

synrc research center s.r.o.

Roháčova 141/18, Praha 3 13000, Czech Republic

$\begin{array}{c} {\bf Intermediate\ Language\ with\ Dependent\ Types\ for} \\ {\bf Erlang/OTP\ applications.} \end{array}$

Technical Article

Maxim Sokhatsky, Synrc Research Center

Kyiv 2016

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3
2	Mot	ivation	4
3	Inte	rmediate Language Om	5
	3.1	BNF	5
	3.2	Operational Semantics of OM	5
	3.3	Target Erlang VM and LLVM platforms	5
4	Exe	Macrosystem	6
	4.1	Compiler Passes	6
	4.2	BNF	6
	4.3	Pure Macro Types	7
	4.4	Effectful Macro Types	7
	4.5	Prelude Base Library	7
	4.6	Inductive Types	8
	4.7	Lists	8
	4.8	Lambek Encoding	9
	4.9	Data, Polymorphic Functions and Theorems	11
	4.10	Normal Forms	11

1 Introduction

LISP. Untyped lambda calculus was discovered as an inner language of the space at origin (Curry, Church, 1932). This language was manifested as LISP (McCarthy, 1958) that was built upon: cons, nil, eq, atom, car, cdr, lambda, apply and id. It was parts of inductive types lately known as inductive type constructors. Still untyped lambda calculus is used as an extraction target for many provers (Idris, F*), and also manifests in different domain languages (JavaScript, Erlang).

ML/LCF. Further teardown of inner space language was ML language, founded merely on algebraic datatypes and algebra on higher terms rather than categorical semantic. Lately it was fixed with categorical methods in CPL (Hagino, 1987) and Charity (Cockett, 1992). Milner, assisted by Morris and Newey designed Meta Language for the purpose of builing LCF in early 70-s. LCF was a predecessor family of automated math provers: HOL88, HOL90, HOL98 and HOL/Isabelle which is now built using Poly/ML.

Fully Automated Provers. In that period during 80-90s other automated math systems were appeared: AUTOMATH (de Bruijn, 1967), Mizar (Trybulec, 1989), PVS (Owre, Rushby, Shankar, 1995), ACL2 (Boyer, Kaufmann, Moore, 1996) and Otter (McCune, 1996).

MLTT. Contemporary provers (built upon consistent Martin-Löf Type Theory, 1972) like Agda, Coq, Lean, F*, Idris are based on Barendregt and Coquand' CoC with different flavours of inifinity universe hierarchies and Calculus of Inductive Constructions. Some of them are automated and some are trying to be and general purpose programming languages with proving facilities.

2 Motivation

No Fixpoint and Induction in Core. We came up with pure CoC core having predicative and impredicative universe hierarchies and macro extensions. Other MLTT cores has additional axioms like Fixpoint and Induction (and even more) — something we strive to escape, because it leads to clean and understandable core. No, we don't have Fixpoint, and yes, we implemented Induction principle in pure PTS.

Extensible Language Design. Encoding of inductive types is based on categorical semantic of compilation to PTS. All other syntax constructions are inductive definitions, plugged into the stream parser. AST of the PTS language is also defined in terms of inductive constructions and thus allowed in the macros. The language of polynomial functors (data and record) and core language of the process calculus (spawn, receive and send) are just macrosystem over CoC language, its syntax extensions.

Changable Encodings. In pure CoC we have only arrows, so all inductive type encodings would be Church-encoding variations. Most extended nowadays is Church-Boehm-Berrarducci encoding, which dedicated to inductive types. Another well known are Scott (lazyness), Parigot (lazyness and constant-time iterators) and CPS (continuations) encodings.

Proved Categorical Semantic. There was modeled a math model (using higher-order categorical logic) of encoding, which calculates (co)limits in a cathegory of (co)algebras built with given set of (de)constructors. We call such encoding in honour of Lambek lemma that leeds us to the equality of (co)initial object and (co)limit in the categories of (co)algebras. Such encoding works with dependent types and its consistency is proved in Lean model.

3 Intermediate Language Om

The Om language is a dependently typed lambda calculus, an extension of Barendregt' and Coquand Calculus of Constructions with predicative hierarchy of indexed universes. There is no fixpoint axiom needed for the definition of infinity term dependance.

All terms respect ranking Axioms inside sequence of universes Sorts and complexity of the dependent term is equal maximum complexity of term and its dependency Rules. The type system is completely described by the following PTS notation (due to Barendregt):

```
\begin{cases} Sorts = Type.\{i\}, \ i: Nat \\ Axioms = Type.\{i\} : Type.\{inc \ i\} \\ Rules = Type.\{i\} \leadsto Type.\{j\} : Type.\{max \ i \ j\} \end{cases}
```

An intermediate Om language is based on Henk [2] languages described first by Erik Meyer and Simon Peyton Jones in 1997. Leter on in 2015 Morte impementation of Henk design appeared in Haskell, using Boem-Berrarducci encoding of non-recursive lambda terms. It is based only on one type constructor Π , its special case λ and theirs eliminators: apply and curry, infinity number of universes, and one computation rule called β -reduction. The design of Om language resemble Henk and Morte both design and implementation. This language indended to be small, concise, easy provable and able to produce verifiable peace of code that can be distributed over the networks, compiled at target with safe trusted linkage.

3.1 BNF

Om syntax is compatible with λC Coquand's Calculus of Constructions presented in Morte and Henk languages. However it has extension in a part of specifying universe index as a **Nat** number.

3.2 Operational Semantics of OM

3.3 Target Erlang VM and LLVM platforms

This works expect to compile to limited target platforms. For now Erlang, Haskell and LLVM is awaiting. Erlang version is expected to be useful both on LING and BEAM Erlang virtual machines.

4 Exe Macrosystem

Exe is a general purpose functional language with functors, lambdas on types, recursive algebraic types, higher order functions, corecursion, free monad for effects encoding. It compilers to a small core of dependent type system without recursion called Om. This language intended to be useful enough to encode KVS (database), N2O (web framework) and BPE (processes) applications.

4.1 Compiler Passes

The underlying OM typechecker and compiler is a target language for EXE general purpose language.

```
\begin{array}{lll} EXPAND & EXE-Macroexpansion \\ NORMAL & OM-Term normalization and typechecking \\ ERASE & OM-Delete information about types \\ COMPACT & OM-Term Compactification \\ EXTRACT & OM-Extract Erlang Code \\ \end{array}
```

4.2 BNF

```
<> ::= #option
[] ::= #list
 I ::= #identifier
 U ::= * < #number >
 0 ::= I | ( 0 ) |
         U \ | \ 0 \ \rightarrow \ 0 \ | \ 0 \ 0 
           | \lambda ( I : 0 ) \rightarrow 0
           | \forall ( I : 0 ) \rightarrow 0
 L ::= I | L I
 A ::= 0 | A \rightarrow A | ( L : 0 )
 F::= | F(I:0) | ()
 E ::= 0 | E data L : A := F
           | E record L : A < extend F > := F
           | E let F in E
           | E case E [ | I O 
ightarrow E ]
           | E receive E [ | I O 
ightarrow E ]
           | E spawn E raise L := E
           | E send E to E
```

4.3 Pure Macro Types

CASE Control Branch Pattern Matching
LET Variable Bind
DATA Inductive Tree
RECORD Coinductive Tuple

4.4 Effectful Macro Types

SPAWN Spawn IOI Corecursion
TRY Exception Effect
SEND Send Message to IOI Cofree Comonad
RECEIVE Control Branch Pattern Matching

4.5 Prelude Base Library

```
inductive Nat: * :=
             (zero: () \rightarrow Nat)
             (succ: Nat \rightarrow Nat)
inductive List: (A:*) \rightarrow * :=
             (nil: () \rightarrow list A)
             (cons: A \rightarrow list A \rightarrow list A)
   record List: (A B: *) :=
             (len: list A \rightarrow integer)
             ((++): list A \rightarrow list A \rightarrow list A)
             (map: (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (list A \rightarrow list B))
             (filter: (A \rightarrow bool) \rightarrow (list A \rightarrow list A))
   record String: List Nat := ()
inductive IO: * :=
             (getLine: (String 
ightarrow IO) 
ightarrow IO)
             (putLint: String \rightarrow I0)
             (pure: () \rightarrow I0)
    record IO: * :=
             (data: String)
             ([>>=]: )
   record Morte: * :=
             (recursive: IO.replicateM Nat.Five
                             (IO.[>>=] IO.data Unit IO.getLine IO.putLine))
```

4.6 Inductive Types

There is two types of recursion: one is least fixed point (as $F_A X = 1 + A \times X$ or $F_A X = A + X \times X$), in other words the recursion with a base (terminated with a bounded value), lists are trees are examples of such recursive structures (so we call induction recursive sums); and the second is greatest fixed point or recursion without base (as $F_A X = A \times X$) — such kind of recursion on infinite lists (codata, streams, coinductive types) we can call recursive products.

Natural Numbers: $\mu X \to 1 + X$

List A: $\mu X \to 1 + A \times X$

Lambda calculus: $\mu X \to 1 + X \times X + X$

Stream: $\nu X \to A \times X$

Potentialy Infinite List A: ν $X \to 1 + A \times X$

Finite Tree: $\mu X \rightarrow \mu Y \rightarrow 1 + X \times Y = \mu X = List X$

As we know there are several ways to appear for variable in recursive algebraic type. Least fixpoint are known as an recursive expressions that have a base of recursion Both recursive and corecursive datatypes could be encoded using Boem-Berarducci encoding as an non-recursive definitions of folds that include in indentity signature all the constructor components of (co)inductive type.

4.7 Lists

The data type of lists over a given set A can be represented as the initial algebra $(\mu L_A, in)$ of the functor $L_A(X) = 1 + (A \times X)$. Denote $\mu L_A = List(A)$. The constructor functions $nil: 1 \to List(A)$ and $cons: A \times List(A) \to List(A)$ are defined by $nil = in \circ inl$ and $cons = in \circ inr$, so in = [nil, cons]. Given any two functions $c: 1 \to C$ and $h: A \times C \to C$, the catamorphism $f = \{(c, h)\}: List(A) \to C$ is the unique solution of the equation system:

$$\begin{cases} f \circ nil = c \\ f \circ cons = h \circ (id \times f) \end{cases}$$

where f = foldr(c, h). Having this the initial algebra is presented with functor $\mu(1 + A \times X)$ and morphisms sum $[1 \to List(A), A \times List(A) \to List(A)]$ as catamorphism. Using this encoding the base library of List will have following form:

$$\begin{cases} foldr = ([f \circ nil, h]), f \circ cons = h \circ (id \times f) \\ len = ([zero, \lambda \ a \ n \rightarrow succ \ n]) \\ (++) = \lambda \ xs \ ys \rightarrow ([\lambda(x) \rightarrow ys, cons])(xs) \\ map = \lambda \ f \rightarrow ([nil, cons \circ (f \times id)]) \end{cases}$$

4.8 Lambek Encoding

Lambek encoding is a categorical proof-representation of higher inductive types encoding.

Initial Object

```
let I = data List: (A:*) \rightarrow * := (nil: List A) (cons: A \rightarrow List A \rightarrow List A) F_A = 1 + A \times X
```

Construct corresponding F-Algebra

```
record ListAlg: (A:*) \rightarrow * := (X: *)

(nil: X)

(cons: A \rightarrow X \rightarrow X)
```

Introduce List Morphisms

```
infix '=' := Setoid.0b.Equ 

record ListMor: (A: *) \rightarrow (x1 x2: ListAlg A) \rightarrow * := 

    (map: x1.X \rightarrow x2.X) 

    (okNil: map x1.nil = x2.nil) 

    (okCons: \forall (a: A) \rightarrow \forall (x: x1) 

    \rightarrow map x1.cons a x = x2.cons a (map x))
```

Introduce connected points of List type

```
record ListPoint: (A: *) \rightarrow * := (point: \forall (x: ListAlg A) -> x.X) (point0k: \forall (x1 x2: ListAlg A) \rightarrow \forall (m: ListMor A x1 x2) \rightarrow Setoid.0b.Equ (map m point x1) (point x2))
```

Theorems Section

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\lim U & F \lim U \\
\pi_i \downarrow & \Longrightarrow F \pi_i \downarrow \\
X_i & F X_i
\end{array} \Longrightarrow \lim \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
F \lim U \\
F \pi_i \downarrow \\
F X_i
\end{array} \right\}$$

Basic Ornaments

Our encoding allows you to precise control the type of encoded parameter. There is only three cases and three equations: 1) for unit; 2) particular functorial type over a parameter type and 3) recursive embedding such as in Cons constructor.

q — is a limit in Dialg P category. The constructor body is calculated with q applied to forgetful functor U.

$$\begin{cases} q_{P,D,G} : End \ P \ (G'(-),G'(-)) \to P \ (Lim \ G',Lim \ G') \\ P : Set^{op} \times Set \to Set \\ U : Dialg \ P \to Set \\ G : D \to Dialg \ P \\ G' = UG : D \to Set \\ U(Lim \ G) = Lim \ G' \end{cases}$$

Unit

Like for Bool or Nil constructors encoding.

$$\begin{cases} P_0(A,B) = B \\ q_0 \ e : Lim \ G' \\ q_0 \ e = e \end{cases}$$

Fixed Type

Like for Cons first parameter.

$$\begin{cases} P_1(A,B) = X \to P(A,B) \\ q_1 \ e : X \to P(Lim \ G', Lim \ G') \\ q_1 \ e \ x \ A = e \ A \ x \end{cases}$$

Recursive Parameters

Like for Cons second parameter. This case is a key in encoding recursive data types such as **Lists** and recursive record types such as **Streams**.

$$\begin{cases} P_2(A,B) = A \to P(A,B) \\ q_2 \ e : Lim \ G' \to P(Lim \ G', Lim \ G') \\ q_2 \ e \ I \ A = e \ A \ (I \ A) \end{cases}$$

4.9 Data, Polymorphic Functions and Theorems

4.10 Normal Forms

Lists/Map

References

- [1] Henk Barendregt Lambda Calculus With Types 2010
- [2] Erik Meijer, Symon Peyton Jones Henk: a typed intermediate language 1984
- [3] Per Martin-Löf Intuitionistic Type Theory 1984
- [4] Pierre-Louis Curien Category theory: a programming language-oriented introduction
- [5] Varmo Vene Categorical programming with (co)inductive types 2000
- [6] Frank Pfenning Inductively defined types in the Calculus of Constructions 1989
- [7] Bart Jacobs Categorical Logic and Type Theory 1999