Abusing NoSQL Databases

Ming Chow

Email: mchow@cs.tufts.edu

Twitter: @0xmchow

Why Care?

- That was then: a few SQL database options for any application
- This is now: a plethora of database options, you have to choose the right database for the right job
- Many NoSQL databases are built for performance, scalability, and flexibility
- Security of NoSQL databases? Weak, inconsistent, the wild wild west

Why Am I Here?

- I talked on abusing HTML5 back at DEF CON 19
- Bryan Sullivan scratched the surface with his BlackHat 2011 work "Server-Side JavaScript Injection"
- The rise of client and server-side JavaScript
- There is a lot to just the database side of things

Straight Out-of-the-Box General Issues: The Defaults

- Easy win: know the database vendor, IP address, and an open port number. The default open port numbers:
 - Mongo: 27017, 28017, 27080
 - CouchDB: 5984
 - Hbase: 9000
 - o Cassandra: 9160
 - Neo4j: 7474
 - o Redis: 6379
 - o Riak: 8098

Straight Out-of-the-Box General Issues: Authentication and Encryption

- (Almost) No NoSQL database enables an administrator user or authentication by default
 - Even if users are enabled, weak password storage
 - Mongo uses md5
 - Plaintext for Redis
 - Weak salt or plaintext for CouchDB
- Client communicates with server via plaintext
- Database and data file encryption and auditing features are generally not available
- Emphasis on "trusted environments"

New Classes of Injection Attacks

- Schema: inserting a record into a schema that does not exist will automatically create the new schema
- 2. **Query**: creating unsafe queries via string concatentation
- 3. JavaScript: \$where, db.eval() take in JavaScript functions as parameters

A Heterogeneous Problem

- RTFM for each database system
- Different for each system:
 - Terminologies and analogies
 - Methods of granting permissions and user control
 - Flavors of query types, including: Cassandra Query Language (CQL), command-based queries, JavaScript
 - Flavors of query results, including: JSON, BSON (Binary JSON)

Vendor-Specific Items

MongoDB:

- mongod is bind to all interfaces
- The run() command can act as a shell
- Easy information gathering by simply looking at the startup_log in the local collection (shows pid, OS details, paths)
- mongosniff tool comes with mongo installation for "tracing/sniffing view into database activity in real time"

CouchDB:

HTTP document REST API exposed by default

Old Security Matters

- Really important:
 - Architecture
 - Since many NoSQL databases have weak security, more controls may be necessary
 - Configuration
 - Validation becomes even more important
 - No longer are we just validating input strings but also results and JavaScript functions

The Takeaways

- 1. No longer a one-size-fits-all game
- 2. Plenty of new attack vectors, contrary to the idea that SQL injection is practically gone thus eliminating many concerns
- 3. Technologies being deployed naively
- Database vendors have left security largely to the developers
- 5. The reports of the death of database administrators are greatly exaggerated

References

- Chow, M. "JavaScript Pitfalls" SOURCE Boston Conference 2013
- Okman et al "Security Issues in NoSQL Databases" http://jmiller.uaa.alaska.edu/cse465-fall2012/papers/okman2011.pdf
- Sullivan, B. "Server-Side JavaScript Injection" Black Hat USA 2011 http://media.blackhat.com/bh-us-11/Sullivan/BH US 11 Sullivan Server Side WP.pdf
- Urbinsky, W. "NoSQL, No Security?" AppSec USA 2012, Austin, Texas. http://www.slideshare.net/wurbanski/nosql-no-security
- http://www.slideshare.net/gavinholt/no-sql-no-security-20074309
- http://blogs.adobe.com/asset/files/2011/04/NoSQL-But-Even-Less-Security.pdf
- http://blog.astyran.sg/2011/11/there-is-no-security-in-nosql.html
- http://www.darkreading.com/database/does-nosql-mean-no-security/232400214
- https://securosis.com/blog/nosql-and-no-security
- http://blog.spiderlabs.com/2013/03/mongodb-security-weaknesses-in-a-typical-nosql-database.html
- http://jkb.netii.net/index.php/pub/sinosqldb/cassandra-security