

AUTHOR GUIDELINES FOR MLSP PROCEEDINGS MANUSCRIPTS

Author(s) Name(s) omitted for double blind review

Author Affiliation(s) omitted for double blind review

ABSTRACT

In this paper we propose a convolutive and recurrent neural network based extensions to autoencoders for source separation.

Index Terms— One, two, three, four, five

$$\begin{aligned} Z(:, k, t) &= \sigma(WZ(:, k, t-1) + UX(:, t)) \\ \hat{H}(k, t) &= \sum_f Z(f, k, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Give a toy example which shows what this model can do that CNN-CNN can not.

1. INTRODUCTION

Talk about what we are doing and why it is interesting.

Give some background.

3. EXPERIMENTS

Try each model in a given K range in speech-speech source separation task.

2. AUTOENCODERS

2.1. Feed-forward Autoencoder

Define standard autoencoder. Provide a toy example to illustrate the shortcomings of this.

2.2. CNN-CNN Autoencoder

The approximation \hat{X} for a given spectrogram X is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}(k, t) &= \sigma_1 \left(\sum_{f, t'} X(f, t - t') F_e(f, t', k) \right) \\ \hat{X}(f, t) &= \sigma_2 \left(\sum_k \sum_{t'} \hat{H}(k, t - t') F_d(f, t', k) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

4. REFERENCES

2.3. Multilayer of CNN-CNN Autoencoder

This loses the interpretability, but maybe good for accuracy?

2.4. RNN-CNN Autoencoder

This is the same as CNN-CNN case, except the computation of the activations H . In the CNN encoder, each filter was of finite length. With RNN-CNN version, we are attempting to use an infinite length filter. The computation of \hat{H} is as follows:

Thanks to XYZ agency for funding.