pyMPC Documentation

Marco Forgione

May 30, 2019

Chapter 1

Mathematical formulation

The MPC problem to be solved is:

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}} (x_N - x_{ref})^{\top} Q_{x_N} (x_N - x_{ref}) + \sum_{k=0}^{N_p - 1} (x_k - x_{ref})^{\top} Q_x (x_k - x_{ref}) +$$

$$+\sum_{k=0}^{N_p-1} \left(u_k - u_{ref}\right)^{\top} Q_u \left(u_k - u_{ref}\right) + \sum_{k=0}^{N_p-1} \Delta u_k^{\top} Q_{\Delta u} \Delta u_k$$

$$(1.1a)$$

subject to

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k \tag{1.1b}$$

$$u_{min} \le u_k \le u_{max} \tag{1.1c}$$

$$x_{min} \le x_k \le x_{max} \tag{1.1d}$$

$$\Delta u_{min} \le \Delta u_k \le \Delta u_{max} \tag{1.1e}$$

$$x_0 = \bar{x} \tag{1.1f}$$

$$u_{-1} = \bar{u} \tag{1.1g}$$

where $\Delta u_k = u_k - u_{k-1}$.

The optimization variables are

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_{N_p} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 & u_1 & \dots & u_{N_p-1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.3}$$

(1.4)

In a typical implementation, the MPC input is applied in receding horizon. At each time step i, the problem (1.1) is solved with $x_0 = x[i]$, $u_{-1} = u[i-1]$

and an optimal input sequence u_0, \ldots, u_{N_p} is obtained. The first element of this sequence u_0 is the control input that is actually applied at time instant i. At time instant i+1, a new state x[i+1] is measured (or estimated), and the process is iterated.

Thus, formally, the MPC control law is a (static) function of the current state and the previous input:

$$u_{MPC} = K(x[i], u[i-1]). (1.5)$$

Note that this function also depends on the references x_{ref} and u_{ref} and on the system matrices A and B.

1.1 Quadratic Programming Formulation

The QP solver expects a problem with form:

$$\min \frac{1}{2} x^{\mathsf{T}} P x + q^{\mathsf{T}} x \tag{1.6a}$$

subject to

$$l \le Ax \le u \tag{1.6b}$$

The challenge here is to rewrite the MPC optimization problem (1.1) in form (1.6) to use the standard QP solver.

1.1.1 Cost function

By direct inspection, the non-constant terms of the cost function in Q_x are:

$$J_{Q_x} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_0^\top & x_1^\top & \dots & x_{N_p-1}^\top \end{bmatrix}^\top \text{blkdiag}(Q_x, Q_x, \dots, Q_x) \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{N_p-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_{N_p-1} \end{bmatrix}^\top + \begin{bmatrix} -x_{ref}^\top Q_x & -x_{ref}^\top Q_x & \dots & -x_{ref}^\top Q_x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{N_p-1} \end{bmatrix}^\top$$
(1.7)

and similarly for the term $J_{Q_{x_{N_n}}}$ and J_{Q_u} :

$$J_{Q_{u}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} u_{0}^{\top} & u_{1}^{\top} & \dots & u_{N_{p}-1}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \text{blkdiag}(Q_{u}, Q_{u}, \dots, Q_{u}) \begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{p}-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{p}-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{p}-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} -u_{ref}^{\top} Q_{u} & -u_{ref}^{\top} Q_{u} & \dots & -u_{ref}^{\top} Q_{u} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{p}-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.8)

For the terms in $Q_{\Delta}u$ we have instead

$$J_{\Delta u} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 & u_1 & \dots & u_{N_p-1} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} 2Q_{\Delta u} & -Q_{\Delta u} & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -Q_{\Delta u} & 2Q_{\Delta u} & -Q_{\Delta u} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -Q_{\Delta u} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -Q_{\Delta u} & 2Q_{\Delta u} & -Q_{\Delta u} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -Q_{\Delta u} & 2Q_{\Delta u} & -Q_{\Delta u} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_p-1} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} + \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{u}^{\top}Q_{\Delta u} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_p-1} \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$$

$$(1.9)$$

1.1.2 Constraints

Linear dynamics

Let us consider the linear equality constraints (1.1b) representing the system dynamics. These can be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{N_p-1} \\ x_{N_p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A_d & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_d & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{N_p-1} \\ x_{N_p} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ B_d & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & B_d & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_p-2} \\ u_{N_p-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \overline{x} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(1.10)

we get a set of linear equality constraints representing the system dynamics (1.1b). These constraints can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{A} - I) & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{C}. \tag{1.11}$$

Variable bounds: x and u

Bounds on x and u are readily implemented as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{min} \\ u_{min} \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} x_{max} \\ u_{max} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1.12)

Variable bounds: Δu

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{-1} + \Delta u_{min} \\ \Delta u_{min} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta u_{min} \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -I & I & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I & I & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -I & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_c-1} \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} u_{-1} + \Delta u_{max} \\ \Delta u_{max} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta u_{max} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(1.13)$$

Slack variables

Bounds on x may result in an problem unfeasible! A common solution is to transform the hard constraints in x into soft constraints by means of slack variables ϵ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{min} \\ u_{min} \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & I \\ 0 & I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} x_{max} \\ u_{max} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (1.14)

.

1.2 Control Horizon

Sometimes, we may want to use a control horizon $N_c < N_p$ instead of the standard $N_c = N_p$. The input is constant for $N_c \ge Np$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{0} \\ x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{N_{p}-1} \\ x_{N_{p}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ A_{d} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_{d} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{0} \\ x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{N_{p}-1} \\ x_{N_{p}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ B_{d} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & B_{d} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B_{d} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B_{d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{c}-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{c}-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(1.15)$$

The contributions J_{Q_u} of the cost function also changes:

$$J_{Q_{u}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} u_{0}^{\top} & u_{1}^{\top} & \dots & u_{N_{p}-1}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{blkdiag} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{u}, Q_{u}, \dots, (N_{p}-N_{c}+1)Q_{u} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{p}-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -u_{ref}^{\top}Q_{u} & -u_{ref}^{\top}Q_{u} & \dots & -(N_{p}-N_{c}+1)u_{ref}^{\top}Q_{u} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{p}-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_{0} \\ u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{p}-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(1.16)$$

Instead, $J_{\Delta}u$ does not change (because the input is constant for $k \geq N_c$!