

COL872

Problem Set 4

Mallika Prabhakar (2019CS50440)
Sayam Sethi (2019CS10399)
Satwik Jain (2019CS10398)

April 2023

Contents

1	Question 1	2
1.1	Question 1.1	2
1.2	Question 1.2	2
1.3	Question 1.3	3
2	Question 2	4
2.1	Question 2.1	4
2.2	Question 2.2	4
3	Question 3	5
3.1	Question 3.1	5
3.2	Question 3.2	5
3.3	Question 3.3	6
3.4	Question 3.4	6
4	Question 4	7
4.1	Question 4	7
5	Question 5	8
5.1	Question 5.1	8
5.2	Question 5.2	8
5.3	Question 5.3	8

1 Question 1

1.1 Question 1.1

Question 1.1

Question. Give an example of two projective measurements \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} that are non-commutative

Proof. Let us consider $s, t = 2$ and the projective measurements be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= \left\{ \mathbf{P}_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{P}_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ \mathcal{Q} &= \left\{ \mathbf{Q}_0 = |+\rangle\langle +| = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{Q}_1 = |-\rangle\langle -| = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Let us apply the projective methods in both orders: i.e. $\mathbf{Q}_0\mathbf{P}_0$ and $\mathbf{P}_0\mathbf{Q}_0$ to the qubit $|0\rangle$, which is the probability of getting 00 on $|0\rangle$

$\mathbf{Q}_0\mathbf{P}_0|0\rangle = |+\rangle$ with probability $= \frac{1}{2}$

$$\mathbf{Q}_0\mathbf{P}_0|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|+\rangle\tag{2}$$

$\mathbf{P}_0\mathbf{Q}_0|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ with probability $= \frac{1}{4}$

$$\mathbf{P}_0\mathbf{Q}_0|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle\tag{3}$$

Since both the residual states and respective probabilities of seeing 00 after both measurements are different, the two are NOT commutative

□

1.2 Question 1.2

Question 1.2: Show that the projective measurements $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{P}_i\}_{i \in [s]}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathbf{Q}_i\}_{i \in [t]}$ are commutative.

Question. question

Proof. proof

□

1.3 Question 1.3

Question 1.3: Is this the only case in which projective measurements are commutative? Prove or disprove.

Question. *question*

Proof. proof



2 Question 2

2.1 Question 2.1

Question 2: Collapsing hash from claw-free functions

Question. Show that $\{H_k \equiv f_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a collapsing hash function.

Proof. We will Begin the proof by stating that the claw free function is a post-quantum CRHF. This can be said because For two bit-strings (x_0, x_1) in the domain of claw-free function, there can be two cases:

1. x_0 and x_1 have the same first bit. In this case no collision is possible between x_0 and x_1 as the claw-free function $f_k(b, \cdot)$ is injective $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}, b \in \{0, 1\}$
2. x_0 and x_1 have different first bit. In this case as well there is no collision possible due to the security definition of claw free function.

□

2.2 Question 2.2

Question 2: Collapsing hash from claw-free functions

Question. Construct a hash function family $\mathcal{H}' = \{\mathcal{H}'_k : \{0, 1\}^{n+2} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n\}$ using \mathcal{F} , and prove that \mathcal{H}' is collapsing, assuming \mathcal{F} is a claw-free function family.

Proof. proof

□

3 Question 3

3.1 Question 3.1

Question 3: Quantum Proof of Knowledge for Blum's protocol

Question. Show that $p'_{Ext} \geq \text{poly}(\epsilon)$.

Proof. proof □

3.2 Question 3.2

Question x: description

Question. Let $|\psi\rangle$ be a pure state, and $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$ any projective measurement such that $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{P} \cdot |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = 1 - \epsilon$. Let $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ and ρ' the post-measurement state, conditioned on measurement output being 0. Show a bound on $\|\rho - \rho'\|_{tr}$ in terms of ϵ .

Proof. The post-measurement state and ρ' can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi'\rangle &= \frac{\mathbf{P}|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{P} \cdot |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)}} = \frac{\mathbf{P}|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon}} \\ \rho' &= |\psi'\rangle\langle\psi'| = \frac{\mathbf{P}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\mathbf{P}^\dagger}{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{P} \cdot |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\rho\mathbf{P}}{1 - \epsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Note that the post-measurement state ρ' is also a pure state. We now state and prove the following claim,

Claim 3.1. For any two pure states, $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$, we have

$$\| |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \|_{tr} = \sqrt{1 - |\langle\psi|\phi\rangle|^2} \quad (5)$$

Proof. Since $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ are pure states, we can represent $|\phi\rangle$ as a rotation of $|\psi\rangle$ by some angle θ . Therefore, we can write $\rho_\psi - \rho_\phi$ as,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| &= |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - \left((\cos\theta|\psi\rangle + \sin\theta|\psi^\perp\rangle)(\cos\theta\langle\psi| + \sin\theta\langle\psi^\perp|) \right) \\ &= (1 - \cos^2\theta)|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| - \sin\theta\cos\theta(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi^\perp| + |\psi^\perp\rangle\langle\psi|) - \sin^2\theta|\psi^\perp\rangle\langle\psi^\perp| \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now, if we represent this matrix in the $|\psi\rangle, |\psi^\perp\rangle$ basis, we get the eigenvalues as $\sin\theta$ and $-\sin\theta$. Therefore, the trace norm will be,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_\psi - \rho_\phi\|_{tr} &= \sum_i \|\lambda_i\|, \text{ (trace norm is sum of absolute values of eigenvalues)} \\ &= 2|\sin\theta| = 2\sqrt{1 - \cos^2\theta} \\ &= 2\sqrt{1 - |\langle\phi|\psi\rangle|^2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Hence, we have proven the result of the claim. □

Now, since we started with a pure state $|\psi\rangle$, the post-measurement state will also be a pure state (conditioned on the output). Therefore, we get the trace distance as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho - \rho'\|_{tr} &= 2\sqrt{1 - |\langle\psi|\psi'\rangle|^2} \\
&= 2\sqrt{1 - \left| \text{Tr} \left(\langle\psi| \frac{\mathbf{P}|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon}} \right) \right|^2} \\
&= 2\sqrt{1 - \left| \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon}} \right) \right|^2} \\
&= 2\sqrt{1 - (\sqrt{1-\epsilon})^2} \\
&= 2\sqrt{\epsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

□

3.3 Question 3.3

Question x: description

Question. *question*

Proof. proof

□

3.4 Question 3.4

Question x: description

Question. *question*

Proof. proof

□

4 Question 4

4.1 Question 4

Question x: description

Question. *question*

Proof. proof



5 Question 5

5.1 Question 5.1

Question x: description	
Question. <i>question</i>	
<i>Proof.</i> proof	<input type="checkbox"/>

5.2 Question 5.2

Question x: description	
Question. <i>question</i>	
<i>Proof.</i> proof	<input type="checkbox"/>

5.3 Question 5.3

Question x: description	
Question. <i>question</i>	
<i>Proof.</i> proof	<input type="checkbox"/>