# Lecture 02 (Formalizing Definitions)

# 1 Recap

Saying that AES, SHA etc are building blocks doesn't make sense since it isn't a computational problem but a function

# 2 Off Topic

### 2.1 When does Computational Problem become Suitable for Crypto?

Confidence over time - no solution for long

### 2.2 When does a Computational Problem become NP-Complete?

- 1. Find reduction from problem to a well known problem
- 2. It is difficult to compare difficulty of different problems

# 3 Attempt to Formalize Definition

Adversary should know encryption and decryption function to strengthen the definition

# 3.1 Adversary should not be able to learn message (given cipher text)

1.  $\forall$ adversary:

$$\Pr_{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{D}}[A(enc(m, k)) = m] \le \frac{1}{|m|}$$

doesn't capture language-ness

2.  $\forall$ adversary :  $\forall$ distribution D over M

$$\underset{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}}{P}[A(enc(m, k)) = m] \le \min_{x \in M} \underset{m \in D}{P}[m = x]$$

min does not work since some message might have 0 probability or something

3.  $\forall$ adversary :  $\forall$ distribution D over M

$$\underset{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}}{P}[A(enc(m,k)) = m] \leq \underset{x \in M}{\max} \underset{m \in D}{P}[m = x]$$

# 3.2 Adversary should not be able to learn any predicate on message (given cipher text)

 $\forall$ adversary :  $\forall$ distribution D over M :

$$\forall \text{predicate } \phi: \underset{k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in \mathbb{M}}{P}[A(enc(m,k)) = \phi(m)] \leq \underset{b \in \phi(M)}{\max} \underset{m \in D}{P}[b = \phi(m)]$$

# 3.3 Probability Distribution of Message doesn't Change even after seeing Encryption

 $\forall$  distribution  $D: \forall x \in M: \forall c \in C$ 

$$\underset{m \in D}{P}[m = x] = \underset{m \in D, k \in K}{P}[m = x | enc(m, k) = c]$$

Example: see Shannon OTP

### 3.4 Perfect Indistinguishability

$$\forall m_0, m_1 \in M, c \in C : \underset{k \in K}{P}[enc(m_0, k) = c] = \underset{k \in K}{P}[enc(m_1, k) = c]$$

### 4 Shannon One Time Pad

$$M = K = C = \{0, 1\}^n$$
$$enc(m, k) = m \oplus k$$
$$dec(c, k) = c \oplus k$$

### 4.1 Does it Satisfy Definition 3?

D = uniform over M

$$\begin{split} \underset{m \in D}{P}[m = x] &= \frac{1}{|M|} \\ \forall c \in C: \underset{m \in D, k \in K}{P}[m = x | m \oplus k = c] &= \frac{1}{|M|} \end{split}$$

Yes, it satisfies definition!

# 4.2 Does it Satisfy Definition 4?

$$\underset{k \in K}{P}[enc(m,k) = c] = \frac{1}{|K|}$$

Yes!

### 5 Exercise - for a coffee

Compare the first three definitions and show equivalence or strength of definitions