

CS1632, Lecture 11: Writing Testable Code

Wonsun Ahn

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Give yourself something to test
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Give yourself something to test
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

Segment Code

Methods should perform one well-defined functionality

```
// Bad
public int getNumMonkeysAndSetDatabase(Database d) {
  database = (d != null) ? d : DEFAULT_DATABASE;
  setDefaultDatabase(d);
  int numMonkeys = monkeyList.size();
  return numMonkeys;
}
```

Why?

Refactor

```
public void setDatabase(Database d) {
  database = (d != null) ? d : DEFAULT DATABASE;
  setDefaultDatabase (database);
public int getNumMonkeys() {
  int numMonkeys = monkeyList.size();
  return numMonkeys;
Why is this better?
```

2. But also easier to test --- no spurious dependency on Database d!

Simply more modular, better quality code

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Give yourself something to test
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

DRY - Don't Repeat Yourself

Don't copy and paste code

- Don't have multiple methods with similar functionality
- Make use of "generic" classes and methods
 - Classes and methods that have parameterized types
 - E.g. Java ArrayList<Type> is parameterized by Type
 - Language implementations: Java generics, C++ templates, ...

Why DRY?

- Twice as much room for error
- Bloated codebase

- · A bug fix or enhancement must be replicated on all copies of the code
 - another source of error

Bad: Replicated code only with different types

```
private ArrayList<Animal> animalList;
public int addMonkey(Monkey m) {
  animalList.add(m);
  return animalList.count();
public int addGiraffe(Giraffe q) {
  animalList.add(g);
  return animalList.count();
public int addRabbit(Rabbit r) {
  animalList.add(r);
  return animalList.count();
```

Refactor

```
// Animal is superclass of Giraffe, Monkey, Rabbit
private ArrayList<Animal> animalList;
public int addAnimal (Animal a) {
  animalList.add(a);
  return animalList.count();
```

Bad: What if there is no superclass?

```
// No superclass for List<Monkey>,List<Giraffe>,List<Rabbit>
public void addOne(List<Monkey> 1, Monkey m) {
  1.add(m);
public void addOne(List<Giraffe> l, Giraffe g) {
  1.add(g);
public void addOne(List<Rabbit> 1, Rabbit b) {
  1.add(b);
```

Refactor

```
// Use a generic method.
// Pass List<T> where T can be any type.
public <T> void addOne(List<T> l, T e) {
  l.add(e);
}
```

Replicated Code Could Be Internal To Methods!

```
// In one method...
String name =
     db.where("user id = " + id).get names()[0];
// Elsewhere, in another method...
String name =
     db.find(id).get names().first();
// Both do basically the same thing
```

You Can DRY This Up, Too

```
// In one method...
String name = getName(db, id);
// Elsewhere, in another method...
String name = getName(db, id);
String getName (Database db, int id) {
  // Enhancing this code will impact all calls
  return db.find(id).get names().first();
```

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Give yourself something to test
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

Give Yourself Something to Test

• By "something", I mean some state (usually a return value)

- Because state verification is always better than behavior verification
 - Checking the return value of a function is the most *direct* way to verify it
 - Behavior verification is brittle because it delves into implementation details

Why Behavior Verification is Indirect

```
class Number {
 private int val;
 public void setVal(int v) { val = v; }
class Example {
 public void setSquared(Number n, int v) {
   n.setVal(v*v);
@Test void testSetSquared() {
 Example ex = new Example();
 Number n = Mockito.mock(Number.class)
 ex.setSquared(n, 3);
 Mockito.verify(n).setVal();
```

Why Behavior Verification is Indirect

```
class Number {
 private int val;
 public void setVal(int v) { val = v; }
class Example {
 public void setSquared(Number n, int v) {
   n.setVal(v+v); // DEFECT!
@Test void testAddTen() {
 Example ex = new Example();
 Number n = Mockito.mock(Number.class)
 ex.setSquared(n, 3);
 Mockito.verify(n).setVal(); // STILL PASSES!
```

Why Behavior Verification is Brittle

```
class Number {
 private int val;
 public void setVal(int v) { val = v; }
 public void setSquared(int v) { val = v*v; }
class Example {
 public void setSquared(Number n, int v) {
   n.setVal(v*v);
@Test void testAddTen() {
 Example ex = new Example();
 Number n = Mockito.mock(Number.class)
  ex.setSquared(n, 3);
 Mockito.verify(n).setVal();
```

Why Behavior Verification is Brittle

```
class Number {
 private int val;
 public void setVal(int v) { val = v; }
 public void setSquared(int v) { val = v*v; }
class Example {
 public void setSquared(Number n, int v) {
   n.setSquared(v); // SAME THING as n.setVal(v*v)
@Test void testAddTen() {
 Example ex = new Example();
 Number n = Mockito.mock(Number.class)
  ex.setSquared(n, 3);
 Mockito.verify(n).setVal(); // NO DEFECT BUT FAILS!
```

Refactor --- Give Yourself Something to Test

```
class Number {
 private int val;
 public void setVal(int v) { val = v; }
class Example {
 public int setSquared(Number n, int v) {
    int ret = v*v;
   n.setVal(ret);
    return ret;
@Test void testAddTen() {
 Example ex = new Example();
 Number n = Mockito.mock(Number.class);
 assertEquals(9, ex.setSquared(n, 3));
```

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Give yourself something to test
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

No TUFs Inside TUCs

That is, no

Test-Unfriendly Features (TUFs)

inside

Test-Unfriendly Constructs (TUCs)

Examples of Test-Unfriendly Features

- Printing to console
- Reading/writing from a database
- Reading/writing to a filesystem
- Accessing a different program or system
- Accessing the network
- Code that you typically want to fake using stubs

Examples of Test-Unfriendly Constructs

- Private methods
- Final methods
- Final classes
- Class constructors / destructors
- Static methods
- Code that is hard to fake using stubs

No TUFs Inside TUCs

- In other words ...
- Do not put code that you want to fake (TUFs) inside methods that are hard to fake (TUCs)

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Give yourself something to test
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

Make it Easy to Satisfy Preconditions

- Dependence on external data == Bad
- What is external data?
 - Value of global variables
 - Value extracted from a global data structure
 - Value read from a file or database
 - Basically any value that you did not pass in as arguments
 - Also colloquially known as side-effects

Make it Easy to Satisfy Preconditions

```
// Bad
public float getCatWeight(Cat cat) {
  int fishWeight = Fish.weight;
  // Because the cat ate the fish
  return cat.weight + fishWeight;
}
```

- Why? Fish.weight is external data not in arguments.
 - Not good code in general: dependency embedded deep in implementation (Coders may modify Fish.weight and inadvertently impact getCatWeight)
 - Not good code for testing: easy to miss these in the preconditions

Refactor

```
// Better
public float getCatWeight(Cat cat, int fishWeight) {
  return cat.weight + fishWeight;
}
```

- Why? Now fishWeight is explicit in the arguments.
 - Nobody is surprised when getCatWeight changes when fishWeight changes
 - Easy to test: no preconditions at all!
- All values are passed through arguments
 - Otherwise known as a pure function
 - Why functional languages are easier to test and lead to fewer defects

Make it Easy to Satisfy Preconditions

- We have to access external data somewhere, where do we do it?
- 1. If you make use of arguments, you will need less global variables
 - With the original getCatWeight:

```
Fish.weight = 5;
int weight = getCatWeight(cat);
With refactored getCatWeight:
int weight = getCatWeight(cat, 5);
```

- 2. For the remaining external data
 - Segregate hard-to-test code with side-effects into a small corner
 - Keep as many methods *pure* as possible

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Give yourself something to test
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

Make it Easy to Reproduce

- Dependence on random data == Bad
 - Random data makes it impossible to reproduce result

```
// Bad
public Result playOverUnder() {
   // random throw of the dice
   int dieRoll = (new Die()).roll();
   if (dieRoll > 3) {
     return RESULT_OVER;
   }
   else {
     return RESULT_UNDER;
   }
}
```

Refactor

```
public Result playOverUnder(Die d) {
  int dieRoll = d.roll();
  if (dieRoll > 3) {
    return RESULT OVER;
  else {
   return RESULT UNDER;
  Why better? Now you can mock Die and stub d.roll():
  Die d = Mockito.mock(Die.class);
  Mockito.when(d.roll()).thenReturn(6);
  playOverUnder(d);
```

Even Better

```
public Result playOverUnder(int dieRoll) {
  if (dieRoll > 3) {
    return RESULT_OVER;
  }
  else {
    return RESULT_UNDER;
  }
}
```

• Why better? Now don't even have to mock or stub anything! playOverUnder (6);

Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Give yourself something to test
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

Make it Easy to Localize

```
// Bad
public class House {
  private Room bedRoom;
  private Room bathRoom;
  public House() {
    bedRoom = new Room("bedRoom");
    bathRoom = new Room("bathRoom");
  public String toString()
    return bedRoom.toString() + " " + bathRoom.toString();
  Why? No way to mock bedRoom or bathRoom and stub toString().
```

Refactor

```
public class House {
  private Room bedRoom;
  private Room bathRoom;
  public House(Room r1, Room r2) {
    bedRoom = r1;
    bathRoom = r2;
  public String toString() {
    return bedRoom.toString() + " " + bathRoom.toString();
  Now we can easily mock and stub:
  Room bedRoom = Mockito.mock(Room.class);
  Room bathRoom = Mockito.mock(Room.class);
  House house = new House (bedRoom, bathRoom);
```

Make it Easy to Localize

• This is called dependency injection

- Dependency injection: Passing a dependent object as argument rather than building it internally
 - Makes testing easier by allowing you to mock that object
 - Has other software engineering benefits like decoupling the two classes

Dealing with Legacy Code



Image from https://goiabada.blog

Dealing With Legacy Code

- In most classes, you had it easy
 - You either wrote greenfield code (that is, code from scratch)
 - Or modified code that your professor wrote to make it easy on you
- The real world is seldom so tidy
 - Code is often written hurriedly under pressure, with no consideration for testing
 - Often there is no documentation and you aren't even sure how the code works
- Where do you even start?

Start by Writing Pinning Tests

- Pinning Test: A test done to pin down existing behavior
 - Note: existing behavior may be different from expected behavior
 - Want to pin down all behavior, bugs and all, before modifying
 - Even obscure corner case behaviors may sometimes be used
 - Must make sure these don't get accidentally modified
- Pinning tests are typically done using unit testing
 - Where do I look for places where I can unit test?
 - Look for seams!

Look for Seams in your Legacy Code

- Seams: Places where behavior can be modified without modifying code
- Example with no seam:

```
String read(String sql) {
   DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
   return db.executeSql(sql);
}
```

- Hard to unit test since we need a working DB connection
- Example with seam:

```
String read(String sql, DatabaseConnection db) {
  return db.executeSql(sql);
}
```

Easy to unit test by passing a mock db and stubbing db.executeSql

Look for Seams in your Legacy Code

Does this really have no seam?

```
String read(String sql) {
   DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
   return db.executeSql(sql);
}
```

Maybe it does, if you look closely enough!

Look for Seams in your Legacy Code

Suppose you have this legacy class

```
class Database
  String read(String sql) {
    DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
    return db.executeSql(sql);
  }
}
Now create a new class DatabaseForTesting
class DatabaseForTesting: public Database
```

String read(String sql) {
 // Pretend we have a connection and return an entry
 return "test database entry";
}

• Use DatabaseForTesting for testing purposes

Dealing With Legacy Code

- After pinning down behavior, you can start refactoring the code
- Leave the codebase better than when you found it.

Don't sink into the Swamp of Sadness.

Now Please Read Textbook Chapter 16