, suggestions and other feedback ("Feedback") on the Distribution. By providing such Feedback to the OSGi Alliance, you grant to the OSGi Alliance and all its Members a non-exclusive, non-transferable,

Draft

September 17, 2018

worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free copyright license to copy, publish, license, modify, sublicense or otherwise distribute and exploit your Feedback for any purpose. Likewise, if incorporation of your Feedback would cause an implementation of the Distribution, including as it may be modified, amended, or published at any point in the future ("Future Specification"), to necessarily infringe a patent or patent application that you own or control, you hereby commit to grant to all implementers of such Distribution or Future Specification an irrevocable, worldwide, sublicenseable, royalty free license under such patent or patent application to make, have made, use, sell, offer for sale, import and export products or services that implement such Distribution or Future Specification. You warrant that (a) to the best of your knowledge you have the right to provide this Feedback, and if you are providing Feedback on behalf of a company, you have the rights to provide Feedback on behalf of your company; (b) the Feedback is not confidential to you and does not violate the copyright or trade secret interests of another; and (c) to the best of your knowledge, use of the Feedback would not cause an implementation of the Distribution or a Future Specification to necessarily infringe any third-party patent or patent application known to you. You also acknowledge that the OSGi Alliance is not required to incorporate your Feedback into any version of the Distribution or a Future Specification.

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE AND AGREE TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS DELINEATED ABOVE.

---

## 0.2 Trademarks

OSGi™ is a trademark, registered trademark, or service mark of the OSGi Alliance in the US and other countries. Java is a trademark, registered trademark, or service mark of Oracle Corporation in the US and other countries. All other trademarks, registered trademarks, or service marks used in this document are the property of their respective owners and are hereby recognized.

---

## 0.3 Feedback

This document can be downloaded from the OSGi Alliance design repository at <https://github.com/osgi/design>  
The public can provide feedback about this document by opening a bug at <https://www.osgi.org/bugzilla/>.

---

## 0.4 Table of Contents

<b>0 Document Information.....</b>	<b>2</b>
0.1 License.....	2
0.2 Trademarks.....	3
0.3 Feedback.....	3
0.4 Table of Contents.....	3
0.5 Terminology and Document Conventions.....	4
0.6 Revision History.....	4
<b>1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2 Application Domain.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Terminology + Abbreviations.....	5
<b>3 Problem Description.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4 Use Cases.....</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Build a compact docker image.....	7
4.2 Build a self-contained Java tool.....	7
4.3 Build a hybrid OSGi-JPMS application.....	7
4.4 Build a consistent JPMS application.....	7
<b>5 Requirements.....</b>	<b>7</b>

5.1 General.....	7
5.2 Package Dependencies.....	8
5.3 Third Party Modules.....	8
5.4 Module Validation.....	8
5.5 Module Metadata Enhancement.....	8
5.6 Module Service Dependencies.....	9
<b>6 Document Support.....</b>	<b>9</b>
6.1 References.....	9
6.2 Author's Address.....	9
6.3 End of Document.....	9

---

## 0.5 Terminology and Document Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY" and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in 6.1.

Source code is shown in this typeface.

---

## 0.6 Revision History

The last named individual in this history is currently responsible for this document.

Revision	Date	Comments
0.1	Sep 14 2018	Initial version based on rough notes and discussions within CPEG  Todor Boev, Software AG, <a href="mailto:todor.boev@softwareag.com">todor.boev@softwareag.com</a>

---

# 1 Introduction

---

In today's world it has become increasingly important to create self-contained Java applications with minimal footprint. Even though OSGi enables a developer to resolve the minimal required set of bundles to include in their application often the total footprint of the application is dominated by the JRE itself.

With the introduction of the Java module system it became possible to statically link a JRE that reflects the needs of a concrete application. One problem that still hinders the creation of minimal OSGi runtimes is the lack of tools

that can discover which JPMS modules are required by which OSGi bundles as well as the dependencies between the JPMS modules themselves.

This RFP introduces the requirements for a standard way to use the OSGi Resolver service to discover the dependencies between OSGi bundles and JPMS modules. In this way a complete description of the Java runtime can be obtained automatically. This should serve as the basis for tools that make the building of statically linked OSGi runtimes practical.

This RFP is concerned primarily with the build and/or provisioning of statically linked OSGi applications. It assumes JPMS modules and OSGi bundles will remain separated at runtime as defined by OSGi R7.

---

## 2 Application Domain

---

In order to compete in the modern world web applications face unprecedented requirements for high availability and responsiveness at internet scale. For various reasons, outside of the scope of this RFC, this has lead to architectures where the application is decomposed into large number if independent simple processes or services. These architectures demand certain liquidity from their building blocks. Their binary images must be easy to move, their instances must be able to saturate dynamically changing computational capacity, it must be possible to rapidly create and destroy large number of instances. This trend has progressed all the way to the “Function As A Service” (FAAS) architecture where instances must be used to service a single request and then be destroyed. In order to achieve this liquidity it is important for the services to have the smallest possible footprint both in storage and memory. The Java based services face increased competition from language runtimes like Node.js and Go which were built with these requirements in mind and often perform better in this respect.

On the opposite end of the spectrum when users deploy applications on their devices they expect a hassle-free experience. One way to achieve this is to deploy self-contained statically linked images. Again it is important for these images to be as small as possible in order to have quick download times and small footprint on the user device. Java applications however often require the users to first install a JRE, which must be of considerable size in order to support all future Java applications, rather than just the one being installed.

To address these needs Java SE 9 introduced the Java Platform Modular System (JPMS) which broke the JRE down into modules and provided a static linker tool which can build a smaller JRE from a subset of these modules. The modular system can also be used by application developers. The JPMS is inherently incompatible with OSGi and what's more both systems have independent dependency models which do not correlate by default. This leads to OSGi bundles being hosted in their own space within the JRE. These bundles however must still be supported by one or more modules from the JRE, which means they have hidden dependencies to those modules.

---

### 2.1 Terminology + Abbreviations

- **Local Dependency**  
A dependency defined within the scope of the JPMS or the OSGi modular systems respectively. An example of a local OSGi dependency is an Import-Package manifest clause or any resource requirement in general. An example of a local JPMS dependency is a requirement for a module by name.

- **Bundle**  
For brevity the OSGi bundles will be referred to simply as “bundles”.
- **Resource**  
A resource according to the Core OSGi specification *[needs reference]*. Resources are generic and can for example represent any component of an application. The bundles are a kind of resource specific to OSGi applications.
- **Resolver**  
The Resolver service as defined by the Core OSGi specification *[needs reference]*. Given a pool of Resources the resolver can discover a consistent sub-set where all resource requirements are satisfied.
- **Module**  
For brevity the JPMS modules will be referred to simply as “modules”.
- **Boot layer**  
The set of modules with which the JRE was started. The boot layer replaces the boot classpath in Java 9+ JREs.

---

## 3 Problem Description

---

At present bundles only depend on the boot layer as a flat list of system packages. OSGi R7 specifies that this list is discovered dynamically at runtime by the OSGi framework by introspection of the boot layer. Even if this discovery is made at build time this will at best allow the build to make sure the set of bundles fit the boot layer. This does not fix the reverse problem of building the boot layer to fit a set of bundles. To solve this problem the modules must be visible to the build tools. Without automated help the developers must perform the reverse discovery manually through trial and error. This makes the creation of self-contained Java applications hard and sometimes impractical. For example when a large set of small self-contained services has to be maintained or when it must be easy to merge and split bundles into multiple runtimes.

This RFP defines the requirements for encoding the JPMS modules as OSGi resources by mapping some of their local metadata to local OSGi metadata. Having this mapping will make the hidden dependencies bundles have on modules visible.

Standardizing the encoding should enable open collaboration between tools that handle modules and bundles at different stages in the software production pipeline. For example one tool may publish the module metadata while another may read it to build a Java runtime, while yet another may read it to present to a developer. Such tooling will enable developers to achieve the described use cases.

## 4 Use Cases

---

### 4.1 Build a compact docker image

Cloud systems based on containers invariably need the containers to be as small as possible. The operational cost of the system increases with image size since more resources are needed to handle the image for example. Java containers have to include a JRE of considerable size. Since the JRE is dedicated to running only one well defined Java application it is desirable to shrink it only to the parts needed to support that application

### 4.2 Build a self-contained Java tool

Sometimes the user experience is affected by the ability to download and run a tool quickly when their environment is not setup with a JRE. The users of the tool must not be burdened with the need to first provide a JRE that is compatible with the tool. Examples of such cases are bootstrap tools like installers, diagnostic tools, tools for management of remote cloud resources.

### 4.3 Introspect the JRE of an OSGi application

In a case where dependency resolution is done against predefined JRE a developer needs to extract the dependencies between bundles and modules to gain insight into the “module footprint” of their bundles – the modules each bundle has dragged into the JRE.

### 4.4 Build a hybrid OSGi-JPMS application

A Java application may have some of it's functionality implemented as bundles and another part implemented as modules. It should be possible to automatically resolve the complete Java runtime consisting of the required JRE modules, application modules, and bundles.

### 4.5 Build a consistent JPMS application

JPMS specifies that an external party has to select a consistent set of modules before launching the JVM. Such parties are build tools. It may be possible to use the resolver to select a consistent set of modules. The Resolver has the advantages that it is better in dealing with runtime dependencies like the ones expressed with JPMS services, rather than just with compile time dependencies. The resolver can also handle multiple versions.

---

## 5 Requirements

---

The solution to this RFP has to fulfill the following requirements.

---

### 5.1 General

- G-1: **Must not** require from bundles to explicitly reference modules in order for their local dependencies to resolve to modules. For example bundles may choose to, but must not be forced to, require a module by ID or include the module ID in the filter of another requirement.
- G-2: **Should** handle the case where a bundle is also a module. For example publish it as two separate resources pointing to the same artifact.
- G-3: **Should** handle the case of the OSGi framework, which is the only bundle that can also be a **module at runtime**. For example allow the framework to have both module and bundle metadata.

---

### 5.2 Package Dependencies

- PD-1: **Must** define how the identity capability of modules is derived from the module metadata. This should include best effort to map the module version to bundle version.
- PD-2: **Must** be able to resolve a bundle's local package dependency to a package provided by a module.
- PD-3: **Must not** allow a bundle's local package dependency to be resolved to a module's exported package when that module exports that package to a select set of other modules.
- PD-4: **Must not** allow a module's local module dependency to be resolved to a bundle.
- PD-5: **Must** be able to resolve a module's local module dependency to another module. This is needed for example for a developer to understand the complete footprint of their application from the output of the Resolver alone. The alternative is for them to have to additionally run tools like jlink to get the complete list of modules that will be part of their Java runtime.

---

### 5.3 Third Party Modules

- TPM-1: **Must** allow modules not provided by the JRE to participate in the resolution process as equal peers to the JRE modules.
- TPM-2: **Must** allow packages of modules not provided by the JRE to be automatically exposed **at runtime** within the OSGi framework. For example without having to enumerate them beforehand in a configuration file.



## 5.4 Module Validation

- MV-1: **Must not** allow two modules with the same name to simultaneously participate in the resolution result.
  - MV-2: **May not** allow for two modules to export the same java package and be part of the resolution.
  - MV-3: **May not** allow modules participating in the solution to form a dependency cycle.
- 

## 5.5 Module Metadata Enhancement

- ME-1: **Should** allow local module dependencies to be enriched with version ranges. The Java reflection API can be used to obtain the limited version information provided by JPMS. For example if a module A was build against module B version X, assume the dependency has range [X, infinity).
  - ME-2: **May** allow bundle annotations to be used on Java modules to handle dependencies that can not be captured by module-info.java.
- 

## 5.6 Module Service Dependencies

- MS-1: **May** represent the local service dependencies between modules as resource dependencies. This will enable developers to discover missing implementations they should include in their Java runtime.
  - MS-2: **May resolve** a bundle “Service Loader Mediator” style dependency to a module.
  - MS-3: **Must not** resolve a module service dependency to a bundle in case MS-2 is implemented.
- 

---

# 6 Document Support

---

## 6.1 References

- [1]. Bradner, S., Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, RFC2119, March 1997.
- [2]. Software Requirements & Specifications. Michael Jackson. ISBN 0-201-87712-0

*Add references simply by adding new items. You can then cross-refer to them by chosing <Insert><Cross Reference><Numbered Item> and then selecting the paragraph. **STATIC REFERENCES (I.E. BODGED) ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE, SOMEONE WILL HAVE TO UPDATE THEM LATER, SO DO IT PROPERLY NOW.***

---

## 6.2 Author's Address

Name	Todor Boev
Company	Software AG
Address	
Voice	
e-mail	todor.boev@softwareag.com

---

## 6.3 End of Document