



OSGiTM Alliance

Messaging

Draft

13 Pages

*Text in Red is here to help you. Delete it when you have followed the instructions.
The <RFC Title> can be set from the File>Properties:User Defined menu. To update it onscreen, press F9. To
update all of the fields in the document Select All (CTRL-A), then hit F9. Set the release level by selecting one
from: Draft, Final Draft, Release. The date is set automatically when the document is saved.*

Abstract

Asynchronous communication is an important factor in today's business applications. Especially in the IoT domain but also for distributed infrastructures the communication over publish/subscribe protocols are common mechanisms. Whereas the existing OSGi Event Admin specification already describes an asynchronous event model within an OSGi framework, this RFP addresses the interaction of an OSGi environment with third-party communication protocols using a common interface.

0 Document Information

0.1 License

DISTRIBUTION AND FEEDBACK LICENSE, Version 2.0

The OSGi Alliance hereby grants you a limited copyright license to copy and display this document (the “Distribution”) in any medium without fee or royalty. This Distribution license is exclusively for the purpose of reviewing and providing feedback to the OSGi Alliance. You agree not to modify the Distribution in any way and further agree to not participate in any way in the making of derivative works thereof, other than as a necessary result of reviewing and providing feedback to the Distribution. You also agree to cause this notice, along with the accompanying consent, to be included on all copies (or portions thereof) of the Distribution. The OSGi Alliance also grants you a perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, fully paid-up, royalty free, limited license (without the right to sublicense) under any applicable copyrights, to create and/or distribute an implementation of the Distribution that: (i) fully implements the Distribution including all its required interfaces and functionality; (ii) does not modify, subset, superset or otherwise extend the OSGi Name Space, or include any public or protected packages, classes, Java interfaces, fields or methods within the OSGi Name Space other than those required and authorized by the Distribution. An implementation that does not satisfy limitations (i)-(ii) is not considered an implementation of the Distribution, does not receive the benefits of this license, and must not be described as an implementation of the Distribution. “OSGi Name Space” shall mean the public class or interface declarations whose names begin with “org.osgi” or any recognized successors or replacements thereof. The OSGi Alliance expressly reserves all rights not granted pursuant to these limited copyright licenses including termination of the license at will at any time.

EXCEPT FOR THE LIMITED COPYRIGHT LICENSES GRANTED ABOVE, THE OSGi ALLIANCE DOES NOT GRANT, EITHER EXPRESSLY OR IMPLIEDLY, A LICENSE TO ANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IT, OR ANY THIRD PARTIES, OWN OR CONTROL. Title to the copyright in the Distribution will at all times remain with the OSGi Alliance. The example companies, organizations, products, domain names, e-mail addresses, logos, people, places, and events depicted therein are fictitious. No association with any real company, organization, product, domain name, email address, logo, person, place, or event is intended or should be inferred.

THE DISTRIBUTION IS PROVIDED “AS IS,” AND THE OSGi ALLIANCE (INCLUDING ANY THIRD PARTIES THAT HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE DISTRIBUTION) MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NON-INFRINGEMENT, OR TITLE; THAT THE CONTENTS OF THE DISTRIBUTION ARE SUITABLE FOR ANY PURPOSE; NOR THAT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUCH CONTENTS WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY THIRD PARTY PATENTS, COPYRIGHTS, TRADEMARKS OR OTHER RIGHTS.

NEITHER THE OSGi ALLIANCE NOR ANY THIRD PARTY WILL BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO ANY USE OR DISTRIBUTION OF THE DISTRIBUTION.

Implementation of certain elements of this Distribution may be subject to third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights (such a third party may or may not be a member of the OSGi Alliance). The OSGi Alliance is not responsible and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

The Distribution is a draft. As a result, the final product may change substantially by the time of final publication, and you are cautioned against relying on the content of this Distribution. You are encouraged to update any implementation of the Distribution if and when such Distribution becomes a final specification.

The OSGi Alliance is willing to receive input, suggestions and other feedback (“Feedback”) on the Distribution. By providing such Feedback to the OSGi Alliance, you grant to the OSGi Alliance and all its Members a non-exclusive, non-transferable,

worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free copyright license to copy, publish, license, modify, sublicense or otherwise distribute and exploit your Feedback for any purpose. Likewise, if incorporation of your Feedback would cause an implementation of the Distribution, including as it may be modified, amended, or published at any point in the future ("Future Specification"), to necessarily infringe a patent or patent application that you own or control, you hereby commit to grant to all implementers of such Distribution or Future Specification an irrevocable, worldwide, sublicenseable, royalty free license under such patent or patent application to make, have made, use, sell, offer for sale, import and export products or services that implement such Distribution or Future Specification. You warrant that (a) to the best of your knowledge you have the right to provide this Feedback, and if you are providing Feedback on behalf of a company, you have the rights to provide Feedback on behalf of your company; (b) the Feedback is not confidential to you and does not violate the copyright or trade secret interests of another; and (c) to the best of your knowledge, use of the Feedback would not cause an implementation of the Distribution or a Future Specification to necessarily infringe any third-party patent or patent application known to you. You also acknowledge that the OSGi Alliance is not required to incorporate your Feedback into any version of the Distribution or a Future Specification.

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE AND AGREE TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS DELINEATED ABOVE.

0.2 Trademarks

OSGi™ is a trademark, registered trademark, or service mark of the OSGi Alliance in the US and other countries. Java is a trademark, registered trademark, or service mark of Oracle Corporation in the US and other countries. All other trademarks, registered trademarks, or service marks used in this document are the property of their respective owners and are hereby recognized.

0.3 Feedback

This document can be downloaded from the OSGi Alliance design repository at <https://github.com/osgi/design>. The public can provide feedback about this document by opening a bug at <https://www.osgi.org/bugzilla/>.

0.4 Table of Contents

0 Document Information.....	2
0.1 License.....	2
0.2 Trademarks.....	3
0.3 Feedback.....	3
0.4 Table of Contents.....	3
0.5 Terminology and Document Conventions.....	4
0.6 Revision History.....	4
 1 Introduction.....	 4
2 Application Domain.....	5
3 Problem Description.....	5
4 Requirements.....	5
5 Technical Solution.....	5
6 Data Transfer Objects.....	6
7 Javadoc.....	6
8 Considered Alternatives.....	6

9 Security Considerations.....7**10 Document Support.....7**

10.1 References.....7

10.2 Author's Address.....7

10.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations.....7

10.4 End of Document.....7

0.5 Terminology and Document Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY" and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in Fehler: Verweis nicht gefunden.

Source code is shown in this typeface.

0.6 Revision History

The last named individual in this history is currently responsible for this document.

Revision	Date	Comments
Initial	26 Nov 2019	Mark Hoffmann, initial content copied from RFP
1.0	<i>January 2020</i>	<i>Mark Hoffmann, some initial API</i>

1 Introduction

In the past there have already been some efforts to bring asynchronous messaging into the OSGi framework. There was the Distributed Eventing RFC-214 and the MQTT Adapter RFC-229. In addition to that there are further available specification like OSGi RSA, Promises and PushStreams, that focus on remote events, asynchronous processing and reactive event handling. Promises and PushStreams are optimal partners to deal with the asynchronous programming model, that comes with the messaging pattern.

Because of the growing popularity of the IoT domain, it is important to enable OSGi to be connected with the common services of the IoT world. This RFP is meant to provide an easy to use solution in OSGi, to connect to and work with messaging systems. It is not meant to provide access to the full feature set and service guarantees of Enterprise class messaging solution like MQSeries or TIBCO EMS or massively scalable solutions like Kafka. Service guarantees and configuration details will be designed as configuration hints. The implementation will be optional and depend on the binding.

Protocols like AMQP, the Kafka Protocol or JMS are heavily used in back-end infrastructures. This RFP tries to address the use-case for connecting to those protocols with a subset of their functionality. For a seamless use of OSGi as well in IoT infrastructures and cloud-infrastructures, it is important to provide an easy to use and also seamless integration of different communication protocols in OSGi.

With the Event Admin specification, there is already an ease to use approach, for in-framework events. Distributed events often needs additional configuration parameters like quality of service, time-to-live or event strategies that needs to be configured at connection time or set at message publication time. This RFP is seen for standalone use but also as an extension to the Event Admin to provide the possibility for a Remote Event Admin.

2 Application Domain

Messaging is a pattern to reliably transport messages over an inherent unreliable network from a produce to one or more receivers. The process is to move messages from one system to another or many. The messaging system uses channels to do that. Because it is never clear, if the network or the receiver system is available, it is the task of the messaging system to handle that.

There are also different concepts in moving messages:

1. Send and Forget – Guarantees successful send
2. Send and Forward – Guarantees eventual successful receive

The following communication patterns exist:

1. Point-to-point
2. Publish-Subscribe
3. Guaranteed delivery
4. Temporary/transient channel
5. Dead-letter
6. Monitoring, error and administration channels

The use cases above cover Reply-To style communication and handling of common error conditions.

Another important fact is the structure of the messages. They usually consist of a header and body. The body contains the payload that is an array of bytes. The header provides additional properties for the message. There are some common properties that are used for handling Reply-To, sequencing/ordering of messages, time-synchronization, filtering and others. This is important because messaging decouples communication and has

different demands regarding assumptions that are made to the process compared to a local call. Thus there is are additional semantics for e.g. time-outs, retry-counts, address-spaces.

Messaging in general induces an asynchronous programming model. Therefore Promises and PushStreams are already existing specifications that are an optimal solution for data-handling as well as scaling of actors over more than one thread. These specifications allowing flexible message transformation into internal data formats. Further Promises provide the possibility to realize patterns like message construction or aggregation. [1]

2.1 Terminology + Abbreviations

2.1.1 Message

A message is a data structure that holds the payload and additional meta-information about the content.

2.1.2 Channel

Channels are named resources to connect programs and interchange the messages. .

2.1.3 Sender / Publisher

A sender writes a message into a channel.

2.1.4 Receiver / Subscriber

One or more receivers read messages from a channel.

2.1.5 Reply-To Messaging

Sending a request and receiving a response are two separate atomic operations. Thus waiting for a response is not a blocking operation in the underlying implementation., A special message information, the correlation identifier, is used to assign a request to a response. Sometimes the reply-to address can be generated from the messaging system and is also submitted as property with the request message.

3 Problem Description

The OSGi Alliance already has a successful specification for messaging within an OSGi framework. The EventAdmin specification is well defined and widely used. The same is for the RSA specification that provides a good ground for synchronous calls. Also asynchronous remote services are supported in the RSA.

In the domains of IoT there are standardized protocols to connect remote devices and submit data over a broker based messaging system from remote clients. But also in cloud-based infrastructures, messaging systems are often used for de-coupling of services or functions.

Today, to interact with such systems the implementer has to deal with messaging protocol specifics and operational conditions, that are not covered, by existing specifications. With OSGi Promises and the PushStream specification there are already major parts available to deal with an asynchronous programming model. This is a requirement when using messaging.

The missing piece is a standardized way to send and receive data that supports the messaging patterns. Consuming and producing data using common protocols like AMQP, MQTT or JMS using OSGi services, would integrate an OSGi application into more systems.

Also other specifications could benefit from this RFP. It should be possible to layer RSA remote calls over messaging. It should also be possible to provide a remote Event Admin service.

3.1 Intents

Messaging systems vary widely in their capabilities and are configurable with regard to guarantees of delivery. We do not want to expose this complexity the user of this solution. The RSA specification uses intent for that purpose.

4 Requirements

4.1.1 General

- MSG010 – The solution **MUST** be technology, vendor and messaging protocol independent. MSG030 – The solution **MUST** be configurable (address-space, timeouts, quality of service guarantees)
- MSG050 – The solution **MUST** announce their capabilities/intents to service consumers
- MSG060 – The solution **MUST** provide information about registered channels, client connection states, if available
- MSG070 – The solution **MUST** support the asynchronous programming model
- MSG080 – The solution **MUST** support a client API
- MSG090 – The solution **MUST** respect requested intents
- MSG095 – The solution **MUST** announce its supported intents
- MSG100 – The solution **MUST** fail when encountering unknown or unsupported intents.

4.1.2 Channels

- MSG100 – It **MUST** be possible to asynchronously send messages to a channel.
- MSG120 – The solution **MUST** support systems that support point-to-point channel type
- MSG130 – The solution **MUST** support systems that support the publish-subscribe channel type
- MSG140 – The solution **MUST** support quality of service
- MSG150 – The solution **MUST** support send-and-forget and send-and-forward semantics

- MSG160 – The solution SHOULD support Reply-To calls, if possible. For that the solution MUST act as caller (publish and subscribe) as well as Reply-To receiver (subscribe on publish)
- MSG170 – The solution SHOULD support filter semantics like exchange / routing-key and wildcards for channels
- MSG180 – The solution MAY support a do-autocreate as well as do-not-autocreate

Messages

- MSG200 – Messages bodies MUST support sending of byte-data
- MSG205 – The implementation MAY place limits on the size of the messages that can be send. The existence of a message size limitation for an implementation SHOULD be signaled.
- MSG210 – It SHOULD be possible to support additional message properties like sequencing and correlation. The implementation SHOULD provide access to properties when available.
- MSG220 – The solution MAY define a content encoding
- MSG230 – The solution MAY support message time-to-live information
- MSG240 – The solution MAY support manual acknowledge/reject support for messages
- MSG250 – The solution MAY have a journaling support
- MSG260 – *It MUST be possible to identify the channel the message was received on*

5 Technical Solution

The concept of the messaging specification relies on a message object and a corresponding message context. A message is bound to a context. Similar to the EventAdmin specification, where you get the topic from the Event, you can get the context from a message. The difference is that the MessageContext context more information, than just a topic and a properties map.

5.1 Message Object

The message object is a wrapper for the plain content as well as connection information of a message.

```
/**
 * An message object
 */
public interface Message {

    /**
```



```
* Returns the payload of the message as {@link ByteBuffer}
* @return the payload of the message as {@link ByteBuffer}
*/
public ByteBuffer payload();

/**
 * Returns the message context. This must not be <code>null</code>.
 * @return the {@link MessageContext} instance of this message
 */
public MessageContext getContext();
}
```

5.2 MessageContext

Messaging is not only about just sending data over a distributed network. It may happen, that you need certain connection information when the message has arrived. On the other hand, you just want to send/publish one special message, with additional connection information. The message context object is meant for that.

```
/**
 * Context object that can be used to provide additional properties that
 * can be put to the underlying driver / connection.
 * The context holds meta-information for a message to be send or received
 */
public interface MessageContext {

    ChannelDTO getChannel();

    /**
     * Returns the content type
     * @return the content type
     */
    public String getContentType();

    /**
     * Returns the content encoding
     * @return the content encoding
     */
    public String getContentEncoding();

    /**
     * Returns the correlation id
     * @return the correlation id
     */
    public String getCorrelationId();

    /**
     * Returns the reply to channel
     * @return the reply to channel
     */
    public ChannelDTO getReplyToChannel();
}
```

5.3 Subscription

The proposed interface defines a message subscription. It covers two cases:

1. expecting a stream of data for a channel
2. expecting just one answer, for a reply-to-request

```
public interface MessageSubscription {

    /**
     * Subscribe the {@link PushStream} to the given topic
     * @param topic the topic string to subscribe to
     * @return a {@link PushStream} instance for the subscription
     */
    public PushStream<Message> subscribe(String topic);

    /**
     * Subscribe the {@link PushStream} to the given topic with a certain quality
of service
     * @param topic the message topic to subscribe
     * @param context the optional properties in the context
     * @return a {@link PushStream} instance for the given topic
     */
    public PushStream<Message> subscribe(MessageContext context);

    /**
     * Subscribe for single answer on a request
     * @param message the request message
     * @return the {@link Promise} that is resolved, when the answer arrived
     */
    public Promise<Message> subscribeToRequest(Message message);

    /**
     * Subscribe for single answer on a request
     * @param message the request message
     * @param context the optional properties in the context
     * @return the {@link Promise} that is resolved, when the answer message has
arrived
     */
    public Promise<Message> subscribeToRequest(Message message, MessageContext
context);
}
```

6 Data Transfer Objects

6.1 ChannelDTO

The ChannelDTO describes a channel, which can be a topic or queue. It additionally contains the possibility to define extensions, beside the channel name. This can be information like a routing-key.

```
/**
 * A {@link DTO} that defines a channel with the possibility to provide additional
 * channel information like routing keys.
 */
public class ChannelDTO extends DTO {

    /**
     * The name of the channel
     */
    public String name;

    /**
     * A possible extension to a channel like a routing key
     */
    public String extension;

}
```

7 Javadoc

Please include Javadoc of any new APIs here, once the design has matured. Instructions on how to export Javadoc for inclusion in the RFC can be found here: <https://www.osgi.org/members/RFC/Javadoc>

8 Considered Alternatives

For posterity, record the design alternatives that were considered but rejected along with the reason for rejection. This is especially important for external/earlier solutions that were deemed not applicable.

9 Security Considerations

Description of all known vulnerabilities this may either introduce or address as well as scenarios of how the weaknesses could be circumvented.

10 Document Support

10.1 References

- [1]. Enterprise Integration Pattern: Designing, Building, and Deploying Messaging Solutions. Gregor Hohpe, Bobby Woolf. ISBN 0-133-06510-7.

*Add references simply by adding new items. You can then cross-refer to them by choosing <Insert><Cross Reference><Numbered Item> and then selecting the paragraph. **STATIC REFERENCES (I.E. BODGED) ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE, SOMEONE WILL HAVE TO UPDATE THEM LATER, SO DO IT PROPERLY NOW.***

10.2 Author's Address

Name	Mark Hoffmann
Company	Data In Motion Consulting GmbH
Address	Kahlaische Strasse 4, 07745 Jena
Voice	
e-mail	m.hoffmann@data-in-motion.biz

10.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations

10.4 End of Document