Dynamic memory allocator implementations in Linux system libraries

Wolfram Gloger
Poliklinik für Zahnerhaltung
Goethestr. 70
80336 München
wmglo@dent.med.uni-muenchen.de

1. Overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Memory layout in the Linux/GNU libc implementation
- 3. Performance results of popular malloc implementations, both with random and real-world allocation patterns
- 4. Special features of the Linux/GNU libc implementation
- 5. malloc in multi-threaded applications the `ptmalloc' implementation from GNU libc-2.x $\,$
- 6. References

2. Introduction

Good dynamic memory allocation is essential for most computer applications:

- Static allocation is fast, but inflexible. Fixed limits are bad.
- Simple allocation disciplines (e.g. LIFO/stack) are rarely enforcible.
- Memory (RAM) is almost always scarce.

Ref. [Wilson95] estimated the worldwide cost of the widepread use of poor allocators (main & cache memory, CPU cycles) upwards of a billion U.S. dollars.

Avoidance of system library allocators in favor of **ad hoc** solutions is still common, because of extreme concerns about speed and memory costs of general heap allocation.

3. Chunk layout in DL-malloc

Every chunk is aligned on an 8-byte address, with boundary tags:

D		
Previous chunk	•••	
Start of chunk	prev_size	
	size flags	
	forw. link	Start of usable area

	back link	
Next chunk	prev_size End of usable area	

Flags stored in least significant bits of the size field:

- PREV INUSE (previous chunk in use)
- IS_MMAPPED (chunk is mmapped)

Features:

- Chunks can be split and coalesced arbitrarily.
- Fixed overhead is sizeof(size) (normally 4 bytes) per chunk.
- Minimum chunk size is typically 16 or 24 bytes.

4. Malloc tracing facility

(available at ftp://ftp.dent.med.uni-muenchen.de/pub/wmglo/mtrace.tar.gz) Analysis of real-world allocation patterns in two steps:

- Record all use of malloc(), free(), realloc() and sbrk() by an application in a file (by pre-loading a special shared library, malloc-trace.so).
- 2. Replay the recorded allocation pattern through a simulator (trace-test), linked against different malloc implementations.

5. Malloc implementations tested in these experiments

Name	Author	Version	Source
vmalloc	Phong Vo		www.att.com
Emacs	M. Haertel et.al.	19.34	GNU Emacs 19.34
FreeBSD	PH. Kamp	1.18	FreeBSD-current
CSRI	Mark Moraes	1.18	ftp.csri.toronto.edu
BSD	Ch. Kingsley et.al.		perl-4.036
GC	HJ. Boehm	4.11	reality.sgi.com
Linux/DL	Doug Lea	2.6.4	libc-5.4.23
SGI			Irix 5.3

6. Definition of performance criteria

For a given trace-file, the following variables are evaluated:

- User time
- System time
- Peak allocation (maximum of all allocated heap memory, largest footprint)
- Waste at peak allocation time (very important)

• Average waste

The `Waste rate', or `fragmentation', is defined as:

$$f = 1 - \frac{\text{Number of bytes allocated by application}}{\text{Number of bytes used from system}}$$

Worst case lower bound for f:

$$f^{3} const \cdot log_{2} \underbrace{\frac{largest\ object\ size}{smallest\ object\ size}}_{}$$

7. Random allocation patterns with uniform distribution

5,000,000 random allocations/frees/reallocations with maximum chunk size of 1,000.

Implement.	User /sec	Sys /sec	Waste/%
vmalloc	50.2	0.41	15.3
Emacs	34.0	0.34	31.3
FreeBSD	37.3	0.49	30.3
CSRI	141	0.28	16.7
GC	202	0.56	76.0
Linux/DL	40.5	0.37	9.46
SGI	52.3	0.36	16.6

8. Preprocessing for C

(average over all runs of cpp from gcc-2.7.2 when making ddd-2.0)

Operations	967690
malloc	659202
free	307889
realloc	599
max. size	1578758
avg. size	517489
time	81.5478sec

Implement.	User	Sys	Peak allocation	Waste at peak	Average waste

3 of 8 08/28/2012 09:02 PM

	/sec	/sec	/MB	/%	/%
vmalloc	5.67	14.2	2.31	37.5	31.6
Emacs	6.14	14.0	2.33	38.0	37.9
FreeBSD	6.00	13.6	2.29	36.9	35.8
CSRI	5.88	13.7	2.19	33.0	31.6
BSD	4.91	14.5	3.28	59.3	54.2
GC	30.9	17.0	5.99	75.5	73.0
Linux/DL	4.38	13.6	1.74	9.18	18.8
SGI	7.13	16.5	2.16	33.2	28.0

9. Compilation of large C++ sources

(average over all runs of cclplus from gcc-2.7.2 when making ddd-2.0)

Operations	461951
malloc	293606
free	167635
realloc	710
max. size	12780437
avg. size	3432528
time	617.7394sec

Implement.	User /sec] -	Peak allocation /MB	Waste at peak /%	Average waste /%
vmalloc	3.70	17.7	12.9	1.19	4.60
Emacs	3.18	10.4	13.0	1.89	4.25
FreeBSD	3.20	10.1	12.9	1.48	2.98
CSRI	3.87	18.8	12.8	0.66	2.24
BSD	2.64	19.3	13.2	14.6	3.12
GC	85.3	19.5	16.8	25.0	38.1
Linux/DL	3.34	18.6	12.8	0.51	1.45
SGI	5.11	22.7	12.8	0.42	1.91

10. X server traces

(average over three different X server sessions - xterms, 3D-visualization application, web-surfing, Emacs, xdvi)

4 of 8 08/28/2012 09:02 PM

Operations	1650126
malloc	794497
free	782568
realloc	73061
max. size	3655661
avg. size	1322521
time	12678.688sec

Implement.	User /sec	1 2	Peak allocation /MB	Waste at peak /%	Average waste /%
vmalloc	7.19	1.36	6.89	58.2	61.1
Emacs	6.55	1.51	4.98	43.9	40.7
FreeBSD	7.21	1.43	4.42	19.1	39.2
CSRI	8.02	1.43	5.47	48.4	62.0
BSD	5.16	1.45	8.36	69.2	73.6
GC	39.0	6.62	49.0	98.9	91.3
Linux/DL	5.95	1.58	3.79	3.48	12.1
SGI	8.26	1.74	4.74	41.0	52.2

11. Web surfing with Netscape

(a single 20-minute Netscape-3.01 session)

Operations	513001
malloc	263318
free	241833
realloc	7306
max. size	2592344
avg. size	1761078
time	1096.9718sec

Implement.	User /sec	Sys /sec		Waste at peak /%	Average waste /%
vmalloc	1.89	0.460	3.14	31.2	30.2
Emacs	1.87	0.464	3.29	25.2	29.7

FreeBSD	2.10	0.438	3.11	17.2	26.7	
CSRI	1.96	0.464	2.87	24.8	26.3	
BSD	1.41	0.472	5.18	53.5	57.8	
GC	5.24	0.675	10.5	76.6	79.8	
Linux/DL	1.69	0.498	2.83	8.84	19.0	
SGI	2.58	0.574	2.91	10.9	27.5	

12. Word processing/large GUI application

(1/4-hour swriter3 session; StarOffice-3.1beta4)

Operations	915638
malloc	460902
free	448060
realloc	6676
max. size	3794066
avg. size	2197864
time	499.1156sec

Implement.	User /sec	Sys /sec	Peak allocation /MB	Waste at peak /%	Average waste /%
vmalloc	3.61	0.849	4.83	21.5	24.3
Emacs	3.50	0.844	4.80	20.9	25.8
FreeBSD	3.90	0.740	4.88	22.3	28.8
CSRI	3.85	0.748	4.68	18.9	21.1
BSD	2.65	0.808	7.48	67.9	48.3
GC	6.69	1.08	15.6	84.5	75.1
Linux/DL	3.25	0.750	4.06	6.46	10.8
SGI	4.24	0.878	4.48	15.3	21.6

13. Special features of the malloc in Linux libc

- Large chunks are allocated via mmap(). The threshold is set through the environment variable MALLOC_MMAP_THRESHOLD_. The maximum number of chunks allocated in this way is limited through MALLOC MMAP MAX.
- Trimming occurs when the size of the top chunk exceeds MALLOC TRIM THRESHOLD .
- Whenever sbrk() is called to obtain more memory, an extra MALLOC_TOP_PAD_

- bytes is allocated (hysteresis).
- Debugging simple usage errors can be turned on at runtime by exporting MALLOC_CHECK_ (0: ignore errors silently; 1: print error message and exit; 2: abort)

14. ptmalloc - a fast multi-thread version of Doug Lea's malloc

(available with glibc-2.x and also separately at ftp://ftp.dent.med.uni-muenchen.de/pub/wmglo/ptmalloc.tar.gz) Multiple arenas, individually lockable:

TSD thread 1	TSD thread 2	
Arena1	Arena 2	
Mutex	Mutex	•••
Bins	Bins	
Heap 1a	Heap 2a	•••
aArena1	aArena2	
Chunks	Chunks	
Heap 1b	Heap 2b	
aArena1	aArena2	
Chunks	Chunks	

All `heaps' are aligned on a large-power-of-two boundary, for easy and fast chunkaarena mapping. The maximum heap size is much larger than the mmap threshold. Heaps are allocated with mmap() and can grow and shrink individually.

Threads lock the first arena they can get for all allocation operations; the one used last is remembered in TSD.

15. Performance results from ptmalloc

Sun Sparc, two TI,TMS390Z55 50MHz CPUs, Solaris 2.4:

40 threads (2 in parallel), 10,000,000 malloc calls per thread, max. size 25,000

time	ptmalloc	libc-malloc
real	1:18:54.0	3:10:50.8
user	2:09:33.0	3:08:39.5
sys	20:20.3	4:02.9

SGI Indy, one R4600 100MHz CPU, Irix 5.3:

20 threads (4 in parallel), 500,000 malloc calls per thread, max. size 10000

time	ptmalloc	libc-malloc
real	1:49.15	59:52.10
user	1:36.30	15:59.54
sys	4.83	36:19.53

16. References

- 1. Doug Lea: **A Memory Allocator**. **unix/Mail** December, 1996. Hanser Verlag. (http://g.oswego.edu/dl/html/malloc.html)
- 2. Paul R. Wilson, Mark S. Johnstone, Michael Neely and David Boles: **Dynamic Storage Allocation: A Survey and Critical Review**. Proc. 1995 Int'l Workshop on Memory Management. Springer LNCS. (ftp://ftp.cs.utexas.edu/pub/garbage /allocsrv.ps)
- 3. Benjamin Zorn and Dirk Grunwald: **Empirical measurements of six** allocation-intensive C programs. Tech. Rep. CU-CS-604-92, University of Colorado at Boulder, Dept. of CS, July 1992.

File converted from T_EX by tth, version 0.6.