



HAXE

Haxe 3 Manual

Haxe Foundation
September 8, 2013

Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	What is haxe?	5
1.2	About this Document	5
1.3	Hello World	6
1.4	History	6
2	Types	7
2.1	Basic Types	7
2.1.1	Numeric types	7
2.1.2	Bool	9
2.1.3	Void	10
2.2	Nullability of Basic Types	10
2.3	Class Instance	11
2.3.1	Class constructor	12
2.3.2	Inheritance	12
2.3.3	Interfaces	13
2.4	Enum Instance	13
2.4.1	Enum Constructors	14
2.5	Anonymous Structure	15
2.5.1	JSON-Notation for Structure Values	16
2.5.2	Class Notation for Structure Types	16
2.5.3	Optional Fields	16
2.5.4	Impact on Performance	16
2.6	Function	16
2.6.1	Optional Arguments	17
2.6.2	Default values	17
2.7	Dynamic	18
2.7.1	Dynamic with Type Parameter	19
2.7.2	Implementing Dynamic	19
2.8	Abstract	20
2.8.1	Implicit Casts	21
2.8.2	Operator Overloading	23
2.8.3	Array Access	24
2.8.4	Selective Functions	25
2.9	Monomorph	25
3	Type System	25
3.1	Typedef	26
3.1.1	Extensions	26
3.2	Type Parameters	26
3.2.1	Constraints	27
3.2.2	Variance	28
3.2.3	Generic	28
3.3	Unification	28
3.3.1	Between Class/Interface	29
3.3.2	Structural Subtyping	29
3.3.3	Monomorphs	30
3.3.4	Function Return	30
3.3.5	Common Base Type	30
3.4	Type Inference	30

3.4.1	Limitations	31
3.5	Modules and Paths	31
3.5.1	Module Sub-Types	32
3.5.2	Import	32
3.5.3	Resolution Order	32
4	Class Fields	32
4.1	Variable	33
4.2	Property	33
4.3	Method	33
4.4	Access Modifier	33
4.4.1	Visibility	33
4.4.2	Inline	34
4.4.3	Dynamic	35
4.4.4	Override	35
4.5	Overriding Fields	35
5	Expressions	35
5.1	Blocks	36
5.2	Constants	36
5.3	Binary Operators	36
5.4	Unary Operators	36
5.5	Array Declaration	36
5.6	Object Declaration	37
5.7	Field Access	37
5.8	Function Call	37
5.9	Closure	37
5.10	var	37
5.11	new	37
5.12	for	37
5.13	while	38
5.14	if	38
5.15	switch	38
5.16	try/catch	38
5.17	return	38
5.18	break	38
5.19	continue	38
5.20	throw	38
5.21	cast	38
6	Standard Library	38
6.1	String	38
6.2	Data Structures	39
6.2.1	Array	39
6.2.2	List	39
6.2.3	GenericStack	39
6.2.4	Map	39
6.2.5	Option	39
6.3	Regular Expressions	39
6.4	Math	39
6.5	Lambda	39
6.6	Reflection	39

6.7	Serialization	39
6.8	Json	39
6.9	Xml	39
6.10	Input/Output	39
6.11	Sys/sys	39
7	Miscellaneous Features	39
7.1	Conditional Compilation	39
7.2	Static Extension	40
7.2.1	In the Haxe Standard Library	41
7.3	Pattern Matching	41
7.3.1	Introduction	41
7.3.2	Enum matching	42
7.3.3	Variable capture	42
7.3.4	Structure matching	43
7.3.5	Array matching	43
7.3.6	Or patterns	43
7.3.7	Guards	44
7.3.8	Match on multiple values	44
7.3.9	Exhaustiveness checks	44
7.3.10	Useless pattern checks	44
7.4	String Interpolation	45
7.5	Array Comprehension	45
7.6	Dead Code Elimination	45
7.7	Function Bindings	46
7.8	Metadata	47
7.8.1	Compiler Metadata	47
7.9	Access Control	47
7.10	Remoting	47
7.11	Runtime Type Information	47
7.12	Generic Type Parameters	47
7.12.1	Construction	47
7.13	Completion	47
7.13.1	Field Access	47
7.13.2	Call Arguments	47
7.13.3	Usage	47
7.13.4	Position	47
7.13.5	Metadata	47
8	Macros	47
8.1	Macro Context	48
8.2	Arguments	48
8.2.1	ExprOf	48
8.2.2	Constant Expressions	48
8.2.3	Rest Argument	48
8.3	Reification	48
8.3.1	Expression Reification	48
8.3.2	Type Reification	49
8.3.3	Class Reification	49
8.4	Tools	49
8.5	Type Building	49
8.6	Limitations	49

8.6.1	Macro-in-Macro	49
8.6.2	Using	49
8.6.3	Build Order	49
8.6.4	Type Parameters	49
8.7	Compiler Configuration	49

1 Introduction

1.1 What is haxe?

Haxe is a high-level language programming language and compiler for that language. It allows compilation of programs written using an ECMAScript¹-oriented syntax to multiple target languages. Employing proper abstraction, it is possible to maintain a single code-base which compiles to multiple targets.

Haxe is strongly typed, but the typing system can be subverted where required. Utilizing type information, the Haxe type system can detect during compilation errors that in the target language would only be noticable at runtime. Furthermore, type information can be used by the target generators to generate optimized and robust code.

There are currently nine supported target languages which allow different use-cases:

Name	Output type	Main usages
Javascript	Sourcecode	Desktop, Mobile, Server
Actionscript 3	Sourcecode	Browser, Desktop, Mobile
Flash 6-8	Bytecode	Browser
Flash 9+	Bytecode	Browser, Desktop, Mobile
Neko	Bytecode	Desktop, Server
PHP	Sourcecode	Server
C++	Sourcecode	Desktop, Mobile, Server
Java	Sourcecode	Desktop, Server
C#	Sourcecode	Desktop, Mobile, Server

The remainder of section 1 gives a brief overview of what a haxe program looks like, and how haxe has developed since its inception in 2005.

[Types \(Section 2\)](#) introduces the seven kinds of types in haxe and how they interact with each other. Type discussion is continued in [Type System \(section 3\)](#), where features such as *unification*, *type parameters* and *type inference* are explained.

[Class Fields \(Section 4\)](#) is all about the structure of haxe classes and, among other topics, deals with *properties*, *inline fields* and *generic functions*.

In [Expressions \(section 5\)](#) we see how to actually get programs to do something by using *expressions*, plenty of which are used in the Haxe Standard Library described in [Standard Library \(section 6\)](#).

[Miscellaneous Features \(Section 7\)](#) describes some of the haxe features in detail, such as *pattern matching*, *string interpolation* and *dead code elimination*.

Finally, we will venture to the exciting land of *haxe macros* in [Macros \(section 8\)](#) to see how some common tasks can be simplified greatly.

1.2 About this Document

This document is the official manual of haxe 3. As such, it is not a beginner's tutorial and does not teach programming. However, the topics are roughly designed to be read in order and there are references to topics "previously seen" and topics "yet to come". In some cases, an earlier section makes use of the information of a later section if it simplifies the explanation. These references are linked accordingly and in general it should not be a problem to read ahead on other topics.

We use a lot of haxe source code to keep a practical connection of theoretical matters. These code examples are often complete programs that come with a main function and can be compiled as-is. However, sometimes only the most important parts are shown. Source code looks like this:

```
1 haxe code here
```

¹<http://www.ecma-international.org/publications/standards/Ecma-327.htm>

Occasionally, we demonstrate how certain haxe code is generated, for which we usually show the Javascript target.

Furthermore, we define a set of terms in this document. This is mostly done when introducing a new type, or when a term is specific to haxe. We do not define every new aspect we introduce, e.g. what a class or a class field is, in order to not clutter the text. A definition looks like this:

Definition: Name
Description

In a few places, this document has *trivia*-boxes. These include off-the-record information such as why certain decisions were made while developing haxe, or how a particular feature has changed in past haxe versions. This information is generally not important and can be skipped, it is only meant to convey trivia:

Trivia: About Trivia
This is trivia.

1.3 Hello World

The following program prints “Hello World” after being compiled and run:

```
1 class HelloWorld {  
2     static public function main():Void {  
3         trace("Hello World");  
4     }  
5 }
```

This can be tested by saving above code to a file named `HelloWorld.hx` and invoking the haxe compiler like so: `haxe -main HelloWorld --interp`. This outputs `HelloWorld.hx:3: Hello world`. There are several things to learn from this:

- Haxe programs are saved in files with an extension of `.hx`.
- The haxe compiler is a command-line tool which can be invoked with parameters such as `-main HelloWorld` and `--interp`.
- Haxe programs have classes (`HelloWorld`, upper-case), which have functions (`main`, lower-case).

1.4 History

The haxe project started on October 22nd 2005 as a successor to the *Motion-Twin Action Script Compiler*, MTASC. French developer *Motion-Twin*² had developed MTASC as a popular ActionScript 2 compiler, and the next logical step for them was to design their very own programming language, thus giving birth to haxe.

Being spelled *haXe* back then, its beta was released in February 2006 with the first supported targets being AVM³-bytecode and Motion-Twin’s own *Neko* virtual machine⁴.

Nicolas Cannasse, who remains leader of the haxe project to this date, kept on designing haxe with a clear vision, subsequently leading to the haxe 1.0 release in May 2006. This first major release came with support for Javascript code generation and already had features that define haxe today, such as type inference and structural subtyping.

²<http://motion-twin.org>

³Adobe Virtual Machine

⁴<http://neko.vm.org>

Haxe 1 saw several minor releases over the course of two years, adding the Flash 9 target along with the *haxelib*-tool in August 2006 and the Actionscript 3 target in March 2007. During these months, there was a strong focus on improving stability, which came in the form of several minor bugfix releases.

Haxe 2.0 was released in July 2008, coming with the PHP target courtesy of *Franco Ponticelli*. A similar effort by *Hugh Sanderson* then lead to addition of the C++ target in July 2009 with the haxe 2.04 release.

Just as with haxe 1, what followed were several month of stability releases. In January 2011, haxe 2.07 was released with the support of *macros*. Around that time, *Bruno Garcia* joined the team as maintainer of the Javascript target, which saw vast improvements in the subsequent 2.08 and 2.09 releases.

After the release of 2.09, *Simon Krajewski* joined the team and work began towards haxe 3. Furthermore, *Cauê Waneck*'s Java and C# targets found their way into the haxe builds. It was then decided to make a final haxe 2 release, which happened in July 2012 with the release of haxe 2.10.

In late 2012, the haxe 3 switch was flipped and the haxe compiler team, now backed by the newly established *Haxe Foundation*⁵, focused on this next major version. Haxe 3 was subsequently released in May 2013.

2 Types

The haxe compiler employs a sophisticated typing system which helps detecting type-related errors in a program at compile-time. A type error is an invalid operation on a given type, such as dividing by a `String`, trying to access a field of an `Integer` or calling a function with not enough (or too many) arguments.

In some languages, this additional safety comes at a price because programmers are forced to explicitly assign types to syntactic constructs:

```
1 var myButton:MySpecialButton = new MySpecialButton(); // As3
2 MySpecialButton* myButton = new MySpecialButton(); // C++
```

The explicit type annotations are not required in haxe, because the compiler can *infer* the type:

```
1 var myButton = new MySpecialButton(); // haxe
```

We will explore type inference in detail later in [Type Inference \(section 3.4\)](#). For now, it is sufficient to say that variable `myButton` in above code is known to be an *instance of class* `MySpecialButton`. A class instance is one of seven type groups:

Class instance: an object of a given class or interface

Enum instance: a value of a haxe enumeration

Structure: an anonymous structure, i.e. a collection of named fields

Function: a compound type of several arguments and one return

Dynamic: a wildcard type which is compatible to any type

Abstract: an abstract value type

Monomorph: an unknown type, which may later become a different type

2.1 Basic Types

2.1.1 Numeric types

⁵<http://haxe-foundation.org>

Type: Float

Represents a double-precision IEEE 64bit floating point number.

Type: Int

Represents a 32bit integral number.

While every `Int` can be used where a `Float` is expected (that is, `Int` *is assignable to* or *unifies with* `Float`), the reverse is not true: Assigning a `Float` to an `Int` might lose precision and is not implicitly allowed.

TODO: Overflow

Operator	Operation
<code>-</code>	negation
<code>~</code>	bitwise negation
<code>++</code>	incrementation
<code>--</code>	decrementation

Arithmetic				
Operator	Operation	Argument 1	Argument 2	Return
+	addition	Float	Float	Float
		Float	Int	Float
		Int	Float	Float
		Int	Int	Int
−	subtraction	Float	Float	Float
		Float	Int	Float
		Int	Float	Float
		Int	Int	Int
∗	multiplication	Float	Float	Float
		Float	Int	Float
		Int	Float	Float
		Int	Int	Int
/	division	Float	Float	Float
		Float	Int	Float
		Int	Float	Float
		Int	Int	Float
%	modulo	Float	Float	Float
		Float	Int	Float
		Int	Float	Float
		Int	Int	Int
Comparison				
Operator	Operation	Argument 1	Argument 2	Return
==	equal	Float/Int	Float/Int	Bool
!=	not equal	Float/Int	Float/Int	Bool
<	less than	Float/Int	Float/Int	Bool
<=	less than or equal	Float/Int	Float/Int	Bool
>	greater than	Float/Int	Float/Int	Bool
>=	great than or equal	Float/Int	Float/Int	Bool
Bitwise				
Operator	Operation	Argument 1	Argument 2	Return
&	bitwise and	Int	Int	Int
	bitwise or	Int	Int	Int
^	bitwise xor	Int	Int	Int
<<	shift left	Int	Int	Int
>>	shift right	Int	Int	Int
>>>	unsigned shift right	Int	Int	Int

2.1.2 Bool

Type: Bool

Represents a value which can be either *true* or *false*

Values of type `Bool` appear commonly in *conditions* such as `if` (section 5.14) and `while` (section 5.13). The following *operators* accept and return `Bool` values:

- `&&` (and)
- `||` (or)

- ! (not)

Haxe guarantees that compound boolean expressions are evaluated from left to right and only as far as necessary at runtime. For instance, an expression like `A && B` will evaluate `A` first and evaluate `B` only if the evaluation of `A` yielded `true`. Likewise, the expressions `A || B` will not evaluate `B` if the evaluation of `A` yielded `true`, because the value of `B` is irrelevant in that case. This is important in some cases such as this:

```
1 if (object != null && object.field == 1) { ... }
```

Accessing `object.field` if `object` is `null` would lead to a runtime error, but the check for `object != null` guards against it.

2.1.3 Void

Type: Void

Void denote the absence of a type. It is used to express that something (usually a function) has no value.

Void is a special case in the type system because it is not actually a type. It is used to express the absence of a type, which applies mostly to function arguments and return types. We have already “seen” Void in the initial “Hello World” example:

```
1 class HelloWorld {
2     static public function main():Void {
3         trace("Hello World");
4     }
5 }
```

The function type will be explored in detail in [section 2.6](#), but a quick preview helps here: The type of function `main` in above example can be considered to be `Void->Void`, which reads as “it has no arguments and returns nothing”. Haxe does not allow fields and variables of type `Void`, and will complain if an attempt is made at declaring such:

```
1 var x:Void; // Arguments and variables of type Void are not allowed
```

2.2 Nullability of Basic Types

Definition: nullable

A type in haxe is considered *nullable* if `null` is a valid value for it.

It is common for programming languages to have a single, clean definition for nullability, but haxe has to find a compromise in this regard. The reason for this is obvious when looking at the nature of haxe’s target languages: While some of them allow and, in fact, default to `null` for anything, others do not even allow `null` for certain types. This necessitates the distinction of two types of target languages:

Definition: Static target

Static targets employ their own type system where `null` is not a valid value for basic types. This is true for the Flash 9+, C++, Java and C# targets.

Definition: Dynamic target

Dynamic targets are more lenient with their types and allow *null values for basic types*. They consist of JavaScript, PHP, neko and Flash 6-8.

There is nothing to worry about when working with `null` on dynamic targets, but static ones may require some thought. For starters, basic types are initialized to these values:

Int: 0

Float: NaN on Flash 9, 0.0 on other static targets

Bool: false

As a consequence, the haxe compiler does not allow assigning `null` to a basic type on static targets. In order to achieve this, the basic type has to be wrapped as `Null<T>`:

```
1 var a:Int = null; // error on static platforms
2 var b:Null<Int> = null; // allowed
```

Similarly, basic types cannot be compared to `null` unless wrapped:

```
1 var a : Int = 0;
2 if( a == null ) { ... } // error on static platforms
3 var b : Null<Int> = 0;
4 if( b != null ) { ... } // allowed
```

This restriction extends to all situations where [Unification \(section 3.3\)](#) is performed.

If a `null`-value is “hidden” in `Null<T>` or `Dynamic` and assigned to a basic type, the default value is used:

```
1 var n : Null<Int> = null;
2 var a : Int = n;
3 trace(a); // 0 on static platforms
```

TODO: complete this

2.3 Class Instance

Similar to many object-oriented languages, classes in haxe are the primary data structure for the majority of programs. Each haxe class has an explicit name, an implied path and zero or more class fields. Here we will focus on the general structure of classes and their relations, while leaving the details of class fields for [Class Fields \(section 4\)](#).

The following code example serves as basis for the remainder of this section:

```
1 class Point {
2     var x : Int;
3     var y : Int;
4     public function new(x,y) {
5         this.x = x;
6         this.y = y;
7     }
8     public function toString() {
9         return "Point (" + x + ", " + y + ") ";
10    }
11 }
```

Semantically, this class represents a point in discrete 2-dimensional space, but this is not important here. Let us instead describe the structure:

- The keyword `class` denotes that we are declaring a class.
- `Point` is the name of the class and could be anything conforming to the rules for type identifiers.
- Enclosed in curly braces `{}` are the class fields,
- which consist of two *variable* fields `x` and `y` of type `Int`
- followed by a special *function* field named `new`, which is the *constructor* of the class,
- as well as a normal function `toString`

There is a special type in haxe which is compatible with all classes:

Type: `Class<T>`

This type is compatible with all class types. At compile-time, `Class<T>` can be considered to be the common base type of all class types. However, this relation is not reflected in generated code.

2.3.1 Class constructor

Instances of classes are created by calling the class constructor, a process commonly referred to as *instantiation*. Another name for class instances is *object*, but we prefer the term class instance to emphasize the analogy between classes/class instances and enums/enum instances ([section 2.4](#)).

```
1 var p = new Point(-1, 65);
```

This will yield an instance of class `Point`, which is assigned to a variable named `p`. The constructor of `Point` receives the two arguments `-1` and `65` and assigns them to the instance variables `x` and `y` respectively (compare its definition in [Class Instance \(section 2.3\)](#)). We will revisit the exact meaning of the `new`-expression later in [section 5.11](#), for now we just consider it calling the class constructor and returning the appropriate object.

2.3.2 Inheritance

Classes may inherit from other classes, which in haxe is denoted by the `extends` keyword:

```
1 class Point3 extends Point {
2     var z : Int;
3     public function new(x,y,z) {
4         super(x,y);
5         this.z = z;
6     }
7 }
```

This relation is often described as “is-a”: Any instance of class `Point3` is also an instance of `Point`. `Point` is then known as the *parent class* of `Point3`, which is a *child class* of `Point`. A class may have many child classes, but only one parent class.

The code above is very similar to the original `Point` class, with two new constructs being shown:

- `extends Point` denotes that this class inherits from class `Point`
- `super(x, y)` is the call to the constructor of the parent class, in this case `Point.new`

It is not necessary for child classes to define their own constructors, but if they do a call to `super()` is mandatory. Unlike some other object-oriented languages, this call can appear anywhere in the constructor code and does not have to be the first expression.

A class may override [Method \(section 4.3\)](#) of its base class, which requires the explicit `override` keyword. The effects and restrictions of this are detailed in [Overriding Fields \(section 4.5\)](#).

2.3.3 Interfaces

An interface can be understood as the signature of a class because it describes the public fields of a class. Interfaces do not provide implementations, but rather pure structural information:

```
1 interface Printable {
2     public function toString():String;
3 }
```

The syntax is similar to classes, with the following exceptions:

- `interface` keyword is used instead of `class` keyword
- functions do not have any expressions
- any field must have an explicit type

Interfaces, unlike structural subtyping, describe a *static relation* between classes. A given class is only considered to be compatible to an interface if it explicitly states so:

```
1 class Point implements Printable { }
```

The `implements` keyword here denotes that `Point` has a “is-a” relationship to `Printable`, i.e. each instance of `Point` is also an instance of `Printable`. While a class may only have one parent class, it may implement multiple interfaces through multiple `implements` keywords.

The compiler checks if the `implements` assumption holds. That is, it makes sure the class actually does implement all the fields required by the interface. A field is considered implemented if the class or any of its parent classes provide an implementation.

Interface fields are not limited to methods, they can be variables and properties as well.

Trivia: Implements Syntax

Haxe versions prior to 3.0 required multiple `implements` keywords to be separated by a comma. We decided to adhere to the de-facto standard of Java and got rid of the comma. This was one of the breaking changes between haxe 2 and 3.

2.4 Enum Instance

Haxe provides powerful enumeration (short: `enum`) types, which are actually an *algebraic data type* (ADT). While they cannot have any expressions, they are very useful for describing the structure of code logic:

```
1 enum Color {
2     Red;
3     Green;
4     Blue;
5     Rgb(r:Int, g:Int, b:Int);
6 }
```

Semantically, this `enum` describes a color which is either red, green, blue or a specified RGB value. The syntactic structure is as follows:

- The keyword `enum` denotes that we are declaring an enum.
- `Color` is the name of the enum and could be anything conforming to the rules for type identifiers.
- Enclosed in curly braces `{}` are the *enum constructors*,
- which are `Red`, `Green` and `Blue` taking no arguments,
- as well as `Rgb` taking three `Int` arguments named `r`, `g` and `b`.

The haxe type system provides a type which unifies with all enum types:

Type: Enum

This type is compatible with all enum types. At compile-time, `Enum<T>` can be considered to be the common base type of all enum types. However, this relation is not reflected in generated code.

2.4.1 Enum Constructors

Similar to classes and their constructors, enums provide a way of instantiating them by using one of their constructors. However, unlike classes, enums provide multiple constructors which can easily be used through their name:

```
1 var a = Red;
2 var b = Green;
3 var c = Rgb(255, 255, 0);
```

In this code, the type of variables `a`, `b` and `c` is instance of enum `Color`. Variable `c` is initialized using the `Rgb` constructor with arguments.

All enum instances can be assigned to a special type named `EnumValue`.

Type: EnumValue

`EnumValue` is a special type which unifies with all enum instances. It is used by the standard library to provide some operations for all enum instances, and can be employed in user-code accordingly.

It is important to distinguish enum types and enum constructors, as this example demonstrates:

```
1 import Color;
2
3 class EnumUnification {
4     static public function main() {
5         var ec:EnumValue = Red; // valid
6         var en:Enum<Color> = Color; // valid
7         //var x:Enum<Color> = Red; // Color should be Enum<Color>
8     }
9 }
```

If line 7 is uncommented, the program does not compile because `Red` (an enum constructor) cannot be assigned to a variable of type `Enum<Color>` (an enum type). The relation is analogous to a class and its instance.

2.5 Anonymous Structure

Anonymous structures can be used to group data without explicitly creating a type. The following example creates a structure with two fields `x` and `name`, and initializes their values to 12 and "foo" respectively:

```
1 class Structure {
2     static public function main() {
3         var myStructure = { x: 12, name: "foo"};
4     }
5 }
```

The general syntactic rules follow:

1. A structure is enclosed in curly braces `{}` and
2. has a *comma-separated* list of
3. key-value-pairs, with a *double dot* separating the
4. key, which must be a valid haxe field-name, from the
5. value, which can be any valid expression.

Rule 5 implies that structures can be nested and complex, e.g.:

```
1 var user = {
2     name : "Nicolas",
3     age : 32,
4     pos : [{ x : 0, y : 0 }, { x : 1, y : -1 }],
5 };
```

Fields of structures, like classes, are accessed using a *dot* (`.`) like so:

```
1 user.name; // get value of name, which is "Nicolas"
2 user.age = 33; // set value of age to 33
```

It is worth noting that using anonymous structures does not subvert the typing system. The compiler ensures that only available fields are accessed, which means the following program does not compile:

```
1 class Test {
2     static public function main() {
3         var point = { x: 0.0, y: 12.0};
4         point.z; // { y : Float, x : Float } has no field z
5     }
6 }
```

The error message indicates that the compiler knows the type of `point`: It is a structure with fields `x` and `y` of type `Float`, so it has no field `z` and the access fails. The fact that type of `point` is known is courtesy of [Type Inference](#) ([section 3.4](#)), which thankfully saves us from using explicit types for local variables. However, if `point` was a field, explicit typing would be necessary:

```
1 class Path {
2     var start : { x : Int, y : Int };
3     var target : { x : Int, y : Int };
4     var current : { x : Int, y : Int };
5 }
```

To avoid this kind of redundant type declaration, especially for more complex structures, it is advised to use a [Typedef](#) ([section 3.1](#)):


```

1 typedef Point = { x : Int, y : Int }
2
3 class Path {
4     var start : Point;
5     var target : Point;
6     var current : Point;
7 }

```

2.5.1 JSON-Notation for Structure Values

It is also possible to use *JSON*-notation for structures by using *string literals* for the keys:

```

1 var point = { "x" : 1, "y" : -5 };

```

While any string literal is allowed, the field is only considered part of the type if it is a valid *haxe* identifier. Otherwise, *haxe* syntax does not allow expressing access to such a field, and [Reflection](#) (section 6.6) has to be employed through the use of `Reflect.field` and `Reflect.setField`.

2.5.2 Class Notation for Structure Types

When defining a structure type, *haxe* allows using the same syntax as described in [Class Fields](#) (section 4). The following [Typedef](#) (section 3.1) declares a `Point` type with variable fields `x` and `y` of type `Int`:

```

1 typedef Point = {
2     var x : Int;
3     var y : Int;
4 }

```

2.5.3 Optional Fields

TODO: I don't really know how these work yet.

2.5.4 Impact on Performance

Using structures and by extension [Structural Subtyping](#) (section 3.3.2) has no impact on performance when compiling to dynamic targets (section 2.2). However, on static targets (section 2.2) a dynamic lookup has to be performed, which is typically slower than a static field access.

2.6 Function

The function type, along with the [Monomorph](#) (section 2.9), is a type which is usually well-hidden from *haxe* users, yet present everywhere. We can make it surface by using `$type`, a special *haxe* identifier which outputs during compilation the type its expression has:

```

1 class FunctionType {
2     static public function main() {
3         $type(test); // i : Int -> s : String -> Bool
4         $type(test(1, "foo")); // Bool
5     }
6
7     static function test(i:Int, s:String):Bool {
8         return true;
9     }
10 }

```

There is a strong resemblance between the declaration of function `test` and the output of the first `$type-expression`, yet also a subtle difference:

- *Function arguments* are separated by the special arrow token `->` instead of commas, and
- the *function return type* appears at the end after another `->`.

In either notation, it is obvious that the function `test` accepts a first argument of type `Int`, a second argument of type `String` and returns a value of type `Bool`. If a call to this function is made, such as `test(1, "foo")` within the second `$type-expression`, the haxe typer checks if `1` can be assigned to `Int` and if `"foo"` can be assigned to `String`. The type of the call is then equal to the type of the value `test` returns, which is `Bool`.

2.6.1 Optional Arguments

Optional arguments are declared by prefixing an argument identifier with a question mark `?`:

```
1 class OptionalArguments {
2     static public function main() {
3         $type(test); // ?i : Int -> ?s : String -> String
4         trace(test()); // i: null, s: null
5         trace(test(1)); // i: 1, s: null
6         trace(test(1, "foo")); // i: 1, s: foo
7         trace(test("foo")); // i: null, s: foo
8     }
9
10    static function test(?i:Int, ?s:String):String {
11        return "i: " + i + ", s: " + s;
12    }
13 }
```

Function `test` has two optional arguments `i` of type `Int` and `s` of `String`. This is directly reflected in the function type, which line 3 outputs. This example program calls `test` four times and prints its return value.

1. the first call is made without any arguments
2. the second call is made with a singular argument `1`
3. the third call is made with two arguments `1` and `"foo"`
4. the fourth call is made with a singular argument `"foo"`

The output shows that optional arguments which are omitted from the call have a value of `null`. This implies that the type of these arguments must admit `null` as value, which raises the question of its [Nullability of Basic Types](#) (section 2.2). The haxe compiler ensures that optional basic type arguments are nullable by inferring their type as `Null<T>` when compiling to a static target (compare [section 2.2](#)).

While the first three calls are intuitive, the fourth one might come as a surprise: It is indeed allowed to skip optional arguments if the supplied value is assignable to a later argument.

2.6.2 Default values

Haxe allows default values for arguments by assigning a *constant value* to them:

```

1 class DefaultValues {
2     static public function main() {
3         $type(test); // ?i : Int -> ?s : String -> String
4         trace(test()); // i: 12, s: bar
5         trace(test(1)); // i: 1, s: bar
6         trace(test(1, "foo")); // i: 1, s: foo
7         trace(test("foo")); // i: 12, s: foo
8     }
9
10    static function test(i = 12, s = "bar") {
11        return "i: " + i + ", s: " + s;
12    }
13 }

```

This example is very similar to the one from [Optional Arguments \(section 2.6.1\)](#), with the only difference being that the values 12 and "bar" are assigned to the function arguments `i` and `s` respectively. The effect is that, instead of `null`, the default values are used should an argument be omitted from the call.

Default values in haxe are not part of the type and are not replaced at call-site (unless the function is inlined ([section 4.4.2](#))), which might be considered a more typical approach. On some targets, the compiler may still pass `null` for omitted argument values and generate code into the function which is similar to this:

```

1     static function test(i = 12, s = "bar") {
2         if (i == null) i = 12;
3         if (s == null) s = "bar";
4         return "i: " + i + ", s: " + s;
5     }

```

This should be considered in performance-critical code, where a solution without default values may sometimes be more viable.

2.7 Dynamic

While haxe has a static type system, this type system can effectively be turned off by using the `Dynamic` type. A *dynamic value* can be assigned to anything, and anything can be assigned to it. This has several drawbacks:

- The compiler can no longer type-check assignments, function calls and other constructs where specific types are expected.
- Certain optimizations, in particular when compiling to static targets, can no longer be employed.
- Some common errors, e.g. a typo in a field access, can not be caught at compile-time and likely cause an error at runtime.
- [Dead Code Elimination \(Section 7.6\)](#) cannot detect used fields if they are used through `Dynamic`.

It is very easy to come up with examples where the usage of `Dynamic` can cause problems at runtime. Consider compiling the following two lines to a static target:

```

1 var d:Dynamic = 1;
2 d.foo;

```

Trying to run a compiled program in the Flash Player yields an error `Property foo not found on Number` and there is no default value. Without `Dynamic`, this would have been detected at compile-time.

Trivia: Dynamic Inference before haxe 3

The haxe 3 compiler never infers a type to `Dynamic`, so users must be explicit about it. Previous haxe versions used to infer arrays of mixed types, e.g. `[1, true, "foo"]`, as `Array<Dynamic>`. We found that this behavior introduced too many type problems and thus removed it for haxe 3.

Use of `Dynamic` should be minimized as there are better options in many situations, but sometimes it is just practical to use it. Parts of the haxe [Reflection](#) (section 6.6) API use it, and it is sometimes the best option when dealing with custom data structures that are not known at compile-time.

`Dynamic` behaves in a special way when being unified (section 3.3) with a [Monomorph](#) (section 2.9), which is described in [Monomorphs](#) (section 3.3.3).

Trivia: Dynamic in the Standard Library

`Dynamic` was quite frequent in the haxe standard library before haxe 3. With the continuous improvements of the haxe type system, the occurrences of `Dynamic` were reduced over the releases leading to haxe 3.

2.7.1 Dynamic with Type Parameter

`Dynamic` is a special type because it allows explicit declaration with and without a type parameter (section 3.2). If such a type parameter is provided, the semantics described in [Dynamic](#) (section 4.4.3) are constrained to all fields being compatible with the parameter type:

```
1 var att : Dynamic<String> = xml.attributes;
2 att.name = "Nicolas"; // valid, value is a String
3 att.age = "26"; // dito (this documentation is quite old)
4 att.income = 0; // error, value is not a String
```

2.7.2 Implementing Dynamic

Classes can *implement* (section 2.3.3) `Dynamic` and `Dynamic<T>`, which enables arbitrary field access. In the former case, fields can have any type, in the latter they are constrained to be compatible with the parameter type:

```
1 class ImplementsDynamic implements Dynamic<String> {
2     public var present:Int;
3     public function new() {}
4 }
5
6 class Main {
7     static public function main() {
8         var c = new ImplementsDynamic();
9         c.present = 1; // valid, present is an existing field
10        c.stringField = "foo"; // valid, assigned value is a String
11        c.intField = 1; // error, Int should be String
12    }
13 }
```

Implementing `Dynamic` does not satisfy the requirements of other implemented interfaces. The expected fields still have to be implemented explicitly.

2.8 Abstract

An abstract type is a type which is, at runtime, actually a different type. It is a compile-time feature which defines types “over” concrete types in order to modify or augment their behavior:

```
1 abstract Abstract(Int) {  
2     inline public function new(i:Int) {  
3         this = i;  
4     }  
5 }
```

We can derive the following from this example:

- The keyword `abstract` denotes that we are declaring an abstract type.
- `Abstract` is the name of the abstract and could be anything conforming to the rules for type identifiers.
- Enclosed in parenthesis `()` is the *underlying type* `Int`.
- Enclosed in curly braces `{}` are the fields,
- which is a constructor function `new` accepting one argument `i` of type `Int`.

Definition: Underlying Type

The underlying type of an abstract is the type which is used to represent said abstract at runtime. It is usually a concrete (i.e. non-abstract) type, but could be another abstract type as well.

The syntax is reminiscent of classes and the semantics are indeed similar. In fact, everything in the “body” of an abstract (that is everything after the opening curly brace) is parsed as class fields. Furthermore, abstracts can be instantiated and used just like classes:

```
1 class MyAbstract {  
2     static public function main() {  
3         var a = new Abstract(12);  
4         trace(a);  
5     }  
6 }
```

As mentioned before, abstracts are a compile-time feature, so it is interesting to see what the above actually generates. A suitable target for this is Javascript, which tends to generate concise and clean code. Compiling the above (using `haxe -main MyAbstract -js myabstract.js`) shows this Javascript code:

```
1 var a = 12;  
2 console.log(a);
```

The abstract type `Abstract` completely disappeared from the output and all that is left is a value of its underlying type, `Int`. This is because the constructor of `Abstract` is inlined, something we shall learn about later in [section 4.4.2](#), and its inlined expression assigns a value to `this`. This might be shocking when thinking in terms of classes, but it is precisely what we want to express in the context of abstracts. Any *inlined member method* of an abstract can assign to `this`, and thus modify the “internal value”.

A good question at this point is what happens if a member function is not declared inline, because the code obviously has to go somewhere. Haxe creates a private class, known to be the *implementation class*, which has all the abstract member functions as static functions accepting an additional first argument `this` of the underlying type. While this technically is an implementation detail, it can be used for [Selective Functions](#) ([section 2.8.4](#)).

Trivia: Basic Types and abstracts

Before the advent of abstract types, all basic types were implemented as extern classes or enums. While this nicely took care of some aspects such as `Int` being a “child class” of `Float`, it caused issues elsewhere. For instance, with `Float` being an extern class, it would unify with the empty structure `{}`, making it impossible to constrain a type to accepting only real objects.

2.8.1 Implicit Casts

Unlike classes, abstracts allow defining implicit casts. There are two kinds of implicit casts:

Direct: Allows direct casting of the abstract type to or from another type. This is defined by adding `to` and `from` rules to the abstract type, and is only allowed for types which unify with the underlying type of the abstract.

Class field: Allows casting via calls to special cast functions. These functions are defined using `@:to` and `@:from` metadata. This kind of cast is allowed for all types.

The following code example shows an example of *direct* casting:

```
1 abstract MyAbstract(Int) from Int to Int {
2     inline function new(i:Int) {
3         this = i;
4     }
5 }
6
7 class ImplicitCastDirect {
8     static public function main() {
9         var a:MyAbstract = 12;
10        var b:Int = a;
11    }
12 }
```

We declare `MyAbstract` as being `from Int` and `to Int`, meaning it can be assigned from `Int` and assigned to `Int`. This is shown in lines 9 and 10, where we first assign the `Int 12` to variable `a` of type `MyAbstract` (this works due to the `from Int` declaration) and then that abstract back to variable `b` of type `Int` (this works due to the `to Int` declaration).

Class field casts have the same semantic, but are defined completely differently:

```
1 abstract MyAbstract(Int) {
2     inline function new(i:Int) {
3         this = i;
4     }
5
6     @:from static public function fromString(s:String) {
7         return new MyAbstract(Std.parseInt(s));
8     }
9
10    @:to public function toArray() {
11        return [this];
12    }
13 }
14
```

```

15 class ImplicitCastField {
16     static public function main() {
17         var a:MyAbstract = "3";
18         var b:Array<Int> = a;
19         trace(b); // [3]
20     }
21 }

```

By adding `@:from` to a static function, that function qualifies as implicit cast function from its argument type to the abstract. These functions must return a value of the abstract type. They must also be declared `static`.

Similarly, adding `@:to` to a function qualifies it as implicit cast function from the abstract to its return type. These functions are typically member-functions, but they can be made `static` and then serve as *selective function* (section 2.8.4).

In the example, the method `fromString` allows the assignment of value "3" to variable `a` of type `MyAbstract`, while the method `toArray` allows assigning that abstract to variable `b` of type `Array<Int>`.

When using this kind of cast, calls to that functions are inserted were required. This becomes obvious when looking at the Javascript output:

```

1 var a = _ImplicitCastField.MyAbstract_Impl_.fromString("3");
2 var b = _ImplicitCastField.MyAbstract_Impl_.toArray(a);

```

This can be further optimized by inlining (section 4.4.2) both cast functions, turning the output to this:

```

1 var a = Std.parseInt("3");
2 var b = [a];

```

The *selection algorithm* when assigning a type `A` to a type `B` with at least one of them being an abstract is simple:

1. If `A` is not an abstract, go to 3.
2. If `A` defines a *to*-conversions that admits `B`, go to 6.
3. If `B` is not an abstract, go to 5.
4. If `B` defines a *from*-conversions that admits `A`, go to 6.
5. Stop, unification fails.
6. Stop, unification succeeds.

By design, implicit casts are *not transitive*, as the following example shows:

```

1 abstract A(Int) {
2     public function new() this = 0;
3     @:to public function toB() return new B();
4 }
5
6 abstract B(Int) {
7     public function new() this = 0;
8     @:to public function toC() return new C();
9 }
10
11 abstract C(Int) {
12     public function new() this = 0;
13 }

```

```

14
15 class Main {
16     static public function main() {
17         var a = new A();
18         var b:B = a; // valid, uses A.toB
19         var c:C = b; // valid, uses B.toC
20         var c:C = a; // error, A should be C
21     }
22 }

```

While the individual casts from A to B and from B to C are allowed, a transitive cast from A to C is not. This is to avoid ambiguous cast-paths and retain a simple selection algorithm.

2.8.2 Operator Overloading

Abstracts allow overloading of unary and binary operators by adding the `@:op` metadata to class fields:

```

1 abstract MyAbstract(String) {
2     public inline function new(s:String) {
3         this = s;
4     }
5
6     @:op(A * B) public function repeat(rhs:Int):MyAbstract {
7         var s:StringBuf = new StringBuf();
8         for (i in 0...rhs)
9             s.add(this);
10        return new MyAbstract(s.toString());
11    }
12 }
13
14 class AbstractOperatorOverload {
15     static public function main() {
16         var a = new MyAbstract("foo");
17         trace(a * 3); // foofoofoo
18     }
19 }

```

By defining `@:op(A * B)`, the function `repeat` serves as operator method for the multiplication `*` operator when the type of the left value is `MyAbstract` and the type of the right value is `Int`. The usage is shown in line 17, which turns into this when compiled to Javascript:

```

1 console.log(_AbstractOperatorOverload.MyAbstract_Impl_.repeat(a, 3));

```

Similar to implicit casts with class fields ([section 2.8.1](#)), a call to the overload method is inserted where required.

Note that the example `repeat` function is not commutative: While `MyAbstract * Int` works, `Int * MyAbstract` does not. If this should be allowed as well, the `@:commutative` metadata can be added. If it should work *only* for `Int * MyAbstract`, but not for `MyAbstract * Int`, the overload method can be made static, accepting `Int` and `MyAbstract` as first and second type respectively.

Overloading unary operators is analogous:

```

1 abstract MyAbstract(String) {
2     public inline function new(s:String) {
3         this = s;
4     }

```



```

5
6     @:op(++A) public function pre() return "pre" + this;
7     @:op(A++) public function post() return this + "post";
8 }
9
10 class AbstractUnopOverload {
11     static public function main() {
12         var a = new MyAbstract("foo");
13         trace(++a); // prefoo
14         trace(a++); // foo post
15     }
16 }

```

Note that both binary and unary operator overloads can return any type.

It is also possible to omit the method body of a `@:op` function, but only if the underlying type of the abstract allows the operation in question and if the resulting type can be assigned back to the abstract.

2.8.3 Array Access

Array access describes the particular syntax traditionally used to access the value in an array at a certain offset. This is usually only allowed with arguments of type `Int`, but with abstracts it is possible to define custom array access methods. The `haxe` standard library ([section 6](#)) uses this in its `Map` ([Section 6.2.4](#)) type, where the following two methods can be found:

```

1 @:arrayAccess public inline function get(key:K) return this.get(key);
2 @:arrayAccess public inline function arrayWrite(k:K, v:V):V {
3     this.set(k, v);
4     return v;
5 }

```

There are two kinds of array access methods:

- If an `@:arrayAccess` method accepts one argument, it is a getter.
- If an `@:arrayAccess` method accepts two arguments, it is a setter.

The methods `get` and `arrayWrite` seen above then allow the following usage:

```

1 class ArrayAccessUsage {
2     public static function main() {
3         var map = new Map();
4         map["foo"] = 1;
5         trace(map["foo"]);
6     }
7 }

```

At this point it should not be surprising to see that calls to the array access fields are inserted in the output:

```

1 map.set("foo", 1);
2 1;
3 console.log(map.get("foo"));

```

2.8.4 Selective Functions

Since the compiler promotes abstract member functions to static functions, it is possible to define static functions by hand and use them on an abstract instance. The semantics here are similar to those of [Static Extension](#) ([section 7.2](#)), where the type of the first function argument determines for which types a function is defined:

```
1 abstract MyAbstract<T>(T) from T {
2     public function new(t:T) this = t;
3
4     function get() return this;
5
6     static public function getString(v:MyAbstract<String>):String {
7         return v.get();
8     }
9 }
10
11 class SelectiveFunction {
12     static public function main() {
13         var a = new MyAbstract("foo");
14         a.getString();
15         var b = new MyAbstract(1);
16         b.getString(); // Int should be MyAbstract<String>
17     }
18 }
```

The method `getString` of abstract `MyAbstract` is defined to accept a first argument of `MyAbstract<String>`. This causes it to be available on variable `a` on line 14 (because the type of `a` is `MyAbstract<String>`), but not on variable `b` whose type is `MyAbstract<Int>`.

Trivia: Accidental Feature

Selective functions were never actually designed, but rather discovered. After the idea was first mentioned, it required only minor adjustments in the compiler to make them work. Their discovery also led to the introduction of multi-type abstracts, such as `Map`.

2.9 Monomorph

A monomorph is a type which may, through [Unification](#) ([section 3.3](#)), morph into a different type later. We shall see details about this type when talking about [Type Inference](#) ([section 3.4](#)).

3 Type System

We learned about the different kinds of types in [Types](#) ([section 2](#)) and it is now time to see how they interact with each other. We start off easy by introducing [Typedef](#) ([section 3.1](#)), a mechanism to give a name (or alias) to a more complex type. Among other things, this will come in handy when working with types having [Type Parameters](#) ([section 3.2](#)).

A lot of type-safety is achieved by checking if two given types of above type groups are compatible. That is, the compiler tries to perform *unification* between them, as detailed in [Unification](#) ([section 3.3](#)).

All types are organized in *modules* and can be addressed through *paths*. [Modules and Paths](#) ([Section 3.5](#)) will give a detailed explanation of the related mechanics.

3.1 Typedef

We briefly looked at typedefs while talking about [Anonymous Structure \(section 2.5\)](#) and saw how we could shorten a complex structure type by giving it a name. This is precisely what typedefs are good for, and giving names to structure types might even be considered their primary use. In fact, it is so common that the distinction appears somewhat blurry and many Haxe users consider typedefs to actually *be* the structure.

A typedef can give a name to any other type:

```
1 typedef IA = Array<Int>;
```

This enables us to use `IA` in places where we would normally use `Array<Int>`. While this saves only a few keystrokes in this particular case, it can make a difference for more complex, compound types. Again, this is why typedef and structures seem so connected:

```
1 typedef User = {
2     var age : Int;
3     var name : String;
4 }
```

A typedef is not a textual replacement, but actually a real type. It can even have [Type Parameters \(section 3.2\)](#) as the `Iterable` type from the standard library demonstrates:

```
1 typedef Iterable<T> = {
2     function iterator() : Iterator<T>;
3 }
```

3.1.1 Extensions

Extensions are used to express that a structure has all the fields of a given type in addition to some more:

```
1 typedef IterableWithLength<T> = {
2     > Iterable<T>,
3     var length(default, null):Int; // read only property
4 }
5
6 class Extension {
7     static public function main() {
8         var array = [1, 2, 3];
9         var t:IterableWithLength<Int> = array;
10    }
11 }
```

The greater-than operator `>` denotes that an extension of `Iterable<T>` is being created, with the additional class fields following. In this case, a read-only [Property \(section 4.2\)](#) `length` of type `Int` is required.

In order to be compatible with `IterableWithLength<T>`, a type then must be compatible with `Iterable<T>` and also provide a read-only `length` property of type `Int`. The example assigns an [Array \(Section 6.2.1\)](#), which happens to fulfill these requirements.

There may only be a single extension on a structure, so extensions can be understood as an [Inheritance \(section 2.3.2\)](#) mechanism for structures.

3.2 Type Parameters

Haxe allows parametrization of a number of types, as well as class fields ([section 4](#)) and enum constructors ([section 2.4.1](#)). Type parameters are defined by enclosing comma-separated type parameter names in angle brackets `<>`. A simple example from the standard library is [Array \(Section 6.2.1\)](#):

```

1 class Array<T> {
2     function push(x : T) : Int;
3 }

```

Whenever an instance of `Array` is created, its type parameter `T` becomes a [Monomorph](#) (section 2.9). That is, it can be bound to any type, but only one at a time. This binding can happen

explicitly by invoking the constructor with explicit types (`new Array<String>()`) or

implicitly by [Type Inference](#) (section 3.4), e.g. when invoking `arrayInstance.push("foo")`.

Inside the definition of a class with type parameters, these type parameters are an unspecific type. Unless [Constraints](#) (section 3.2.1) are added, the compiler has to assume that the type parameters could be used with any type. As a consequence, it is not possible to access fields of type parameters or [cast](#) (section 5.21) to a type parameter type. It is also not possible to create a new instance of a type parameter type, unless the type parameter is [Generic](#) (section 3.2.3) and constrained accordingly.

The following table shows where type parameters are allowed:

Parameter on	Bound upon	Notes
Class	instantiation	Can also be bound upon member field access. Allowed for methods and named lvalue closures.
Enum	instantiation	
Enum Constructor	instantiation	
Function	invocation	
Structure	instantiation	

With function type parameters being bound upon invocation, such a type parameter (if unconstrained) accepts any type. However, only one type per invocation is accepted, which can be utilized if a function has multiple arguments:

```

1 class FunctionTypeParameter {
2     static public function main() {
3         equals(1, 1);
4         equals("foo", "bar"); // runtime message: bar should be foo
5         equals(1, "foo"); // compiler error: String should be Int
6     }
7
8     static function equals<T>(expected:T, actual:T) {
9         if (actual != expected) trace('$actual should be $expected');
10    }
11 }

```

Both arguments `expected` and `actual` of the `equals` function have type `T`. This implies that for each invocation of `equals`, the two arguments must be of the same type. The compiler admits the first call (both arguments being of `Int`) and the second call (both arguments being of `String`), but the third attempts causes a compiler error.

3.2.1 Constraints

Type parameters can be constrained to multiple types:

```

1 typedef Measurable = {
2     public var length(default, null):Int;
3 }
4
5 class Constraints {

```

```

6   static public function main() {
7       trace(test([]));
8       trace(test(["bar", "foo"]));
9       test("foo"); // String should be Iterable<String>
10  }
11
12  static function test<T:(Iterable<String>, Measurable)>(a:T) {
13      if (a.length == 0) return "empty";
14      return a.iterator().next();
15  }
16 }

```

Type parameter `T` of method `test` is constrained to the types `Iterable<String>` and `Measurable`. The latter is defined using a [Typedef](#) (section 3.1) for convenience and requires compatible types to have a read-only [Property](#) (section 4.2) named `length` of type `Int`. The constraints then say that a type is compatible if

- it is compatible with `Iterable<String>` and
- has a `length`-property of type `Int`.

We can see that invoking `test` with an empty array in line 7 and an `Array<String>` in line 8 works fine. This is because `Array` has both a `length`-property and an `iterator`-method. However, passing a `String` as argument in line 9 fails the constraint check, because `String` is not compatible with `Iterable<T>`.

3.2.2 Variance

3.2.3 Generic

3.3 Unification

Unification is the heart of the type system and contributes immensely to the robustness of haxe programs. It describes the process of checking if a type is compatible to another type.

Definition: Unification

Unification between two types `A` and `B` is a directional process which answers the question if `A` *can be assigned to* `B`. It may *mutate* either type if it is or has a [Monomorph](#) (section 2.9).

Unification errors are very easy to trigger:

```

1  class Main {
2      static public function main() {
3          var s:String = 1; // Int should be String
4      }
5  }

```

We try to assign a value of type `Int` to a variable of type `String`, which causes the compiler to try and *unify* `Int` with `String`. This is, of course, not allowed and makes the compiler emit the error `Int should be String`.

In this particular case, the unification is triggered by an *assignment*, a context in which the “is assignable to” definition is intuitive. It is one of several cases where unification is performed:

Assignment: If `a` is assigned to `b`, the type of `a` is unified with the type of `b`.

Function call: We have briefly seen this one while introducing the [Function](#) ([section 2.6](#)) type. In general, the compiler tries to unify the first given argument type with the first expected argument type, the second given argument type with the second expected argument type and so on until all argument types are handled.

Function return: Whenever a function has a `return e` expression, the type of `e` is unified with the function return type. If the function has no explicit return type, it is inferred to the type of `e` and subsequent `return`-expressions are inferred against it.

Array declaration: The compiler tries to find a minimal type between all given types in an array declaration. Refer to [Common Base Type](#) ([section 3.3.5](#)) for details.

Object declaration: If an object is declared “against” a given type, the compiler unifies each given field type with each expected field type.

Operator unification: Certain operators expect certain types which given types are unified against. For instance, the expression `a && b` unifies both `a` and `b` with `Bool` and the expression `a == b` unifies `a` with `b`.

3.3.1 Between Class/Interface

When defining unification behavior between classes, it is important to remember that unification is directional: We can assign a more specialized class (e.g. a child class) to a generic class (e.g. a base class), but the reverse is not valid.

The following assignments are allowed:

- child class to base class
- class to implementing interface
- interface to base interface

These rules are transitive, meaning that a child class can also be assigned to the base class of its base class, an interface its base class implements, the base interface of an implementing interface and so on.

3.3.2 Structural Subtyping

Definition: Structural Subtyping

Structural subtyping defines an implicit relation between types that have the same structure.

In Haxe, structural subtyping is only possible when assigning a class instance to a structure. The following example is part of the [Lambda](#) ([Section 6.5](#)) class of the Haxe standard library ([section 6](#)):

```
1 public static function empty<T>(it : Iterable<T>) : Bool {  
2     return !it.iterator().hasNext();  
3 }
```

The `empty`-method checks if an `Iterable` has an element. For this purpose, it is not necessary to know anything about the argument type other than the fact that it is considered an iterable. This allows calling the `empty`-method with any type that unifies with `Iterable<T>`, which applies to a lot of types in the Haxe Standard Library.

This kind of typing can be very convenient, but extensive use may be detrimental to performance on static targets, which is detailed in [section 2.5.4](#).

3.3.3 Monomorphs

Unification of types having or being a [Monomorph](#) (section 2.9) are detailed in [Type Inference](#) (section 3.4).

3.3.4 Function Return

Unification of function return types may involve the `Void`-type (section 2.1.3) and require a clear definition of what unifies with `Void`. With `Void` describing the absence of a type, it is not assignable to any other type, not even `Dynamic`. This means that if a function is explicitly declared as returning `Dynamic`, it must not return `Void`.

The opposite applies as well: If a function declares a return type of `Void`, it cannot return `Dynamic` or any other type. However, this direction of unification is allowed when assigning function types:

```
1 var func:Void->Void = function() return "foo";
```

The right-hand function clearly is of type `Void->String`, yet we can assign it to variable `func` of type `Void->Void`. This is because the compiler can safely assume that the return type is irrelevant, given that it could not be assigned to any non-`Void` type.

3.3.5 Common Base Type

Given a set of multiple types, a *common base type* is a type which all types of the set unify against:

```
1 class Base {
2     public function new() { }
3 }
4
5 class Child1 extends Base { }
6 class Child2 extends Base { }
7
8 class UnifyMin {
9     static public function main() {
10         var a = [new Child1(), new Child2()];
11         $type(a); // Array<Base>
12     }
13 }
```

Although `Base` is not mentioned, the haxe compiler manages to infer it as the common type of `Child1` and `Child2`. The haxe compiler employs this kind of unification in the following situations:

- array declarations
- if/else
- cases of a switch

3.4 Type Inference

The effects of type inference have been seen throughout this document and will continue to be important. A simple example shows type inference at work:

```
1 class TypeInference {
2     public static function main() {
3         var x = null;
4         $type(x); // Unknown<0>
5         x = "foo";
```

```

6     $type(x); // String
7 }
8 }

```

The special construct `$type` was previously mentioned in order to simplify the explanation of the [Function \(section 2.6\)](#) type, so let us introduce it officially now:

Construct: type

`type` is a compile-time mechanism being called like a function, with a single argument. The compiler evaluates the argument expression and then outputs the type of that expression.

In the example above, the first `$type` prints `Unknown<0>`. This is a [Monomorph \(section 2.9\)](#), a type that is not yet known. The next line `x = "foo"` assigns a `String` literal to `x`, which causes the [Unification \(section 3.3\)](#) of the monomorph with `String`. We then see that the type of `x` indeed has changed to `String`.

Whenever a type other than [Dynamic \(section 4.4.3\)](#) is unified with a monomorph, that monomorph becomes that type: it *morphs* into that type. Therefore it cannot morph into a different type afterwards, a property expressed in the *mono* part of its name.

Following the rules of unification, type inference can occur in compound types:

```

1 class TypeInference2 {
2     public static function main() {
3         var x = [];
4         $type(x); // Array<Unknown<0>>
5         x.push("foo");
6         $type(x); // Array<String>
7     }
8 }

```

Variable `x` is first initialized to an empty [Array \(section 6.2.1\)](#). At this point we can tell that the type of `x` is an array, but we do not yet know the type of the array elements. Consequentially, the type of `x` is `Array<Unknown<0>>`. It is only after pushing a `String` onto the array that we know the type to be `Array<String>`.

3.4.1 Limitations

Type inference saves a lot of manual type hints when working with local variables, but sometimes the type system still needs some help. In fact, it does not even try to infer the type of a variable ([section 4.1](#)) or property ([section 4.2](#)) field unless it has a direct initialization.

There are also some cases involving recursion where type inference has limitations. If a function calls itself recursively while its type is not (completely) known yet, type inference may infer a wrong, too specialized type.

3.5 Modules and Paths

Definition: Module

All haxe code is organized in modules, which are addressed using paths. In essence, each `.hx` file represents a module which may contain several types.

The distinction of a module and its containing type of the same name is blurry by design. In fact, addressing `haxe.ds.StringMap<Int>` can be considered shorthand for `haxe.ds.StringMap.StringMap<Int>`. The latter version consists of four parts:

1. the package `haxe.ds`
2. the module name `StringMap`
3. the type name `StringMap`
4. the type parameter `Int`

If the module and type name are equal, the duplicate can be removed, leading to the `haxe.ds.StringMap<Int>` short version. However, knowing about the extended version helps with understanding how [Module Sub-Types](#) (section 3.5.1) are addressed.

Paths can be shortened further by using an [Import](#) (section 3.5.2), which typically allows omitting the package part of a path. This may lead to usage of unqualified identifiers, for which understanding the [Resolution Order](#) (section 3.5.3) is required.

3.5.1 Module Sub-Types

A module sub-type is a type declared in a module with a different name than that module. This allows a single `.hx` file to contain multiple types, which can be accessed unqualified from within the module, and by using `package.Module.Type` from other modules:

```
1 var e:haxe.macro.Expr.ExprDef;
```

Here, the sub-type `ExprDef` within module `haxe.macro.Expr` is accessed. By default, module sub-types are publicly available, but their visibility can be constrained to their enclosing module by adding the `private` keyword:

```
1 private class C { ... }
2 private enum E { ... }
3 private typedef T { ... }
4 private abstract A { ... }
```

The accessibility can be controlled more fine-grained by using [Access Control](#) (section 7.9).

3.5.2 Import

3.5.3 Resolution Order

4 Class Fields

So far we have seen how types and haxe programs in general are structured. This section about class fields concludes the structural part and at the same time bridges to the behavioral part of haxe. This is because class fields are the place where [Expressions](#) (section 5) are at home.

There are three kinds of class fields:

Variable: A [Variable](#) (section 4.1) class field holds a value of a certain type, which can be read or written.

Property: A [Property](#) (section 4.2) class field defines a custom access behavior for something that, outside the class, looks like a variable field.

Method: A [Method](#) (section 4.3) is a function which can be called to execute code.

Strictly speaking, a variable could be considered to be a property with certain access modifiers. Indeed, the haxe compiler does not distinguish variables and properties during its typing phase, but they remain separated at syntax level.

Regarding terminology, a method is a (static or non-static) function belonging to a class. Other functions, such as a [Closure](#) (section 5.9) in expressions, are not considered methods.

4.1 Variable

We have already seen variable fields in several code examples of previous sections. Variable fields hold values, a characteristic which they share with most (but not all) properties:

```
1 class VariableField {
2     static var member:String = "bar";
3
4     public static function main() {
5         trace(member);
6         member = "foo";
7         trace(member);
8     }
9 }
```

We can learn from this that a variable

1. has a name (here: `member`),
2. has a type (here: `String`),
3. may have a constant initialization (here: `"bar"`) and
4. may have access modifiers ([section 4.4](#)) (here: `static`)

The example first prints the initialization value of `member`, then sets it to `"foo"` before printing its new value. The effect of access modifiers is shared by all three class field kinds and explained in a separate section.

It should be noted that the explicit type is not required if there is an initialization value. The compiler will infer ([section 3.4](#)) it in this case.

4.2 Property

4.3 Method

4.4 Access Modifier

4.4.1 Visibility

Fields are by default *private*, meaning that only the class and its sub-classes may access them. They can be made *public* by using the `public` access modifier, allowing access from anywhere.

```
1 class MyClass {
2     static public function available() {
3         unavailable();
4     }
5     static private function unavailable() { }
6 }
7
8 class Main {
9     static public function main() {
10         MyClass.available();
11         MyClass.unavailable(); // Cannot access private field unavailable
12     }
13 }
```

Access to field `available` of class `MyClass` is allowed from within `Main` is allowed because it is denoted as being `public`. However, while access to field `unavailable` is allowed from within class `MyClass`, it is not allowed from within class `Main` because it is `private` (explicitly, although this identifier is redundant here).

The example demonstrates visibility through *static* fields, but the rules for member fields are equivalent. The following example demonstrates visibility behavior for when [Inheritance](#) (section 2.3.2) is involved.

```
1 class Base {
2     public function new() { }
3     private function baseField() { }
4 }
5
6 class Child1 extends Base {
7     private function child1Field() { }
8 }
9
10 class Child2 extends Base {
11     public function child2Field() {
12         var child1 = new Child1();
13         child1.baseField();
14         child1.child1Field(); // Cannot access private field child1Field
15     }
16 }
17
18 class Main {
19     static public function main() { }
20 }
```

We can see that access to `child1.baseField()` is allowed from within `Child2` even though `child1` is of a different type, `Child1`. This is because the field is defined on their common ancestor class `Base`, contrary to field `child1Field` which can not be accessed from within `Child2`.

More fine-grained control of access can be achieved using [Access Control](#) (section 7.9).

Trivia: Protected

Haxe has no notion of a protected keyword known from Java, C++ and other object-oriented languages. However, its private behavior is equal to those language's protected behavior, so Haxe actually lacks their real private behavior.

4.4.2 Inline

The `inline` keyword allows function bodies to be directly inserted in place of calls to them. This can be a powerful optimization tool, but should be used judiciously as not all functions are good candidates for inline behavior. The following example demonstrates the basic usage:

```
1 class Main {
2     static inline function mid(s1:Int, s2:Int) {
3         return (s1 + s2) / 2;
4     }
5
6     static public function main() {
7         var a = 1;
8         var b = 2;
```

```

9     var c = mid(a, b);
10 }
11 }

```

The generated Javascript output reveals the effect of inline:

```

1 (function () { "use strict";
2 var Main = function() { }
3 Main.main = function() {
4     var a = 1;
5     var b = 2;
6     var c = (a + b) / 2;
7 }
8 Main.main();
9 }) ();

```

As evident, the function body `s1 + s2` of field `mid` was generated in place of the call to `add(a, b)`, with `s1` being replaced by `a` and `s2` being replaced by `b`. This avoids a function call which, depending on the target and frequency of occurrences, may yield noticeable performance improvements.

It is not always easy to judge if a function qualifies for being inline. Short functions that have no writing expressions (such as `a = assignment`) are usually a good choice, but even more complex functions can be candidates. However, in some cases inlining can actually be detrimental to performance, e.g. because the compiler has to create temporary variables for complex expressions.

4.4.3 Dynamic

Methods can be denoted with the `dynamic` keyword to make them (re-)bindable:

```

1 class Main {
2     static dynamic function test() {
3         return "original";
4     }
5
6     static public function main() {
7         trace(test()); // original
8         test = function() { return "new"; }
9         trace(test()); // new
10    }
11 }

```

The first call to `test()` invokes the original function which returns the `String` "original". In the next line, `test` is *assigned* a new function. This is precisely what `dynamic` allows: Function fields can be assigned a new function. As a result, the next invocation of `test()` returns the `String` "new".

Dynamic fields cannot be `inline` for obvious reasons: While inlining is done at compile-time, dynamic functions necessarily have to be resolved at runtime.

4.4.4 Override

4.5 Overriding Fields

5 Expressions

Expressions in haxe define what a program *does*. Most expressions are found in the body of a [Method](#) (section 4.3), where they are combined to express what that method should do. This section explains the different kinds of expressions.

5.1 Blocks

A block in haxe starts with an opening curly brace `{` and ends with a closing curly brace `}`. A block may contain several expressions, each of which is followed by a semicolon `;`. The general syntax is thus:

```
1 {  
2     expr1;  
3     expr2;  
4     ...  
5     exprN;  
6 }
```

The value and by extension the type of a block-expression is equal to the value and the type of the last sub-expression.

Blocks can contain local variables declared by `var`-expression ([section 5.10](#)), as well as local closures declared by `function`-expressions ([section 5.9](#)). These are available within the block and within sub-blocks, but not outside the block. Also, they are available only after their declaration. The following example uses `var`, but the same rules apply to `function` usage:

```
1 {  
2     a; // error, a is not declared yet  
3     var a = 1; // declare a  
4     a; // ok, a was declared  
5     {  
6         a; // ok, a is available in sub-blocks  
7     }  
8     a; // ok, a is still available after sub-blocks  
9 }  
10 a; // error, a is not available outside
```

At runtime, blocks are evaluated from top to bottom. Control flow (e.g. exceptions ([section 5.16](#)) or return-expressions ([section 5.17](#))) may leave a block before all expressions are evaluated.

5.2 Constants

The haxe syntax supports the following constants:

Int: An integer ([section 2.1.1](#)), such as `0`, `1`, `97121`, `-12`, `0xFF0000`.

Float: A floating point number ([section 2.1.1](#)), such as `0.0`, `1.`, `.3`, `-93.2`.

String: A string of characters ([section 6.1](#)), such as `"`, `"foo"`, `'`, `'bar'`.

true,false: A boolean ([section 2.1.2](#)) value.

null: The null value.

5.3 Binary Operators

5.4 Unary Operators

5.5 Array Declaration

Arrays are initialized by enclosing comma `,` separated values in brackets `[]`. A plain `[]` represents the empty array, whereas `[1, 2, 3]` initializes an array with three elements `1`, `2` and `3`.

The generated code may be less concise on platforms that do not support array initialization. Essentially, such initialization code then looks like this:

```

1 var a = new Array();
2 a.push(1);
3 a.push(2);
4 a.push(3);

```

This should be considered when deciding if a function should be inlined ([section 4.4.2](#)) as it may inline more code than visible in the syntax.

Advanced initialization techniques are described in [Array Comprehension \(section 7.5\)](#).

5.6 Object Declaration

Object declaration begins with an opening curly brace { after which `key:value`-pairs separated by comma , follow, and which ends in a closing curly brace }.

```

1 {
2     key1:value1,
3     key2:value2,
4     ...
5     keyN:valueN
6 }

```

Further details of object declaration are described in the section about anonymous structures ([section 2.5](#)).

5.7 Field Access

Field access is expressed by using the dot . followed by the name of the field.

```

1 object.fieldName

```

TODO: I don't know what else to say

5.8 Function Call

Functions calls consist of an arbitrary subject expression followed by an opening parenthesis (, a comma , separated list of expressions as arguments and a closing parenthesis).

```

1 subject(); // call with no arguments
2 subject(e1); // call with one argument
3 subject(e1, e2); // call with two arguments
4 subject(e1, ..., eN); // call with multiple arguments

```

5.9 Closure

5.10 var

5.11 new

5.12 for

Haxe does not support traditional for-loops known from C. Its `for` keyword expects an opening parenthesis (, then a variable identifier followed by the keyword `in` and an arbitrary expression used as iterating collection. After the closing parenthesis) follows an arbitrary loop body expression.

```

1 for (v in e1) e2;

```

The typer ensures that the type of `e1` can be iterated over, which is typically the case if it has an `iterator` method returning an `Iterator<T>`, or if it is an `Iterator<T>` itself.

Variable `v` is then available within loop body `e2` and holds the value of the individual elements of collection `e1`.

5.13 while

5.14 if

5.15 switch

5.16 try/catch

5.17 return

5.18 break

5.19 continue

5.20 throw

5.21 cast

6 Standard Library

Standard library

6.1 String

Type: String

A String is a sequence of characters.

6.2 Data Structures

6.2.1 Array

6.2.2 List

6.2.3 GenericStack

6.2.4 Map

6.2.5 Option

6.3 Regular Expressions

6.4 Math

6.5 Lambda

6.6 Reflection

6.7 Serialization

6.8 Json

6.9 Xml

6.10 Input/Output

6.11 Sys/sys

7 Miscellaneous Features

7.1 Conditional Compilation

Haxe allows conditional compilation by using `#if`, `#elseif` and `#else` and checking for *compiler flags*.

Definition: Compiler Flag

A compiler flag is a configurable value which may influence the compilation process. Such a flag can be set by invoking the command line with `-D key=value` or just `-D key`, in which case the value defaults to `"1"`. The compiler also sets several flags internally to pass information between different compilation steps.

This example demonstrates usage of conditional compilation:

```
1 class ConditionalCompilation {
2     public static function main() {
3         #if !debug
4             trace("ok");
5         #elseif (debug_level > 3)
6             trace(3);
7         #else
8             trace("debug level too low");
9         #end
10    }
11 }
```


Compiling this without any flags will leave only the `trace("ok");` line in the body of the `main` method. The other branches are discarded while parsing the file. As a consequence, these branches must still contain valid haxe syntax, but the code is not type-checked.

The conditions after `#if` and `#elseif` allow the following expressions:

- Any identifier is replaced by the value of the compiler flag by the same name. Note that `-D some-flag` from command line leads to the flags `some-flag` and `some_flag` to be defined.
- The values of `String`, `Int` and `Float` constants are used directly.
- The boolean operators `&&` (and), `||` (or) and `!` (not) work as expected.
- The operators `==`, `!=`, `>`, `>=`, `<`, `<=` can be used to compare values.
- Parentheses `()` can be used to group expressions as usual.

An exhaustive list of all built-in defines can be obtained by invoking the haxe compiler with the `--help-defines` argument.

7.2 Static Extension

Definition: Static Extension

A static extension allows pseudo-extending existing types without modifying their source. In Haxe this is achieved by declaring a static method with a first argument of the extending type and then bringing the defining class into context through `using`.

Static extensions can be a powerful tool which allows augmenting types without actually changing them. The following example demonstrates the usage:

```
1 using Main.IntExtender;
2
3 class IntExtender {
4     static public function triple(i:Int) {
5         return i * 3;
6     }
7 }
8
9 class Main {
10     static public function main() {
11         trace(12.triple());
12     }
13 }
```

Clearly, `Int` does not natively provide a `triple` method, yet this program compiles and outputs 36 as expected. This is because the call to `12.triple()` is transformed into `IntExtender.triple(12)`. There are three requirements for this:

1. Both the literal `12` and the first argument of `triple` are of type `Int`.
2. The class `IntExtender` is brought into context through `using Main.IntExtender`.
3. `Int` does not have a `triple` field by itself (if it had, that field would take priority over the static extension).

Static extensions are usually considered syntactic sugar and indeed they are, but it is worth noting that they can have a dramatic effect on code readability: Instead of nested calls in the form of `f1(f2(f3(f4(x))))`, chained calls in the form of `x.f4().f3().f2().f1()` can be used.

7.2.1 In the Haxe Standard Library

Several classes in the Haxe Standard Library are suitable for static extension usage. The next example shows the usage of `StringTools`:

```
1 using StringTools;
2
3 class Main {
4     static public function main() {
5         "adc".replace("d", "b");
6     }
7 }
```

While `String` does not have a `replace` functionality by itself, the `using StringTools` static extension provides one. As usual, the Javascript output nicely shows the transformation:

```
1 Main.main = function() {
2     StringTools.replace("adc", "d", "b");
3 }
```

The following classes from the Haxe Standard Library are designed to be used as static extensions:

StringTools: Provides extended functionality on strings, such as replacing or trimming.

Lambda: Provides functional methods on iterables.

haxe.EnumTools: Provides type information functionality on enums and their instances.

haxe.macro.Tools: Provides different extensions for working with macros.

Trivia: “using” using

Since the `using` keyword was added to the language, it has been common to talk about certain problems with “using using” or the effect of “using using”. This makes for awkward English in many cases, so the author of this manual decided to call the feature by what it actually is: Static extension.

7.3 Pattern Matching

7.3.1 Introduction

Haxe 3 comes with improved options for pattern matching. Here we will explore the syntax for different patterns using this data structure as running example:

```
1 enum Tree<T> {
2     Leaf(v:T);
3     Node(l:Tree<T>, r:Tree<T>);
4 }
```

Some pattern matcher basics include:

- Patterns will always be matched from top to bottom.
- The topmost pattern that matches the input value has its expression executed.
- A `_` pattern matches anything, so case `_:` is equal to `default:`

7.3.2 Enum matching

As with haxe 2, enums can be matched by their constructors in a natural way. With haxe 3 pattern matching, this match can now be “deep”:

```
1      var myTree = Node(Leaf("foo"), Node(Leaf("bar"), Leaf("foobar")));
2      var match = switch(myTree) {
3          // matches any Leaf
4          case Leaf(_): "0";
5          // matches any Node that has r = Leaf
6          case Node(_, Leaf(_)): "1";
7          // matches any Node that has r = another Node, which has l =
8              Leaf("bar")
9          case Node(_, Node(Leaf("bar"), _)): "2";
10         // matches anything
11         case _: "3";
12     }
13     trace(match); // 2
```

The pattern matcher will check each case from top to bottom and pick the first one that matches the input value. If you are not too familiar with pattern matching, the following manual interpretation of each case rule might help:

case Leaf(_): matching fails because myTree is a Node

case Node(_, Leaf(_)): matching fails because the right sub-tree of myTree is not a Leaf, but another Node

case Node(_, Node(Leaf("bar"), _)): matching succeeds

case _: this is not checked here because the previous line matched

7.3.3 Variable capture

It is possible to catch any value of a sub-pattern by matching it against an identifier:

```
1      var myTree = Node(Leaf("foo"), Node(Leaf("bar"), Leaf("foobar")));
2      var name = switch(myTree) {
3          case Leaf(s): s;
4          case Node(Leaf(s), _): s;
5          case _: "none";
6      }
7      trace(name); // foo
```

This would return one of the following:

- If myTree is a Leaf, its name is returned.
- If myTree is a Node whose left sub-tree is a Leaf, its name is returned (this will apply here, returning "foo").
- Otherwise "none" is returned.

It is also possible to use = to capture values which are further matched:

```

1      var node = switch(myTree) {
2          case Node(leafNode = Leaf("foo"), _): leafNode;
3          case x: x;
4      }
5      trace(node); // Leaf(foo)

```

Here, `leafNode` is bound to `Leaf("foo")` if the input matches that. In all other cases, `myTree` itself is returned: `case x` works similar to `case _` in that it matches anything, but with an identifier name like `x` it also binds the matched value to that variable.

7.3.4 Structure matching

It is now also possible to match against the fields of anonymous structures and instances:

```

1      var myStructure = { name: "haxe", rating: "awesome" };
2      var value = switch(myStructure) {
3          case { name: "haxe", rating: "poor" } : throw false;
4          case { rating: "awesome", name: n } : n;
5          case _: "no awesome language found";
6      }
7      trace(value); // haxe

```

Note that in the second case, we bind the matched `name` field to identifier `n` if `rating` matches `"awesome"`. Of course you could also put this structure into the `Tree` from the previous example and combine structure and enum matching.

A limitation with regards to class instances is that you cannot match against fields of their parent class.

7.3.5 Array matching

Arrays can be matched on fixed length:

```

1      var myArray = [1, 6];
2      var match = switch(myArray) {
3          case [2, _]: "0";
4          case [_, 6]: "1";
5          case []: "2";
6          case [_, _, _]: "3";
7          case _: "4";
8      }
9      trace(match); // 1

```

This will trace `1` because `array[1]` matches `6`, and `array[0]` is allowed to be anything.

7.3.6 Or patterns

The `|` operator can be used anywhere within patterns to describe multiple accepted patterns:

```

1      var match = switch(7) {
2          case 4 | 1: "0";
3          case 6 | 7: "1";
4          case _: "2";
5      }
6      trace(match); // 1

```

If there's a captured variable in an or-pattern, it must appear in both its sub-patterns.

7.3.7 Guards

It is also possible to further restrict patterns with the `case ... if(condition) :` syntax:

```
1 var myArray = [7, 6];
2 var s = switch(myArray) {
3     case [a, b] if (b > a):
4         b + ">" + a;
5     case [a, b]:
6         b + "<=" + a;
7     case _: "found something else";
8 }
9 trace(s); // 6<=7
```

Note how the first case has an additional guard condition `if (b > a)`. It will only be selected if that condition holds, otherwise matching continues with the next case.

7.3.8 Match on multiple values

Array syntax can also be used to match on multiple values:

```
1 var s = switch [1, false, "foo"] {
2     case [1, false, "bar"]: "0";
3     case [_, true, _]: "1";
4     case [_, false, _]: "2";
5 }
6 trace(s); // 2
```

This is quite similar to usual array matching, but there are differences:

- The number of elements is fixed, so patterns of different array length will not be accepted.
- It is not possible to capture the switch value in a variable, i.e. `case x` is not allowed (`case _` still is).

7.3.9 Exhaustiveness checks

The compiler ensures that you do not forget a possible case for non value-only switches:

```
1 switch(true) {
2     case false:
3 } // This match is not exhaustive, these patterns are not matched: true
```

The matched type `Bool` admits two values `true` and `false`, but only `false` is checked.

7.3.10 Useless pattern checks

In a similar fashion, the compiler detects patterns which will never match the input value:

```
1 switch(Leaf("foo")) {
2     case Leaf(_)
3     | Leaf("foo"): // This pattern is unused
4     case Node(l, r):
5     case _: // This pattern is unused
6 }
```

7.4 String Interpolation

With haxe 3 it is no longer necessary to manually concatenate parts of a string due to the introduction of *String Interpolation*. Special identifiers, denoted by the dollar sign \$ within a String enclosed by single-quote ' characters, are evaluated as if they were concatenated identifiers:

```
1 var x = 12;
2 trace('The value of x is $x'); // The value of x is 12
```

Furthermore, it is possible to include whole expressions in the string by using $\${expr}$, with `expr` being any valid haxe expression:

```
1 var x = 12;
2 trace('The sum of $x and 3 is ${x + 3}'); // The sum of x and 3 is 15
```

String interpolation is a compile-time feature and has no impact on the runtime. The above example is equivalent to manual concatenation, which is exactly what the compiler generates:

```
1 trace("The sum of " + x + " and 3 is " + (x + 3));
```

Of course the use of single-quote enclosed strings without any interpolation remains valid, but care has to be taken regarding the \$ character as it triggers interpolation. If an actual dollar-sign should be used in the string, \$\$ can be used.

Trivia: String Interpolation before haxe 3

String Interpolation has been a haxe feature since version 2.09. Back then, the macro `Std.format` had to be used, being both slower and less comfortable than the new string interpolation syntax.

7.5 Array Comprehension

7.6 Dead Code Elimination

Dead Code Elimination, or *DCE*, is a compiler feature which removes unused code from the output. After typing, the compiler evaluates the DCE entry-points (usually the main-method) and recursively determines which fields and types are used. Used fields are marked accordingly and unmarked fields are then removed from their classes.

DCE has three modes which are set when invoking the command line:

-dce std: Only classes in the haxe standard library are affected by DCE. This is the default setting on all targets but Javascript.

-dce no: No DCE is performed.

-dce full: All classes are affected by DCE. This is the default setting when targeting Javascript.

The DCE-algorithm works well with typed code, but may fail when [Dynamic \(Section 4.4.3\)](#) or [Reflection \(Section 6.6\)](#) is involved. This may require explicit marking of fields or classes as being used by attributing the following metadata:

@:keep: If used on a class, the class along with all fields is unaffected by DCE. If used on a field, that field is unaffected by DCE.

@:keepSub: If used on a class, it works like `@:keep` on the annotated class as well as all subclasses.

@:keepInit: Usually, a class which had all fields removed by DCE (or is empty to begin with) is removed from the output. By using this metadata, empty classes are kept.

The compiler automatically defines the flag `dce` with a value of either `"std"`, `"no"` or `"full"` depending on the active mode. This can be used in [Conditional Compilation](#) (section 7.1).

Trivia: DCE-rewrite

DCE was originally implemented in haxe 2.07. This implementation considered a function to be used when it was explicitly typed. The problem with that was that several features, most importantly interfaces, would cause all class fields to be typed in order to verify type-safety. This effectively subverted DCE completely, prompting the rewrite for haxe 2.10.

Trivia: DCE and try.haxe.org

DCE for the Javascript target saw vast improvements when the website <http://try.haxe.org> was published. Initial reception of the generated Javascript code was mixed, leading to a more fine-grained selection of which code to eliminate.

7.7 Function Bindings

Haxe 3 allows binding functions with partially applied arguments. Each function type can be considered to have a `bind` field, which can be called with the desired number of arguments in order to create a new function. This is demonstrated here:

```
1 class Bind {
2     static public function main() {
3         var map = new Map<Int,String>();
4         var f = map.set.bind(_, "12");
5         $type(map.set); // Int -> String -> Void
6         $type(f); // Int -> Void
7         f(1);
8         f(2);
9         f(3);
10        trace(map.toString()); // {1 => 12, 2 => 12, 3 => 12}
11    }
12 }
```

Line 4 binds the function `map.set` to a variable named `f`, and applies `12` as second argument. The underscore `_` is used to denote that this argument is not bound, which is shown by comparing the types of `map.set` and `f`: The bound `String` argument is effectively cut from the type, turning a `Int->String->Void` type into `Int->Void`.

A call to `f(1)` then actually invokes `map.set(1, "12")`, the calls to `f(2)` and `f(3)` are analogous. The last line proves that all three indices indeed are mapped to the value `"12"`.

The underscore `_` can be skipped for trailing arguments, so the the first argument could be bound through `map.set.bind(1)`, yielding a `String->Void` function that sets a new value for index `1` on invocation.

Trivia: Callback

Prior to haxe 3, haxe used to know a `callback`-keyword which could be called with a function argument followed by any number of binding arguments. The name originated from a common usage were a callback-function is created with the `this`-object being bound.

Callback would allow binding of arguments only from left to right as there was no support for the underscore `_`. The choice to use an underscore was controversial and several other suggestions were made, none of which were

considered superior. After all, the underscore `_` at least looks like it's saying "fill value in here", which nicely describes its semantics.

7.8 Metadata

7.8.1 Compiler Metadata

7.9 Access Control

7.10 Remoting

7.11 Runtime Type Information

7.12 Generic Type Parameters

7.12.1 Construction

7.13 Completion

7.13.1 Field Access

7.13.2 Call Arguments

7.13.3 Usage

7.13.4 Position

7.13.5 Metadata

8 Macros

Macros are without a doubt the most advanced feature in haxe. They are often perceived as dark magic that only a select few are capable of mastering, yet there is nothing magical (and certainly nothing dark) about them.

Definition: Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)

The AST is the result of *parsing* Haxe code into a typed structure. This structure is exposed to macros through the types defined in the file `haxe/macro/Expr.hx` of the Haxe standard library.

A basic macro is a *syntax-transformation*. It receives zero or more [Expressions](#) ([section 5](#)) and also returns an expression. If a macro is called, it effectively inserts code at the place it was called from. In that respect, it could be compared to a preprocessor like `#define` in C++, but a haxe macro is not a textual replacement tool.

We can identify different kinds of macros, which are run at specific compilation stages:

Initialization Macros: These are provided by command line using the `--macro` compiler parameter. They are executed after the compiler arguments were processed and the *typer context* has been created, but before any typing was done (see [Compiler Configuration](#) ([section 8.7](#))).

Build Macros: These are defined for classes, enums and abstracts through the `@:build` or `@:autoBuild` metadata. They are executed per-type, after the type has been set up (including its relation to other types, such as inheritance for classes) but before its fields are typed (see [Type Building](#) ([section 8.5](#))).

Expression Macros: These are normal functions which are executed as soon as they are typed.

8.1 Macro Context

Definition: Macro Context

The macro context is the environment in which the macro is executed. Depending on the macro type, it can be considered to be a class being built or a function being typed. Contextual information can be obtained through the `haxe.macro.Context` API.

Haxe macros have access to different contextual information depending on the macro type. Other than querying such information, the context also allows some modifications such as defining a new type or registering certain callbacks. It is important to understand that not all information is available for all macro kinds, as the following examples demonstrate:

- Initialization macros will find that the `Context.getLocal*()` methods return `null`. There is no local type or method in the context of an initialization macro.
- Only build macros get a proper return value from `Context.getBuildFields()`. There are no fields being built for the other macro kinds.
- Build macros have a local type (if incomplete), but no local method, so `Context.getLocalMethod()` returns `null`.

The context API is complemented by the `haxe.macro.Compiler` API detailed in [Compiler Configuration \(section 8.7\)](#). While this API is available to all macro kinds, care has to be taken for any modification outside of initialization macros. This stems from the natural limitation of undefined build order (see [8.6.3](#)), which could cause e.g. a flag definition through `Compiler.define()` to take effect before or after a conditional compilation ([7.1](#)) check against that flag.

8.2 Arguments

8.2.1 ExprOf

8.2.2 Constant Expressions

8.2.3 Rest Argument

8.3 Reification

The Haxe Compiler allows *reification* of expressions, types and classes to simplify working with macros. The syntax for reification is `macro expr`, where `expr` is any valid haxe expression.

8.3.1 Expression Reification

Expression reification is used to create instances of `haxe.macro.Expr` in a convenient way. The Haxe Compiler accepts the usual Haxe syntax and translates it to an expression object. It supports several escaping mechanisms, all of which are triggered by the `$` character:

`${} and $e{}:` `Expr -> Expr` This can be used to compose expressions. The expression within the delimiting `{ }` is executed, with its value being used in place.

`$a{}:` `Expr -> Array<Expr>` If used in a place where an `Array<Expr>` is expected (e.g. call arguments, block elements), `$a{}` treats its value as that array. Otherwise it generates an array declaration.

`$b{}:` `Array<Expr> -> Expr` Generates a block expression from the given expression array.

`$i{}:` `String -> Expr` Generates an identifier from the given string.

\$p{}: `Array<String> -> Expr` Generates a field expression from the given string array.

\$v{}: `Dynamic -> Expr` Generates an expression depending on the type of its argument. This is only guaranteed to work for [Basic Types](#) (section 2.1) and [Enum Instance](#) (section 2.4).

8.3.2 Type Reification

8.3.3 Class Reification

8.4 Tools

8.5 Type Building

8.6 Limitations

8.6.1 Macro-in-Macro

8.6.2 Using

8.6.3 Build Order

8.6.4 Type Parameters

8.7 Compiler Configuration