

## Courbes elliptiques — 4TMA902U

Responsables : G. Castagnos, D. Robert

## Terminal Exam — December 13, 2019

3h

*Documents are not allowed**Answer the two parts on separate sheets*

## G. Castagnos' Part

**I** Let  $(G, \times)$  be a finite cyclic group of order  $\omega$ . We suppose that  $\omega$  factors in a product of  $\ell$  small distinct primes  $p_i : \omega = \prod_i^\ell p_i$  with  $p_i < C \log(\omega)$  where  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$  and  $C \in \mathbf{N}$  is a constant. Let  $g \in G$  of order  $\omega$  and  $h = g^d$  with  $1 < d < \omega$ .

- (a)** Give an efficient algorithm (in pseudo code) that takes  $G, g, h$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_\ell$  as inputs and that outputs the integer  $d$ . What is its approximate complexity?

In the following, we consider an elliptic curve  $E$  of equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  where  $p$  is a large prime. Let  $P$  be a point of order  $n$  of  $E(\mathbf{F}_p)$  where  $n$  is a large prime,  $p \neq n$ . We denote  $(G, +) = \langle P \rangle$  the group of points generated by  $P$ . We consider a variant of the Elgamal encryption algorithm, adapted to elliptic curves. We denote EC-Elgamal this public-key encryption scheme.

The secret key is an integer  $s$  with  $1 < s < n$ . The public key is the point  $Q = sP$ . The parameters  $p, (a, b), n, P$  are also public and we suppose in the following that there are implicit inputs to all the algorithms.

In order to encrypt a message  $m \in \mathbf{F}_p$  with the public key  $Q$ , one takes a random integer  $r$  with  $1 < r < n$ . Denoting  $R := (x_R, y_R) := rQ$ , the encryption of  $m$  is  $c := (c_1, c_2) := (rP, x_R + m) \in G \times \mathbf{F}_p$ .

- (b)** What is the goal of a public-key encryption scheme ?
- (c)** Give a decryption algorithm for EC-Elgamal (in pseudo code).
- (d)** Let  $d$  be an integer with  $1 < d < n$ . We denote  $H = (x_H, y_H) = dP$ . Suppose in this question only that you have access to an oracle that solve the discrete logarithm problem in  $G$ : you can give points  $T \in G$  to this oracle which gives you back the integer  $f$  such that  $T = fP$ .

Show that with access to this oracle, given  $p, (a, b), n, P$  and  $x_H$ , you can compute efficiently  $\pm d \pmod{n}$ .

- (e)** Let  $Q$  be a public key for EC-Elgamal and  $s$  the corresponding secret key.

Suppose in this question that you know the public key but not the secret key. However, suppose that you have black box access to a machine that implements the decryption algorithm using this secret key: you can give ciphertexts  $c$  to this machine that gives you back the output of the decryption algorithm using the secret key  $s$ . Moreover, instead of giving



ciphertexts  $c$  such that  $c_1$  is a point of  $E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ , we suppose that you can give, to this machine, points  $c_1$  of an elliptic curve  $E'$  over  $\mathbf{F}_p$  with an equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b'$  where  $b' \neq b$ . We suppose that in this case the machine executes the decryption algorithm without noticing that the input is not well-formed.

Give an efficient attack, using well chosen curves  $E'$  and the previous questions, that uses this machine to recover the secret key  $s$ , knowing only the public parameters and the public key  $Q$ .

- (f) This question is independent of the previous ones. In this question only, we suppose that the elliptic curve  $E$  used in EC-Elgamal is supersingular. Propose an efficient attack that allows to test if a given ciphertext  $c$  encrypts a given message  $m$ , knowing only the public parameters and the public key  $Q$ .

[2] Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be two large prime numbers of  $\lambda$  bits, with  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $n = p_1 p_2$ . Let  $(G, +)$  and  $(G_t, \times)$  be two cyclic groups of order  $n$ . We denote by  $P$  a generator of  $G$  and  $Q \in G$  an element of order  $p_1$ .

We denote by  $e : G \times G \rightarrow G_t$  a cryptographic pairing (of type I).

We consider the following public-key encryption scheme. The public key is  $(P, Q, n)$ . Let  $B$  be an integer and  $m \in \{0, \dots, B\}$ . To encrypt  $m$ , one chooses a random  $r$  with  $1 < r < n$  and compute the ciphertext  $C = mP + rQ$ .

- (a) Give a secret key and a decryption algorithm for this encryption scheme. How to choose the bound  $B$  in order to have an efficient decryption procedure?
- (b) We denote by  $C$  a ciphertext of  $m$  and by  $C'$  a ciphertext of  $m'$ . Show that without knowing the private key, one can build from  $C$  and  $C'$  a ciphertext of  $m + m'$ .
- (c) Same question to build a ciphertext of  $m \times m'$  (this ciphertext can be of a different form than  $C$  and  $C'$  but must be still decipherable to give  $m \times m'$  knowing the private key).
- (d) What problems an opponent must resolve in order to compute the private key from the public key?
- (e) Give an algorithm (in pseudo code) that takes an integer  $\lambda$  as input, and that outputs (with the previous notations),  $p_1, p_2, n, P$  and  $Q$ . Precise how to define the pairing  $e$ . What must be the sizes of  $n, p_1$  and  $p_2$  in order to have a secure scheme?

## D. Robert's Part

[3] Let  $P$  be a point on an elliptic curve  $E$  and  $n$  an integer. Denote  $(b_k, \dots, b_1, b_0)$  the binary decomposition of  $n$ :  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i 2^i$ . For instance the binary decomposition of 229 is  $(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ .

- (a) We recall that the Sage function `229.digits(2)=[1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1]` gives the binary decomposition of 229 from right to left:  $[b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k]$ .

Write a Sage function `base2` (or an algorithm in pseudo code) which computes the left to right binary decomposition of  $n$ : `base2(n)=[b_k, ..., b_1, b_0]`. (Here the bits have to be computed in the order  $b_k, \dots, b_0$ .) Hint:  $k = \text{floor}(n.\log(2))$ .



- (b) Write a Sage function scalar (or an algorithm in pseudo code) taking as input  $[b_k, \dots, b_1, b_0]$ ,  $P$  and  $E$  and returning  $n.P$ . Compute the number of doubling and additions (depending on the bits  $b_i$ ).

Explain the doubling and additions this function would do when calling it with  $n = 229$ .

- (c) If we precompute  $2P, 3P$ , explain how to improve the algorithm scalar using a window of size 2 and write the corresponding Sage function.

Apply this algorithm to  $n = 229$ , and count the number of doubling and additions (don't forget the precomputations). Explain the computations (including the precomputations) we would do with  $n = 229$  and a window of size 3.

- (d) Same questions for a sliding window of size 2 and then 3 applied to  $n = 229$ . We recall that for a sliding window of size 2, we only precompute  $2P, 3P$  and that for a sliding window of size 3, we only precompute  $4P, 5P, 6P, 7P$ .

- (e) Rather than trying to write  $n$  as a sum  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i 2^i$  where  $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , we try to write it as a sum where  $b_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Such a decomposition is not unique, but show that it is unique if we impose it to be in non adjacent form (naf):  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i 2^i$  where  $b_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , and if  $b_i \neq 0$  with  $i > 0$  then  $b_{i-1} = 0$ .

- (f) Let naf be the following function:

```
def naf(n):
    r=[]
    while(n!=0):
        if n%2==0:
            r.insert(0,0) #this prepends 0 to the list
        else:
            z=2-n%4; r.insert(0,z) #this prepends z to the list
            n=n-z
        n=n // 2
    return(r)
```

Show that this function computes a non adjacent form of  $n$ , in particular the naf form always exists and is unique by the preceding question.

- (g) Non adjacent forms are particularly useful for elliptic curves because computing  $-P$  is not costly: give the expression of  $-P$  in terms of  $P = (x_P, y_P)$ .

We compute  $\text{naf}(229) = [1, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1]$ . Explain how to use this result to compute  $229.P$  and count the number of doubling and additions.

- (h) Let  $Q$  be another point in  $E$  and  $m$  an integer. Give an algorithm computing  $nP + mQ$  that is faster than the naive algorithm which compute separately  $nP, mQ$  and then the sum  $nP + mQ$ . (Hint: precompute  $P + Q$  and do a double and add algorithm where the addition step can involve  $P, Q$  or  $P + Q$  according to the current bits of  $n$  and  $m$ .)

Compute the average number of doubling and additions according to the size of  $n$  and  $m$  and compare to the naive algorithm above.



- (a) Let  $E : y^2 = x^3 + x$  be a curve over a finite field  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . Show that  $E$  is an elliptic curve when  $p \neq 2$ . **From now on we assume this is the case.**
- (b) Show that  $-1$  is a square in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (c) If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  show that all the points of 2-torsion of the elliptic curve (meaning points  $P \neq 0_E$  such that  $2P = 0_E$ ) are defined over  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . If  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  show that there is one point of 2-torsion over  $\mathbf{F}_p$  and two over  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}$ .
- (d) **Assume from now on that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and let  $\xi$  be a square root of  $-1$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ .** Show that  $[\xi]$  defined by  $[\xi](x, y) = (-x, \xi y)$  sends a point  $P \in E$  to a point  $[\xi]P \in E$ .
- (e) Show that  $[\xi]^4 = 1$ . Here by  $[\xi]^4$  we mean  $[\xi]$  iterated four times.
- (f) Show that  $[\xi](P + Q) = ([\xi]P) + ([\xi]Q)$ , and deduce that  $[\xi]$  is an endomorphism of  $E$ .
- (g) If  $r$  is a prime divisor of  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ , recall the definition of the embedding degree  $d$  and of the Weil and Tate pairing for  $E[r]$ .
- (h) Assume that the embedding degree  $d$  of  $E$  for  $r$  is not equal to 1, show that  $\#E[r](\mathbf{F}_p) = r$ .
- (i) If  $d > 1$ , let  $P \in E(\mathbf{F}_p)$  be a point of  $r$ -torsion. Show that  $[\xi]P$  is still a point of  $r$ -torsion, and deduce that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $[\xi]P = \lambda P$ .
- (j) Show that  $\lambda^2 = -1$  and deduce that  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (k) Let  $m \in \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z}$ . We admit that we can write  $m = m_0 + \lambda m_1$  with  $m_0, m_1 \approx \sqrt{r}$ . Explain with the help of question 3 (h) how we can use this decomposition to speed up the computation of  $mP$ .
- (l) Still if  $d > 1$ , define  $G_1 = \{P \in E[r] \mid \pi P = P\}$  and  $G_2 = \{P \in E[r] \mid \pi P = pP\}$  where  $\pi$  is the Frobenius of  $\mathbf{F}_p$  acting on  $E$ . Show that  $[\xi]$  commutes with  $\pi$  and deduce that  $[\xi]$  stabilizes  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .
- (m) If  $P \in G_1$  and  $Q \in G_2$  show that  $e_r(P, [\xi]Q) = e_r(P, Q)^\lambda$  where  $\lambda^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ .
- (n) Show that if  $r$  is a prime divisor of  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$  with  $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $P \neq 0_E$  a point of  $r$ -torsion, then  $(P, [\xi]P)$  is a basis of  $E[r]$ . What is the embedding degree  $d$  for this  $r$ ?
- (o) When  $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  show that we can construct a type I pairing on the subgroup  $\langle P \rangle$  generated by a point of  $r$ -torsion  $P$ .
- (p) Let  $p = 13$ . Compute the two possible values for  $\xi$ .
- (q) We compute that  $\#E(\mathbf{F}_{13}) = 20$ . Show that  $E(\mathbf{F}_{13}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/10\mathbf{Z}$ .
- (r) We check with Sage that if  $P = (4, 4)$ , then  $5 \cdot P = 0_E$ . Deduce that  $[\xi]P = [\pm 2]P$ .
- (s) What is the embedding degree  $d$  for  $r = 5$ ?