



FACOLTÀ DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE

Artificial Intelligence 2009–10

Test 2 – 26th February 2010

RULES: You have 2 hours to complete the test

You can use no texts, written notes, computers, calculators, mobile phones

Please write your name and student ID on all sheets

Please answer Question 3 on a separate sheet to speed up the marking process

1. State Space (10 points)

- 1.1 Explain the difference between *tree search* and *graph search*, clarifying: (i) why and when we need graph search; (ii) what improvements to the tree search algorithm are required to implement graph search (you are not asked to describe the whole algorithm, only the relevant modifications).
- 1.2 Suggest a state space representation for the problem stated below: define a data structure for representing the states, specify the allowable actions, represent the initial state and the goal, and describe a solution. Finally say what search strategy you would choose.

There are a lake (of unlimited size), two cans (of 4 and 7 litres, respectively), and a container (of 12 litres). You are allowed to fill a can with water from the lake or from the container, and to pour the content of a can into the container or into the other can or even back into the lake. The goal is to fill the container with exactly 6 litres of water from the river. The cans and the container are initially empty.

2. CSP (10 points)

- 2.1 Define the concept of a Constraint Satisfaction Problem (CSP); in particular explain clearly the meaning of the following terms: *variable*, *domain*, *value*, *assignment*, *constraint*, *consistent assignment*, *solution*.
- 2.2 Define a map-colouring problem on a map of your choice (with at least 5 countries) as a CSP, specifying all variables, domains, and constraints. Then choose a search strategy for the solution of the problem and justify your choice. Specify and concisely define the domain-independent heuristics that you would adopt to speed up the search.

3. Logic (12 points)

- 3.1 Formalize the following sentences into first order logic formulae:
 - a) if Serena Williams teams with her sister Venus, then nobody can beat any of them;
 - b) Serena is stronger than Venus;
 - c) if Serena doesn't team with Venus, then there are tennis players who can beat her (Serena);
 - d) if nobody can beat Venus, then Serena is teaming with her.
- 3.2 Transform the first order logic formulae into clauses.
- 3.3 Try to prove by refutation with the resolution technique that sentence d is a logical consequence of sentences a - c (watch out for hidden assumptions on 'stronger'). If the procedure fails, explain why.

SOLUTION OF 1.2

Representation of states: $\langle \text{Can1}, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle$
 $0 \leq \text{Can1} \leq 4$
 $0 \leq \text{Can2} \leq 7$
 $0 \leq \text{Cont} \leq 12$

A set of actions (sufficient to solve the problem):

FillCan1:	$\langle 0, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle 4, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle$
FillCan2:	$\langle \text{Can1}, 0, \text{Cont} \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle \text{Can1}, 7, \text{Cont} \rangle$
EmptyCan1:	$\langle \text{Can1}, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle 0, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle$
EmptyCan2:	$\langle \text{Can1}, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle$	\rightarrow	$\langle \text{Can1}, 0, \text{Cont} \rangle$
Can1ToCont:	$\langle \text{Can1}, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle \wedge \text{Cont} + \text{Can1} \leq 12$	\rightarrow	$\langle 0, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} + \text{Can1} \rangle$
Can2ToCont:	$\langle \text{Can1}, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle \wedge \text{Cont} + \text{Can2} \leq 12$	\rightarrow	$\langle \text{Can1}, 0, \text{Cont} + \text{Can2} \rangle$
ContToCan1:	$\langle 0, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} \rangle \wedge \text{Cont} \geq 4$	\rightarrow	$\langle 4, \text{Can2}, \text{Cont} - 4 \rangle$
ContToCan2:	$\langle \text{Can1}, 0, \text{Cont} \rangle \wedge \text{Cont} \geq 7$	\rightarrow	$\langle \text{Can1}, 7, \text{Cont} - 7 \rangle$

Initial state: $\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$

Goal states: $\langle *, *, 6 \rangle$

A solution:

$\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$	FillCan2
$\langle 0, 7, 0 \rangle$	Can2ToCont
$\langle 0, 0, 7 \rangle$	ContToCan1
$\langle 4, 0, 3 \rangle$	FillCan2
$\langle 4, 7, 3 \rangle$	Can2ToCont
$\langle 4, 0, 10 \rangle$	EmptyCan1
$\langle 0, 0, 10 \rangle$	ContToCan1
$\langle 4, 0, 6 \rangle$	(goal)

SOLUTION OF 3

3.1

a) $\text{Team}(S,V) \Rightarrow \neg \exists x (\text{Beat}(x,S) \vee \text{Beat}(x,V))$

b) $\text{Stronger}(S,V)$

c) $\neg \text{Team}(S,V) \Rightarrow \exists x (\text{Beat}(x,S))$

d) $\neg \exists x \text{Beat}(x,V) \Rightarrow \text{Team}(S,V)$

3.2

a1) $\neg \text{Team}(S,V) \vee \neg \text{Beat}(x,S)$

a2) $\neg \text{Team}(S,V) \vee \neg \text{Beat}(x,V)$

b) $\text{Stronger}(S,V)$

c) $\text{Team}(S,V) \vee \text{Beat}(K,S)$

d) $\text{Beat}(H,V) \vee \text{Team}(S,V)$

3.3

By negating thesis d we obtain:

$\neg d) \neg \exists x \text{Beat}(x,V) \wedge \neg \text{Team}(S,V)$ from which we obtain the following clauses

$\neg d1) \neg \text{Beat}(x,V)$

$\neg d2) \neg \text{Team}(S,V)$

If we define $\text{Stronger}(a,b)$ as $\forall x (\text{Beat}(x,a) \Rightarrow \text{Beat}(x,b))$ we have:

$b^*) \neg \text{Beat}(x,S) \vee \text{Beat}(x,V)$

and we proceed with the resolution as follows:

$b^* + c = 1: \text{Team}(S,V) \vee \text{Beat}(K,V)$

$1 + \neg d1 = 2: \text{Team}(S,V)$

$2 + \neg d2 = \{ \}$.

If we define $\text{Stronger}(a,b)$ as $\text{Beat}(a,b)$ we have:

$b^\circ) \text{Beat}(S,V)$

we obtain the empty clause immediately from $b^\circ + \neg d1$.