

# BAYESIAN LEARNING - LECTURE 7

Mattias Villani

**Division of Statistics  
Department of Computer and Information Science  
Linköping University**

# LECTURE OVERVIEW

- ▶ Exchangeability
- ▶ Hierarchical models

# EXCHANGEABILITY

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}$  be a permutation of  $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- ▶ Example:  $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Permutations:  $\mathcal{K} = \{2, 1, 3\}$ ,  $\mathcal{K} = \{2, 3, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{K} = \{3, 2, 1\}$  ...
- ▶  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are *exchangeable* stochastic variables if  $X_{k_1}, X_{k_2}, \dots, X_{k_n}$  has the same joint distributions for all  $n!$  permutations of  $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}$ .
- ▶ Example:  $n = 2$ .  $X_1, X_2$  are exchangeable if  $p(X_1 = a, X_2 = b) = p(X_2 = a, X_1 = b)$ .

## EXCHANGEABILITY, CONT.

- ▶  $iid \Rightarrow$  Exchangeability, but the opposite does not always hold. Exchangeability is less restrictive than  $iid$ .
- ▶ Example: Urn with  $m$  marbles:  $r$  white and  $m - r$  black. Draw  $n \leq m$  marbles without replacement

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i\text{th draw gives black marble} \\ 0 & \text{if } i\text{th draw gives white marble} \end{cases}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  are exchangeable, but not  $iid$ .

# AN HIERARCHICAL BINOMIAL MODEL

- ▶ Example:

$$y_j | \theta_j \sim \text{Bin}(n_j, \theta_j), j = 1, \dots, J.$$

- ▶ We could do inference on each  $\theta_j$  separately. Problem:  $n_j$  may be small for some  $j$ . Not much info then about  $\theta_j$ .
- ▶ If you knew  $\theta_j$ , would that give information about  $\theta_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ ? If so, then inference about the parameters  $\theta_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , may 'borrow strength' from each other.
- ▶ Extreme case: assume  $\theta_j = \theta$  for all  $j$ . Define  $y = \sum_{j=1}^J y_j$  and  $n = \sum_{j=1}^J n_j$ . Straightforward to analyze  $\theta$  with the usual Beta-Binomial approach.
- ▶ Intermediate case: tie the  $\theta$ 's together by assuming a superpopulation/prior

$$\theta_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta).$$

# AN HIERARCHICAL BINOMIAL MODEL, CONT.

- ▶ Model summary

$$y_j | \theta_j \sim \text{Bin}(n_j, \theta_j), j = 1, \dots, J.$$

$$\theta_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta).$$

$$\alpha \sim \text{Gamma}(a_1, a_2).$$

$$\beta \sim \text{Gamma}(b_1, b_2).$$

- ▶ Sample from the joint posterior of  $p(\theta, \alpha, \beta | y) = p(\theta | \alpha, \beta, y) p(\alpha, \beta | y)$  by sampling from:
  - ▶  $\theta_j | \alpha, \beta, y, j = 1, \dots, J$ , which are independent *Beta* distributions.
  - ▶  $p(\alpha, \beta | y)$  can be derived in closed form (similar to eq. 5.8), but cannot be sampled directly. Evaluate on grid and sample.

# WINBUGS CODE FOR THE HIERARCHICAL BINOMIAL MODEL

## WINBUGS CODE FOR THE HIERARCHICAL BINOMIAL MODEL

```
model{  
  for( j in 1 : J ) {  
    y[j]~dbin(theta[j],n[j])  
    theta[j]~dbeta(alpha,beta)  
  }  
  alpha~dgamma(a1,a2)  
  beta~dgamma(b1,b2)  
}
```

# THE ONE-WAY NORMAL RANDOM EFFECTS MODEL

- ▶ Consider the data model:

$$y_j | \theta_j \sim N(\theta_j, \sigma_j^2), \quad \sigma_j^2 \text{ known}$$

- ▶ At one extreme we may: estimate each  $\theta_j$  using the mean  $\bar{y}_j$  of observations in the  $j$ th group.
- ▶ At the other extreme we may: assume  $\theta_j = \theta$ , for all  $j$ . Estimate  $\theta$  with a pooling of group means  $\bar{y}_j$ .



# THE ONE-WAY NORMAL RANDOM EFFECTS MODEL, CONT.

- Intermediate: a hierarchical model

$$y_j | \theta_j \sim N(\theta_j, \sigma_j^2), \quad \sigma_j^2 \text{ known}$$

$$\theta_j | \mu, \tau \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$$

$$p(\mu, \tau) = p(\mu | \tau) p(\tau) \propto p(\tau)$$

- Here we do not assume equal group mean, yet the estimates of each  $\theta_j$  borrow strength from each other.

# WINBUGS CODE FOR NORMAL HIERARCHICAL MODEL

Normal hierarchical model with known data variances from Gelman et al. (2004). Note: WinBugs and Gelman et al. (2004) uses different notation for variances, hence the mismatch between mathematical and graphical model.

## WINBUGS CODE FOR THE NORMAL HIERARCHICAL MODEL

```
model {  
  for (j in 1:J){  
    y[j]~dnorm (theta[j], tau.y[j])  
    tau.y[j] <- pow(sigma.y[j], -2)    # tau = 1/sigma^2  
    theta[j]~dnorm (mu.theta, tau.theta)  
  }  
  tau.theta <- pow(sigma.theta, -2)  
  mu.theta~dnorm (0.0, 1.0E-6)  
  sigma.theta~dunif (0, 1000)  
}
```