Pavlo Maslyanko, Maxim Sokhatsky, Pavlo Liutko³

¹Faculty of Applied Mathematics at NTUU "KPI", Kyiv, Ukraine; ²Synrc Research Center, s.r.o, Praha, Czech Republic; ³Groupoid Infinity, Inc.

(Co-)Inductive Dependent Types Normal Form Representation in Pure Type System, the Calculus of Constructions

While modern ITT provers expose core axioms of inductive constructions at checker-level, we promote pure type system setting for inductive constructions, and we show the technique of Berrarducci-based encoding with an example of Setoid base object along with its theorems. By preserving cathegorical semantics we unveil the universal method of modeling the inductive construction as initial object in categories of fibrational F,G-dialgebras (or F-algebra for non-dependent case) with an example of compilation to Erlang untyped lambda calculus.

Motivation. In modern ITT theorem provers (Lean, Coq, Agda, Idris) you may find inside type-checker core more that single axiom of *0: *1, such as fixpoint axiom, axioms for inductive definitions or recursors. We are trying to avoid this practice and encode execution stream within the pure calculus. The universes extension axiom is more general, states that *[n]: *[n+1]. This only axiom and four rules of lambda with dependent types are taken as core language. Thus no recursion, no effects (they will be modelled with inductive free monad type), only recursive type definitions are allowed. Having small core typechecker we can reason on it more easily, disabling recursion gives us full term normalization. Small provable compiler core unveils the door for joyful metacircularity.

By simplifying and reducing the target language we simplify one to one compilation to untyped lambda languages such as JavaScript, Erlang and others. Moreover the physical representation of FPGA elements allows here too structure preserving transformations. We use this technique to prototype Martin-Löf type system in Erlang along with its language Exe and its prelude. The system is supposed in future to be capable with modeling of HoTT by providing infinity-groupoids in the base library.

This article will show your some details of Exe prelude and give you full guide on path of inductive List compilation.

Lambda Assembler. Intermediate language resemble both the Henk [?] theory of PTS CoC language and can be tooled with its Morte [?] implementation:

Exe Language. We extend the core PTS language with inductive **data** and coinductive **record** definitions. This constructions is used to model any type in any universe. Top level language supports only (co-)inductive definitions that compiles directly to CoC lambda assembler.

Algebras. F-Algebras gives us a categorical understanding recursive types. Let $F: C \to C$ be an endofunctor on category C. An F-algebra is a pair (C, ϕ) , where C is an object and $\phi: F \to C$ an arrow in the category C. The object C is the carrier and the functor F is the signature of the algebra. Reversing arrows gives us F-Coalgebra.

$$f \circ \varphi = \psi \circ F f \qquad \psi \circ f = F f \circ \varphi$$

Initial Algebras. A F-algebra $(\mu F, in)$ is the initial F-algebra if for any F-algebra (C, φ) there exists a unique arrow $(\varphi): \mu F \to C$ where $f = (\varphi)$ and is called catamorphism. Similar a F-coalgebra $(\nu F, out)$ is the terminal F-coalgebra if for any F-coalgebra (C, φ) there exists unique arrow $[\varphi]: C \to \nu F$ where $f = [\varphi]$

$$f \circ in = \varphi \circ F \ f \equiv f = (\varphi) \quad out \circ f = F \ f \circ \varphi \equiv f = [\varphi]$$

Inductive Types. There is two types of recursion: one is least fixed point (as $F_A X = 1 + A \times X$ or $F_A X = A + X \times X$), in other words the recursion with a base (terminated with a bounded value), lists are trees are examples of such recursive structures (so we call induction recursive sums); and the second is greatest fixed point or recursion without base (as $F_A X = A \times X$) — such kind of recursion on infinite lists (codata, streams, coinductive types) we can call recursive products.

Natural Numbers:
$$\mu X \to 1 + X$$

List A: $\mu X \to 1 + A \times X$
Lambda calculus: $\mu X \to 1 + X \times X + X$
Stream: $\nu X \to A \times X$
Potentialy Infinite List A: $\nu X \to 1 + A \times X$
Finite Tree: $\mu X \to \mu Y \to 1 + X \times Y = \mu X = List X$

Lists. The data type of lists over a given set A can be represented as the initial algebra $(\mu L_A, in)$ of the functor $L_A(X) = 1 + (A \times X)$. Denote $\mu L_A = List(A)$. The constructor functions $nil: 1 \to List(A)$ and $cons: A \times List(A) \to List(A)$ are defined by $nil = in \circ inl$ and $cons = in \circ inr$, so in = [nil, cons]. Given any two functions $c: 1 \to C$ and $h: A \times C \to C$, the catamorphism $f = ([c, h]): List(A) \to C$ is the unique solution of the equation system:

$$\begin{cases} f \circ nil = c \\ f \circ cons = h \circ (id \times f) \end{cases}$$

where f = foldr(c, h). Having this the initial algebra is presented with functor $\mu(1 + A \times X)$ and morphisms sum $[1 \to List(A), A \times List(A) \to List(A)]$ as catamorphism. Using this encoding the base library of List will have following form:

$$\begin{cases} foldr = ([f \circ nil, h]), f \circ cons = h \circ (id \times f) \\ len = ([zero, \lambda \ a \ n \rightarrow succ \ n]) \\ (++) = \lambda \ xs \ ys \rightarrow ([\lambda(x) \rightarrow ys, cons]) (xs) \\ map = \lambda \ f \rightarrow ([nil, cons \circ (f \times id)]) \end{cases}$$

```
Lists in Exe language. We encode List as usually we do in \Pi, \Sigma-provers.
```

```
data list: (A: *) \rightarrow * :=
                                             \begin{array}{ll} (\,\,\mathrm{nil}: \,\,\stackrel{\cdot}{\mathrm{list}}\,\,\stackrel{\cdot}{A}) \\ (\,\,\mathrm{cons}:\,\,A\,\rightarrow\,\,\mathrm{list}\,\,\,A\,\rightarrow\,\,\mathrm{list}\,\,\,A) \end{array}
               \begin{cases} list = \lambda \ ctor \rightarrow \lambda \ cons \rightarrow \lambda \ nil \rightarrow ctor \\ cons = \lambda \ x \rightarrow \lambda \ xs \rightarrow \lambda \ list \rightarrow \lambda \ cons \rightarrow \lambda \ nil \rightarrow cons \ x \ (xs \ list \ cons \ nil) \\ nil = \lambda \ list \rightarrow \lambda \ cons \rightarrow \lambda \ nil \rightarrow nil \end{cases}
                            record lists: (A B: *) :=
                                             (len: list A \rightarrow integer)
                                             ((++): list A \rightarrow list A \rightarrow list A)
                                             (map: (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (list A \rightarrow list B))
                                             (filter: (A \rightarrow bool) \rightarrow (list A \rightarrow list A))
                        \begin{cases} len = foldr \ (\lambda \ x \ n \to succ \ n) \ 0 \\ (++) = \lambda \ ys \to foldr \ cons \ ys \\ map = \lambda \ f \to foldr \ (\lambda x \ xs \to cons \ (f \ x) \ xs) \ nil \\ filter = \lambda \ p \to foldr \ (\lambda x \ xs \to if \ p \ x \ then \ cons \ x \ xs \ else \ xs) \ nil \\ foldl = \lambda \ f \ v \ xs = foldr \ (\lambda \ xg \to \ (\lambda \to g \ (f \ a \ x))) \ id \ xs \ v \end{cases}
Exe Prelude. We have coinductive Setoid as a base onbject to reason about.
          record Ob: * :=
                           (elem: *)
                            (eq: Equ elem)
                            (point: elem)
          record Hom (X Y: Ob): * :=
                           (elem: X.elem \rightarrow Y.elem)
                           (eq: \forall (x1, x2: X.elem) \rightarrow
                                       X. eq x1 x2 \rightarrow Y. eq (elem x1) (elem x2)
                           (point: Y.eq (elem X.point) Y.point)
               data True: Prop :=
                            (intro: () \rightarrow true)
               data False: Prop := ()
               data Eq: (A:*) \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Prop :=
                            (refl: (x:A) \rightarrow Eq A x x)
          record Setoid: * :=
                            (ob: *)
                           (\text{hom}: \forall (X Y: \text{ob}) \rightarrow \text{Hom } X Y)
```

Lambda Assembler. One List/Type constructor and two List constructors Cons and Nil.

List. : λ (a: *) $\rightarrow \forall$ (List: *) $\rightarrow \forall$ (Cons: \forall (head: a) $\rightarrow \forall$ (tail: List) \rightarrow List) $\rightarrow \forall$ (Nil: List) \rightarrow List

Cons. : λ (a: *) $\rightarrow \lambda$ (head: a) $\rightarrow \lambda$ (tail: \forall (List: *) $\rightarrow \forall$ (Cons: \forall (head: a) $\rightarrow \forall$ (tail: List) \rightarrow List) $\rightarrow \forall$ (Nil: List) $\rightarrow \lambda$ (List: *) $\rightarrow \lambda$ (Cons: \forall (head: a) $\rightarrow \forall$ (tail: List) $\rightarrow \lambda$ (Nil: List) $\rightarrow \lambda$ Cons head (tail List Cons Nil)

Nil. : λ (a: *) $\rightarrow \lambda$ (List: *) $\rightarrow \lambda$ (Cons: \forall (head: a) $\rightarrow \forall$ (tail: List) \rightarrow List) $\rightarrow \lambda$ (Nil: List) \rightarrow Nil

Erlang. Result Language.

```
ap(Fun, Args) \rightarrow lists: foldl(fun(X, Acc) \rightarrow Acc(X) end, Fun, Args).
```

list () \rightarrow fun (List) \rightarrow fun (Cons) \rightarrow fun (Nil) \rightarrow List end end end.

nil () -> fun (Cons) -> fun (Nil) -> Nil end end.

cons () \rightarrow fun (A) \rightarrow fun (List) \rightarrow fun (Cons) \rightarrow fun (Nil) \rightarrow ap(Cons, [A, ap(List, [Cons, Nil])]) end end end end.