Trajectory Extractor Write-up

Aubrey Clausse

August 29, 2019

1 Camera Model

1.1 Pin-Hole camera model

The pin-hole camera model is a simple model that describe the relationship between a 3D point in world coordinate frame is denoted by $\mathbf{M} = [X,Y,Z]^T$, and its projection in pixels denoted $\mathbf{m} = [u,v]^T$. We use $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ to denote the augmented vector by adding 1 as the last element. The relationship between a 3D point and its image projection is given by the pin-hole camera model [1]:

$$s\,\tilde{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{C}_{cam} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{cam} & \mathbf{T}_{cam} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{M}} \quad \text{with } \mathbf{C}_{cam} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & c_x \\ 0 & f & c_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Where \mathbf{R}_{cam} , \mathbf{T}_{cam} are the rotation and translation matrix called the extrinsic parameters, which define the position and rotation of the camera in the world coordinate frame. \mathbf{C}_{cam} denotes the camera matrix with f the focal length and c_x , c_y the principal points set at the image center.

1.2 Camera calibration

In case the camera information is not accessible, e.g videos from online streams, we describe here a calibration method used to compute the intrinsic (focal length) and extrinsic (rotation and position) camera parameters assuming no lens distortion. Using a satellite view of the area covered by the traffic camera, we identify four or more pairs of matching points between the traffic camera view and the satellite view (\mathbf{m}_i ; \mathbf{M}_i), with (\mathbf{m}_i pixel coordinate and (\mathbf{M}_i world coordinate of the i^{th} pair.

Camera parameters such as rotation, translation and focal length of the traffic camera are found by minimizing the reprojection error:

$$\min_{\mathbf{R}_{cam}, \mathbf{T}_{cam}, f} \quad \sum_{i} \|g(\mathbf{M}_{i}) - \mathbf{m}_{i}\|$$
 (2)

With $g: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ the function that projects 3D world points onto the image plane according to the pin-hole camera model defined in Eq.1.

Note: This method can easily be extended to add distortion coefficients by adding a distortion model [1] in the projection equation Eq-1, and adding these coefficients in the optimization formulation Eq-2.

Note: When **R** is close to the identity matrix (such as in bird view), the optimization problem 2 is ill-posed. To overcome this issue in this case, we arbitrary fix the focallength of the camera.

2 Trajectory Processing - Filtering / Smoothing

This step aims at taking the raw tracks measurements (pixel coodinates) and create smooth world frame trajectories with position, velocity and heading of the vehicles. To this end, a *Rauch-Tung-Striebel Smoother* is used because it allows to take into account the measurement uncertainty directly in pixels and the underlying kinematic constraints of the vehicles.

2.1 Process model

Let's define the *Bicycle Model* from [2]:

$$\dot{x} = v \cos(\psi + \beta)
\dot{y} = v \sin(\psi + \beta)
\dot{v} = u_1
\dot{\psi} = \frac{v}{l_r} \sin(\beta)
\dot{\beta} = u_2$$
(3)

With x, y position of the center of gravity, l_r , l_f distance between the center of gravity and the rear / front wheel, ψ orientation of the vehicle and β the slip angle at the center of gravity, u_1, u_2 the longitudinal acceleration control and lateral control.

Let's define the state $\mathbf{x} = [x, y, v, \psi, \beta]^T$ for the *Bicycle Model*. The process model is then defined by:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{w} \tag{4}$$

For the purpose of deriving the *Rauch-Tung-Striebel* smoothing equation, we defined the partial derivative *A* matrix as $A = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cos(\psi + \beta) & -v\sin(\psi + \beta) & -v\sin(\psi + \beta) \\ 0 & 0 & \sin(\psi + \beta) & v\cos(\psi + \beta) & v\cos(\psi + \beta) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\sin(\beta)}{l_r} & 0 & -\frac{v}{l_r}\cos(\beta) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5)

It is assumed the vehicle moves at constant velocity and sideslip angle perturbed by a zero-mean gaussian noise such that:

2.2 Measurement model

We use the pixel position of the geometric center of the vehicle on the ground as measurement. Defining the measurement vector as $\mathbf{z} = [u, v]^T$ with u, v the pixel positions, the measurement model $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{v}$ is derived from:

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \tag{7}$$

With according to the pin-hole camera model Eq.1:

$$s \,\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{C}_{cam} \,\mathbf{R}_{cam} \,\mathbf{D} \,\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{C}_{cam} \,\mathbf{T}_{cam} \quad \text{with } \mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

For the purpose of deriving the *Rauch-Tung-Striebel* smoothing equation, we defined the partial derivative H matrix as $H = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$:

$$H = \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
(9)

And from the chain rule, we have:

$$\frac{\partial s \,\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (s \otimes \mathbf{I}) + \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial s}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$$= s \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial s}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
(10)

Which gives:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{\partial s \, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial s}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \tag{11}$$

With from Eq.8:

$$\frac{\partial s \,\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{C}_{cam} \,\mathbf{R}_{cam} \,\mathbf{D} \tag{12}$$

Since $s\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = [su, sv, s]^T$, we have $s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} s \tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ which gives:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{cam} \, \mathbf{R}_{cam} \, \mathbf{D} \tag{13}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{z}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{s} \left(\mathbf{C}_{cam} \, \mathbf{R}_{cam} \, \mathbf{D} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \, \mathbf{C}_{cam} \, \mathbf{R}_{cam} \, \mathbf{D} \right) \tag{14}$$

With s and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ computed according to the measurement model directly at each measurement step. Then, H can easily be computed from 9 and 14.

It is assumed the measurements are perturbed by a zero-mean gaussian noise such that:

$$\mathbf{v} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{R}), \text{ with } \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_u & 0\\ 0 & \tau_v \end{bmatrix}$$
 (15)

2.3 Rauch-Tung-Striebel Smoother

To implement the derived RTS smoothing equations, we first convert the continoustime model defined in Sec.2.1 2.2 into a discrete model using a first order approximation according to [3] (section 8.1):

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A} + \Delta t \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathbf{Q_d} = \mathbf{Q_c} + \Delta t \mathbf{I}$$
(16)

Then we can use the regular RTS smoothing equations as defined in [3].

References

- [1] Z. Zhang, "A flexible new technique for camera calibration," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 22, pp. 1330–1334, Nov 2000.
- [2] P. Polack, F. Altch, B. d'Andra-Novel, and A. de La Fortelle, "The kinematic bicycle model: A consistent model for planning feasible trajectories for autonomous vehicles?," in 2017 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), pp. 812–818, June 2017.
- [3] D. Simon, *Optimal State Estimation: Kalman, H Infinity, and Nonlinear Approaches.* Wiley-Interscience, 2006.