

Dataflow Analysis

Recall the simple basic-block optimizations

- Constant propagation
- Dead code elimination

```
X := 3
Y := Z + W
Q := X + Y
```

to

```
X := 3
Y := Z + W
Q := 3 + Y
```

to (if X is not used anywhere else)

```
Y := Z + W
Q := 3 + Y
```

These optimizations can be extended to an entire control-flow graph (CFG).

```
BB1:
X := 3
B > 0 then goto BB2
      else goto BB3
```

```
BB2:
Y := Z + W
```

```
BB3:
Y := 0
```

```
BB4:
A := 2 * X
```

Here we can substitute X with 3 in BB4.

Another example

```
BB1:
X := 3
B > 0 then goto BB2
      else goto BB3
```

```
BB2:
Y := Z + W
X := 4
```

```
BB3:
Y := 0
```

```
BB4:
A := 2 * X
```

Now we cannot replace X with 3 in BB4 even though X is only assigned constants.

How do we know if it is OK to globally propagate constants?

To replace a use of x by a constant k , we must know: *On every path to the use of x , the last assignment to x is $x := k$.*

Let's look at the first example. Indeed this condition is satisfied for $X:=3$ at BB4.

Let's look at the second example. This condition is *not* satisfied for X at BB4.

The correctness condition is not trivial to check. "All paths" includes paths around loops and through branches of conditionals.

Checking the condition requires *global dataflow analysis*, i.e., an analysis of the entire CFG.

In general, global optimization tasks share several traits:

- The optimization depends on knowing a property X at a particular point in program execution.
- Proving X at any point requires knowledge of the entire program.
- It is OK to be conservative. If the optimization requires X to be true, then want to know either:
 - X is definitely true.
 - Don't know if X is true.
 - It is always safe to say "don't know".

Global dataflow analysis is a standard technique for solving problems with these characteristics. Global constant propagation is an example of such a problem.