BAYESIAN LEARNING - LECTURE 5

Mattias Villani

Division of Statistics

Department of Computer and Information Science
Linköping University

LECTURE OVERVIEW

- Normal model with conjugate prior
- ► The linear regression model
- ► Regression with binary response

NORMAL MODEL - CONJUGATE PRIOR

▶ Model

$$y_1, ..., y_n | \theta, \sigma^2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$$

Conjugate prior

$$heta | \sigma^2 \sim N\left(\mu_0, rac{\sigma^2}{\kappa_0}
ight) \ \sigma^2 \sim \textit{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

NORMAL MODEL WITH CONJUGATE PRIOR, CONT.

Posterior

$$\theta | y, \sigma^2 \sim N\left(\mu_n, \frac{\sigma^2}{\kappa_n}\right)$$

 $\sigma^2 | y \sim Inv-\chi^2(\nu_n, \sigma_n^2).$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu_n & = & \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa_0 + n} \mu_0 + \frac{n}{\kappa_0 + n} \bar{y} \\ \kappa_n & = & \kappa_0 + n \\ \nu_n & = & \nu_0 + n \\ \nu_n \sigma_n^2 & = & \nu_0 \sigma_0^2 + (n - 1) s^2 + \frac{\kappa_0 n}{\kappa_0 + n} (\bar{y} - \mu_0)^2. \end{array}$$

Marginal posterior

$$\theta \sim t_{\nu_n} \left(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2 / \kappa_n \right)$$

THE LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL

► The ordinary linear regression model:

$$y_i = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + ... + \beta_k x_{ik} + \varepsilon_i$$
$$\varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2).$$

- ▶ Parameters $\theta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_k, \sigma^2)$.
- Assumptions:
 - $E(y_i) = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + ... + \beta_k x_{ik}$ (linear function)
 - $Var(y_i) = \sigma^2$ (homoscedasticity)
 - $\quad \mathsf{Corr}(y_i, y_j | X) = 0, \ i \neq j.$
 - ▶ Normality of ε_i .

LINEAR REGRESSION IN MATRIX FORM

▶ The linear regression model in matrix form

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon_{(n\times 1)} + (n\times 1)$$

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}, \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix}, \varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x'_1 \\ \vdots \\ x'_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nk} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Usually $x_{i1} = 1$, for all i. β_1 is the intercept.
- ► Likelihood for the full sample

$$y|\beta, \sigma^2, X \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

POSTERIOR FOR THE UNIFORM PRIOR

• Standard non-informative prior: uniform on $(\beta, \log \sigma^2)$

$$p(\beta, \sigma^2) \propto \sigma^{-2}$$

▶ Joint posterior of β and σ^2 :

$$p(\beta, \sigma^2|y) = p(\beta|\sigma^2, y)p(\sigma^2|y).$$

ightharpoonup Conditional posterior of β :

$$\beta | \sigma^2, y \sim N \left[\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} \right]$$

 $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X' y$

▶ Marginal posterior of σ^2 :

$$\begin{split} \sigma^2|y &\sim & \text{Inv-}\chi^2(n-k,s^2) \\ s^2 &= & \frac{1}{n-k}(y-X\hat{\beta})'(y-X\hat{\beta}). \end{split}$$

POSTERIOR FOR THE UNIFORM PRIOR, CONT.

 \blacktriangleright Marginal posterior of β :

$$\beta | y \sim t_{n-k} \left[\hat{\beta}, s^2 (X'X)^{-1} \right]$$

which is proper if n > k and X has full column rank.

- ▶ Simulate from the joint posterior by iteratively simulating from $p(\sigma^2|y)$ and $p(\beta|\sigma^2,y)$.
- ightharpoonup Predictive distribution of response \tilde{y} with known predictors \tilde{x}

$$\tilde{y}|y, \tilde{x} \sim t_{n-k} \left[\tilde{x}' \hat{\beta}, s^2 (1 + \tilde{x}'(X'X)\tilde{x})^{-1} \right]$$

Predictive Precision =
$$s^{-2} + \tilde{x}'(s^{-2}X'X)\tilde{x}$$

= ε -Precision + \tilde{x}' (Posterior Precision of β) \tilde{x} .

LINEAR REGRESSION - CONJUGATE PRIOR

▶ Joint prior for β and σ^2

$$\beta | \sigma^2 \sim N \left(\mu_0, \sigma^2 \Omega_0^{-1} \right)$$
$$\sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv} - \chi^2 \left(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2 \right)$$

Posterior

$$\beta | \sigma^2 \sim N \left[\mu_n, \sigma^2 \Omega_n^{-1} \right]$$

 $\sigma^2 \sim Inv - \chi^2 \left(\nu_n, \sigma_n^2 \right)$

where

$$\mu_n = (X'X + \Omega_0)^{-1} (X'X\hat{\beta} + \Omega_0\mu_0)$$

$$\Omega_n = X'X + \Omega_0$$

$$\nu_n = \nu_0 + n$$

$$\nu_n\sigma_n^2 = \nu_0\sigma_0^2 + (y'y + \mu'_0\Omega_0\mu_0 - \mu'_n\Omega_n\mu_n)$$

REGRESSION WITH BINARY RESPONSE

- ▶ Response is assumed to be binary (0-1).
- Example: Predicting whether or not an e-mail is good (y = 1) or spam (y = 0). Covariates: mean word length, proportion of \$-symbols.
- ► Logistic regression

$$Pr(y_i = 1 \mid x_i) = \frac{\exp(x_i'\beta)}{1 + \exp(x_i'\beta)}.$$

Likelihood:

$$p(y|X,\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left[\exp(x_i'\beta)\right]^{y_i}}{1 + \exp(x_i'\beta)}.$$

Posterior is non-standard, but in most situation can be approximated well by a normal distribution. Optimization.

► Alternative: Probit regression

$$Pr(y_i = 1|x_i) = \Phi(x_i'\beta)$$

ASYMPTOTIC POSTERIOR - HEURISTICS

lacktriangle Taylor expansion of log-posterior around the posterior mode $heta= ilde{ heta}$:

$$\ln p(\theta|y) = \ln p(\tilde{\theta}|y) + \frac{\partial \ln p(\theta|y)}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta = \tilde{\theta}}(\theta - \tilde{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\theta|y)}{\partial \theta^2}|_{\theta = \tilde{\theta}}(\theta - \tilde{\theta})^2 + \dots$$

▶ From the definition of the posterior mode:

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(\theta|y)}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=\tilde{\theta}} = 0$$

▶ So, in large samples (where we can ignore higher order terms):

$$\ln p(\theta|y) \approx \ln p(\tilde{\theta}|y) - \frac{1}{2}H_{\mathbf{y}}(\tilde{\theta})(\theta - \tilde{\theta})^{2}$$

where
$$H_{\mathbf{y}}(\tilde{\theta}) = -\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\theta|y)}{\partial \theta^2}|_{\theta = \tilde{\theta}}$$
.

Approximate posterior

$$\theta | y \sim N \left[\tilde{\theta}, H_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(\tilde{\theta}) \right]$$

NORMAL APPROXIMATION OF POSTERIOR

- ▶ If posterior is approximately normal, sufficient to find the posterior mode and (inverse) information matrix.
- ▶ Standard (e.g. gradient-based) optimization routines may be used. (optim.r). Input: an expression proportional to $p(\theta|y)$ and initial values. Output: optimum (posterior) mode and Hessian matrix (minus observed information).
- ▶ Joint posterior $p(\theta_1, \theta_2|y)$ may not be be close to normal, but perhaps $p(\theta_2|\theta_1, y)$ and $p(\theta_2|y)$ are.
- Even if the posterior of θ is approx normal, interesting functions of θ may not be (e.g. predictions). Still need to resort to numerical methods.
- Re-parametrization $\phi = g(\theta)$ may improve normal approximation. If $\theta \ge 0$ use logs. If $0 \le \theta \le 1$, use $\text{Logit}(\theta) = \ln[\theta/(1-\theta)]$.
- ▶ Posterior mode and inverse Hessian can be used to approximate the posterior with a student-t density.