

# Avoid Misuse of Contracts!

Rostislav (Slava) Khlebnikov

Bloomberg LP

CppCon 2019

# Outline

- Quick review
  - Terminology
  - Design by Contract (DbC)
  - Defensive Programming (DP)
- Misuse scenarios
  - Control flow
  - Side effects
  - Input validation
  - Additional thoughts
- Conclusion

# Terminology

# Terminology

```
template <class InputIt, class Distance>
void advance(InputIt& iterator, Distance n);
    // Increment the specified iterator by n elements. The behavior is
    // undefined if '0 > n' unless 'InputIt' meets the requirements of
    // 'LegacyBidirectionalIterator', and if the specified sequence of
    // increments or decrements would require that a non-incrementable iterator
    // (such as the past-the-end iterator) is incremented, or that a
    // non-decrementable iterator (such as the front iterator or the singular
    // iterator) is decremented. Note that this function has constant
    // complexity if 'InputIt' meets the requirements of
    // 'LegacyRandomAccessIterator', and linear complexity with respect to 'n'
    // otherwise.
```

# Terminology: Precondition

```
template <class InputIt, class Distance>
void advance(InputIt& iterator, Distance n);
    // Increment the specified iterator by n elements. The behavior is
    // undefined if '0 > n' unless 'InputIt' meets the requirements of
    // 'LegacyBidirectionalIterator', and if the specified sequence of
    // increments or decrements would require that a non-incrementable iterator
    // (such as the past-the-end iterator) is incremented, or that a
    // non-decrementable iterator (such as the front iterator or the singular
    // iterator) is decremented. Note that this function has constant
    // complexity if 'InputIt' meets the requirements of
    // 'LegacyRandomAccessIterator', and linear complexity with respect to 'n'
    // otherwise.
```

# Terminology: Postcondition

```
template <class InputIt, class Distance>
void advance(InputIt& iterator, Distance n);
    // Increment the specified iterator by n elements. The behavior is
    // undefined if ' $0 > n$ ' unless 'InputIt' meets the requirements of
    // 'LegacyBidirectionalIterator', and if the specified sequence of
    // increments or decrements would require that a non-incrementable iterator
    // (such as the past-the-end iterator) is incremented, or that a
    // non-decrementable iterator (such as the front iterator or the singular
    // iterator) is decremented. Note that this function has constant
    // complexity if 'InputIt' meets the requirements of
    // 'LegacyRandomAccessIterator', and linear complexity with respect to 'n'
    // otherwise.
```

# Terminology: Essential Behavior

```
template <class InputIt, class Distance>
void advance(InputIt& iterator, Distance n);
    // Increment the specified iterator by n elements. The behavior is
    // undefined if ' $0 > n$ ' unless 'InputIt' meets the requirements of
    // 'LegacyBidirectionalIterator', and if the specified sequence of
    // increments or decrements would require that a non-incrementable iterator
    // (such as the past-the-end iterator) is incremented, or that a
    // non-decrementable iterator (such as the front iterator or the singular
    // iterator) is decremented. Note that this function has constant
    // complexity if 'InputIt' meets the requirements of
    // 'LegacyRandomAccessIterator', and linear complexity with respect to 'n'
    // otherwise.
```

# Terminology: Narrow and Wide Contracts



# Terminology: Narrow and Wide Contracts

- If a function has preconditions, it has a *narrow contract*
  - `std::vector::operator[]()`
  - `std::vector::front()`

# Terminology: Narrow and Wide Contracts

- If a function has preconditions, it has a *narrow contract*
  - `std::vector::operator[]()`
  - `std::vector::front()`
- If a function has **no** preconditions, it has a *wide contract*
  - `std::vector::push_back()`
  - `std::vector::size()`

# Design by Contract

# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

- What to do if somebody passes us a negative value?

# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

- What to do if somebody passes us a negative value?
  - a. Throw an exception?

# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

- What to do if somebody passes us a negative value?
  - a. Throw an exception?
  - b. Return 0?

# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

- What to do if somebody passes us a negative value?
  - a. Throw an exception?
  - b. Return 0?
  - c. Return NaN?



# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

- What to do if somebody passes us a negative value?
  - a. Throw an exception?
  - b. Return 0?
  - c. Return NaN?
  - d. Change the return type?

# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

- What to do if somebody passes us a negative value?
  - a. Throw an exception?
  - b. Return 0?
  - c. Return NaN?
  - d. Change the return type?
    - optional<double>

# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

- What to do if somebody passes us a negative value?
  - a. Throw an exception?
  - b. Return 0?
  - c. Return NaN?
  - d. Change the return type?
    - `optional<double>`
    - `expected<double, SqrtError>`

# Design by Contract

```
double sqrt(double value);
```

- What to do if somebody passes us a negative value?
  - a. Throw an exception?
  - b. Return 0?
  - c. Return NaN?
  - d. Change the return type?
    - optional<double>
    - expected<double, SqrtError>
  - e. Something else?

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (1/2)

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (1/2)

- Feasibility

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (1/2)

- Feasibility
  - Some preconditions very hard or impossible to check
    - E.g., that comparator defines a strict weak ordering for `std::sort`

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (1/2)

- Feasibility
  - Some preconditions very hard or impossible to check
    - E.g., that comparator defines a strict weak ordering for `std::sort`
- Efficiency



# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (1/2)

- Feasibility
  - Some preconditions very hard or impossible to check
    - E.g., that comparator defines a strict weak ordering for `std::sort`
- Efficiency
  - Some preconditions break our algorithmic complexity guarantees
    - E.g., the range is sorted for `std::lower_bound`

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (1/2)

- Feasibility
  - Some preconditions very hard or impossible to check
    - E.g., that comparator defines a strict weak ordering for `std::sort`
- Efficiency
  - Some preconditions break our algorithmic complexity guarantees
    - E.g., the range is sorted for `std::lower_bound`
  - Even if they don't it might be wasted effort

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (1/2)

- Feasibility

- Some preconditions very hard or impossible to check
  - E.g., that comparator defines a strict weak ordering for `std::sort`

- Efficiency

- Some preconditions break our algorithmic complexity guarantees
  - E.g., the range is sorted for `std::lower_bound`
- Even if they don't it might be wasted effort
- Checks cannot be elided ***ever***

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (1/2)

- Feasibility

- Some preconditions very hard or impossible to check
  - E.g., that comparator defines a strict weak ordering for `std::sort`

- Efficiency

- Some preconditions break our algorithmic complexity guarantees
  - E.g., the range is sorted for `std::lower_bound`
- Even if they don't it might be wasted effort
- Checks cannot be elided ***ever***
- We pay the cost of checking upon ***every*** call

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (2/2)

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (2/2)

- Reliability

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (2/2)

- Reliability
  - Artificially wide contracts tend to *mask* defects

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (2/2)

- Reliability
  - Artificially wide contracts tend to *mask* defects
- Maintainability



# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (2/2)

- Reliability
  - Artificially wide contracts tend to *mask* defects
- Maintainability
  - Both our code and our caller's code is more complex

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (2/2)

- Reliability
  - Artificially wide contracts tend to *mask* defects
- Maintainability
  - Both our code and our caller's code is more complex
  - Additional testing is also required!

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (2/2)

- Reliability
  - Artificially wide contracts tend to *mask* defects
- Maintainability
  - Both our code and our caller's code is more complex
  - Additional testing is also required!
- Extensibility

# Drawbacks of Artificially Wide Contracts (2/2)

- Reliability
  - Artificially wide contracts tend to *mask* defects
- Maintainability
  - Both our code and our caller's code is more complex
  - Additional testing is also required!
- Extensibility
  - Once the decision was made, we can't turn back (easily)

# Design by Contract

- Leave the behavior undefined!

# Design by Contract

- Leave the behavior undefined!

```
double sqrt(double value);  
    // Return a square root of the specified 'value'.  The behavior is  
    // undefined unless '0 <= value'.
```

# Design by Contract

- Leave the behavior undefined!

```
double sqrt(double value);  
    // Return a square root of the specified 'value'.  The behavior is  
    // undefined unless '0 <= value'.
```

- Design by contract: “If you give me valid input, I will behave as advertised; otherwise all bets are off!”
  - *John Lakos “Defensive Programming Done Right”, CppCon 2014*

# Defensive Programming



# Library vs Language Undefined Behavior

- What happens ***when*** a function is called out-of-contract?

# Library vs Language Undefined Behavior

- What happens **when** a function is called out-of-contract?

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
{
    size_t count = 0;
    while (str[count])
        ++count;
    return count;
}
```

# Library vs Language Undefined Behavior

- What happens **when** a function is called out-of-contract?

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
{
    size_t count = 0;
    while (str[count])
        ++count;
    return count;
}
```



**Language** UB might be here

# Library vs Language Undefined Behavior

- What happens ***when*** a function is called out-of-contract?

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
{
    size_t count = 0;
    while (str[count])
        ++count;
    return count;
}
```

**Library** (but not language) UB  
might have occurred here

**Language** UB might be here

# Defensive checks

# Defensive checks

- Undefined behavior is **undefined!**
  - We can do whatever we want if preconditions are violated!

# Defensive checks

- Undefined behavior is **undefined!**
  - We can do whatever we want if preconditions are violated!
- We choose to help by checking preconditions

# Defensive checks

- Undefined behavior is **undefined!**
  - We can do whatever we want if preconditions are violated!
- We choose to help by checking preconditions
- Do we have to ***always*** check?



# Defensive checks

- Undefined behavior is **undefined!**
  - We can do whatever we want if preconditions are violated!
- We choose to help by checking preconditions
- Do we have to ***always*** check?
- Do we have to check ***everything***?

# Defensive checks

- Undefined behavior is **undefined!**
  - We can do whatever we want if preconditions are violated!
- We choose to help by checking preconditions
- Do we have to ***always*** check?
- Do we have to check ***everything***?
- **NO!**

# Defensive Checks

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
```

```
{
```

```
    size_t count = 0;
```

```
    while (str[count])
```

```
        ++count;
```

```
    return count;
```

```
}
```

# Defensive Checks

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
```

```
{  
    assert(str);  
  
    size_t count = 0;  
    while (str[count])  
        ++count;  
    return count;  
}
```

# Defensive Checks

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
```

```
{  
    assert(str);  
    BSLS_ASSERT(str);  
  
    size_t count = 0;  
    while (str[count])  
        ++count;  
    return count;  
}
```

# Defensive Checks

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
```

```
{  
    assert(str);  
    BSLS_ASSERT(str);  
    Expects(str);  
    size_t count = 0;  
    while (str[count])  
        ++count;  
    return count;  
}
```

# Defensive Checks

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
    [[pre: str]]
{
    assert(str);
    BSLS_ASSERT(str);
    Expects(str);
    size_t count = 0;
    while (str[count])
        ++count;
    return count;
}
```

# Defensive Checks

```
size_t strlen(const char *str)
    [[pre: str]]
{
    assert(str);
    BSLS_ASSERT(str);
    Expects(str);
    size_t count = 0;
    while (str[count])
        ++count;
    return count;
}
```

- Can be turned off
  - Without changing program behavior



# The Principles

# The Principles

- In a defect-free program, no contracts should be violated
  - A violated contract is a bug!

# The Principles

- In a defect-free program, no contracts should be violated
  - A violated contract is a bug!
- Removing contract checks should not affect program's *essential* behavior
  - Except, perhaps, its runtime performance

# (Mis)using Contract Checks for Control Flow

# Meaning of a Contract Check

```
double sqrt(double value)
  [[pre: 0 <= value]]
{
  // ...
}
```

# Meaning of a Contract Check

```
double sqrt(double value)
```

```
  [[pre: 0 <= value]]
```

```
{
```

```
  // ...
```

```
}
```



What does this express?

# Meaning of a Contract Check

```
double sqrt(double value)
```

```
  [[pre: 0 <= value]]
```

```
{
```

```
  // ...
```

```
}
```



What does this express?

- A hope that value is non-negative? (But we can recover?)

# Meaning of a Contract Check

```
double sqrt(double value)
{
    [[pre: 0 <= value]]
    // ...
}
```



What does this express?

- A hope that value is non-negative? (But we can recover?)
- A statement of absolute truth – value will NEVER be negative?



# Meaning of a Contract Check

```
double sqrt(double value)
{
  [[pre: 0 <= value]]
  // ...
}
```



What does this express?

- A hope that value is non-negative? (But we can recover?)
- A statement of absolute truth – value will NEVER be negative?
- **A statement of what *should* be true in a *correct* program!**

# (Mis)using Contract Checks for Control Flow

```
class MyIntVector {  
    int* d_data;  
    // . . .  
public:  
    // . . .  
  
    int operator[](int index) const  
    {  
  
        return d_data[index];  
    }  
};
```

# (Mis)using Contract Checks for Control Flow

```
class MyIntVector {  
    int* d_data;  
    // . . .  
public:  
    // . . .  
  
    int operator[](int index) const  
    {  
        [[assert: 0 <= index]];  
        [[assert: index < size()]];  
  
        return d_data[index];  
    }  
};
```

# (Mis)using Contract Checks for Control Flow

```
class MyIntVector {  
    int* d_data;  
    // . . .  
public:  
    // . . .  
  
    int operator[](int index) const  
    {  
        [[assert: 0 <= index]];  
        [[assert: index < size()]];  
  
        return d_data[index];  
    }  
};
```

```
class Data {  
    MyIntVector d_data;  
public:  
    std::optional<int> getValue(int index) const  
    {  
        return d_data[index];  
    }  
};
```

# (Mis)using Contract Checks for Control Flow

```
class MyIntVector {  
    int* d_data;  
    // . . .  
public:  
    // . . .  
  
    int operator[](int index) const  
    {  
        [[assert: 0 <= index]];  
        [[assert: index < size()]];  
  
        return d_data[index];  
    }  
};
```

```
class Data {  
    MyIntVector d_data;  
public:  
    std::optional<int> getValue(int index) const  
    {  
        try {  
            return d_data[index];  
        } catch (const ContractViolationException& ex) {  
            return std::nullopt;  
        }  
    }  
};
```

# (Mis)using Contract Checks for Control Flow

```
class MyIntVector {
    int* d_data;
    // . . .
public:
    // . . .

    int operator[](int index) const
    {
        [[assert: 0 <= index]];
        [[assert: index < size()]];

        return d_data[index];
    }
};
```

```
class Data {
    MyIntVector d_data;
public:
    std::optional<int> getValue(int index) const
    {
        try {
            return d_data[index];
        } catch (const ContractViolationException& ex) {
            return std::nullopt;
        }
    }
};

int main() {
    set_violation_handler(
        [](auto&&) { throw ContractViolationException{}; }
    );

    // Use 'Data'...
}
```

# Misuse Scenarios: Side Effects

# Side Effects: In Predicates

- It's a bug if inserting `value` into `setOfIntegers` fails



# Side Effects: In Predicates

- It's a bug if inserting `value` into `setOfIntegers` fails
- Is this a good way to check it?

```
[[assert: setOfIntegers.insert(value).second]];
```

# Side Effects: In Predicates

- It's a bug if inserting `value` into `setOfIntegers` fails
- Is this a good way to check it?

```
[[assert: setOfIntegers.insert(value).second]];
```

```
auto [_, inserted] = setOfIntegers.insert(value);  
[[assert: inserted]];
```

# Side Effects: In Predicates

```
class EncryptedStore {  
    std::map<int, int> d_map;  
  
    public:  
  
    void corruptValueAt(int index)  
        [[pre: !isCorrupted(d_map[index])]];  
};
```



Is this a good check?

# Side Effects: Not Affecting Essential Behavior

```
class HttpHeaders {  
    std::map<std::string, std::string> d_fields;  
  
    public:  
        bool contains(std::string_view name) const  
        {  
  
            return d_fields.find(std::string(name)) != d_fields.end();  
        }  
  
};
```

# Side Effects: Not Affecting Essential Behavior

```
class HttpHeaders {
    std::map<std::string, std::string> d_fields;

public:
    bool contains(std::string_view name) const
    {

        return d_fields.find(std::string(name)) != d_fields.end();
    }

    void addField(std::string_view name, std::string_view value)
        [[pre: !contains(name)]]
    {
        // . . .
    }
};
```

# Side Effects: Not Affecting Essential Behavior

```
class HttpHeaders {
    std::map<std::string, std::string> d_fields;

public:
    bool contains(std::string_view name) const
    {

        return d_fields.find(std::string(name)) != d_fields.end();
    }

    void addField(std::string_view name, std::string_view value)
        [[pre: !contains(name)]]
    {
        // . . .
    }
};
```

Which side effects happen in the predicate? Is that OK?

# Side Effects: Not Affecting Essential Behavior

```
class HttpHeaders {  
    std::map<std::string, std::string> d_fields;  
  
public:  
    bool contains(std::string_view name) const  
    {  
  
        return d_fields.find(std::string(name)) != d_fields.end();  
    }  
  
    void addField(std::string_view name, std::string_view value)  
    {  
        [[pre: !contains(name)]]  
        // . . .  
    }  
};
```



Potentially allocates

Which side effects happen in the predicate? Is that OK?

# Side Effects: Not Affecting Essential Behavior

```
class HttpHeaders {
    std::map<std::string, std::string> d_fields;

public:
    bool contains(std::string_view name) const
    {
        LOG_TRACE << "Checking whether '" << name << "' is present among "
                  << d_fields.size() << " fields.";

        return d_fields.find(std::string(name)) != d_fields.end();
    }

    void addField(std::string_view name, std::string view value)
    {
        [[pre: !contains(name)]]
        // . . .
    }
};
```



Writes to log



Potentially allocates

Which side effects happen in the predicate? Is that OK?



# Misuse Scenarios: Input Validation

# Input Validation

# Input Validation

# Input Validation

- What is “input”?
  - Any data coming into the system from untrusted sources
    - Command line
    - File
    - Over-the-wire
    - ...

# Input Validation

- What is “input”?
  - Any data coming into the system from untrusted sources
    - Command line
    - File
    - Over-the-wire
    - ...
- Generally, anything coming from outside the *application envelope*

# Application Envelope

- How large is the application envelope?
  - Depends on the application
    - Compiled source code
    - Config files
    - Verified remote application
    - ...

# Application Envelope

- How large is the application envelope?
  - Depends on the application
    - Compiled source code
    - Config files
    - Verified remote application
    - ...
- Rule of thumb:  
If something is ***NOT*** a part of your testing process, it is ***DEFINITELY NOT*** a part of the application envelope

# Example



# Example

- Proof-of-concept (throwaway) translation application
  - Only support translation of “Hello” and “Goodbye”

# Example

- Proof-of-concept (throwaway) translation application
  - Only support translation of “Hello” and “Goodbye”
- Name of file containing the word to translate supplied on command line

# Example

- Proof-of-concept (throwaway) translation application
  - Only support translation of “Hello” and “Goodbye”
- Name of file containing the word to translate supplied on command line
- Output the translation to standard output

# Input Validation: PoC application

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};
```

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {
    HELLO,
    GOODBYE
};

Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);

}
```

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;
```

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```



# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {
    HELLO,
    GOODBYE
};

Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)

{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);

    std::string greeting;
    in >> greeting;

    if (greeting == "Hello") {
        return Greeting::HELLO;
    } else {

        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])
{
}
```

07-Oct-19

Avoid Misuse of Contracts!

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}  
  
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
  
}
```

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};
```

```
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
```

```
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{
```

```
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    [[assert: in >> greeting]];  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    BAD! [[assert: in >> greeting]];  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};
```

```
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
    [[pre: fileName]]
```

```
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
```

```
    std::string greeting;
```

```
    in >> greeting;
```

```
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {
```

```
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{
```

```
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
```

```
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
```

```
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
```

```
    } else {
```

```
        std::cout << "Au revoir";
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};
```

```
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
```

**GOOD!** `[[pre: fileName]]`

```
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
```

```
    std::string greeting;
```

```
    in >> greeting;
```

```
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {
```

```
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
```

```
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{
```

```
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
```

```
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
```

```
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
```

```
    } else {
```

```
        std::cout << "Au revoir";
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
    [[pre: fileName]]  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.



# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
    [[pre: fileName]]  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}  
  
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

GOOD!

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
    [[pre: fileName]]  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    [[assert: in]];  
  
    std::string greeting; in >> greeting;  
    [[assert: in]];  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
        [[assert: greeting == "Goodbye"]];  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
    [[assert: argc == 2]];  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {
    HELLO,
    GOODBYE
};

Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
    [[pre: fileName]]
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);

    [[assert: in]];

    std::string greeting; in >> greeting;
    [[assert: in]];

    if (greeting == "Hello") {
        return Greeting::HELLO;
    } else {
        [[assert: greeting == "Goodbye"]];
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
    } else {
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];
        std::cout << "Au revoir";
    }
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
    [[pre: fileName]]  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    [[assert: in]];  
  
    std::string greeting; in >> greeting;  
    [[assert: in]];  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
        [[assert: greeting == "Goodbye"]];  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
    OK [[assert: argc == 2]];  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: PoC application

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};
```

```
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
```

```
{  
    [[pre: fileName]]
```

```
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
```

```
    OK [[assert: in]];
```

```
    std::string greeting; in >> greeting;
```

```
    OK [[assert: in]];
```

```
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;
```

```
    } else {
```

```
        OK [[assert: greeting == "Goodbye"]];  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{
```

```
    OK [[assert: argc == 2]];
```

```
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
```

```
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
```

```
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
```

```
    } else {
```

```
        OK [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }
```

```
}
```

# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
    [[pre: fileName]]  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};
```

```
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
```

**GOOD!** `[[pre: fileName]]`

```
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
```

```
    std::string greeting;
```

```
    in >> greeting;
```

```
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {
```

```
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
```

```
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{
```

```
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
```

```
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
```

```
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
```

```
    } else {
```

```
        std::cout << "Au revoir";
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.



# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {
    HELLO,
    GOODBYE
};

Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
    [[pre: fileName]]
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);

    std::string greeting;
    in >> greeting;

    if (greeting == "Hello") {
        return Greeting::HELLO;
    } else {

        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])
{

    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
    } else {
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];
        std::cout << "Au revoir";
    }
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};  
  
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
    [[pre: fileName]]  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::string greeting;  
    in >> greeting;  
  
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {  
  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }  
}  
  
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{  
  
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);  
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {  
        std::cout << "Bonjour";  
    } else {  
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];  
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }  
}
```

**GOOD!**

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {
    HELLO,
    GOODBYE
};

Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
    [[pre: fileName]]
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);

    [[assert: in]];

    std::string greeting; in >> greeting;
    [[assert: in]];

    if (greeting == "Hello") {
        return Greeting::HELLO;
    } else {
        [[assert: greeting == "Goodbye"]];
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
    } else {
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];
        std::cout << "Au revoir";
    }
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {
    HELLO,
    GOODBYE
};

Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)
    [[pre: fileName]]
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);

    [[assert: in]];

    std::string greeting; in >> greeting;
    [[assert: in]];

    if (greeting == "Hello") {
        return Greeting::HELLO;
    } else {
        [[assert: greeting == "Goodbye"]];
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
    } else {
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];
        std::cout << "Au revoir";
    }
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};
```

```
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
    [[pre: fileName]]  
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
```

```
    [[assert: in]];
```

```
    std::string greeting; in >> greeting;
```

```
    [[assert: in]];
```

```
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {
```

```
        [[assert: greeting == "Goodbye"]];
```

```
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{
```

```
    BAD! [[assert: argc == 2]];
```

```
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
```

```
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
```

```
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
```

```
    } else {
```

```
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];
```

```
        std::cout << "Au revoir";
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

- I. No contracts should be violated.
- II. Removing contracts should not affect program's *essential* behavior.

# Input Validation: Production Desktop App

```
enum class Greeting {  
    HELLO,  
    GOODBYE  
};
```

```
Greeting loadGreeting(const char* fileName)  
{  
    [[pre: fileName]]
```

```
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
```

```
    [[assert: in]];
```

```
    std::string greeting; in >> greeting;
```

```
    [[assert: in]];
```

```
    if (greeting == "Hello") {  
        return Greeting::HELLO;  
    } else {
```

```
        [[assert: greeting == "Goodbye"]];  
        return Greeting::GOODBYE;  
    }
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv[])  
{
```

```
    [[assert: argc == 2]];
```

```
    Greeting gt = loadGreeting(argv[1]);
```

```
    if (gt == Greeting::HELLO) {
```

```
        std::cout << "Bonjour";
```

```
    } else {
```

```
        [[assert: gt == Greeting::GOODBYE]];
```

```
        std::cout << "Au revoir";  
    }
```

```
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
```

```
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::unordered_map<std::string,  
                      std::string> result;  
    std::string from, to;  
    while (true) {  
        in >> from;  
        if (!in) { return result; }  
        in >> to;  
  
        result.emplace(from, to);  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)  
{
```

```
    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);  
  
    HttpServer server(80);  
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)  
                 -> HttpResponse {  
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());  
  
        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);  
    });  
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
```

```
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
  
    std::unordered_map<std::string,  
                      std::string> result;  
    std::string from, to;  
    while (true) {  
        in >> from;  
        if (!in) { return result; }  
        in >> to;
```

```
        [[assert: result.emplace(from, to).second]];
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)  
{
```

```
    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);
```

```
    HttpServer server(80);
```

```
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
```

```
        -> HttpResponse {
```

```
            auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());
```

```
            return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
```

```
        });
```

```
}
```



```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
```

```
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                        std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
```

```
Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

HttpServer server(80);
server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
              -> HttpResponse {
    auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());

    return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
});
```

}

**BK**

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
```

```
[[pre: fileName]]
```

```
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;

        result.emplace(from, to);
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
```

```
{
    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());

        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
```

GOOD!

```
[pre: fileName]]
```

```
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
```

```

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
```

```
    std::string from, to;
```

```
    while (true) {
```

```
        in >> from;
```

```
        if (!in) { return result; }
```

```
        in >> to;
```

```
        result.emplace(from, to);
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
```

```
{
```

```
    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);
```

```
    HttpServer server(80);
```

```
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
```

```
        -> HttpResponse {
```

```
            auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());
```

```
            return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
```

```
        });
```

```
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
[[pre: fileName]]
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;

        result.emplace(from, to);
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());

        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
[[pre: fileName]]
{
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;

        result.emplace(from, to);
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());

        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
```

```
[[pre: fileName]]
```

```
{  
    std::ifstream in(fileName);  
    OK [[assert: in]];  
  
    std::unordered_map<std::string,  
                      std::string> result;  
    std::string from, to;  
    while (true) {  
        in >> from;  
        if (!in) { return result; }  
        in >> to;  
  
        result.emplace(from, to);  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)  
{
```

```
    OK [[assert: argc == 2]];
```

```
    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);
```

```
    HttpServer server(80);
```

```
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
```

```
        -> HttpResponse {
```

```
            auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());
```

```
            return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
```

```
        });
```

```
    }
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
{
    [[pre: fileName]]

    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
        [[assert: in]];
        auto [_, ok] = result.emplace(from, to);
        [[assert: ok]];
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());

        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
{
    [[pre: fileName]]

    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
        [[assert: in]];
        auto [_, ok] = result.emplace(from, to);
        [[assert: ok]];
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());

        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```



# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
{
    [[pre: fileName]]

    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
        OK [[assert: in]];
        auto [_, ok] = result.emplace(from, to);
        OK [[assert: ok]];
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());

        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
{
    [[pre: fileName]]

    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
        [[assert: in]];
        auto [_, ok] = result.emplace(from, to);
        [[assert: ok]];
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());
        [[assert : found]];
        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
{
    [[pre: fileName]]

    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
        [[assert: in]];
        auto [_, ok] = result.emplace(from, to);
        [[assert: ok]];
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());
        BAD! [[assert : found]];
        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Containerized Service

**GOOD!**  
**OK**

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
{
    [[pre: fileName]]
    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
        [[assert: in]];
        auto [_, ok] = result.emplace(from, to);
        [[assert: ok]];
    }
}
```

**OK**

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());
        BAD! [[assert : found]];
        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Isolated High Throughput Service

```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
{
    [[pre: fileName]]

    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
        [[assert: in]];
        auto [_, ok] = result.emplace(from, to);
        [[assert: ok]];
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());
        [[assert : found]];
        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Input Validation: Isolated High Throughput Service


```
auto loadDictionary(const char* fileName)
{
    [[pre: fileName]]

    std::ifstream in(fileName);
    [[assert: in]];

    std::unordered_map<std::string,
                      std::string> result;
    std::string from, to;
    while (true) {
        in >> from;
        if (!in) { return result; }
        in >> to;
        [[assert: in]];
        auto [_, ok] = result.emplace(from, to);
        [[assert: ok]];
    }
}
```

```
int main(int argc, const char* argv)
{
    [[assert: argc == 2]];

    Dictionary dict = loadDictionary(argv[1]);

    HttpServer server(80);
    server.listen([&](const HttpRequest& req)
                 -> HttpResponse {
        auto [it, found] = dict.find(req.data());
         [[assert : found]];
        return makeResponse(HttpStatus::k_OK, it->second);
    });
}
```

# Additional Thoughts

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it



# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express

```
void mutex::unlock();  
    // [...] The behavior is undefined unless  
    // the calling thread currently owns the lock on this mutex.
```

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express
  - Checks often need to access (and expose) implementation details

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express
  - Checks often need to access (and expose) implementation details

```
DataKey createKey() { return d_nextKey++; }
```

```
void Registry::setData(DataKey key)  
    [[pre: key < d_nextKey]];
```

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express
  - Checks often need to access (and expose) implementation details

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express
  - Checks often need to access (and expose) implementation details



# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express
  - Checks often need to access (and expose) implementation details
- Sometimes it's worth considering widening contract

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express
  - Checks often need to access (and expose) implementation details
- Sometimes it's worth considering widening contract

```
AST build_AST(std::string_view sourceCode)
    [[pre: is_valid_cpp_code(sourceCode)]];
```

# Additional Thoughts

- Contract checks do not replace thorough testing
  - They merely complement it
- Contract checks do not replace proper documentation
  - Some aspects of documentation are difficult to express
  - Checks often need to access (and expose) implementation details
- Sometimes it's worth considering widening contract

```
AST build_AST(std::string_view sourceCode)
    [[pre: is_valid_cpp_code(sourceCode)]];
```

```
expected<AST, ParseError> build_AST(std::string_view sourceCode);
```

# Conclusion

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated
  - Removing contracts should not affect program's essential behavior

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated
  - Removing contracts should not affect program's essential behavior
- Beware of side effects in predicates



# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated
  - Removing contracts should not affect program's essential behavior
- Beware of side effects in predicates
  - Minimize effect on non-essential behavior

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated
  - Removing contracts should not affect program's essential behavior
- Beware of side effects in predicates
  - Minimize effect on non-essential behavior
  - Eliminate effect on essential behavior

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated
  - Removing contracts should not affect program's essential behavior
- Beware of side effects in predicates
  - Minimize effect on non-essential behavior
  - Eliminate effect on essential behavior
- Don't confuse input validation with contract checking!

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated
  - Removing contracts should not affect program's essential behavior
- Beware of side effects in predicates
  - Minimize effect on non-essential behavior
  - Eliminate effect on essential behavior
- Don't confuse input validation with contract checking!
- Use engineering sense

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated
  - Removing contracts should not affect program's essential behavior
- Beware of side effects in predicates
  - Minimize effect on non-essential behavior
  - Eliminate effect on essential behavior
- Don't confuse input validation with contract checking!
- Use engineering sense
  - Which side effects are acceptable?

# Conclusion

- No matter the *contract-checking facility*:
  - No contract should be violated
  - Removing contracts should not affect program's essential behavior
- Beware of side effects in predicates
  - Minimize effect on non-essential behavior
  - Eliminate effect on essential behavior
- Don't confuse input validation with contract checking!
- Use engineering sense
  - Which side effects are acceptable?
  - What constitutes your *application envelope*?

# Questions?