

# **Algebra II**

Nicholas Schwab & Ferdinand Wagner

Wintersemester 2017/18

This text consists of notes of the lecture Algebra II, taught at the University of Bonn by Professor Jens Franke in the winter term (Wintersemester) 2017/18.

Please report bugs, typos etc. through the *Issues* feature of github.

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1. Krull's principal ideal theorem</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1. Formulation	3
1.2. The nilradical, the Jacobson radical and the Lemma of Nakayama(-Azumaya-Krull)	8
1.3. Regular rings	10
1.4. Derivations and the module of Kähler differentials	14
1.5. Kähler-differentials and regularity	22
1.6. Kähler differentials for field extensions	26
<b>2. Projective spaces and graded rings</b>	<b>29</b>
2.1. The projective space of a vector space	29
2.2. Graded rings and homogenous ideals	30
2.3. Projective algebraic varieties	35
<b>3. Applications of the Hilbert polynomial</b>	<b>42</b>
3.1. The Hilbert polynomial of a module over a graded ring	43
<b>A. Appendix</b>	<b>47</b>
A.1. Introduction to Krull dimension and all that	47
A.2. Localization of rings	50
A.3. "Advanced" Galois theory: trace and norm	51
A.4. Construction and basic properties of tensor products of modules over a ring	53
A.4.1. Use of the tensor product to basis-change a module	54
A.5. Sheaves	56

# Introduction

After a slight delay due to the Professor being confused by the large attendance to his lecture, Franke briefly recaps the contents of his lecture course Algebra I. Our notes to this lecture can be found [here](#) [1]. He mentions specifically

- Hilbert's Basissatz and Nullstellensatz,
- the Noether Normalization Theorem,
- the Zariski-topology on  $k^n$ ,
- irreducible topological spaces and their correspondence to the prime ideals of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,
- Noetherian topological spaces and their unique decomposition into irreducible subsets,
- the dimension of topological spaces and codimension of their irreducible subsets,
- catenary topological spaces,
- the fact that  $k^n$  is catenary and  $\dim(k^n) = n$ ,
- quasi-affine varieties,
- structure sheaves,
- the fact that quasi-affine varieties  $X$  are catenary and  $\dim(X) = \deg \operatorname{tr}(K(X)/k)$ , where  $K(X)$  is the quotient field of  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ . By the way, there is a nice alternative characterization as a direct limit (or colimit)

$$K(X) = \varinjlim_{\substack{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq X \\ U \text{ open}}} \mathcal{O}(U) .$$

- going up and going down for integral ring extensions,
- localizations.

Exercises will be held on Wednesday from 16 to 18 and Friday from 12 to 14 in Room 0.008. It is necessary to have achieved at least half the points on the exercise sheets in order to attend the exams.

Professor Franke recommends the following literature:

- Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*
- Mumford, D.: *The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes*
- Matsumura, H.: *Commutative Ring Theory* [2]

- Atiyah, M. & MacDonald, I.: *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*

The oh-so-humble authors of these notes want to use this opportunity to recommend

- Schwab, N. & Wagner, F.: *Algebra I by Jens Franke* [1].

as well. **Warning!** Somewhere in the middle of the last-mentioned text, the term *irreducible* is redefined as irreducible *and closed*. So don't let yourself get confused. Also, this lecture is intended by Professor Franke to be a continuation of Algebra I and that's why our theorem numbering will start with 11 on the next page.

# 1. Krull's principal ideal theorem

## 1.1. Formulation

**Theorem 11** (Krull's principal ideal theorem). *Let  $R$  be Noetherian,  $f \in R$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$  minimal among all prime ideals containing  $f$ . Then  $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$ . In other words,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal prime ideal (if  $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ ) or all prime ideals strictly contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$  are minimal.*

**Remark.** (a) The *height* of a prime ideal is defined as

$$\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \sup \left\{ \ell \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a strictly descending chain} \\ \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}_\ell \text{ of prime ideals } \mathfrak{p}_i \in \operatorname{Spec} R \end{array} \right\}.$$

(b) Recall the *Zariski topology* on  $\operatorname{Spec} R$ : For any ideal  $I \subseteq R$ , let

$$V(I) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

We have the following relations (which we are supposed to prove on exercise sheet #1)

$$\begin{aligned} V(I) &= V(\sqrt{I}) \\ V(I \cdot J) &= V(I) \cup V(J) \\ V\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda\right) &= \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V(I_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This implies (together with  $V(0) = \operatorname{Spec} R$  and  $V(R) = \emptyset$ ) that  $\operatorname{Spec} R$  can be equipped with a topology in which the closed subsets are precisely the subsets of them for  $V(I)$  where  $I$  is some ideal in  $R$ . This topology is Noetherian when  $R$  is, hence any closed subset can be decomposed into irreducible components. For  $V(f) = V(f \cdot R)$ , they are precisely those  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  for which  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal among all prime ideals containing  $f$ . Theorem 11 thus states that all irreducible components of  $V(f)$  have codimension smaller or equal to 1 in  $\operatorname{Spec} R$ .

**Corollary 1.** *If  $X \subseteq k^n$  is quasi-affine in  $k^n$  (with  $k$  algebraically closed) and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X) \setminus \{0\}$  then every irreducible component of  $V(f)$  has codimension 1 in  $X$ .*

**Remark 1.** (a) Let  $U \subseteq X$  be open, then there is a bijective correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{irreducible closed subsets } B \subseteq U\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irreducible closed subsets } A \subseteq X \\ \text{such that } A \cap U \neq \emptyset \end{array} \right\} \\ A \cap U &\longleftarrow A \\ B &\longmapsto \overline{B} \end{aligned}$$

(this is more or less a tedious calculation – and guess what: we have the pleasure to do it on exercise sheet #2). This shows that  $\text{codim}(A \cap U, U) = \text{codim}(A, X)$  whenever  $A \subseteq X$  is irreducible, closed and  $U \subseteq X$  open and not disjoint from  $A$ . This is known as the *locality of codimension* (cf. [1, Remark 2.1.3]).

- (b) In particular, the  $X$  from Corollary 1 may be replaced by any open subset meeting the irreducible component under consideration.
- (c) If  $Y \subseteq k^n$  is an affine algebraic variety in  $k^n$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ , then  $Y \setminus V(\lambda)$  is affine (that is, isomorphic to an affine algebraic variety, cf. [1, Proposition 2.2.4] for more details and a proof). Because of this, we may assume  $X$  to be affine: Let  $Y = \overline{X} \subseteq k^n$  and let  $C$  be the irreducible component of  $V(f)$  under consideration. Then there is a  $\lambda \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  vanishing on  $Y \setminus X$ , but not on all of  $C$ . Indeed,  $A = Y \setminus X$  and  $B = Y \setminus X \cup \overline{C}$  are closed subsets and  $A \subsetneq B$ . Then we may choose  $\lambda$  such that it vanishes on  $A$  but not on all of  $B$ , hence not on all of  $\overline{C}$ . But then  $\lambda$  can't be identically zero on  $C$  since otherwise  $\lambda = 0$  on  $\overline{C}$  by continuity. Replacing  $X$  by  $Y \setminus V(\lambda)$  we may then assume  $X$  to be affine according to (b).
- (d) Let now  $X$  be an affine variety. We saw in Algebra I (cf. [1, Corollary 2.2.2]) that there is a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{closed subsets } A \subseteq X\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{ideals } I \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X) \text{ such that } I = \sqrt{I}\} \\ A &\longmapsto I = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(X) \mid f|_A = 0\} \\ V(I) &\longleftarrow I. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Under this correspondence,  $A$  is irreducible iff the corresponding ideal is prime. (\*) follows from the special case  $X = k^n$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(X) = k[X_1, \dots, X_n] =: R$  using the (nontrivial!) fact that, for closed  $X = V(I) \subseteq k^n$  (with  $I = \sqrt{I} \subseteq R$  an ideal),  $\mathcal{O}(X) = R/I$ . For  $I$  a prime ideal, this was proved in [1, Proposition 2.2.2]. For arbitrary  $I$ , one can just copy-paste the proof given there (the primality condition is not used at all) or expand the idea outlined after Proposition A.1.2 using that  $R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$  (by the Nullstellensatz, cf. [1, Proposition 1.7.1]) has kernel  $I$ .

*Proof Corollary 1 (using Theorem 11).* Let  $C_1, \dots, C_m$  be the irreducible components of  $V(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  the corresponding prime ideals. Then  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_i$  (as  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is the ideal of functions vanishing on  $C_i \subseteq V(f)$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(X)$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ , then  $V(f) \supseteq V(\mathfrak{q}) \supseteq V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ , hence  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_i$  because the decomposition of  $X$  into maximal irreducible subsets is unique (Proposition A.1.1 or (recommended) [1, Proposition 2.1.1]). Hence, each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a minimal prime ideal containing  $f$ .

On the other hand (this was missing in the lecture), if  $\mathfrak{q} \ni f$  is a minimal prime ideal containing  $f$ , then  $V(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq V(f)$  is a maximal irreducible subset, hence among the  $C_i$  by [1, Proposition 2.1.1], hence  $\mathfrak{q}$  is among the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . We conclude that the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are the minimal prime ideals containing  $f$ . By (\*) and the principal ideal theorem,  $\text{codim}(C_i, X) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_i) \leq 1$ . But  $\text{codim}(C_i, X) > 0$  as  $X$  is irreducible and  $f \neq 0$ . *q.e.d.*

*Standalone proof of Corollary 1. Step 1.* We reduce to the case where  $X$  is affine and  $V(f)$  is irreducible. Indeed, by Remark 1(c),  $X$  may be assumed to be affine. Let  $V(f) = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$  be its decomposition into irreducible components. Since  $C_1 \not\subseteq B := C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_m$ , there is a

$\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  vanishing on  $B$  but not on  $C_1$ . By Remark 1(b), we may replace  $X$  by  $\tilde{X} = X \setminus V(\lambda)$ . Denote  $\tilde{f} = f|_{\tilde{X}} \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})$ , then  $V(f) \cap \tilde{X} = V(\tilde{f}) = C_1 \setminus V(\lambda)$  is irreducible and we may replace  $X$  and  $f$  by their tilded versions  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{f}$ .

*Step 2.* Let  $R$  be a factorial domain and  $p \in R$  prime. Then  $\text{ht}(p) = 1$ . Indeed,  $\text{ht}(p) > 0$  as  $(0) \in \text{Spec } R$  and  $p \neq 0$ . Suppose there is a prime ideal  $(0) \subsetneq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq (p)$ . Let  $g \in \mathfrak{q} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $g = q_1 \cdots q_k$  its decomposition into prime factors. We may assume that  $k$  is minimal. Since  $p \mid q_1 \cdots q_k$ , we have w.l.o.g.  $p \mid q_1$ , hence  $p$  and  $q$  differ only by a unit of  $R$  as they are both primes. But  $q_2 \cdots q_k \notin \mathfrak{q}$  by minimality of  $k$ , hence  $q_1 \in \mathfrak{q}$  as  $\mathfrak{q}$  is prime. Then also  $p \in \mathfrak{q}$ , hence  $(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ , contradiction!

*Step 3.* The principal ideal theorem holds when  $R$  is factorial. Indeed, let  $f \in R \setminus \{0\}$  and  $f = p_1 \cdots p_k$  its prime factorization. Then any prime ideal containing  $f$  contains some  $p_i$ , hence the  $(p_i)$  are the minimal prime ideals containing  $f$ . Step 2 does the rest.

*Step 4.* To reduce Corollary 1 to a situation where Step 3 can be applied, one uses the *Noether normalization theorem* (cf. [1, Theorem 3]). Suppose that  $V(f)$  is irreducible (we can do that by Step 1) and let  $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(f)}$  be the prime ideal of functions vanishing on  $V(f)$ . By Noether normalization, the finite-type  $k$ -algebra  $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$  contains algebraically independent elements  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $A$  is integral over  $B = k[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]$ . The latter is factorial, because  $B \simeq k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , the  $\lambda_i$  being algebraically independent. Denote by  $L$  and  $K$  the quotient fields of  $A$  and  $B$  and let  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p} \cap B$ ,  $f_0 = N_{L/K}(f)$ . We claim

$$f_0 \in B \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{q} = \sqrt{(f_0)}. \quad (\#)$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{q} = \sqrt{(f_0)}$  is a (actually, *the*) minimal prime ideal containing  $f_0$  since prime ideals coincide with their radicals. By Step 3 and Step 2, this implies  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) = 1$ . But  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{q})$  holds by the *going-up theorem* (cf. [1, Theorem 7] or [1, Fact 2.6.2] for this particular result), hence  $\text{codim}(V(f), X) \leq 1$ . However, as  $f \neq 0$  and  $X$  is irreducible,  $V(f)$  cannot have codimension 0.

*Step 5.* We are left to prove (#). Let  $B$  be a domain integrally closed in its field of quotients  $K$  (i.e.  $x \in K$  is integral over  $B$  iff  $x \in B$ ). Such  $B$  are called *normal*. For instance, factorial rings are always normal and we may apply the following to the situation of Step 4.

If  $L/K$  is a finite field extension and  $f \in L$  is integral over  $B$ , then so are all its images under the  $K$ -linear embeddings  $L \hookrightarrow \bar{L}$  (they satisfy the same equation as  $f$ ). As the elements of  $\bar{L}$  which are integral over  $B$  form a subring of  $\bar{L}$ , all coefficients of the characteristic polynomial  $P_{f,L/K}$  (cf. Definition A.3.1) and the minimal polynomial  $\text{Min}_{f/K}$  are integral over  $B$  by Theorem C(d). But, by definition, these two have their coefficients in  $K$  as well, hence  $P_{f,L/K}, \text{Min}_{f/K} \in B[T]$ . In particular,  $f_0 = N_{L/K}(f) \in B$ .

Now let  $\sigma = \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$  be the different  $K$ -embeddings and  $n = [L : K]$ . Then

$$f_0 = \pm \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \sigma_i(f) \right)^{n/r}$$

by Theorem C(d). We know that  $f$  is among the  $\sigma_i(f)$ , say,  $f = \sigma_1(f)$ . Replacing  $A$  by the integral closure  $\tilde{A}$  of  $B$  in  $L$  (which is possible thanks to the going-up theorem), we may assume



$\sigma_2(f) \cdots \sigma_r(f) \in A$ , hence  $f_0 \in \mathfrak{p}$  as it contains  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$  as a factor. Then  $f_0 \in \mathfrak{p} \cap B$ , hence also  $\sqrt{(f_0)} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ , as prime ideals coincide with their radicals.

To prove  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \sqrt{(f_0)}$  let  $q \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $q^m \in (f)$  for sufficiently large  $m$  as  $q \in \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{(f)}$ . Let  $q^m = fa$ ,  $a \in A$ . Since  $q^m \in B$ , we have

$$q^{mn} = N_{L/K}(q^m) = N_{L/K}(f)N_{L/K}(a) = f_0b \in (f_0)$$

for some  $b = N_{L/K}(a) \in B$ . This proves  $q \in \sqrt{(f_0)}$ . *q.e.d.*

**Theorem 12** (Krull's height theorem). *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian ring,  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  any prime ideal minimal among the prime ideals containing all the  $f_i$ . Then  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq r$ .*

The following corollary can be derived in the same way as Corollary 1 from Theorem 11.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $X$  be a quasi-affine variety in  $k^n$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and let  $Z$  be any irreducible component of  $\bigcap_{i=1}^r V(f_i) = V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . Then  $\text{codim}(Z, X) \leq r$ .*

The derivation from Corollary 1 by induction on  $r$  is significantly easier than the similar inductive derivation of Theorem 12 from Theorem 11 due to the fact that  $k^n$  is catenary. We will eventually prove Theorem 12 by Hilbert polynomial arguments.

*Proof of Corollary 2.* We use Corollary 1 and induction on  $r$ . The case  $r = 0$  is trivial. Now let  $r \geq 1$  and the assertion be true for fewer than  $r$  equations. If  $f_r = 0$  we drop  $f_r$  and apply the induction assumption:  $\text{codim}(Z, X) \leq r - 1 < r$ .

Otherwise, let  $V(f_r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^N Y_i$ , be the decomposition into irreducible components. Then  $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (Z \cap Y_i)$  and, as  $Z$  is irreducible, there is an  $i \leq N$  such that  $Z \subseteq Y_i$  (cf. [1, Proposition 2.1.1]). By Corollary 1,  $\text{codim}(Y_i, X) = 1$ . Now  $Z$  is an irreducible component of  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{r-1} V(f_j|_{Y_i})$ . Indeed, it is possible to obtain a decomposition of  $\bigcap_{j=1}^r V(f_j)$  into irreducible subsets by forming the union over  $1 \leq i \leq N$  of the decompositions of  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{r-1} V(f_j|_{Y_i})$ . Removing the non-maximal elements gives the decomposition of  $\bigcap_{j=1}^r V(f_j)$  into irreducible components, which is unique (to be the unique decomposition into irreducible components, it actually suffices, that no component is contained in another, cf. [1, Proposition 2.1.1]). As  $Z$  occurs in it, it is not a strict subset of any irreducible component of  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{r-1} V(f_j|_{Y_i})$ , hence it is an irreducible component of that. Applying the induction assumption we obtain  $\text{codim}(Z, Y_i) \leq r - 1$ . As  $X$  is catenary, we have

$$\text{codim}(Z, X) = \text{codim}(Z, Y_i) + \text{codim}(Y_i, X) \leq r - 1 + 1 = r,$$

as claimed. *q.e.d.*

**Corollary 3.** *If  $R$  is any Noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ , then  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) < \infty$ . In particular, any local Noetherian ring is finite-dimensional.*

**Remark.** The dimension of  $R$  (or  $\text{Spec } R$ ) may still be infinite for lack of a finite common bound for the heights of the maximal ideals.

**Proposition 1** ([1, Concluding remarks, Proposition 1]). *Let  $X \subseteq k^m$  and  $Y \subseteq k^n$  be affine algebraic varieties of codimensions  $a$  resp.  $b$ . Then  $X \times Y$  is an affine algebraic variety in  $k^{m+n}$  and*

$$\text{codim}(X \times Y, k^{m+n}) = a + b \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(X \times Y) = \dim(X) + \dim(Y) .$$

*Proof.* Let's first prove that  $X \times Y$  is an affine algebraic variety (this was done in [1, proof of Proposition 2.2.6] as well). Let  $X = V(\mathfrak{p})$ ,  $Y = V(\mathfrak{q})$  with  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$  prime ideals in their respective polynomial rings. Then  $X \times Y = V(I)$  where  $I \subseteq k[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$  is the ideal generated by  $\{f(X_1, \dots, X_m) \mid f \in \mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $\{g(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \mid g \in \mathfrak{q}\}$ . Hence,  $X \times Y$  is closed. To prove it's irreducible, let  $X \times Y = Z_1 \cup Z_2$  where  $Z_1, Z_2$  are closed. For every  $x \in X$  we have  $\{x\} \times Y \subseteq Z_1$  or  $\{x\} \times Y \subseteq Z_2$ , as  $Y$  is irreducible and isomorphic to  $\{x\} \times Y$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} X &= X_1 \cup X_2, \quad \text{where} \quad X_i = \{x \in X \mid \{x\} \times Y \subseteq Z_i\} = \bigcap_{y \in Y} \{x \in X \mid (x, y) \in Z_i\} \\ &= \bigcap_{y \in Y} ((X \times \{y\}) \cap Z_i) \end{aligned}$$

are closed (as every *slice*  $(X \times \{y\}) \cap Z_i$  on the right-hand side is closed), hence  $X = X_1$  or  $X = X_2$  and consequently  $X \times Y = Z_1$  or  $X \times Y = Z_2$ .

Let  $X = X_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_a = k^m$  and  $Y = Y_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Y_b = k^n$  be chains of irreducible closed subsets, then (using the that  $X_i \times Y_j$  is irreducible closed again by the above)

$$X \times Y = X_0 \times Y_0 \subsetneq X_0 \times Y_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_0 \times Y_b \subsetneq X_1 \times Y_b \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_a \times Y_b = k^{m+n}$$

is a such a chain for  $X \times Y$ , showing  $\text{codim}(X \times Y, k^{m+n}) \geq a + b$ .

Denote  $\dim(X) = d$  and  $\dim(Y) = e$ . Let  $X_0 \subsetneq X_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_d = X$  and  $Y_0 \subsetneq Y_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Y_e = Y$  be chains of irreducible closed subsets, then

$$X_0 \times Y_0 \subsetneq X_0 \times Y_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_0 \times Y_e \subsetneq X_1 \times Y_e \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_d \times Y_e = X \times Y$$

is a similar chain. Hence  $\dim(X \times Y) \geq d + e$ .

Now observe that  $a + d = m$ ,  $b + e = n$ , and  $\dim(X \times Y) + \text{codim}(X \times Y, k^{m+n}) = m + n$ , because, by Theorem A, equality occurs in (A.1.2). We conclude

$$m + n = a + d + b + e \leq \dim(X \times Y) + \text{codim}(X \times Y, k^{m+n}) = m + n$$

showing that the inequalities of the previous two steps are actually equalities. *q.e.d.*

**Theorem 13** ([1, Concluding remarks, Corollary 3]). *Let  $X, Y \subseteq k^n$  be irreducible and closed, then any irreducible component  $Z$  of  $X \cap Y$  has codimension*

$$\text{codim}(Z, k^n) \leq \text{codim}(X, k^n) + \text{codim}(Y, k^n) .$$

**Remark.** It follows that the dimension of any irreducible component of  $X \cap Y$  is greater then or equal to  $\dim(X) + \dim(Y) - n$ . Note that the assumption *does not* imply  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  unless  $X = k^n$  or  $Y = k^n$  (or, unless one takes the intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ ).

*Proof.* The intersection  $X \cap Y$  is homeomorphic to  $(X \times Y) \cap \Delta$  where

$$\Delta = \left\{ (x, y) \in k^{n+n} \mid x = y \right\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V(D_i), \quad D_i = X_i - Y_i \in \mathcal{O}(k^{n+n})$$

denotes the *diagonal* in  $k^{2n}$ . Thus, if  $Z$  is any irreducible component of  $(X \times Y) \cap \Delta$  we have  $\text{codim}(Z, X \times Y) \leq n$  by Corollary 2. Now Proposition 1 yields

$$\dim(Z) = \dim(X \times Y) - \text{codim}(Z, X \times Y) \geq \dim(X) + \dim(Y) - n$$

and hence

$$\text{codim}(Z, k^n) = n - \dim(Z) \leq 2n - \dim(X) - \dim(Y) = \text{codim}(X, k^n) + \text{codim}(Y, k^n),$$

proving the assertion. *q.e.d.*

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian domain.*

- (a) *Every  $r \in R \setminus (R^\times \cup \{0\})$  can be written as a product  $r = \prod_{i=1}^k r_i$  of irreducible factors  $r_i$ .*
- (b) *The following conditions are equivalent:*
  - ( $\alpha$ ) *The above decomposition is unique up to permutation and multiplicative equivalence of the factors.*
  - ( $\beta$ ) *For any irreducible  $p \in R$ ,  $(p) = pR$  is a prime ideal.*
  - ( $\gamma$ ) *Any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  such that  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$  is principal, i.e.  $\mathfrak{p} = (p)$  for some  $p \in R$ .*

## 1.2. The nilradical, the Jacobson radical and the Lemma of Nakayama(-Azumaya-Krull)

**Proposition 1.** *If  $R$  is any ring, then*

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R} \mathfrak{p} = \text{nil}(R) := \{f \in R \mid f^n = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sqrt{(0)}.$$

*The ideal  $\text{nil}(R)$  is called the **nilradical** of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is nilpotent, i.e.  $f^n = 0$  for some  $n$ , then  $f^n \in \mathfrak{p}$  for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$ , hence also  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$  for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Let  $f^n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $R_f$  (the localization of  $R$  at  $f^\mathbb{N} = \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$ ) is not the null ring, hence there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(R_f)$ . Its preimage  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap R$  is in  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$  as  $f$  becomes a unit in  $R_f$ . *q.e.d.*

**Corollary 1.** *There is a canonical bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{Zariski-closed subsets } A \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{ideals } I \subseteq R \text{ such that } I = \sqrt{I}\} \\ A \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R &\longmapsto I = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in A} \mathfrak{p} \\ \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} &= V(I) \longleftarrow I \end{aligned}$$

in which the irreducible sets correspond to the prime ideals.

*Proof.* For the first assertion, the only non-trivial part is that going from the right to the left and back again equals the identity. This can be seen from

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)} \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{I}, \quad (1)$$

which follows from applying Proposition `reffprop:nilradicalCapPrimeIdeals` to  $R/I$ . The assertion about prime ideals is left as an exercise (and you should have done this on exercise sheet #2!). *q.e.d.*

**Proposition 2.** *The intersection of the maximal ideals of  $R$ , called the **Jacobson-radical**, is*

$$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec } R} \mathfrak{m} = \operatorname{rad}(R) = \{r \in R \mid 1 + xr \in R^\times \text{ for all } x \in R\}. \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $r \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec } R} \mathfrak{m}$  and  $x \in R$ . If  $1 + xr \notin R^\times$  it must be contained in some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  or  $R$ . Since  $r \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $1 = 1 + xr - xr \in \mathfrak{m}$ , which is a contradiction.

Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be maximal and  $r \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{m}) = R/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field. Let  $-x \bmod \mathfrak{m}$  be inverse to  $r \bmod \mathfrak{m}$  (that being non-zero due to  $r \notin \mathfrak{m}$ ) in that field. Then  $xr + 1 \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $xr + 1 \notin R^\times$ , so  $r$  is not an element of the right hand side. *q.e.d.*

**Example 1.** If  $R$  is a local ring and  $\mathfrak{m}$  its maximal ideal, then  $\operatorname{rad}(R) = \mathfrak{m} = R \setminus R^\times$ .

The following is usually known under the name *Nakayama's lemma*. However, Professor Franke rather would like to attribute it to Azumaya and Krull (as Matsumura does in [2]). Making a compromise, it will, from now on, be cited as [NAK].

**Proposition 3** (Nakayama's lemma). *Let  $R$  be any ring,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module such that  $\operatorname{rad}(R) \cdot M = M$ . Then  $M = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_k)^t$  be generators of  $M$ . As  $M = \operatorname{rad}(R) \cdot M$  there are  $\rho_{i,j} \in \operatorname{rad}(R)$  such that  $m_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \rho_{i,j} m_j$ . In other words  $(\operatorname{id}_k - \rho) \cdot m = 0$  where  $\rho$  is the matrix formed by the  $\rho_{i,j}$ . But  $\det(\operatorname{id}_k - \rho) \equiv 1 \bmod \operatorname{rad}(R)$  by the Leibniz formula as  $\operatorname{rad}(R)$  is an ideal containing the  $\rho_{i,j}$ . By (2), we conclude  $\det(\operatorname{id}_k - \rho) \in R^\times$ . Hence, by Cramers rule,  $\operatorname{id}_k - \rho$  has an inverse matrix. Therefore  $(\operatorname{id}_k - \rho) \cdot m = 0$  implies  $m = 0$  and thus  $M = 0$ . *q.e.d.*

Applying Proposition 3 to  $M/N$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *If  $M$  is finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $N \subseteq M$  any submodule such that  $M = N + \operatorname{rad}(R) \cdot M$  then  $M = N$  (actually, it suffices  $M/N$  to be finitely generated).*

**Remark.** [NAK] is typically applied to local rings  $R$ : If  $\mathfrak{m}$  denotes the maximal ideal, then  $M = \mathfrak{m} \cdot M + N$  implies  $M = N$  if  $M$  is finitely generated.

### 1.3. Regular rings

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a  $k$ -vector space of finite dimension and*

$$\dim(R) \leq \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2).$$

*Proof.* If  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  generate the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then their images  $\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_n$  generate  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  as a  $k$ -vector space, proving finite dimensionality.

Conversely, let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that their images  $\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_n$  form a basis of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  as a  $k$ -vector space. Then  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mu_1 R + \dots + \mu_n R + \mathfrak{m}^2$  hence  $\mathfrak{m} = \mu_1 R + \dots + \mu_n R$  by Corollary 1.2.2 applied to  $M = \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $N = \mu_1 R + \dots + \mu_n R$ . By Theorem 12,  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq n$ . Thus,

$$\dim(R) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq n = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2,$$

finishing the proof. *q.e.d.*

**Definition 1** (Regularity). (a) A Noetherian local ring is called **regular** if equality occurs in  $\dim(R) \leq \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ .

(b) For algebraic varieties  $X$ , we call  $X$  **regular at  $x \in X$**  if  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is regular.  $X$  is called **regular** if it is regular at all  $x \in X$ .

**Remark 1.** If  $R$  is any Noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ , then  $(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})/(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})^2 \simeq (\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular (or  $R$  is *regular at  $\mathfrak{p}$* ) iff  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2$  has dimension  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$  as a  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space. In particular,  $R$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec } R$  iff  $\dim(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$  equals  $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ . By a result by Serre (which has an easier proof in the classical situation  $R = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ ), a regular local ring is regular at all of its prime ideals, i.e. if  $R$  is a regular local ring, then so is  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ .

A Noetherian ring  $R$  is called *regular* iff  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  or (equivalently) iff  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is regular for any  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec } R$ . These two definitions are equivalent as  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq (R_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$  if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  is prime and  $\mathfrak{m}$  a maximal ideal containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Hence, if  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is regular then so is  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by Serre's result.

Note that despite Serre's theorem there are Noetherian rings  $R$  such that

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid R_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not regular}\}$$

fails to be closed in  $\text{Spec } R$ .

**Remark.** In other words, a Noetherian local ring  $R$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$  iff  $\mathfrak{m}$  may be generated by  $\dim(R)$  elements. In general,  $R$  is regular at its maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  if (this if intentionally contains only one  $f$ !)  $\mathfrak{m}$  may be generated by  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$  elements.

**Example.** (a)  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is regular. Indeed, let  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$  be a maximal ideal. It corresponds to some  $x \in k^n$  (its only zero) and has the form  $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1 - x_1, \dots, X_n - x_n)_R$ , hence may be generated by  $n$  elements. But  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{m}) = n$  by [1, Theorem 10] from Algebra I.

(b)  $X = k^n$  is regular at all of its points, since  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \simeq R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  ([1, Proposition 2.3.4]).

(c) Any field is regular.

**Proposition 2** (Jacobian criterion of regularity). *Let  $X \subseteq k^n$  be a quasi-affine variety in  $k^n$ . Let  $I \subseteq R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the ideal of functions vanishing on  $X$ . Then  $X$  is regular at  $x \in X$  iff*

$$\dim_k \left\{ \nabla f(x) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(x) \right)_{i=1}^n \mid f \in I \right\} = \text{codim}(X, k^n).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\dim(\bar{X}) = \dim(X)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X},x} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , we may replace  $X$  by its closure  $\bar{X} = V(I)$  and assume  $X$  to be affine. Let  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$  the ideal of functions vanishing at  $x$ . The homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \mathfrak{m} &\longrightarrow k^n \\ f &\longmapsto \nabla f(x) \end{aligned}$$

of  $k$ -vector spaces is surjective since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by  $(X_1 - x_1), \dots, (X_n - x_n)$  and  $\varphi(X_i - x_i)$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  unit vector in  $k^n$ . We have  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq \ker \varphi$  (which can be easily checked on the generators  $(X_i - x_i)(X_j - x_j)$  of  $\mathfrak{m}^2$ ). On the other hand,  $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = n$  as  $k^n$  is regular at  $x$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 &\xrightarrow{\sim} k^n \\ (f \bmod \mathfrak{m}^2) &\longmapsto \nabla f(x) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

is (well-defined and) an isomorphism of  $k$ -vector spaces. Under this isomorphism, the image of  $I$  in  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is mapped to  $\mathcal{N} = \{\nabla f(x) \mid f \in I\}$ .

Denote by  $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X) = R/I$  the ideal of regular functions on  $X$  vanishing at  $x$ . Then  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}/I$ . We have  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \simeq \mathcal{O}(X)_{\mathfrak{n}}$ , hence  $X$  is regular at  $x$  iff  $\dim_k(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2) = \dim(X)$ . As  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \simeq \mathfrak{m}/(I + \mathfrak{m}^2)$ , this implies that (1) maps  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  isomorphically to  $k^n/\mathcal{N}$  (as a quotient of  $k$ -vector spaces) and  $X$  is regular at  $x$  iff

$$n - \dim \mathcal{N} = \dim(X), \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \dim \mathcal{N} = n - \dim(X) = \text{codim}(X, k^n).$$

This shows the assertion. *q.e.d.*

**Remark.** The derivatives occurring are the usual formal derivatives used in algebra. Inseparability does not play a role here as  $k$  is algebraically closed. When  $k \neq \bar{k}$  has positive characteristic and  $x \in \bar{k}^n$  has some  $x_i$  which is inseparable, the above argument collapses and  $X$  may be regular (but not *smooth*) at  $x$  even if the Jacobian criterion of regularity is violated.

**Example.** For  $\mathfrak{p} = (X^2 - X^3)$  and  $\mathfrak{q} = (Y^2 - X^4 - X^2)$  (both ideals in  $k[X, Y]$ ),  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $V(\mathfrak{q})$  have *singular points* precisely in the origin, provided that  $\text{char } k$  is 0 or greater than 3.



**Remark.** By Proposition 1 and the proof of Proposition 2,

$$\dim \{ \nabla f(x) \mid f \in I \} \leq \operatorname{codim}(X, k^n) .$$

**Remark 2.** The  $k$ -vector space  $\mathcal{N} = \{ \nabla f(x) \mid f \in I \}$  may be viewed as the *conormal space* to  $X$  at  $x$  (at least if  $X$  is regular at  $x$ ) and its complement

$$\mathcal{N}^\perp = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_i)_{i=1}^n \in k^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(x) \cdot \xi_i = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I \right\}$$

as the *tangent space* at  $x$  of  $X$ .

**Theorem 15.** Let  $X \subseteq k^n$  be quasi-affine and  $Y, Z \subseteq X$  be irreducible closed subsets and  $C$  be any irreducible component of  $Y \cap Z$ . If there is at least one point  $x \in C$  such that  $X$  is regular at  $x$ , then

$$\operatorname{codim}(C, X) \leq \operatorname{codim}(Z, X) + \operatorname{codim}(Y, X) .$$

**Remark 3.** Let  $n = 4$ , identify  $k^4$  with the space of  $2 \times 2$ -matrices and let  $X = \{ A \mid \det A = 0 \}$ . This has dimension 3 (where  $X$  turns out to be irreducible), and

$$Y = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in k \right\} , \quad Z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid c, d \in k \right\}$$

are irreducible closed subsets of codimension 1 and (thus) dimension 2. But  $Y \cap Z = \{0\}$  has codimension 3 in  $X$ .

*Proof of Theorem 15.* First of all, passing to the respective closures (which doesn't change codimension, e.g. by the *locality of codimension*, cf. Remark 1.1.1(a)), we may assume that  $X, Y, Z$  are affine.

*Step 1.* As in the proof of Theorem 13, let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal in  $k^{2n}$ . We will show the following: If  $f_1, \dots, f_d \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  generate the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , then there exists an affine open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  and preimages of the  $f_i$  in  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  (which we will also call  $f_i$ ) such that

$$\Delta \cap (U \times U) = \{ (y, z) \in U \times U \mid f_i(y) = f_i(z) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d \} = V(g_1, \dots, g_d) \quad (*)$$

(where  $g_i(y, z) = f_i(y) - f_i(z)$ ), possibly after shrinking  $U$ . As  $U$  is required to be *affine open*, i.e.  $U = X \setminus V(h)$  for some  $h \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ , we obtain that  $Y \cap U = Y \setminus V(h|_Y) =: Y'$  and  $Z \cap U = Z \setminus V(h|_Z) =: Z'$  are affine open as well, hence isomorphic to affine varieties ([1, Proposition 2.2.4]) and it makes sense to talk about vanishing sets of regular functions on  $Y'$  and  $Z'$  – which we will do now.

Consider  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d \in \mathcal{O}(Y' \times Z')$ ,  $\gamma_i(y, z) = f_i|_{Y'}(y) - f_i|_{Z'}(z)$  (this essentially restricts  $g_1, \dots, g_d$  to  $Y' \times Z'$ ). We identify  $Y \cap Z$  with  $\Delta \cap (Y \times Z)$  and thus  $C$  with its respective image, as we did in the proof of Theorem 13. Hence  $C$  is an irreducible component of  $\Delta \cap (Y \times Z)$ . Then  $C' = C \cap (U \times U)$  is an irreducible component of  $\Delta \cap (Y' \times Z') = V(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$  (here we used  $(*)$ ) and Theorem 12 together with *locality of codimension* yields

$$\operatorname{codim}(C, Y \times Z) = \operatorname{codim}(C', Y' \times Z') \leq d .$$

We silently went over an important detail:  $U \times U \subseteq X \times X$  is open again. This is easily seen as  $(X \setminus U) \times X$  and  $X \times (X \setminus U)$  are closed (using e.g. the argument from the proof of Proposition 1.1.1), but don't be fooled: this *does not* follow from *product topology stuff*; the Zariski topology on  $k^{2n}$  and  $X \times X$  is *not* the product of the Zariski topologies on  $k^n$  or  $X$ .

Enough of that. From Proposition 1.1.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{codim}(Y \times Z, X \times X) &= \dim(X \times X) - \dim(Y \times Z) \\ &= (\dim(X) - \dim(Y)) + (\dim(X) - \dim(Z)) \\ &= \operatorname{codim}(Y, X) + \operatorname{codim}(Z, X) \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{codim}(C, X) &= \dim(X) - \dim(C) \\ &= \dim(X) - (\dim(X) + \dim(X) - \operatorname{codim}(C, X \times X)) \\ &= \operatorname{codim}(C, X \times X) - \dim(X) \\ &= \operatorname{codim}(C, Y \times Z) + \operatorname{codim}(Y \times Z, X \times X) - \dim(X) \\ &\leq \operatorname{codim}(Y, X) + \operatorname{codim}(Z, X) + d - \dim(X) \\ &= \operatorname{codim}(Y, X) + \operatorname{codim}(Z, X), \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $d = \dim(X)$ , which is possible for an appropriate choice of the  $f_i$  when  $X$  is regular at  $x$  (by [NAK],  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$  can be generated by  $\dim(X)$  elements, cf. the proof of Proposition 1 or [1, Concluding remarks, Lemma 1(c)]).

*Step 2.* Let  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  and  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$  be the prime ideal defining  $k^\ell \times \{0\}^{m-\ell}$  respectively the maximal ideal defining  $\{0\}^m$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \cap \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathfrak{p}$ . This can be shown as follows. Since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by  $X_1, \dots, X_m$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  is generated by the  $X_i X_j$  (with  $i, j$  not necessarily distinct). Similarly,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the ideal generated by  $X_{\ell+1}, \dots, X_m$ . If  $f \in R$  lies in both  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ , each monomial of  $f$  must be divisible by some  $X_i X_j$  as well as by some  $X_{\ell+r}$ . Then this monomial is divisible by  $X_i X_{\ell+r}$  or  $X_j X_{\ell+r}$ , hence contained in  $\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathfrak{p}$ . Now  $f \in \mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathfrak{p}$  because each monomial lies in that ideal.

*Step 3.* Let  $\xi \in k^m$ ,  $L \subseteq k^m$  an affine subspace containing  $\xi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the prime ideal defining  $L$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  the maximal ideal defining  $\{\xi\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq I \cdot \mathfrak{m}$ . This can be reduced to the previous step by an affine automorphism of  $k^m$ .

*Step 4.* Let  $x \in k^n$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_x \subseteq S = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  the maximal ideal defined by  $x$ ,  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S$  such that their images generate  $\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2$ . Then there is  $h \in R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$  such that  $h(x, x) \neq 0$  and  $h \cdot \mathfrak{p}_\Delta \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)_R$  where  $g_i(y, z) = f_i(y) - f_i(z)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_\Delta \subseteq R$  is the prime ideal of functions vanishing on  $\Delta = \{(y, y) \mid y \in k^n\}$ . To see this, let  $\xi = (x, x)$  and  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_\Delta \cdot R_{\mathfrak{m}_\xi}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_\xi \subseteq R$  denotes the maximal ideal of functions vanishing on  $\xi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}_\xi \cdot R_{\mathfrak{m}_\xi}$  denote the maximal ideal of the local ring  $R_{\mathfrak{m}_\xi}$ . From the previous step it follows that

$$\mathfrak{n}^2 \cap \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \cdot \mathfrak{n}. \quad (2)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$  be the ideal generated by the images of the  $g_i$ . Our long-term goal is to show  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_\Delta$ . We claim that there are  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  such that  $g = f - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i g_i$  is in  $\mathfrak{m}_\xi^2$ . Indeed, by



the isomorphism (1) this is equivalent to  $\nabla g(\xi) = 0$ . Since  $f|_{\Delta} = 0$ , we have  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(\xi) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_i}(\xi) = 0$  at  $\xi \in \Delta$  and the same for the  $g_i$ . Thus, it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial X_i}(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial X_i}(x) \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n$$

(the second equality is tautological), which is possible since the  $f_i$  generate  $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$ , hence the  $\nabla f_i(x)$  generate  $k^n$  by the isomorphism (1). It follows that  $\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta} \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)_R + (\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\xi}^2) = (g_1, \dots, g_n) + \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta} \cdot \mathfrak{m}_{\xi}$  (the second equality follows from Step 3). This is still true in the localization at  $\mathfrak{m}_{\xi}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{q} \cdot \mathfrak{n}$ . By Corollary 1.2.2, we have  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}$ .

Now let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$  generate  $\mathfrak{p}_{\Delta}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta} \cdot R_{\mathfrak{m}_{\xi}}$  is generated by the images of the  $g_i$ , there are  $h_i \notin \mathfrak{m}_{\xi}$  and such that  $h_i \cdot \varphi_i \in (g_1, \dots, g_n)_R$ . Then  $h = h_1 \cdots h_N$  fulfills  $h(\xi) = h(x, x) \neq 0$  and  $h \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{\Delta} \subseteq (g_1, \dots, g_n)_R$ .

*Step 5.* Let  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  be elements of  $S = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that their images in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  form a base of  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^2$  (again,  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$  is the maximal ideal of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , which Professor Franke would like to express as  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x} = \text{rad } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ ). Let  $f_{d+1}, \dots, f_n$  be chosen in such a way that the images of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  form a base of  $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$ . Why is this possible? We have  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^2 = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ ,  $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)$  denoting the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  of functions vanishing at  $x$ . Then  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  is a quotient of  $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$  (as we saw in the proof of Proposition 2). If  $h$  is as in the previous step,  $U = X \setminus V(h)$  has the required property. *q.e.d.*

**Remark 4** (on (2)). Let  $R$  be an arbitrary ring,  $S \subseteq R$  a multiplicative subset,  $I$  and  $J$  ideals in  $R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I + J) \cdot R_S &= I \cdot R_S + J \cdot R_S \\ (I \cap J) \cdot R_S &= I \cdot R_S \cap J \cdot R_S \\ (I \cdot J) \cdot R_S &= (I \cdot R_S) \cdot (J \cdot R_S) \\ \sqrt{I \cdot R_S} &= \sqrt{I} \cdot R_S \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We will only prove the second equality, they are all quite similar. The image of  $I \cap J$  is contained in both  $I \cdot R_S$  and  $J \cdot R_S$ , hence so is  $(I \cap J) \cdot R_S$ , proving  $(I \cap J) \cdot R_S \subseteq I \cdot R_S \cap J \cdot R_S$ . Conversely, let  $\rho \in (I \cdot R_S) \cap (J \cdot R_S)$ . Since  $\rho \in I \cdot R_S$ ,  $\rho = \frac{i}{s}$  where  $i \in I$  and  $s \in S$ . Since  $\rho \in J \cdot R_S$ ,  $\rho = \frac{j}{t}$  where  $j \in J$  and  $t \in S$ . Since  $\frac{i}{s} = \frac{j}{t}$  in  $R_S$ , there is  $\sigma \in S$  such that  $\sigma it = \sigma js$ . Then  $\rho = \frac{\sigma it}{\sigma st} = \frac{\sigma js}{\sigma st} \in (I \cap J) \cdot R_S$ . *q.e.d.*

## 1.4. Derivations and the module of Kähler differentials

**Definition 1** (Derivations). Let  $A$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $A$ -module,  $d: A \rightarrow M$  a homomorphism of the additive group. We say that  $d$  is a **derivation** of  $A$  with values in  $M$  if it satisfies the *Leibniz rule*

$$d(a \cdot b) = b \cdot d(a) + a \cdot d(b) .$$

If  $A$  is an  $R$ -algebra and  $A \xrightarrow{d} M$  a derivation of  $A$ , we call  $d$   *$R$ -linear* if the following equivalence conditions hold:

(a)  $d(r) = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ .

(b)  $d(r \cdot a) = r \cdot d(a)$  for all  $r \in R, a \in A$ .

Let  $\text{Der}(A, M)$  denote the set of derivations with values in  $M$ . This can be given a canonical  $A$ -module structure via  $(a \cdot d)(b) = a \cdot d(b)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d \in \text{Der}(A, M)$ . Note that we always have  $d(1) = 0$  as  $d(1) = d(1 \cdot 1) = d(1) + d(1)$  by the Leibniz rule. Now, assuming  $d(r \cdot a) = r \cdot d(a)$  for all  $r \in R, a \in A$ , we obtain  $d(r) = d(r \cdot 1) = 0$ . Conversely, if  $d(r) = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ , then  $d(a \cdot r) = a \cdot d(r) + r \cdot d(a) = r \cdot d(a)$  by the Leibniz rule. Hence, (a) and (b) are indeed equivalent. *q.e.d.*

**Remark 1.** The set  $\text{Der}_R(A, M)$  of  $R$ -linear derivations forms an  $A$ -submodule of  $\text{Der}(A, M)$ .

**Example 1.** A derivation  $d \in \text{Der}_R(R[X_1, \dots, X_n], M)$  is uniquely determined by the tuple  $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in M^n$  via

$$\begin{aligned} d &\longmapsto (dX_1, \dots, dX_n) \\ \left( P \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial P}{\partial X_i} \cdot m_i \right) &\longleftrightarrow (m_1, \dots, m_n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the left hand side is indeed a derivation:

$$d(PQ) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial(PQ)}{\partial X_i} \cdot m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( P \cdot \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X_i} + Q \cdot \frac{\partial P}{\partial X_i} \right) m_i = P \cdot d(Q) + d(P) \cdot Q.$$

It is easy to see that the two maps are inverse to each other.

**Remark.**  $\text{Der}(A, -)$  and  $\text{Der}_R(A, -)$  are functors: If  $M \xrightarrow{\mu} N$  is a homomorphism of  $A$ -modules then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Der}(A, M) &\longrightarrow \text{Der}(A, N) \\ d &\longmapsto \mu \circ d \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of  $A$ -modules and similar for  $\text{Der}_R(A, M)$  and  $\text{Der}_R(A, N)$ .

The previous Example 1 can be re-formulated as saying that

$$\begin{aligned} d: A = R[X_1, \dots, X_n] &\longrightarrow A^n \\ P &\longmapsto \nabla P = \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial X_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial P}{\partial X_n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is the *universal*  $R$ -linear derivation of  $A$ : Any  $\delta \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$  can be uniquely expressed as  $\delta = \mu \circ d$  where  $A^n \xrightarrow{\mu} M$  is a uniquely determined  $A$ -linear homomorphism.

**Definition 2** (Kähler differentials). Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra. A **module of Kähler differentials** for  $A/R$  is an  $A$ -module  $\Omega_{A/R}$  together with  $d_{A/R} \in \text{Der}_R(A, \Omega_{A/R})$  satisfying the following universal property:

For any  $A$ -module  $M$  and any  $\delta \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$  there is a unique  $A$ -homomorphism  $\Omega_{A/R} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\delta} & M \\
 d_{A/R} \searrow & & \nearrow \exists! \varepsilon \\
 & \Omega_{A/R} &
 \end{array}$$

It is worth pointing out that we thus defined  $\Omega_{A/R}$  by an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -definition!

**Remark.** (a) The universal property characterizes  $\Omega_{A/R}$  up to unique isomorphism (if it exists): If  $\tilde{d}_{A/R} \in \text{Der}_R(A, \tilde{\Omega}_{A/R})$  has the same universal property, there is a unique isomorphism  $\Omega_{A/R} \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{\Omega}_{A/R}$  such that  $\tilde{d}_{A/R} = i \circ d_{A/R}$ . In fact, the universal property of  $d_{A/R}$  shows the existence and uniqueness of a homomorphism  $i$  with this property. Reversing the roles of  $d_{A/R}$  and  $\tilde{d}_{A/R}$  we also obtain  $\tilde{\Omega}_{A/R} \xrightarrow{j} \Omega_{A/R}$  such that  $d_{A/R} = j \circ \tilde{d}_{A/R}$ . Then  $\alpha = j \circ i$  satisfies  $d_{A/R} = \alpha \circ d_{A/R}$  which implies  $\alpha = \text{id}_{\Omega_{A/R}}$  by the universal property of  $\Omega_{A/R}$ . Exchanging  $d_{A/R}$  and  $\tilde{d}_{A/R}$  gives  $i \circ j = \text{id}_{\tilde{\Omega}_{A/R}}$ . Thus,  $i$  is an isomorphism.

- (b) For  $A = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $\Omega_{A/R} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A \cdot dX_i \simeq A^n$  with  $d_{A/R}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial P}{\partial X_i} \cdot dX_i$  is a module of Kähler differentials.
- (c) If  $A$  is a quotient of  $R$  (i.e.  $R \rightarrow A$  is surjective) then  $\text{Der}_R(A, M) = 0$  for all  $M$ , hence  $\Omega_{A/R} = 0$ .

**Definition 3** (Free module). The free  $A$ -module  $F$  with generating set  $M$ ,  $F = \bigoplus_{m \in M} A$ , is the  $A$ -module of functions  $f: M \rightarrow A$  with finite support. We define  $\delta_x \in F$  by  $\delta_x(y) = \delta_{x,y}$  (i.e.  $\delta_x(x) = 1$  and  $\delta_x(y) = 0$  for  $y \neq x$ ).

**Remark.** (a) One often thinks of  $F$  as the module of formal (finite)  $A$ -linear combinations of  $M$  with  $f = \sum_{x \in M} f(x)x$  instead of  $f = \sum_{x \in M} f(x)\delta_x$ .

- (b) We have a bijection, for any  $A$ -module  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(M, N) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(F, N) \\
 v &\longmapsto \left( f \mapsto \sum_{m \in M} f(m)v(m) \right) \\
 (m \mapsto \varphi(\delta_m)) &\longleftarrow \varphi.
 \end{aligned}$$

In other words, mapping  $X$  to the free  $A$ -module generated by  $X$  as a functor from  $\text{Set}$  to the category of  $A$ -modules is *left-adjoint* to the forgetful functor from the category of  $A$ -modules to  $\text{Set}$ .

**Proposition 1.** A module  $\Omega_{A/R}$  of Kähler differentials exists for any  $R$ -algebra  $A$ .

*Proof.* We follow the *brute-force* approach to constructing  $\Omega_{A/R}$ . Let  $F_A$  be the free  $A$ -module generated by the set  $A$  itself and let  $K \subseteq F_A$  the submodule generated by the following three types of elements:

- (a)  $\{\delta_x + \delta_y - \delta_{x+y} \mid x, y \in A\}$

$$(b) \{ \delta_r \mid r \in R \}$$

$$(c) \{ x\delta_y + y\delta_x - \delta_{xy} \mid x, y \in A \}$$

Let  $\Omega_{A/R} = F_A/K$ ,  $F \xrightarrow{\pi} \Omega_{A/R}$  be the projection to the quotient and put  $d_{A/R}(a) = \pi(\delta_a)$ . It is easy to see that  $d_{A/R} \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$  e.g.

$$d_{A/R}(ab) = \pi(\delta_{ab}) = \pi(\delta_{ab} - a\delta_b - \delta_a) + a\pi(\delta_b) + b\pi(\delta_a) = a \cdot d_{A/R}(b) + b \cdot d_{A/R}(a)$$

as  $\delta_{ab} - a\delta_b - \delta_a \in K$  and by the definition of  $d_{A/R}$ .

Let  $(A \xrightarrow{d} M) \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$ . By the universal property of  $F_A$  there is a unique morphism  $c \in \text{Hom}_A(F_A, M)$  such that  $d(a) = c(\delta_a)$ . We claim that  $c$  vanishes on  $K$ .

- $c(\delta_a + \delta_b - \delta_{a+b}) = c(\delta_a) + c(\delta_b) - c(\delta_{a+b}) = d(a) + d(b) - d(a+b) = 0$  as  $d$  is additive.
- $c(\delta_r) = d(r) = 0$  when  $r \in R$  as  $d$  is  $R$ -linear.
- $c(a\delta_b + b\delta_a - \delta_{ab}) = a \cdot d(b) + b \cdot d(a) - d(ab) = 0$  by the Leibniz rule.

Consequently, due to the universal property of quotient modules, there is a unique  $\delta \in \text{Hom}_A(F_A/K, M)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_A & \xrightarrow{d} & M \\ \pi \searrow & & \nearrow \exists! \delta \\ & F_A/K & \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore,  $F_A/K = \Omega_{A/R}$  satisfies the universal property.

*q.e.d.*

In many cases, the module of Kähler differentials can be calculated using  $\Omega_{R[X_1, \dots, X_n]} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R[X_1, \dots, X_n]dX_i$  and two exact sequences which follow in a straight forward way from

**Fact 1.** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $A$  an  $R$ -algebra.

(a) Let  $I \subseteq A$  be any ideal,  $M$  any  $A/I$ -module,  $A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/I$  the projection, then

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Der}_R(A/I, M) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_R(A, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A/I}(I/I^2, M) \quad (1)$$

is exact. Herein, the morphism  $\text{Der}_R(A/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(A, M)$  is defined by  $d \mapsto \delta = d \circ \pi$  and  $\text{Der}_R(A, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A/I}(I/I^2, M)$  by  $\delta \mapsto \varphi = (i \bmod I^2 \mapsto \delta(i))$ .

(b) Let  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra,  $M$  an  $B$ -module, then we have the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \text{Der}_A(B, M) \hookrightarrow \text{Der}_R(B, M) &\longrightarrow \text{Der}_R(A, M) \\ d &\longmapsto d|_A. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* We will first proof (b). The exactness on the left end is obvious, as is the vanishing of the composition  $\text{Der}_A(B, M) \hookrightarrow \text{Der}_R(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(A, M)$ . Let  $d \in \text{Der}_R(B, M)$  such that  $0 = d|_A$ , then  $d$  is  $A$ -linear, i.e.  $d \in \text{Der}_A(B, M)$ .

Now about (a). That  $\text{Der}_R(A/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(A, M)$  is well-defined is obvious, as derivations are compatible with applying ring homomorphisms on the right. To show that the rightmost

arrow is well-defined, we first need to show that  $\delta \in \text{Der}_R(A, M)$  vanishes on  $I^2$ . If  $i, j \in I$ , then  $\delta(i \cdot j) = i \cdot \delta(j) + j \cdot \delta(i) = 0$  as  $I \cdot M = 0$ , hence  $\delta$  indeed vanishes on  $I^2$  as  $I^2$  is generated by the  $ij$  where  $i, j \in I$ . It follows that  $\varphi$  is indeed a homomorphism of abelian groups. Let  $\alpha = a \bmod I \in A/I$  and  $i \in I$ , then  $\varphi(\alpha \cdot (i \bmod I^2)) = \delta(a \cdot i) = i \cdot \delta(a) + a \cdot \delta(i) = \alpha \cdot \delta(i)$  showing that  $\varphi$  is  $(A/I)$ -linear as stated.

Exactness on the left end follows from the surjectivity of  $A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/I$ . The fact that the composition  $d \in \text{Der}_R(A/I, M) \mapsto \delta \in \text{Der}_R(A, M) \mapsto \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{A/I}(I/I^2, M)$  is zero is also obvious:  $\varphi(i \bmod I^2) = \delta(i) = d(\pi(i)) = d(0) = 0$ . Finally, let  $\delta$  be such that  $\varphi = 0$ . For  $i \in I$ ,  $\delta(i) = \varphi(i \bmod I^2) = 0$ . Hence there exists a unique group homomorphism  $A/I \xrightarrow{d} M$  such that  $\delta = d \circ \pi$ . The Leibniz rule for  $d$  follows from the analogous rule for  $\delta$  and the surjectivity of  $\pi$ . *q.e.d.*

**Fact.** Let  $A$  be any ring,  $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  a sequence of  $A$ -homomorphisms, then this sequence is exact iff  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M'', T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M', T)$  is exact for any  $A$ -module  $T$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $A$  an  $R$ -algebra.*

(a) *If  $I \subseteq A$  is any ideal, we have a canonical short exact sequence of  $(A/I)$ -modules*

$$I/I^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A/I \xrightarrow{\beta} \Omega_{(A/I)/R} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

(b) *If  $B$  is any  $A$ -algebra, we have a canonical short exact sequence of  $B$ -modules*

$$\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\kappa} \Omega_{B/R} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Omega_{B/A} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

**Remark.** (a) Tensor products as occurring above are used for change of base:  $M \otimes_A B$  is a  $B$ -module together with a morphism  $M \rightarrow M \otimes_A B$ ,  $m \mapsto m \otimes_A 1$  with the following universal property:

If  $T$  is any  $B$ -module and  $M \xrightarrow{\tau} T$  any  $A$ -linear homomorphism, then there is a unique homomorphism  $M \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{t} T$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\tau} & T \\ \searrow - \otimes_A 1 & & \nearrow \exists! t \\ & M \otimes_A B & \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e.  $\tau(m) = t(m \otimes_A 1)$ . In particular, there is an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_A(M, T) \simeq \text{Hom}_B(M \otimes_A B, T)$  of  $B$ -modules.

For instance,  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  is the complexification of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $V$ . We put  $m \otimes_A b = b \cdot (m \otimes_A 1)$ . One easy special case is  $B = A/I$  in which case

$$M \otimes_A (A/I) = M/(I \cdot M), \quad m \otimes_A (a \bmod I) := (a \cdot m) \bmod (I \cdot M)$$

has the desired universal property. More about tensor products may be found in the appendix, section [A.4](#).

- (b) Using and 3 the calculation of  $\Omega_{R[T_1, \dots, T_n]/R}$  it is possible to calculate  $\Omega_{(A/I)/R}$  (where  $A = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ ) as the cokernel of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & I/I^2 & \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/R}/(I \cdot \Omega_{A/R}) \\ f \bmod I^2 & \searrow & \wr \downarrow \\ & \nabla f \bmod I & (A/I)^n \end{array}$$

Since any  $R$ -algebra of finite type has the form  $A/I$ , this provides a way to calculate  $\Omega_{B/R}$  for such  $R$ -algebras  $B$ . Since Example 1 is not (really) limited to case of finitely many variables, other  $R$ -algebras could be treated as well.

*Proof of Corollary 1.* Let us first construct the involved canonical homomorphisms.

- By the universal property of  $d_{B/R}$ , the derivation  $d_{B/A}: B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}$  (which is  $R$ -linear) hence has a unique representation

$$d_{B/A} = \lambda \circ d_{B/R},$$

in which  $\lambda$  is an  $B$ -homomorphism  $\Omega_{B/R} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Omega_{B/A}$ .

- Composing  $d_{B/R}: B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}$  with  $A \rightarrow B$  gives us an element of  $\text{Der}_R(A, \Omega_{B/R})$ , which, by the universal property of  $\Omega_{A/R}$ , is given by a unique  $A$ -module-homomorphism

$$\Omega_{A/R} \xrightarrow{\kappa'} \Omega_{B/R}.$$

By the universal property of  $- \otimes_A B$ ,  $\kappa'$  is given by a unique  $B$ -module-homomorphism

$$\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\kappa} \Omega_{B/R}.$$

In other words,  $\kappa$  is the unique  $B$ -module-homomorphism such that  $\kappa(d_{A/R}(a) \otimes_A b) = b \cdot d_{B/R}(a)$ .

- The  $A/I$ -homomorphism  $\beta$  is uniquely determined by

$$\beta(d_{A/R}(a) \bmod (I \cdot \Omega_{A/R})) = d_{(A/I)/R}(a).$$

In other words, this is the special case  $B = A/I$  of  $\kappa$ .

- We put

$$\alpha(i \bmod I^2) = d_{A/R}(i) \bmod (I \cdot \Omega_{A/R}).$$

In other words,  $\alpha$  is obtained by applying  $\text{Der}_R(A, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A/I}(I/I^2, M)$  from Fact 1 to the derivation  $A \xrightarrow{d_{A/R}} \Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}/I \cdot \Omega_{A/R} =: M$ .

It remains to show exactness. By this unnamed fact, it is sufficient to show that exactness holds after applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_B(-, T)$  for any  $B$ -module  $T$  (where in (a) we have the special case  $B = A/I$ ). Note that

$$\text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}, T) \simeq \text{Der}_R(A, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A B, T) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}, T)$$

by the universal properties of  $\Omega_{A/R}$  and  $- \otimes_A B$ . Hence, applying  $\text{Hom}_B(-, T)$  transforms (3) and (4) into (1) and (2) respectively, thus showing exactness by Fact 1. *q.e.d.*

**Fact 1a.** This should actually be in Fact 1, but we refuse to change a fact that was stated two lectures ago.

- (c) When  $X \subseteq R$  is multiplicative and  $M$  an  $A_X$ -module, then we have an isomorphism of  $A_X$ -modules

$$\mathrm{Der}_{R_X}(A_X, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Der}_R(A, M). \quad (5)$$

- (d) When  $S \subseteq A$  is multiplicative and  $M$  an  $A_S$ -module, then we have an isomorphism of  $A_S$ -modules

$$\mathrm{Der}_R(A_S, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Der}_R(A, M). \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Both maps are defined by composition of derivations with the ring homomorphisms  $A \rightarrow A_X$  respectively  $A \rightarrow A_S$ , so they are well-defined.

To prove (c), take any element  $d \in \mathrm{Der}_R(A, M)$ . This is  $R$ -linear and hence defines a unique homomorphism  $A_X \xrightarrow{d} M$  of  $R_X$  modules, by our assumption on  $M$  and the universal property of the localization. To confirm the Leibniz rule, look at

$$d\left(\frac{a}{x} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\xi}\right) = \frac{d(a \cdot \alpha)}{x \cdot \xi} = \frac{\alpha \cdot d(a) + a \cdot d(\alpha)}{x \cdot \xi} = \frac{\alpha}{\xi} \frac{d(a)}{x} + \frac{a}{x} \frac{d(\alpha)}{\xi} = \frac{\alpha}{\xi} d\left(\frac{a}{x}\right) + \frac{a}{x} d\left(\frac{\alpha}{\xi}\right).$$

This proves surjectivity and injectivity follows from the uniqueness of  $d$ .

To show (d), let  $d \in \mathrm{Der}_R(A_S, M)$  be an element of the kernel, then  $d(a)$  when  $a$  is in the image of  $A$  in  $A_S$ . Let  $\alpha \in A_S$ , then there is  $\sigma$  in the image of  $S$  in  $A_S$  such that  $\sigma \cdot \alpha$  is in the image of  $A$  in  $A_S$ . Then

$$0 = d(\sigma \cdot \alpha) = \sigma \cdot d(\alpha) + \alpha \cdot d(\sigma) = \sigma \cdot d(\alpha)$$

implies  $d(\alpha) = 0$  since  $\sigma \in (A_S)^\times$ . This shows injectivity of the map. For surjectivity, let  $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}_R(A, M)$  and put  $d\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \frac{s \cdot \delta(a) - a \cdot \delta(s)}{s^2}$  (the *quotient rule*). We have

$$d\left(\frac{\sigma \cdot a}{\sigma \cdot s}\right) = \frac{\sigma \cdot s \cdot \delta(\sigma \cdot a) - \sigma \cdot a \cdot \delta(\sigma \cdot s)}{\sigma^2 \cdot s^2} = \frac{s \cdot \delta(a) - a \cdot \delta(s)}{s^2}$$

showing that  $d: A_S \rightarrow M$  is well-defined. That  $d(R) = 0$  is trivial, as is the additivity and the Leibniz rule is easily verified. *q.e.d.*

**Corollary 1a.** Same as Fact 1a, this should have been stated a long time ago.

- (c) When  $X \subseteq R$  is multiplicative, there is a canonical isomorphism of  $A_X$ -modules

$$\Omega_{A_X/R_X} \xleftarrow[\iota]{\sim} (\Omega_{A/R})_X = \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A_X \quad (7)$$

(as  $A_X = A \otimes R_X$ , we could have taken the tensor product  $- \otimes_R R_X$  as well).

- (d) When  $S \subseteq A$  is multiplicative, there is a canonical isomorphism of  $A_S$ -modules

$$\Omega_{A_S/R} \xleftarrow{\sim} (\Omega_{A/R})_S = \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A_S. \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Corollary 1, we should first agree on how the morphisms are supposed to look like.

- As  $A \rightarrow A_X \xrightarrow{d_{A_X/R_X}} \Omega_{A_X/R_X}$  is an  $R$ -linear derivative of  $A$ , there is a unique morphism  $\Omega_{A/R} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{A_X/R_X}$  of  $A$ -modules such that the left of the below diagrams commutes. By the universal property of the localization  $\Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow (\Omega_{A/R})_X = \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A_X$  there is a unique  $A_X$ -module homomorphism  $\iota$  such that the right diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A_X \\ d_{A/R} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{A_X/R_X} \\ \Omega_{A/R} & \xrightarrow{\exists! \alpha} & \Omega_{A_X/R_X} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{A/R} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Omega_{A_X/R_X} \\ - \otimes_A 1 \searrow & & \nearrow \exists! \iota \\ \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A_X & & \end{array}$$

- Similarly, since  $A \rightarrow A_S \xrightarrow{d_{A_S/R}} \Omega_{A_S/R}$  is an element of  $\text{Der}_R(A, \Omega_{A_S/R})$  there is a unique morphism  $\Omega_{A/R} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{A_S/R}$  of  $A$ -modules such that the left of the below diagrams commutes. By the universal property of  $\Omega_{A/R} \rightarrow (\Omega_{A/R})_S = \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A_S$  there is a unique  $\iota$  such that the tight diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A_S \\ d_{A/R} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{A_S/R} \\ \Omega_{A/R} & \xrightarrow{\exists! \alpha} & \Omega_{A_S/R} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{A/R} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Omega_{A_S/R} \\ - \otimes_A 1 \searrow & & \nearrow \exists! \iota \\ \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A_S & & \end{array}$$

To show that  $\iota$  is an isomorphism in (c), it is sufficient to show that we have an isomorphism after applying  $\text{Hom}_{A_X}(-, T)$  to both sides for every  $A_X$ -module  $T$ . This is so because of [this](#) unfortunately unnamed fact applied to the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A_X \xrightarrow{\iota} \Omega_{A_X/R_X} \rightarrow 0$  (which is exact iff  $\iota$  is an isomorphism). But

$$\text{Hom}_{A_X}(\Omega_{A_X/R_X}, T) \simeq \text{Der}_{R_X}(A_X, T)$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{A_X}(\Omega_{A/R} \otimes_A A_X, T) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/R}, T) \simeq \text{Der}_R(A, T)$$

by various universal properties, hence (c) reduces to Fact 1a(c).

Part (d) works just the same.

*q.e.d.*

**An alternative construction of  $\Omega_{A/R}$ .** Consider the map  $A \otimes_R A \xrightarrow{m} A$ ,  $a \otimes \alpha \mapsto a \cdot \alpha$ . It turns out that this morphism of  $R$ -modules is a ring morphism. Let  $I$  denote its kernel. Let  $\Omega_{A/R} = I/I^2$ , turned into an  $A$ -module using  $A \rightarrow A \otimes_R A$ ,  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ . Let  $d: A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/R}$  be given by  $a \mapsto (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a) \bmod I^2$ . It turns out that the Leibniz rule holds and that the universal property for  $R$ -linear derivatives of  $A$  is satisfied.



## 1.5. Kähler-differentials and regularity

**Definition 1** (Locally free). Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $R$ -module. We say that  $M$  is **locally free** at  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$  if there is  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $M_f$  is a free  $R_f$ -module. When  $M_f$  is free of rank  $d$  we call  $M$  *locally free of rank  $d$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$* . We simply call  $M$  a *locally free  $R$ -module (of rank  $d$ )* if it is locally free (of rank  $d$ ) at every prime ideal.

**Remark.** (a) Since each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is contained in a maximal ideal, it is sufficient to require  $M$  being locally free at every maximal ideal in Definition 1.

(b) When  $\operatorname{Spec} R$  is disconnected, there may be  $R$ -modules  $M$  which are locally free but not of a rank  $d$  for any fixed  $d$ . In this situation, there is a locally constant function  $d$  on  $\operatorname{Spec} R$  such that  $M$  is locally free of rank  $d(\mathfrak{p})$  at every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ .

Indeed, suppose that  $f, g \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $M_f \simeq R_f^d$ . Localizing the rest of  $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  as well, we get

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_f \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq R_f^d \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_f \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}})^d = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^d.$$

In particular,  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module and its rank obviously doesn't depend on  $f$ . Thus, in the above situation the rank function  $d(\mathfrak{p})$  is well-defined. Moreover, our argument shows that it is constant on the open set  $\operatorname{Spec} R \setminus V(f) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$  for any  $f$  such that  $M_f$  is free over  $R_f$ , hence  $d$  is indeed locally constant.

(c) Probably this definition is not quite what you would expect from a *locally free* module and rather one would like to have an  $R$ -module  $M$  locally free if every localization  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  at a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is free over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . For *finitely presented* modules  $M$  (in particular, every finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring) this is indeed equivalent. It even suffices to have the  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  free over  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . If you are brave enough to face The Stacks Project, a proof of this can be found in [Stacks, Tag 00NX]. If not, have a look at Corollary 1.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic variety. For a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module  $M$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *For all  $x \in X$ ,  $\dim_k(M/\mathfrak{m}_x M) = n$  where  $\mathfrak{m}_x \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)$  is the maximal ideal of functions vanishing at  $x$ .*
- (b)  *$M$  is locally free of rank  $n$ .*

**Remark.** The  $k$ -vector spaces appearing here (and also in Proposition 2) come from the fact that the residue field  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}_x) = \mathcal{O}(X)/\mathfrak{m}_x$  is canonically isomorphic to  $k$ . However, the  $k$ -vector space structure (or actually  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}_x)$ -vector space structure) of  $M/\mathfrak{m}_x M$  does depend on  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ , so keep that in mind when we write  $k$  for short instead of  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}_x)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ . If  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \in M$  are such that their images in  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  generate this  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space, then there is  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that their images generate  $M_f$  as an  $R_f$ -module.*

*Proof.* Let  $m_1, \dots, m_\ell$  be generators of  $M$  as an  $R$ -module. Their images in  $M_f$  generate  $M_f$  as an  $R_f$ -module for every  $f$ . Moreover, their images generate  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since the images of  $\mu_i$  generate  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as a  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space, we have  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}} + N$  where  $N \subseteq M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodule generated by the image of the  $\mu_i$ . By [NAK] we have  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = N$ . In particular, the  $\mu_i$  generate  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, thus there are  $\rho_{i,j} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that  $m_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_{i,j} \mu_i$  holds in  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Taking a common denominator of the  $\rho_{i,j}$ , we find  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  and  $r_{i,j} \in R_f$  such that  $m_j = \sum_{i=1}^k r_{i,j} \mu_i$  in  $M_f$ . Then the images of the  $\mu_i$  generate  $M_f$  as an  $R_f$ -module. *q.e.d.*

The following wasn't treated in the lecture but we include it anyway (at the prize of post-poning the proof of Proposition 1) because we think it really helps understanding the notion of local freeness.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $R$  is Noetherian,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  a prime ideal such that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is free over  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , then there is an  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $M_f$  is already free over  $R_f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in M$  be such that their images generate  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ . By Lemma 1, there is an  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that their images generate  $M_f$ . We then obtain a surjective map  $R_f^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} M_f$  and thus an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \varphi \longrightarrow R_f^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} M_f \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Localizing at  $\mathfrak{p}$  turns  $\varphi$  into an isomorphism  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , hence  $(\ker \varphi)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  as localization is an exact functor. Then  $0 = \ker \varphi \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})$  can be generated by zero elements, hence so can  $(\ker \varphi)_g$  for some  $g \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  by Lemma 1. Then also  $(\ker \varphi)_{fg} = (\ker \varphi)_g \otimes R_f = 0$  and localizing the above exact sequence at  $fg$  we obtain an isomorphism  $R_{fg}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{fg}$ . *q.e.d.*

*Proof of Proposition 1.* We first prove that (b) implies (a). Let  $R = \mathcal{O}(X)$ , if (b) holds, then for any  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  as in (a) there are  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in M_f$ , for some  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}_x$  which freely generate  $M_f$  as an  $R_f$ -module. Then their images in  $M/\mathfrak{m}_x M$  generate this as a  $k$ -vector space and from

$$k^n = (R/\mathfrak{m}_x R)^n = (R/\mathfrak{m}_x R)_f^n = (R_f/\mathfrak{m}_x R_f)^n \simeq M_f/\mathfrak{m}_x M_f = (M/\mathfrak{m}_x M)_f = M/\mathfrak{m}_x M$$

we conclude that the images of  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  then indeed must form a basis. Here we used some well-known facts about the behaviour of quotients under localizations (cf. [1, Proposition 2.3.2(e)]) and  $R/\mathfrak{m}_x R = (R/\mathfrak{m}_x R)_f$  and  $(M/\mathfrak{m}_x M)_f = M/\mathfrak{m}_x M$  since  $f$  is already invertible in  $k$ .

Let (a) be satisfied,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_x$  any maximal ideal containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Applying (a) at  $x$  we obtain,  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in M$  such that their images in  $M/\mathfrak{m}_x M$  form a base of that  $k$ -vector space. By applying Lemma 1, there is  $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}_x \subseteq R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that the  $\mu_i$  generate  $M_f$  as an  $R_f$  module. We claim that they do so freely. Suppose that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \mu_i \quad \text{in } M_f ,$$

where  $r_i \in R_f$  not all zero. Multiplying by a suitable power of  $f$  we may assume  $r_i \in R$  and

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \mu_i \quad \text{in } M .$$

Without losing generality let  $r_1 \neq 0$ . As  $X$  is irreducible, there is  $y \in X \setminus (V(f) \cup V(r_1))$ . Then the  $\mu_i$  generate  $M/\mathfrak{m}_y M$  because they generate  $M_f$  as an  $R_f$ -module and  $f(y) \neq 0$ . But we have

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(y) \mu_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_y M}$$

in  $M/\mathfrak{m}_y M$  with the first coefficient  $r_1(y) \neq 0$ . Hence the images of  $\mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  already generate  $M/\mathfrak{m}_y M$ , hence  $\dim_k(M/\mathfrak{m}_y M) < n$ , which is a contradiction to (a). *q.e.d.*

**Remark.** (a) A natural generalization of Proposition 1 would be

If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and there is a natural number  $\ell$  such that

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})}((M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})) = \ell \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R,$$

then  $M$  is locally free of rank  $\ell$ .

However, this is *wrong*! For example, if  $R$  is such that  $\#\operatorname{Spec} R = 1$  but fails to be a field (e.g.  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  for  $p$  prime or  $k[X]/(X^{2017})$ ), then there are modules which are finitely generated but not free (e.g.  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the only maximal ideal), hence not locally free (since  $R \setminus \mathfrak{m} \subseteq R^\times$ ). But the function  $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  has no choice but to be constant as  $\#\operatorname{Spec} R = 1$ .

However again, if  $R$  has no nilpotent elements, the generalization is true and the proof of Proposition 1 works. Instead of  $y \in X \setminus (V(f) \cup V(r_1))$  in the last step of the above proof we now need to find a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$  such that  $r_1, f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{q}$  to arrive at the same contradiction. Equivalently, we need to assure that  $r_1 f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ . But  $r_1 f \neq 0$  (as otherwise  $r_1$  would be 0 in  $M_f$ ) and the intersection of all prime ideals is the nilradical  $\operatorname{nil}(R)$  which is  $(0)$  in our case, hence such a  $\mathfrak{q}$  may be found.

(b) The closest analogue to Proposition 1 probably is

If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and there is a natural number  $\ell$  such that

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})}(M/\mathfrak{m}M) = \dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})}(M \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})) = \ell \quad \text{for any maximal ideal } \mathfrak{m},$$

then  $M$  is a locally free  $R$ -module of rank  $\ell$ .

but this fails unless  $R$  is, in addition to  $\operatorname{nil}(R) = (0)$ , a *Jacobson ring*: The maximal ideals of  $R$  form a dense subset of every closed subset of  $\operatorname{Spec} R$ . For instance, algebras of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$  or any field or any principal ideal domain with infinitely many prime ideals may be taken (when  $\operatorname{nil}(R) = (0)$ ), but not local rings which are not fields (as  $M = R/\mathfrak{m}$  is a counterexample).

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic variety of dimension  $\dim(X) = n$  over the algebraically closed field  $k$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $X$  is regular.
- (b)  $\Omega_{R/k}$  is a locally free module of rank  $n$  over  $R = \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

**Remark.** (a) If  $\Omega_{R/k}$  is locally free at  $x \in X$  (i.e. it is locally free at  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ ) then  $X$  is regular in some neighbourhood of  $x$  and in particular at  $x$ . This holds since, if  $(\Omega_{R/k})_f$  is free and  $f(x) \neq 0$ ,

- $\Omega_{R_f/k} \simeq (\Omega_{R/k})_f$  is a free  $R_f$ -module, hence so is any further localization.
- $X \setminus V(f)$  is an affine open neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $\mathcal{O}(X \setminus V(f)) \simeq R_f$ .

(b) It turns out (in Section 1.6) that  $\Omega_{R/k}$  is locally free of rank  $\dim(X)$  if it is locally free at all.

(c) When  $k$  is an arbitrary field, an  $R$ -algebra of finite type is called *smooth* if  $\Omega_{R/k}$  is locally free of rank  $\dim(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$  at every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . When  $k$  is perfect this is equivalent to the regularity of  $R$ .

**Lemma 2.** *When  $A/R$  is of finite type,  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module.*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is of finite type,  $A \simeq B/I$  with  $B = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and some ideal  $I \subseteq B$ . We have seen that  $\Omega_{B/R} \simeq B^n$  is finitely generated. But the exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \otimes_R A \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/R} \longrightarrow 0$$

from Corollary 1.4.1 expresses  $\Omega_{A/R}$  as a quotient of  $\Omega_{B/R} \otimes_R A \simeq A^n$  which is finitely generated over  $B/I \simeq A$ . Hence  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is finitely generated over  $A$ . *q.e.d.*

*Proof of Proposition 2.* Let  $x \in X$ . Let  $X$  be realized as a closed irreducible subset  $V(I) \subseteq k^m$ , where  $I$  is a prime ideal in  $S = k[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ . Then  $R \simeq S/I$  and by Corollary 1.4.1 we have a short exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \otimes_k R \longrightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Omega_{S/k} \otimes_k R \simeq S^m \otimes_k R \simeq R^m$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_x \subseteq R$  the maximal ideals of functions vanishing at  $x$ . Taking tensor products over  $R$  with  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}_x) \simeq k$  (as we pointed out before: although  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}_x)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $k$ , the  $k$ -vector space structures involved do depend on  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ ) gives a sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I/I^2 \otimes_R k & \longrightarrow & R^m \otimes_R k & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \parallel & & \\ I/(\mathfrak{m}_x I + I^2) & \longrightarrow & k^m & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & f \longmapsto & \nabla f(x) & & & \end{array}$$

which is exact since the functor  $- \otimes_R M$  is *right-exact* for any  $R$ -module  $M$  (cf. Fact A.4.1). By Proposition 1  $\Omega_{R/k}$  being locally free is equivalent to  $\dim_k(\Omega_{R/k}/\mathfrak{m}_x \Omega_{R/k}) = \dim_k(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes k) = n$  for all  $x \in X$ . By exactness of the above sequences of  $k$ -vector spaces, this is equivalent to the image of  $I/I^2 \otimes_R k \rightarrow k^n$  having dimension  $m - n$ . But by the bottom row, this image is given by  $\mathcal{N} = \{\nabla f(x) \mid f \in I\}$  and by the Jacobian criterion (Proposition 1.3.2),  $X$  is regular at  $x \in X$  iff  $\dim_k \mathcal{N} = m - n$ , thus proving the assertion. *q.e.d.*

## 1.6. Kähler differentials for field extensions

In this section Professor Franke presented some nice-to-know results but without any proofs. However, we try our best to sketch most of the proofs.

**Proposition 1.** (a) If  $L/k$  is a separable (algebraic) field extension,  $\Omega_{L/k} = 0$ .

(b) More generally, if  $L/k$  is separable in the sense that  $L$  is algebraic and separable over  $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  a transcendence basis of  $L/k$ , then

$$\dim_L \Omega_{L/k} = \deg \operatorname{tr}(L/k)$$

and  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$  form a basis of the  $L$ -vector space  $\Omega_{L/k}$ .

**Remark.** (a) If  $K = k$ ,  $L = K(X)$  (the field of rational functions, where  $X$  is an affine algebraic variety of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ ) this implies that  $\Omega_{K(X)/k} \simeq \Omega_{\mathcal{O}(X)/k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(X)} K(X)$  (note that  $\Omega$  commutes with localization) has dimension  $\deg \operatorname{tr}(K(X)/k) = \dim(X)$ . Hence  $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(X)/k}$  must be locally free of rank  $\dim(X)$  if it is locally free at all.

(b) In characteristic 0, the condition in Proposition 1(b) is automatically fulfilled.

(c) Finiteness of  $n$  actually isn't necessary.

*Proof of Proposition 1.* Part (a) appeared as problem 3 on sheet #5 and we consider it an easy exercise.

For part (b), recall that any  $K$ -valued derivation of  $K$  can be uniquely extended to an  $L$ -valued derivation of  $L$  (that was also part of the exercise) and Professor Franke immediately remarks, that this generalizes to  $V$ -valued  $K$ -derivations being uniquely extendible to  $L \otimes_K V$ -valued  $L$ -derivations (choose a basis, then every component of a derivation is again a derivation).

In particular,  $K \xrightarrow{d_{K/k}} \Omega_{K/k}$  uniquely extends to a derivation  $L \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K L$  which is  $k$ -linear since  $d_{K/k}$  already vanishes on  $k$ . By the universal property of  $\Omega_{L/k}$ , the derivation  $\delta$  factors over a unique homomorphism  $\Omega_{L/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K L$ .

On the other hand, we have the canonical exact sequence

$$\Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K L \longrightarrow \Omega_{L/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{L/K} \longrightarrow 0$$

in which  $\Omega_{L/K} = 0$  by (a) and the left-most arrow is inverse to homomorphism  $\Omega_{L/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K L$  we just constructed. By exercise 1 of sheet #6,  $\Omega_{K/k}$  is the  $K$ -vector space freely generated by  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$  and we're done. *q.e.d.*

**Proposition 2.** Let  $L/k$  be a finitely generated field extension of char  $p > 0$ .

(a)  $\Omega_{L/k} = 0$  iff  $L/k$  is algebraic separable.

(b) If  $k^p = k$  (i.e.  $k$  is perfect) then  $\dim_L \Omega_{L/k} = \deg \operatorname{tr}(L/k)$ .

*Proof.* Assertion (a) is not so trivial and we refer to [3, Proposition 5.6].

For (b), a result due to F.K. Schmidt says that every extension (algebraic or not) of a perfect field is separable. For finitely generated field extensions we use the notion of separability from Proposition 1. In general, *separable* means that every finitely generated subextension is separable. To prove Schmidt's result, consider a maximal separable subextension  $Z/k$ , then  $L/Z$  is algebraic and purely inseparable and we also may assume it is finite. Then  $L^{p^e} \subseteq Z$  for some  $e \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , hence  $L^{p^e}$  is a separable extension of  $k$ . Now  $k = k^{p^e}$  as  $k$  is perfect (i.e. the Frobenius is bijective), hence  $L/k$  is separable since  $L/k$  is isomorphic to  $L^{p^e}/k^{p^e}$  via the Frobenius. Also cf. [3, Proposition 5.18]. *q.e.d.*

**Proposition 3.** *If  $A$  is an algebra of finite type over a perfect field  $k$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m}\text{-Spec } A$  then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is regular, i.e.  $A$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ .
- (b)  $\Omega_{A/k}$  locally free at  $\mathfrak{m}$ .
- (c)  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is locally free of rank  $\dim(A_{\mathfrak{m}})$  at  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

We will be quite sketchy and only give a full prove in the case that  $A$  is a domain.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $k$  be a field and  $R$  a Noetherian local  $k$ -algebra with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that the residue field  $A/\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$  is finitely generated and separable over  $k$ . Then the canonical homomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$$

*is injective.*

*Proof.* Replacing  $R$  by  $R/\mathfrak{m}^2$  doesn't change  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Moreover, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^2/\mathfrak{m}^4 \longrightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{(R/\mathfrak{m}^2)/k} \longrightarrow 0,$$

which tensored by  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$  (tensoring is right-exact) becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^2/\mathfrak{m}^3 \longrightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{(R/\mathfrak{m}^2)/k} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The left-most arrow is obtained by applying  $d_{R/k}$  to a representative  $\mu \in \mathfrak{m}^2$  of  $(\mu \bmod \mathfrak{m}^3)$  and we know that  $\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$  factors over  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  (becoming the morphism we would like to show is surjective). Hence the left-most arrow is the 0-morphism, which proves  $\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq \Omega_{(R/\mathfrak{m}^2)/k} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$  and we may indeed replace  $R$  by  $R/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , thus assuming  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ .

Our strategy now is first to show that  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$  can be embedded into  $R$  leading to a (non-canonical) isomorphism  $R \simeq \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ . Using this, we will construct a left-inverse of the above map.

Let  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) = k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta)$  with  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r$  a transcendence base of  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})/k$  and  $\zeta$  separable over  $k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)$  with minimal polynomial  $P$ . Let  $x_i \in R$  be any lifts of the  $\xi_i$ . We need to find a lift  $z$  of  $\zeta$  such that  $P(z) = 0$ . Let  $z$  be arbitrary at first. Surely,  $P(z) \in \mathfrak{m}$  if  $\delta \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then

$$P(z + \delta) = P(z) + \delta P'(z)$$

since  $\delta^2 \in \mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ . As  $\zeta$  is separable,  $P'(z)$  is not in  $\mathfrak{m}$  and thus invertible in the local ring  $R$ . Therefore we can choose  $\delta$  appropriately and replace  $z$  by  $z + \delta$  such that  $P(z) = 0$ .

We thus have  $R \simeq \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  (we just constructed a split of the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow 0$ ). We want to construct a homomorphism  $\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  of  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector spaces which is left-inverse to the to-be-injective map. Forgetting about left-inverseness at first, we may equivalently give a map  $\Omega_{R/k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  of  $R$ -modules, that is, a derivation  $R \xrightarrow{d} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . Define  $d$  by  $d(x + \mu) = \mu$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$ ,  $\mu \in \mathfrak{m}$ . It's a straightforward check that  $d$  fulfills the Leibniz rule and is left-inverse to  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$ . We're done. *q.e.d.*

Let's see how this applies to our situation. Since  $A$  is Noetherian and of finite type,  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})/k$  is a field extension of finite type, hence finite by the Nullstellensatz (cf. [1, Theorem 4]). Then  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$  must be separable,  $k$  being perfect. We thus obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/k} \otimes_A \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})/k} \longrightarrow 0$$

(exactness on the left follows from the lemma we just showed, the rest is the first standard sequence from Corollary 1.4.1) in which  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})/k} = 0$  as  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})/k$  is algebraic and separable. Also note that  $\Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/k} \otimes_A \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) = (\Omega_{A/k})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_A \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) = \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Then

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})} \left( \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \right) = \dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \geq \dim(A_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \dim(A)$$

and equality holds, by definition, iff  $A$  is regular at  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

To see local freeness in the case of  $A$  a domain, we need another tiny lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian local domain with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , residue field  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$  and field of quotients  $K$ . Then a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  is free iff*

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})} M \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_K M \otimes_R K.$$

*Proof.* If  $M$  is free, this is immediate. So let's assume the other direction. By [NAK],  $M$  can be generated by  $d = \dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})} M \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$  elements. Let thus  $R^d \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$  be surjective, hence the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \ker \varphi \rightarrow R^d \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Tensoring with  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})$ , we obtain exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \varphi \otimes_R K \longrightarrow K^d \longrightarrow M \otimes_R K \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \varphi \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})^d \longrightarrow M \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here we tricked the right-exactness of the tensor product in the following ways: Tensoring with  $K$  is exact because it is the same as localizing at  $R \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\ker \varphi \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})^d$  is injective by a dimension count argument for which we need the hypothesis. Thus  $\ker \varphi \otimes_R \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ , hence  $\ker \varphi = 0$  by [NAK]. *q.e.d.*

*Proof of Proposition 3 if  $A$  is a domain.* By Lemma 2,  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is locally free at  $\mathfrak{m}$  iff

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = \dim_{\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m})} \left( \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{m}) \right) = \dim_K \left( \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A K \right).$$

But  $\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A K$  equals  $\Omega_{K/k}$ , which by Proposition 1 has dimension  $\deg \operatorname{tr}(K/k)$  over  $K$ . But  $\deg \operatorname{tr}(K/k) = \dim(A)$  by [1, Theorem 10] and we're done. *q.e.d.*

## 2. Projective spaces and graded rings

### 2.1. The projective space of a vector space

**Definition 1** (Projective space). Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $k$ , the **projective space**  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  is the set of one-dimensional subspaces of  $V$ . Equivalently,  $\mathbb{P}(V) = (V \setminus \{0\})/\sim$  where  $x \sim y$  iff  $x = \lambda y$  for some  $\lambda \in k^\times$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}^n(k) := \mathbb{P}(k^{n+1})$ . In particular

$$\mathbb{P}^n(k) = \{[x_0, \dots, x_n] \mid x_i \in k, \text{ not all } x_i = 0\}$$

where  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] = [y_0, \dots, y_n]$  iff there is  $\lambda \in k^\times$  such that  $x_i = \lambda y_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . The tuple  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  is called a *tuple of homogenous coordinates* for  $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ .

Let  $V(X_i) = \{[x_0, \dots, x_n] \mid x_i = 0\}$ , or more generally  $V(\ell) = \{[x] \mid x \in V \setminus \{0\}, \ell(x) = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$  for some linear functional  $\ell: V \rightarrow k$ . We have  $\mathbb{P}^n(k) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n (\mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus V(X_i))$  and we have a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} V(X_i) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(k) \\ [x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n] &\longmapsto [x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus V(X_0) &\xrightarrow{\sim} k^n = \mathbb{A}^n(k) \\ [1, y_1, \dots, y_n] &\longleftarrow (y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ [x_0, \dots, x_n] &\longmapsto \left( \frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this sense, one can view  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  as a compactification of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

For a more geometric description of this construction one can look at it as follows: Let  $H \subseteq V$  be a hyperplane,  $\tilde{H} \subseteq V$  be an affine subspace parallel to  $H$  such that  $0 \notin \tilde{H}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(H) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(H) \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ , where we map a line  $\ell$  to its intersection (a single point) with  $\tilde{H}$ . This is a bijection (a central projection, the reason why it has been called *projective space*).

Elements of  $\text{GL}(V)$  operate on  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ , with  $g \in \text{GL}(V)$  sending  $\ell \in \mathbb{P}(V)$  to  $g\ell = \{g(v) \mid v \in \ell\}$ . On homogenous coordinates the action is given by matrix multiplication (where we treat  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  as a column vector).

**Example 1** (Riemann sphere). Let  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V$  a two-dimensional  $k$ -vector space,  $L$  a one-dimensional subspace,  $W \supseteq L$  a real subspace of real dimension 3,  $S$  a sphere in  $W$  containing



0 and having  $L$  as the tangent plane there. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(V) &\longrightarrow S \\ \ell &\longmapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell = L \\ \text{the non-zero element of } \ell \cap S & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

The sphere  $S$  is the Riemann sphere of classical complex function theory.

## 2.2. Graded rings and homogenous ideals

To have an unambiguous definition of the vanishing set in  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  of  $P \in R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  (i.e. a definition of *vanishing* which does not depend on the choice of homogenous coordinates) one has to restrict to the case where  $P$  is homogenous of some degree  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . This leads to

**Definition 1** (Graded ring). A  $(\mathbb{N})$ -**graded ring** is a ring  $R$  with a decomposition  $R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i$  of its additive group as a direct sum of subgroups  $R_i$ , such that  $R_i \cdot R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$ . Every  $r \in R$  thus has a unique decomposition  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i$  where  $r_i \in R_i$  and only finitely many  $r_i$  are non-zero. The  $r_i$  are called the *homogenous components* of  $r$ . An element  $r \in R$  is *homogenous* of degree  $n$  iff  $r \in R_n$ .

**Example 1.** For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ , let

$$\alpha + \beta = (\alpha_i + \beta_i)_{i=0}^n, \quad \alpha! = \prod_{i=0}^n \alpha_i!, \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i, \quad \text{and} \quad x^\alpha = \prod_{i=0}^n x_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

Let  $R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $R_k = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=k} p_\alpha X^\alpha \mid p_\alpha \in k \right\}$ . Then  $R$  is a graded ring and the corresponding notion of *homogenous element* (i.e. *homogenous polynomial*) is the well-known one.

**Remark.** Sometimes  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded rings are also considered. The definitions are unchanged unless indicated otherwise.

**Definition 2** (Homogenous ideals). Let  $R$  be a  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z})$ -graded ring,  $I \subseteq R$  and ideal. We say that  $I$  is **homogenous** if for every  $f \in I$  the homogenous components  $f_i$  of  $f$  all belong to  $I$ .

**Example 2.** If  $R$  is a graded ring, the **augmentation ideal** is  $R_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ . In the case  $R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  (graded as in Example 1) we have  $R_+ = \{f \in R \mid f(0) = 0\}$ .

For now on let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field.

**Definition 3** (Projective vanishing set). If  $I \subseteq R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  is a homogenous ideal we put  $V(I) = V_{\text{proj}}(I) = \{[x_0, \dots, x_n] \mid f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}$  as the **projective vanishing set** of  $I$ .

**Remark 1.** (a) In this section let  $V(I) = V_{\text{proj}}(I)$  and  $V_{\text{aff}}(U) \subseteq k^{n+1}$  denote affine vanishing set as studied before.

- (b) As  $I$  is homogenous, for any given  $x \in k^{n+1}$  then condition “ $f(x) = 0$  for all  $f \in I$ ” is equivalent to “ $f(x) = 0$  for all homogenous  $f \in I$ ” which is invariant under replacing  $x$  by  $\lambda x$  for some  $\lambda \in k^\times$ . The condition to  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  used in the above definition is therefore independent of the choice of homogenous coordinates and depends on the point  $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  alone.
- (c) We have, as in the affine case,

$$\begin{aligned} V\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda\right) &= \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V(I_\lambda) \\ V(I_1 \cdot I_2) &= V(I_1 \cap I_2) = V(I_1) \cup V(I_2) \\ V(\sqrt{I}) &= V(I) . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Also, all the ideal constructions give homogeneous ideals provided that  $I, I_1, I_2$  and the  $(I_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  are homogeneous (only for  $\sqrt{I}$  this is not completely immediate).

**Proposition 1.** *For an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring  $R$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R$  is Noetherian.
- (b) Any homogenous ideal of  $R$  is finitely generated.
- (c) Any set  $\mathfrak{M} \neq \emptyset$  of homogenous ideals in  $R$  has an  $I \in \mathfrak{M}$  such that  $I \not\subseteq J$  for  $J \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $J \neq I$ .
- (d) Any ascending chain  $I_0 \subseteq I_1 \subseteq \dots$  of homogenous ideals in  $R$  becomes stationary for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.  $I_n = I_N$  for all  $n \geq N$ .
- (e)  $R_0$  is a Noetherian ring and  $R_+$  is a finitely generated ideal in  $R$ .
- (f)  $R_0$  is a Noetherian ring and  $R$  is an  $R_0$ -algebra of finite type.

**Remark 2.** Note that a homogenous ideal in  $R$  is finitely generated iff it can be generated by finitely many homogenous elements.

*Proof of Proposition 1.* The implication  $(f) \Rightarrow (a)$  follows from Hilbert’s Basissatz. That  $(a)$  implies  $(b)$  to  $(d)$  is trivial since these are special cases of the definitions of Noetherianness. We conclude  $(d)$  from  $(c)$  by applying  $(c)$  to  $\mathfrak{M} = \{I_0, I_1, \dots\}$ . For the implication  $(d) \Rightarrow (b)$  the proof for the ungraded case still applies, as the ideal generated by a set of homogenous elements of  $R$  is homogenous and for every inclusion  $J \subsetneq I$  of ideals in  $R$  with homogenous  $I$  there is some homogenous  $f \in I \setminus J$ .

We obtain  $(e)$  from  $(b)$  since  $R_+$  is a homogenous ideal in  $R$  and for any ideal  $I$  of  $R_0$  the sum  $I + R_+$  is a homogenous ideal of  $R$  and when  $I + R_+$  is generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_d \in R_0$  and  $f_{d+1}, \dots, f_e$  (homogenous of positive degree) then  $I$  is generated by  $f_{d+1}, \dots, f_e$ .

The implication  $(e) \Rightarrow (f)$  can be seen as follows: Let  $R_+$  be generated by homogenous elements  $g_1, \dots, g_d$  and let  $S \subseteq R$  be the  $R_0$ -subalgebra generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_d$ . Then any  $f \in R$  belongs to  $S$  which can be proved by induction on the largest  $i$  for which the homogenous component  $f_i$  of  $f$  does not vanish. If this  $i$  is zero or non-existent then  $f \in R_0$ . Otherwise, let  $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j g_j$ . We may assume that  $\lambda_j$  is homogenous of degree  $i - \deg(g_j)$  as dropping the other homogenous

components only changes homogenous components of  $\lambda_j g_j$  of degree not equal to  $i$ . By the induction assumption,  $\lambda_j \in S$  and  $f - f_i = f - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j g_j \in S$ . Since the  $g_j$  are in  $S$ , also  $f \in S$ . *q.e.d.*

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $I$  be any homogenous ideal of  $R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $\sqrt{I} \subsetneq R_+$ . Then  $V(I) \neq \emptyset$  and*

$$\sqrt{I} = \{f \in R \mid f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0 \text{ when } (x_0, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \text{ and } [x_0, \dots, x_n] \in V(I)\} . \quad (2)$$

**Remark.** (a) Another description of the right hand side of (2) is the ideal generated by all homogenous  $f$  such that  $f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$  when  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in V(I)$ . This is so because if  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i$  is an element of the right hand side of (2) and  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in V(I)$  then  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i f_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in k^\times$  as the condition of (2) may be applied to  $(\lambda x_0, \dots, \lambda x_n)$ . Since there are infinitely many  $\lambda \in k^\times$ , all  $f_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$ .

(b) The condition  $\sqrt{I} \subsetneq R_+$  for homogenous ideals  $I \subseteq R$  can also be expressed as  $\dim_k(R/I) = \infty$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2.* Recall that by the affine version of the Nullstellensatz

$$\sqrt{J} = \{f \in R \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V_{\text{aff}}(J)\}$$

and  $V(J) \neq \emptyset$  for  $J \subsetneq R$ . Since we assume  $\sqrt{I} \subsetneq R_+ = \sqrt{R_+}$  this implies that there is  $x \in V_{\text{aff}}(I) \setminus V_{\text{aff}}(R_+) = V_{\text{aff}}(I) \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ , then  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in V(I)$ .

Moreover, let  $f \in R$  be such that  $f(x) = 0$  when  $x \neq 0$  and  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in V(I)$ . Then  $f(x) = 0$  when  $x \in V_{\text{aff}}(I) \setminus \{0\}$ . For such  $x$  (which exist as  $V(I) \neq \emptyset$ ) and  $\lambda \neq 0$  we have  $f(\lambda x) = 0$ . Since the Zariski closure of  $k^\times \cdot x$  in  $k^{n+1}$  is  $k \cdot x$ , we also have  $f(0) = 0$ . It follows that  $0 \in V_{\text{aff}}(f)$ , hence  $V_{\text{aff}}(f) \supseteq V_{\text{aff}}(I)$  and  $f \in \sqrt{I}$  by the affine Nullstellensatz. *q.e.d.*

**Remark.** The only homogenous ideals  $I \subseteq R$  such that  $\sqrt{I} = I$  and  $V(I) = \emptyset$  are  $I = R_+$  and  $I = R$ . Also, any homogenous ideal of  $R$  equals  $R$  or is contained in  $R_+$ .

**Definition 4** (Topology on  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ ). The Zariski topology of  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is the topology for which the closed sets of the form  $V(I)$ , for a homogenous ideal  $I \subseteq R$ .

**Remark.** By (1) and since  $V(0) = \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  and  $V(R) = V(R_+) = \emptyset$  this is a topology, and the definition does not change when only ideals in  $R_+$  are allowed.

**Proposition 3.** *There is a bijective correspondence*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{closed subsets } Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{homogeneous ideals } I \subseteq R_+ \text{ such that } I = \sqrt{I}\} \\ Z &\longmapsto \{f \in R_+ \mid f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0 \text{ when } [x_0, \dots, x_n] \in Z\} \\ Z = V(I) &\longleftarrow I . \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2. *q.e.d.*

**Remark** (Separation axioms for topological spaces). Recall the following separation axioms for a topological space  $X$ :

- $T_0$ : For all  $x \neq y \in X$  we have  $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y}$  (in other words,  $x$  has a neighbourhood not containing  $y$  or  $y$  has a neighbourhood not containing  $x$ ). This is occasionally attributed to Kolmogorov.
- $T_1$ : For all  $x \neq y \in X$ ,  $y \notin \bar{x}$  (in other words,  $x$  has a neighbourhood not containing  $y$ ; resp.  $\{x\}$  is closed).
- $T_2$ : Two points  $x \neq y \in X$  have disjoint neighbourhoods (equivalently,  $\Delta \subseteq X \times X$  is closed). Such spaces  $X$  are called *Hausdorff*.

**Proposition 4.**  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is a Noetherian  $T_1$ -space. The correspondence from Proposition 3 induces a bijection between the points of  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  and the homogeneous ideals  $I = \sqrt{I} \subsetneq R_+$  maximal with this property as well as between irreducible closed subsets and homogeneous prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq R_+$ .

*Proof.* A point  $\{\xi\} = \{[\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n]\}$  is closed as

$$\{\xi\} = \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} V(\xi_i X_j - \xi_j X_i).$$

The fact that  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is Noetherian follows from Noetherianness of  $R$  and Proposition 3 as an infinite strictly decreasing chain of closed subsets becomes a strictly increasing chain of ideals in  $R$ .

The correspondence between points and ideals also follows from Proposition 3. For the last one, note that a homogeneous ideal  $I$  is prime iff  $fg \in I$  implies  $f \in I$  or  $g \in I$  for all *homogeneous* elements  $f, g \in R$ . Indeed, suppose that  $I$  has this property and  $f, g \in R$  (not necessarily homogeneous) are such that  $fg \in I$ . Assume that  $f, g \notin I$ . Hence, if  $f_i, g_i \in R_i$  are their homogeneous components, there are minimal indices  $k$  and  $\ell$  such that  $f_k, g_\ell \notin I$ . Since  $I$  is homogeneous, the  $(k + \ell)^{\text{th}}$ -degree homogeneous component of  $fg$  must be contained in  $I$  as well. However,  $(fg)_{k+\ell} = \sum_{i+j=k+\ell} f_i g_j \notin I$  as only  $f_k g_\ell$  in this sum is not contained in  $I$ . Having established this, the arguments from the affine case may be used. *q.e.d.*

**Corollary.**  $\mathbb{P}^n(k) = V(\{0\})$  is irreducible.

**Proposition 5.** The topology on  $\mathbb{A}^n(k) \simeq \mathbb{P}^n \setminus V(X_0)$  induced from the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is the Zariski topology on  $k^n$ .

**Remark.** The same, of course, holds for  $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus V(X_j)$ .

*Proof of Proposition 5.* Let  $k^n \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ ,  $i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [1, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , be the inclusion to investigate. Let  $k[X_0, \dots, X_n] \xrightarrow{\pi} k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the ring homomorphism induced by  $X_0 \mapsto 1$ . Then

$$i^{-1}(V(I)) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid f(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\} = V(\pi(I))$$

and  $\pi(I) \subseteq k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is an ideal by surjectivity of  $\pi$ , hence  $i$  is continuous.

To show that any closed  $A \subseteq k^n$  can be represented as  $i^{-1}(B)$  for some closed  $B \subseteq k^{n+1}$ , we first construct, for any  $f \in S = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , a homogeneous polynomial  $\tilde{f} \in R$  such that

$i^{-1}(V_{\text{proj}}(\tilde{f})) = V_{\text{aff}}(f)$ . This can be done by putting

$$\tilde{f}(X_0, \dots, X_n) = X_0^d f\left(\frac{X_1}{X_0}, \dots, \frac{X_n}{X_0}\right) \in R_d$$

where  $d$  is large enough. If  $A = V_{\text{aff}}(I)$  and  $J$  denotes the ideal generated by the  $\tilde{f}$ , for all  $f \in I$ , then  $B = V_{\text{proj}}(J)$  has the desired property. *q.e.d.*

**Corollary 1.** (a) *The closed subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  are  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  and the finite subsets.*

(b)  $\text{codim}(x, \mathbb{P}^n(k)) = n$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ .

(c)  $\dim(\mathbb{P}^n) = n$ .

(d)  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is catenary.

*Proof.* For (a), let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  be closed, then  $Z \cap \mathbb{P}^1(k) \setminus V(X_0)$  and  $Z \cap \mathbb{P}^1(k) \cap V(X_1)$  are closed, hence finite (by the affine case), thus so is  $Z$ . Conversely, if  $Z$  is finite, then it is closed by Remark A.1.3.

Parts (b), (c), and (d) follow from the *locality of codimension* (cf. Remark 1.1.1(a)) and the corresponding results in the affine case. *q.e.d.*

**Definition 5.** The **affine cone**  $C(Z)$  over a closed subset  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is

$$C(Z) := \{0\} \cup \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \mid [x_0, \dots, x_n] \in Z\}.$$

**Proposition 6.**  $C(Z)$  is Zariski-closed in  $k^{n+1}$  and homogeneous in the sense that  $\lambda z \in C(Z)$  for all  $z \in C(Z)$  and  $\lambda \in k$ . One obtains a correspondence as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-empty closed homogeneous} \\ \text{subsets } C \subseteq k^{n+1} \end{array} \right\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{closed subsets } Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)\} \\ C = C(Z) &\longleftrightarrow Z \\ C &\longmapsto Z = \{[x_0, \dots, x_n] \mid (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in C \setminus \{0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Under this correspondence,  $Z$  is irreducible iff  $C(Z)$  is irreducible and  $\neq \{0\}$ . Moreover,

$$\dim(C(Z)) = \dim(Z) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{codim}(C(Y), C(Z)) = \text{codim}(Y, Z)$$

when  $Y \subseteq Z$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* The assertions about the correspondences (everything before *moreover*) all follow from Propositions 3 and 4 and the correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \text{ideals } I \subseteq R \text{ such that } I = \sqrt{I} \right\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \text{Zariski-closed subsets } C \subseteq k^{n+1} \right\} \\ \{f \in R \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in C\} &\longleftrightarrow C \\ I &\longmapsto C = V(I). \end{aligned}$$

One must of course check that an ideal  $I = \sqrt{I} \subseteq R$  is homogeneous iff  $V_{\text{aff}}(I)$  is homogeneous, but this is easy. In particular,  $C(Z)$  is irreducible iff it equals  $V_{\text{aff}}(\mathfrak{p})$  for some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $Z = V_{\text{proj}}(\mathfrak{p})$ .

We have  $\dim(C(Z)) \geq \dim(Z) + 1$  because every chain  $Z = Z_0 \supsetneq Z_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Z_d$  of irreducible subsets yields a chain

$$C(Z) = C(Z_0) \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq C(Z_d) \supsetneq \{0\}.$$

Similarly,  $\text{codim}(C(Y), C(Z)) \geq \text{codim}(Y, Z)$  by applying the same cone construction of irreducibles between  $Y$  and  $Z$ . As  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is catenary of dimension  $n$ , we have  $\dim(Z) + \text{codim}(Z, \mathbb{P}^n(k)) = n$ , hence we obtain

$$n + 1 \leq \dim(C(Z)) + \text{codim}(C(Z), C(\mathbb{P}^n(k))) = \dim(C(Z)) + \text{codim}(C(Z), k^{n+1}) = n + 1 \quad (*)$$

by affine dimension theory from Algebra 1, cf. [1, Theorem 5]. If one of the inequalities  $\dim(C(Z)) \geq \dim(Z) + 1$  or  $\text{codim}(Z, \mathbb{P}^n(k)) \leq \text{codim}(C(Z), C(\mathbb{P}^n(k)))$  was strict, the above inequality (\*) would be strict, which is impossible. It follows that

$$\text{codim}(Y, Z) = \dim(Y) - \dim(Z) = \dim(C(Y)) - \dim(C(Z)) = \text{codim}(C(Y), C(Z)),$$

as both  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  and  $k^{n+1}$  are catenary. *q.e.d.*

**Corollary 2.** *Every irreducible subset of codimension 1 in  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  has the form  $Z = V(p)$  where  $p \neq 0$  is a homogeneous polynomial of positive degree.*

*Proof.* If  $Z$  is irreducible of codimension 1 in  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ , then  $C(Z)$  has codimension 1 in  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)$ . Hence  $C(Z) = V(p)$  for some prime element  $p \in R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ , where  $p$  is uniquely determined up to multiplicative equivalence in  $R$ , i.e., up to  $k^\times$  (existence was shown in [1, Proposition 2.1.3], uniqueness up to multiplicative equivalence is rather clear). Since  $p(X)$  and  $p(\lambda X)$ , for any  $\lambda \in k^\times$ , have the same vanishing set  $C(Z)$  (since this is homogeneous), it follows that for any  $\lambda \in k^\times$  there is some  $c_\lambda \in k^\times$  such that  $p(X) = c_\lambda p(\lambda X)$ . But any such polynomial must be homogeneous (choose  $\lambda \in k^\times \setminus \bigcup_{d \leq \deg p} \mu_d$ , i.e.  $\lambda$  not a  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of unity for all  $d \leq \deg p$ ). *q.e.d.*

### 2.3. Projective algebraic varieties

**Definition 1** (Projective algebraic variety). A **projective algebraic variety** in  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is an irreducible closed subset  $Z$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ . A **quasi-projective algebraic variety** is a non-empty open subset in a projective variety.

**Definition 2** (Regular functions). Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be a quasi-projective algebraic variety.

- (a) A function  $Z \xrightarrow{f} k$  is called **regular** at  $x \in Z$  if  $x$  has an open neighbourhood  $U$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  such that there are  $p, q \in R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  which are homogeneous of the same degree, such that  $q(y_0, \dots, y_n) \neq 0$  for  $[y_0, \dots, y_n] \in U$  and

$$f([y_0, \dots, y_n]) = \frac{p(y_0, \dots, y_n)}{q(y_0, \dots, y_n)} \quad \text{whenever} \quad [y_0, \dots, y_n] \in U \cap Z.$$

We say that  $f$  is regular, or  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$ , if it is regular at every  $x \in Z$ .

- (b) Let  $\pi: k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be the projection and let, for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(d)(Z)$  be the set of all functions  $f: \pi^{-1}(Z) = C(Z) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow k$  which are homogeneous of degree  $d$ , i.e.

$$f(\lambda y_0, \dots, \lambda y_n) = \lambda^d f(y_0, \dots, y_n) \quad \text{for all } y \in C(Z) \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } \lambda \in k^\times,$$

and such that for every  $x \in Z$ , there are an open neighbourhood  $U$  and  $p \in R_{d+e}$ ,  $q \in R_e$  (for some  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that  $U \cap V(q) = \emptyset$  and such that

$$f([y_0, \dots, y_n]) = \frac{p(y_0, \dots, y_n)}{q(y_0, \dots, y_n)} \quad \text{whenever } [y_0, \dots, y_n] \in U \cap Z.$$

**Remark.** (a) The condition  $[y_0, \dots, y_n] \in U$  has to be read as including that not all  $y_i$  are 0, i.e. that  $[y_0, \dots, y_n]$  is well-defined.

- (b) The condition  $U \cap V(q) = \emptyset$  could be weakened to  $Z \cap U \cap V(q) = \emptyset$  or strengthened to  $U = \mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus V(q)$  without altering the definition.
- (c)  $\mathcal{O}(Z)$  is a  $k$ -algebra, where  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are defined pointwise. If  $(U, p, q)$  above are for  $f$ , and  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$  for  $\tilde{f}$  witness their respective regularity condition at  $[x]$ , then

$$(f + \tilde{f})([y]) = \frac{p(y)\tilde{q}(y) + q(y)\tilde{p}(y)}{(q\tilde{q})(y)}, \quad (f \cdot \tilde{f})([y]) = \frac{(p\tilde{p})(y)}{(q\tilde{q})(y)} \quad \text{for } [y] \in Z \cap U \cap \tilde{U}.$$

- (d) The set of units  $\mathcal{O}(Z)^\times$  is  $\{f \in \mathcal{O}(Z) \mid V(f) \cap Z = \emptyset\}$ . Indeed, if this happens, then in the above situation we have  $p(y) \neq 0$  for all  $y \in U \cap Z$ . Let  $\tilde{U} = U \setminus V(p)$ , then  $\tilde{U} \cap Z = U \cap Z$  and the equality  $\frac{1}{f} = \frac{q}{p}$  holds there.
- (e) We have  $\mathcal{O}(0) = \mathcal{O}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{O}(d)(Z)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\delta)(Z)$  then  $f\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(d+\delta)(Z)$  and  $\frac{1}{f} \in \mathcal{O}(-d)(Z)$  if  $f(y) \neq 0$  for  $y \in Z$ . Moreover,  $(f + \varphi) \in \mathcal{O}(d)(Z)$  if  $d = \delta$ . The  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  are *line bundles* (locally free modules of rank 1) over the *sheaf of rings*  $\mathcal{O}$  (cf. Definition A.5.2 and A.5.3).

**Example 1.** Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be a quasi-projective variety. We have  $Y = \bigcup_{i=0}^n (Y \setminus V(X_i))$  and for  $U \subseteq Y \setminus V(X_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(U) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell)(U) \\ f &\longmapsto ((x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_i^\ell f([x_0, \dots, x_n])) \end{aligned}$$

defines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}|_U \xrightarrow{x_0^\ell} \mathcal{O}(\ell)|_U$  of sheaves of (abelian groups or)  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules. Thus,  $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$  is a line bundle on  $Y$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be a quasi-projective algebraic variety, then  $Z \cap \mathbb{A}^n(k) = Z \setminus V(X_0)$  is quasi-affine or empty, and a function  $f: Z \cap \mathbb{A}^n(k) \rightarrow k$  is regular in the sense of Definition 2(a) if and only if it is regular in the sense of the quasi-affine counterpart of that definition.*

*Proof.* The first part is obvious by Proposition 2.2.5. For the comparison of the structure sheaves use  $X_0$  to homogenize (quasi-affine) regular functions; the details will be left as an exercise. q.e.d.

**Corollary.** *Quasi-projective varieties are prevarieties in the sense Definition A.5.4.*

**Corollary 1.** *If  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$  then  $Z \xrightarrow{(f_1, \dots, f_n)} \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  is Zariski-continuous.*

**Remark.** This holds when  $Z$  is any prevariety, and follows from the corresponding assertion about quasi-affine varieties.

**Corollary 2.** *If  $U \subseteq Z$  is a non-empty open subset,  $\mathcal{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$ ,  $f \mapsto f|_U$  is injective.*

*Proof.* If  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$ ,  $V(f) = \{z \in Z \mid f(z) = 0\}$  is closed in  $Z$ . If  $f|_U = 0$ , then  $U \subseteq V(f)$ . As  $Z$  is irreducible,  $U$  is dense in it. Hence  $V(f)$  is closed and dense in  $Z$ , which implies  $V(f) = Z$  and  $f = 0$ . *q.e.d.*

**Definition 3** (Field of rational functions). If  $Z$  is any prevariety, let the **field of rational functions**  $K$  be the set of all pairs  $(U, f)$  where  $U$  is a non-empty open subset,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_Z(U)$  modulo the equivalence relation  $(U, f) \sim (V, \varphi)$  iff there is a non-empty  $W \subseteq U \cap V$  such that  $f|_W = \varphi|_W$ .

**Remark.** (a) By Corollary 2,  $f|_W = \varphi|_W$  is equivalent to  $f|_{U \cap V} = \varphi|_{U \cap V}$ . One may think of  $K = \bigcup_{U \neq \emptyset, \text{ open}} \mathcal{O}(U)$  but of course that would be imprecise. However, by the sheaf axiom and Corollary 2 it follows that for any  $f \in K$  there are a largest open subset  $U$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_Z(U)$  such that  $f = (U, \varphi)/\sim$ , and  $\varphi$  is uniquely determined by  $f$ . This  $U$  is called the *domain of definition* of the rational function  $f$ .

(b) If  $Z$  had a point  $\eta_Z$  which is dense in  $Z$  (a *generic point*), we would have  $K = \mathcal{O}_{Z, \eta_Z}$  as any open  $U \neq \emptyset$  must contain  $\eta_Z$ .

(c) To show that  $K$  is a field, let  $f \in K \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $f = (U, \varphi)/\sim$ , then  $\varphi \neq 0$ . By Corollary 1,  $V(\varphi) \subseteq U$  is closed and  $(U \setminus V(\varphi), \frac{1}{\varphi})/\sim \in K$  is an inverse to  $f$  in  $K$ .

(d) If  $U \subseteq Z$  is non-empty, the fields  $K(U)$  and  $K(Z)$  of rational functions on the respective spaces are canonically isomorphic as any  $f \in K(Z)$  has a representative  $(W, \varphi)$  with  $W \subseteq U$  (if  $(V, \tilde{\varphi})$  is any representative of  $f$ ,  $W = V \cap U$  and  $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}|_W$  will do).

This enables us to derive the relation between dimension and transcendence degree from the quasi-affine case.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is quasi-projective (or, more generally, if  $Z$  is any prevariety) then  $\dim(Z) = \deg \operatorname{tr}(K(Z)/k)$ ,  $\operatorname{codim}(z, Z) = \dim(Z)$  for any point  $z \in Z$ . Moreover,  $Z$  is catenary, i.e.*

$$\operatorname{codim}(A, B) + \operatorname{codim}(B, C) = \operatorname{codim}(A, C)$$

when  $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$  are irreducible closed subsets of  $Z$ . Furthermore we have

$$\dim(A) + \operatorname{codim}(A, B) = \dim(B).$$

*Proof.* By permutation of the  $X_i$ , we may assume  $z \in Z \cap (\mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus V(X_0)) = Z \cap \mathbb{A}^n(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $U = Z \cap \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  is an open subset of  $Z$ , thus  $K(U) \simeq K(Z)$  and  $\operatorname{codim}(z, U) = \operatorname{codim}(z, Z)$  (and moreover  $\operatorname{codim}(X \cap U, Y \cap U) = \operatorname{codim}(X, Y)$  whenever  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$  are irreducible



and  $X \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ) by *locality of codimension*, cf. Remark 1.1.1(a) or [1, Remark 2.1.3]). By [1, Theorem 6],  $\text{codim}(z, Z) = \text{codim}(z, U) = \deg \text{tr } K(U)/k = \deg \text{tr } K(Z)/k$  and the other assertions also follow from their counterparts in the affine case. *q.e.d.*

**Corollary 3.** *The Krull dimension of  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  equals  $n$ .*

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $Z$  be quasi-projective variety and  $Y \subseteq Z$  irreducible closed, then*

$$\dim(C(Z)) = \dim(Z) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(Y, Z) = \dim(C(Y), C(Z)) .$$

*Proof.* Hello there. I'm Proposition 2.2.6 again. *q.e.d.*

**Theorem 16.** *When  $X$  and  $Y$  are irreducible closed subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ , of codimension  $\xi$  and  $v$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ , then every irreducible component of  $X \cap Y$  has codimension smaller or equal to  $\xi + v$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ . If we have  $\xi + v \leq n$ , it follows  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Remark.** For instance, if  $C$  and  $D$  are curves in  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$  (given by  $P(x, y, z) = 0$  or  $Q(x, y, z) = 0$  where  $P$  and  $Q$  are homogeneous of degree  $c$  and  $d$ ) it follows that  $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . When the intersection is finite, it has  $c \cdot d$  elements counted by multiplicity, by Bézout's Theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 16.* We have  $C(X) \cap C(Y) = C(X \cap Y)$ . If  $X \cap Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} C_i$  is the decomposition of  $X \cap Y$  into irreducible components,  $C(X) \cap C(Y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} C(C_i)$  or  $C(X) \cap C(Y) = \{0\}$  (if  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $\ell = 0$ ). By the previous Proposition and Theorem 13,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}(C_i, \mathbb{P}^n(k)) &= \text{codim}(C(C_i), \mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)) \\ &\leq \text{codim}(C(X), \mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)) + \text{codim}(C(Y), \mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)) = \xi + v \end{aligned}$$

and the first assertion follows. Also, in the case  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$  we have

$$n + 1 = \text{codim}(\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)) \leq \text{codim}(C(X), \mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)) + \text{codim}(C(Y), \mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)) = \xi + v ,$$

proving the second assertion. *q.e.d.*

**Definition 4.** The graded ring defined by a projective variety  $X = V(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  a homogeneous prime ideal is defined as  $S_*(X) = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{p}$ .

As  $\mathfrak{p}$  is homogeneous,  $S_*(X)$  inherits a grading  $S_*(X) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d(X)$  (and we think this is what the asterisk in the index is meant to indicate). Unlike to the affine case, we don't have  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq k[X_0, \dots, X_n]/\mathfrak{p} = S_*(X)$  here, but nevertheless we would like to compare  $S_*(X)$  to the structure sheaf or the line bundles  $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$  on  $X$ .

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $X$  be a projective algebraic variety.*

- (a) *For any  $f \in S_*(X)$  which is homogeneous of positive degree, the localization  $S_*(X)_f$  admits a grading  $S_*(X)_f = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (S_*(X)_f)_d$  and we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (S_*(X)_f)_0 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(X \setminus V(f)) \\ \frac{g}{f^s} &\longmapsto \left( [x_0, \dots, x_n] \mapsto \frac{g(x_0, \dots, x_n)}{f(x_0, \dots, x_n)^s} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$(S_*(X)_f)_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(\ell)(X \setminus V(f))$$

$$\frac{g}{f^s} \mapsto \left( [x_0, \dots, x_n] \mapsto \frac{g(x_0, \dots, x_n)}{f(x_0, \dots, x_n)^s} \right).$$

- (b) If  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(\ell)(X)$ , then for sufficiently large  $N$  we have  $\lambda \cdot S_N(X) \subseteq S_{N+\ell}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(N+\ell)(X)$  where the product should be viewed as a product of homogeneous functions on  $C(X) \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (c) We have  $k \simeq S_0(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

**Remark.** (a) The grading is given by  $(S_*(X)_f)_d = \{gf^{-s} \mid g \in S_{d+s\delta}(X)\}$  when  $f \in S_\delta(X)$ . For  $\delta > 0$  we obtain a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring.

- (b) When  $f \in S_\delta(X)$  has the form  $f = \varphi \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $\varphi \in R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  homogeneous of degree  $\delta$ , then  $V(f) := V(\varphi) \cap X$  does not depend on the choice of  $\varphi$ .

*Proof of Theorem 17.* The proof of (a) is similar to what was done in the affine case, that is, in [1, Proposition 2.2.2]. As they were before, well-definedness and injectivity are easy again so surjectivity is what we need to worry about.

Let  $\eta \in \mathcal{O}(\ell)(X \setminus V(f))$  (the case  $\ell = 0$  corresponds to the study of  $\mathcal{O}(X \setminus V(f))$ ), where  $f \neq 0$  is homogeneous of degree  $\delta > 0$ . By Definition 2(b), every  $x \in X \setminus V(f)$  has an open neighbourhood  $U_x \subseteq X \setminus V(f)$  such that there are polynomials  $p_x, q_x \in R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  where  $q_x$  is homogeneous of degree  $b_x$ ,  $p_x$  of degree  $a_x = b_x + \ell$  and  $V(q_x) \cap U_x = \emptyset$  and such that

$$\eta(y_0, \dots, y_n) = \frac{b_x(y_0, \dots, y_n)}{q_x(y_0, \dots, y_n)}$$

when  $[y_0, \dots, y_n] \in U_x \subseteq X \setminus V(f)$ . Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be open such that  $U \cap X = U_x$ . The set  $\mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus U$  is closed, hence the vanishing set of some homogeneous ideal  $J \subseteq k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ . As  $x \notin V(J)$ , there is some generator  $r$  of  $J$  such that  $x \notin V(r)$  and we may even choose  $r$  homogeneous. Replacing  $p_x$  by  $p_x \cdot r$ ,  $q_x$  by  $q_x \cdot r$ , and  $U_x$  by  $U_x \setminus V(r) = X \setminus V(r)$ , we may assume that  $U_x = X \setminus V(q_x) \subseteq X \setminus V(f)$ . The topological space  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  (and thus also  $X$ ) being Noetherian,  $X \setminus V(f)$  is quasi-compact, hence is covered by finitely many  $X \setminus V(q_{x_i})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $p_i = p_{x_i}$  and  $q_i = q_{x_i}$ . Then

$$V(\varphi) \supseteq X \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^N V(q_i) = V(\mathfrak{p} + (q_1, \dots, q_N)_R)$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the homogeneous prime ideal corresponding to  $X$  and  $\varphi$  is some homogeneous representative of  $f \in S_*(X) = R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\varphi \in R_+$  and by the projective version of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz,

$$\varphi^s \in \mathfrak{p} + (q_1, \dots, q_N)_R \quad \text{for some } s \geq 1.$$

In other words, there are  $h_i \in R_{s\delta - b_i}$  (with  $b_i = b_{x_i}$ ) such that  $\varphi^s \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N h_i q_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let

$$h = f^{-s} \sum_{i=1}^N h_i p_i \in (S_*(X)_f)_\ell.$$

We have  $(p_i q_j)(x) = (p_j q_i)(x)$  for all  $x = [x_0, \dots, x_n] \in X$  because this holds as an equation in  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)$  on the dense open subset  $C(X) \setminus V(q_i q_j)$  of  $C(X)$  and thus by continuity on all of  $C(X)$ . Then, for  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in X \setminus V(f)$

$$(g_j h)(x_0, \dots, x_n) = f^{-s} \sum_{i=1}^N (h_i p_i q_j)(x) = f^{-s} \sum_{i=1}^N (h_i q_i p_j)(x) = p_j(x)$$

and thus  $\eta(x) = h(x)$  when  $x \in X \setminus V(q_j)$ . As the sets  $X \setminus V(q_j)$  cover  $X \setminus V(f)$ , the asserted surjectivity follows.

For (b), apply (a) in the case  $f = X_i$ . We thereby obtain the existence of  $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lambda X_i^{N_i} \in S_{N_i + \ell}(X)$ . If  $N = \sum_{i=0}^n N_i$  the assertion follows as any monomial  $X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}$  of degree greater or equal to  $N$  contains an exponent  $\alpha_i \geq N_i$ .

To see (c), let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . By (b) there is  $N \geq 0$  such that  $\lambda \cdot S_N(X) \subseteq S_N(X)$  in  $\mathcal{O}(N)(X)$ . Let  $P = \prod_{j=1}^d (T - \lambda_j)^{e_j}$ , with  $\lambda_j \in k$  and all  $e_j > 0$ , be the characteristic polynomial of  $\lambda \cdot$  (the linear map given by multiplication with  $\lambda$ ) on  $S_N(X)$ . Then

$$\vartheta(x) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d (\lambda(x) - \lambda_j)^{e_j} = 0$$

for all  $\vartheta \in S_N(X)$  and all  $x \in C(X) \setminus \{0\}$  by Cayley-Hamilton. As it is always possible to choose  $\vartheta \in S_N(X)$  such that  $\vartheta(x) = 0$  for a given  $x \in C(X) \setminus \{0\}$  (indeed, if the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate of  $x$  is non-zero, then  $X_i^N \bmod \mathfrak{p}$  will do) we have  $\prod_{j=1}^d (\lambda(x) - \lambda_j) = 0$ , hence

$$C(X) \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^d (\lambda - \lambda_j).$$

As  $C(X) \setminus \{0\}$  is irreducible, there is  $j$  such that  $C(X) \setminus \{0\} = V(\lambda - \lambda_j)$ . Then  $\lambda = \lambda_j$  is constant on  $X$ . *q.e.d.*

**Corollary 4.** *When  $\dim(X) > 0$  and  $\ell < 0$  we have  $\mathcal{O}(\ell)(X) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* First consider  $\ell = -1$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(-1)(X)$ . By Theorem 17(c) there are constants  $c_j$  such that  $x_j \lambda(x) = c_j$  for  $x \in C(X) \setminus \{0\}$  as all functions  $X_j \lambda$  must be constant. If  $\lambda \neq 0$  then not all  $c_i$  are 0. We have  $x_i c_j = x_i x_j \lambda(x) = x_j c_i$  for  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in X$ . It follows that the vectors  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  and  $(c_0, \dots, c_n)$  are proportional,  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] = [c_0, \dots, c_n]$ . Hence  $X$  has only one point, a contradiction to our assumption.

If  $\ell < -1$  we use  $X_i^{-1-\ell} \lambda \in \mathcal{O}(-1)(X)$  must vanish by the previous consideration. As for all  $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \in X$  one  $x_i$  must be non-zero, it follows that  $\lambda = 0$ . *q.e.d.*

**Remark.** (a) When  $X = \{x\}$  with  $x_i \neq 0$  then  $X_i^\ell$  gives a non-vanishing global section of  $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ , for arbitrary  $\ell$ . Thus  $\dim(X) > 0$  is necessary in the corollary.

(b) Theorem 17(c) may be motivated from Liouville's Theorem from function theory.

(c) It is clear that  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(\ell)(X)$  defines an element of  $\mathcal{O}(C(X) \setminus \{0\})$ . If it extends to all of  $C(X)$  then it is given by a polynomial in  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  by results of Algebra I, cf. [1, Proposition 2.2.2]. In this case, it follows that  $\lambda \in S_\ell(X)$ .

One would expect that for  $\ell$  sufficiently large,  $\lambda$  “vanishes to a very high order” at 0, hence extends to an element of  $\mathcal{O}(C(X))$  and this turns out to be correct, as is shown on the 10<sup>th</sup> exercise sheet. It follows that  $S_\ell(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(\ell)(X)$  when  $\ell$  is sufficiently large.

- (d) In general,  $\mathfrak{p}$  defines a sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(\ell)$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\ell)/\mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\ell)$  and one obtains a part of a long exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\ell)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\ell)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_X(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\ell)) \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{p}_\ell & \longrightarrow & k[X_0, \dots, X_n]_\ell & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(\ell)(X) \end{array}$$

where the right end can be shown to be finite-dimensional and to vanish when  $\ell$  is sufficiently large.

- (e) When  $n+1 > 1$  it follows that  $\mathcal{O}(k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(k^{n+1})$  as shown in Algebra I, cf. [1, Proposition 2.2.5]. Then  $\mathcal{O}(\ell)(\mathbb{P}^n(k)) \simeq k[X_0, \dots, X_n]_\ell$ . Another argument to see this is that when  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(\ell)(\mathbb{P}^n(k))$  there there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X_i^N \lambda = f_i \in R = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . We have  $X_i^N f_j = X_j^N f_i$ . It follows that  $f_i$  is divisible by  $X_i^N$  (taking  $j \neq i$ , that’s what we need  $n \geq 1$  for), then  $\lambda \in R$  (and thus  $\in R_\ell$ ). The same argument only works for general  $X$  if  $S_*(X)$  is factorial, which is usually not the case.
- (f) It can be shown that  $\mathcal{O}_X(\ell)(X)$  is finite-dimensional over  $k$  when  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  is closed (and irreducible).

**Remark.** When  $X = \{x\}$ ,  $\dim_k \mathcal{O}_X(\ell)(X) = 1$  is given by a polynomial of degree 0 in  $\ell$ .

$$\mathcal{O}(\ell)(\mathbb{P}^1(k)) = k[X_0, X_1]_\ell = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} (k \cdot X_0^i X_1^{\ell-i})$$

has dimension  $\ell + 1$  over  $k$  when  $\ell \geq -1$ , hence the dimension is given by a polynomial of degree 1 in  $\ell$ . Is  $\dim_k(\mathcal{O}_X(\ell)(X))$  always given by a polynomial of degree  $\dim(X)$  when  $\ell \gg 0$ ? The answer is *yes* and this brings us to *Hilbert polynomials*.

It may be remarked that the seemingly artificial condition  $\ell \gg 0$  can be removed (thus unfolding the deeper truth behind this) considering cohomology. There is always a polynomial  $P$  such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\dim(X)} \dim_k H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\ell)) = P(\ell) \quad \text{for all } \ell.$$

### 3. Applications of the Hilbert polynomial

**Lemma 1.** For a field  $k$  the dimension of the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$  in  $n$  variables is given by  $\binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$ .

**Corollary 1.** For  $\ell \geq -m$ ,

$$\dim_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m(k)}^\ell(\mathbb{P}^m(k)) = \binom{m+\ell}{m} = \frac{(m+\ell) \cdots (1+\ell)}{m!}.$$

**Remark.** Again, there is a deeper cohomological truth behind this, and that deeper truth is

$$\dim_k H^p(\mathbb{P}^m(k), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m(k)}^\ell) = \begin{cases} \text{the above (or 0 for } \ell < 0) & \text{if } p = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < p < m \\ \dim_k H^0(\mathbb{P}^m(k), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m(k)}^{-1-m-\ell}) & \text{if } p = m \end{cases}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Putting  $n-1$  separators into  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+d-1\}$  produces  $n$  intervals of integers of lengths  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  (possibly 0) such that  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = d$ . Sending such a set of intervals to  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{\alpha_i}$  is a bijection between the set of sets of separators and the monomials of degree  $d$  in  $n$  variables. Thus their number is  $\binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$ . *q.e.d.*

*Another proof.* Induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 1$  being trivial. Let  $n > 1$  and the assertion be true for less than  $n$  variables. For  $n$  variables we use induction on  $d$ . When  $d = 0$ , both sides equal 1. Otherwise a monomial of degree  $d$  in  $n$  variables has the form  $X^\alpha = X^\beta X_n$  or  $\alpha_n = 0$  in which case it is a monomial in  $n-1$  variables. Thus their number is

$$\binom{n+d-2}{n-1} + \binom{n+d-2}{n-2} = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1},$$

as claimed

*q.e.d.*

**Definition 1** (Graded module). A **graded module**  $M$  over a graded ring  $R$  is an  $R$ -module  $M$  with a decomposition  $M = \bigoplus_{d=-\infty}^{\infty} M_d$  of its additive group such that  $R_k \cdot M_\ell \subseteq M_{k+\ell}$ . We call  $M$  *finitely generated* (respectively *Noetherian*) if it is finitely generated (respectively Noetherian) as an ungraded  $R$ -module.

**Remark 1.** If  $M$  is finitely generated, it may be generated by finitely many homogeneous elements.

**Definition 2** (Graded submodule). (a) If  $N \subseteq M$  is a **graded submodule** of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  (i.e. for  $n \in N$ , the decomposition  $n = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} h_i$  into homogeneous elements of  $M$  is a decomposition into elements of  $N$ ), then  $M/N$  is the **graded quotient** with the grading  $(M/N)_d = M_d/N_d$ .

(b) The graded  $R$ -module  $M[k]$  with  $M[k]_\ell := M_{k+\ell}$  is called the *shift* of  $M$  by  $k$ .

### 3.1. The Hilbert polynomial of a module over a graded ring

**Definition 1** (Simple module, finite length). (a) A module  $M$  over any ring  $R$  is called **simple** if it is not  $\{0\}$  and  $\{0\}$  and  $M$  are the only  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ .

(b) An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called **finite length** if it has a filtration  $\{0\} = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_\ell = M$  such that  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  is simple for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Then  $\ell$  is called *length* of  $M$ .

**Remark 1.** (a) By a theorem of Artin-Schreier, the number  $\ell$  is determined uniquely by  $M$ , as are the isomorphism classes of  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  up to permutation. We did not prove this in the lecture, but the proof is not too hard so it shall be sketched here.

Let  $\{0\} = M_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_\ell = M$  and  $\{0\} = N_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq N_k = M$  be two filtrations with simple quotients. We do induction on  $\ell + k$ . The case  $\ell + k = 0$  is trivial. Now let w.l.o.g.  $\ell \geq 1$  and choose a minimal  $m$  such that  $M_1 \subseteq N_m$ . Clearly  $m \geq 1$ , and using that  $M_1 \not\subseteq N_{m-1}$  and that  $M_1$  is simple as well as  $N_m/N_{m-1}$  we deduce that  $N_{m-1} + M_1 = N_m$  and  $N_{m-1} \cap M_1 = \{0\}$ . Then  $N_m \simeq N_{m-1} \oplus M_1$ . In particular,  $N_m/N_{m-1} \simeq M_1$ .

Now replace  $M$  by  $M' = M/M_1$ , each  $M_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$  by  $M'_{i-1} = M_i/M_1$ , each  $N_j$  for  $j = m+1, \dots, k$  by  $N'_{j-1} = N_j/M_1$  and finally each  $N_j$  for  $j = 0, \dots, m-1$  by  $N'_j = (N_j + M_1)/M_1 \simeq (N_j \oplus M_1)/M_1 \simeq N_j$ . Then  $\{0\} = M'_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M'_{\ell-1} = M'$  and  $\{0\} = N'_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq N'_{k-1} = M'$  are filtrations of  $M'$  with the same quotients as the original filtrations of  $M$  except for a quotient isomorphic to  $M_1$  we deleted from both filtrations. Induction does the rest. *q.e.d.*

- (b) The length of a  $k$ -vector space is its dimension. Indeed, as the quotients mustn't be 0, the dimension must strictly increase in each step of a filtration with simple quotients. Conversely, if the quotients are to be simple, it can only increase by 1 in each step.
- (c) The length of  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  is the number of prime factors of  $N$  with respect to their multiplicity. Indeed, if  $N = p_1 \cdots p_n$  is its decomposition into (not necessarily distinct) prime factors, then

$$\{0\} = (p_1 \cdots p_n \mathbb{Z}) / N\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq (p_1 \cdots p_{n-1} \mathbb{Z}) / N\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq p_1 \mathbb{Z} / N\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z} / N\mathbb{Z}$$

is a filtration with simple quotients, hence the claim follows from Artin-Schreier.

- (d) The zero-module has length 0.
- (e) Any submodule or quotient module of an  $R$ -module  $M$  of finite length has again finite length, and for any strictly increasing chain  $M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_d$  of submodules of  $M$ , the sequence  $\ell(M_i)$  is strictly increasing. Thus  $d \leq \ell(M)$ , and any module of finite length is Noetherian.
- (f) If  $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} M'' \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence then  $\ell(M) = \ell(M') + \ell(M'')$ . This is an easy consequence of Artin-Schreier. Indeed, if  $\{0\} = M'_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M'_{\ell'} = M'$  and  $\{0\} = M''_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M''_{\ell''} = M''$  are filtrations of  $M'$  and  $M''$  with simple quotients  $M'_i/M'_{i-1}$  and  $M''_j/M''_{j-1}$ , then

$$\{0\} = \alpha(M'_0) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \alpha(M'_{\ell'}) = \beta^{-1}(M''_0) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \beta^{-1}(M''_{\ell''}) = M$$

is a filtration of  $M$  of length  $\ell' + \ell''$  with simple quotients.

**Proposition 1** (Akizuki-Hopkins). *For a ring  $R$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R$  is Noetherian of Krull dimension 0.
- (b)  $R$  has finite length as an  $R$ -module.
- (c) There is no strictly descending infinite chain  $I_0 \supsetneq I_1 \supsetneq \dots$  of ideals in  $R$ .
- (d) Any finitely generated  $R$ -module has finite length.
- (e) In any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , there is not infinite strictly decreasing infinite chain  $M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \dots$  of submodules.

We postpone the quite lengthy proof of Proposition 1 to after Proposition 2.

**Proposition 2.** (a) *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then there is a finite filtration  $\{0\} = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_k = M$  such that  $M_i/M_{i-1} \simeq R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  for some  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Spec } R$ .*

- (b) *If  $R$  and  $M$  are graded ( $R$  being  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded), we may chose this filtration in such a way that the  $M_i$  are graded submodules and the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  homogeneous and  $M_i/M_{i-1} \simeq (R/\mathfrak{p}_i)[t_i]$  (this is the shift from Definition 3.0.2 and not some polynomial ring thingy), where  $t_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and the isomorphism respects the grading.*

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $M \neq \{0\}$  be a module over a Noetherian ring  $R$ , then it contains a submodule isomorphic to  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  for some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ . If  $M$  and  $R$  are graded, then  $\mathfrak{p}$  may be chosen homogeneous and such that the isomorphism respects the grading up to shift.*

*Proof.* The set of ideals  $\{\text{Ann}_R(m) = \{r \in R \mid r \cdot m = 0\} \mid m \in M \setminus \{0\}\}$  in  $R$  has a  $\subseteq$ -maximal element, as  $R$  is Noetherian. Let  $m \neq 0$  such that  $\text{Ann}_R(m) = \mathfrak{p}$  is  $\subseteq$ -maximal in the above set of ideals. Then the submodule  $R \cdot m \subseteq M$  is isomorphic to  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ . We have  $1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$  as  $m \neq 0$ . Let  $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$ . If  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $a \cdot m \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ann}_R(a \cdot m) \supseteq \text{Ann}_R(m)$ , and equality must occur by our choice of  $m$ . Thus  $b \in \text{Ann}_R(a \cdot m)$  implies  $b \in \text{Ann}_R(m)$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime.

In the graded case, consider  $\{\text{Ann}_R(m) \mid m \in M \setminus \{0\} \text{ homogeneous}\}$ , then the ideals of this set are automatically homogeneous and thanks to Proposition 2.2.1, the arguments for the ungraded can be recycled. *q.e.d.*

*Proof of Proposition 2.* Part (a). By our assumption,  $M$  is Noetherian. Hence the set  $\mathfrak{M}$  of submodules of  $M$  with such a filtration has an  $\subseteq$ -maximal element  $M'$ . If  $M' \neq M$  we could find  $Q \subseteq M/M'$  which is isomorphic to  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ . The preimages of  $Q$  in  $M$  has a filtration of the desired kind.

The graded version (b) follows in the exact same way from the graded case of Lemma 1. *q.e.d.*

**Remark.** (a) Note that  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is simple iff  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal. Otherwise  $\ell(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \infty$ .

- (b) In particular,  $M$  in Proposition 2 is of finite length iff the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are maximal. In this case, the length of the filtration equals  $\ell(M)$  and the  $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  are unique up to permutation and isomorphism. Otherwise, the length of the filtration may be non-unique, e.g.  $\{0\} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\{0\} \subsetneq 2\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$  when  $M = R = \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof of Proposition 1.* Assume (a) and consider a filtration of the finitely generated  $R$ -module  $R$  as in Proposition 2. Given the Krull dimension is 0, the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  occurring there are maximal and so  $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is simple and thus  $R$  has finite length by Artin-Schreier. This shows that (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).

Let  $R$  be of finite length as an  $R$ -module, i.e. (b) holds. We use induction on the minimal number  $k$  of generators of  $M$  to prove (d). If  $k = 1$ ,  $M$  is isomorphic to  $R/I$  for some ideal  $I \subseteq R$  and its length is smaller or equal to  $\ell(R)$ . Now let  $k \geq 2$ . If  $M$  is generated by  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  and the assertion is known for  $k - 1$  generators, then, if  $M' \subseteq M$  denotes the submodule generated by  $m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}$ , we have  $\ell(M') < \infty$  by the induction assumption and  $M/M'$  is generated by the image of  $m_k$ , hence has finite length by the previous consideration. By Remark 1(f),  $\ell(M) = \ell(M') + \ell(M/M')$  is finite.

To show (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e), note that the length of the members of such sequence as in (e) form a strictly decreasing sequence. Hence the length of the sequence is bounded by  $\ell(M)$  assuming that  $M$  is of finite length, which is given by (d).

Taking  $M = R$ , (c) is a special case of (e).

To close the circle we have to proof that (c) implies (a). For this we will not need the famous prime avoidance lemma, but it is pretty neat so I will let it stand here:

**Lemma 2** (Prime avoidance). *Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  be ideals of a ring  $R$  such that there at most two among them who are not prime. If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  not contained in an  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , then it is also not contained in the union of all the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ .*

Professor Franke does not want to prove this here and recommends the appropriate chapter in a book by Eisenbud. You can also find a proof by Franke himself in [1, Lemma 2.5.1].

Back to (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). First we prove that any prime ideal is maximal, i.e. the Krull dimension is 0. If  $x \in R/\mathfrak{p}$  and  $x \neq 0$ ,  $x^n \cdot (R/\mathfrak{p})$  would form a strictly descending chain of ideals in the domain  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  if  $x$  failed to be a unit, so any  $x \in R/\mathfrak{p} \setminus \{0\}$  is a unit, hence  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  a field and  $\mathfrak{p}$  thus maximal.

If  $R$  had infinitely many prime ideals (in other words, infinitely many maximal ideals), there would be a sequence  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots$  of distinct maximal ideals and the sequence

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 \supsetneq \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{m}_i \supsetneq \dots$$

is strictly descending, a contradiction to (c). Indeed, by maximality and distinctness, no  $\mathfrak{m}_{k+1}$  can be contained in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{m}_i$ .

Now assume there are only finitely many prime ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_k$  distinct prime ideals of  $R$ . Then the nilradical  $\text{nil}(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{m}_i = \text{rad}(R)$  equals the Jacobson radical, the sequence

$$\text{nil}(R) \supsetneq \text{nil}(R)^2 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq \text{nil}(R)^i \supsetneq \dots$$

must terminate at some point by (c). Thus, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{nil}(R)^n = \text{nil}(R)^i$  for all  $i \geq n$ . Let  $N = \text{nil}(R)^n$ . We would like to show  $N = 0$ . If  $N \neq 0$ , the annihilator  $K$  of  $N$  would be an ideal of  $R$  strictly contained in  $R$ . From (c) and Zorn's lemma it follows that any set



of ideals of  $R$  contains a  $\subseteq$ -minimal element. Thus, there is an ideal  $K \subsetneq I \subseteq R$  minimal among all such ideals. If  $x \in I \setminus K$  we have  $I = K + x \cdot R$  by the minimality of  $I$ . We have  $K \subseteq x \cdot \text{nil}(R) + K \subseteq I$ , hence  $x \cdot \text{nil}(R) + K = K$  or  $x \cdot \text{nil}(R) + K = I$  by the minimality of  $I$ . In the second case  $(I/K) = \text{nil}(R) \cdot (I/K)$  and  $I = K$  by [\[NAK\]](#), a contradiction to our choice of  $I$ . In the first case,  $x \cdot \text{nil}(R) \subseteq K$ , hence  $x \cdot \text{nil}(R)^{n+1} \subseteq K \cdot N = 0$ . But  $\text{nil}(R)^{n+1} = \text{nil}(R)^n = N$ , hence  $x \in K$ , a contradiction to our choice of  $I$ .

The proof will be completed in the next lecture.

*q.e.d.*

# A. Appendix

## A.1. Introduction to Krull dimension and all that

Professor Franke recapitulated on some topics of his previous lecture, Algebra I (of which detailed lecture notes may be found in [1]).

**Definition 1** ([1, Definition 2.1.2]). A topological space  $X$  is called **quasi-compact** if every open cover  $X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$  admits a finite subcover.

$X$  is **Noetherian** if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- (a) Every open subset is quasi-compact.
- (b) There is no infinite properly descending chain of closed subsets.
- (c) Every set of closed subsets of  $X$  has a  $\subseteq$ -minimal element.

**Definition 2** ([1, Definition 2.1.3]). A topological space  $X \neq \emptyset$  is **irreducible** if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- (a) If  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are closed subsets, then  $X = X_1$  or  $X = X_2$ . Also,  $X \neq \emptyset$ .
- (b) Any two non-empty open subsets of  $X$  have non-empty intersection.
- (c) Every non-empty open subset of  $X$  is dense.

Condition (a) implies, by induction, the following more general property: For any finite cover  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$  by closed subsets, there is  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $X = X_i$ .

**Proposition 1.** (a) *Any subset of a Noetherian topological space is Noetherian with its induced subspace topology* (cf. [1, Remark 2.2.1]).

- (b) *If  $X$  is Noetherian, there is a unique (that is, up to permutation of the  $X_i$ ) decomposition  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$  into irreducible closed subsets  $X_i \subseteq X$  such that  $X_i \not\subseteq X_j$  for  $i \neq j$  (cf. [1, Proposition 2.1.1]).*

**Definition 3** ([1, Definition 2.1.4]). Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Z \subseteq X$  irreducible and closed. We put

$$\text{codim}(Z, X) = \sup \left\{ \ell \mid \begin{array}{c} \text{there is a strictly ascending chain} \\ Z = Z_0 \subsetneq Z_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Z_\ell \subseteq X \text{ of irreducible closed } Z_i \subseteq X \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\dim(X) = \sup \{ \text{codim}(Z, X) \mid Z \subseteq X \text{ irreducible and closed} \}.$$

The number  $\dim(X)$  is known as the **Krull dimension** of  $X$ .

**Example 1** ([1, Section 1.7 and 2.1]). Let  $k = \bar{k}$  be an algebraically closed field. For an ideal  $I \subseteq R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  let

$$V(I) = \{x \in k^n \mid f(x) = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}$$

be the set of zeroes of  $I$ . By the Hilbert Nullstellensatz,  $V(I) \neq \emptyset$  when  $I \subsetneq R$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} V(I) &= V(\sqrt{I}) \\ V(I \cdot J) &= V(I) \cup V(J) \\ V\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda\right) &= \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V(I_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is a topology (called the *Zariski topology*) on  $k^n$  containing precisely the subsets of the form  $V(I)$  as closed subsets. A version of the Nullstellensatz ([1, Proposition 1.7.1]) says

$$\{f \in R \mid f(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in V(I)\} = \{f \in R \mid V(f) \supseteq V(I)\} = \sqrt{I}.$$

This means that there is strictly antimonotonic bijective correspondence between the ideals  $I$  of  $R$  with  $I = \sqrt{I}$  and the Zariski-closed subsets  $A \subseteq k^n$  via

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{ideals } I \subseteq R \text{ such that } I = \sqrt{I}\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{Zariski-closed subsets } A \subseteq k^n\} \\ \{f \in R \mid V(f) \supseteq A\} &\longleftrightarrow A \\ I &\longmapsto V(I). \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [1, Remark 2.1.1]). As  $R$  is Noetherian, any strictly ascending chain of ideals in  $R$  terminates, implying that  $k^n$  is a Noetherian topological space. Under the above correspondence prime ideals correspond to irreducible subsets and vice versa (cf. [1, Proposition 2.1.2]).

**Remark 1** ([1, Remark 2.1.3]). In general, for  $A \subseteq B \subseteq C \subseteq X$

$$\text{codim}(A, B) + \text{codim}(B, C) \leq \text{codim}(A, C) \tag{1}$$

$$\text{codim}(A, X) + \dim(A) \leq \dim(X). \tag{2}$$

may be strict. A Noetherian topological space is called *catenary* if (1) is an equality whenever  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are irreducible.

**Theorem A** ([1, Theorem 5]). *The space  $X = k^n$  is catenary and in this case equality always occurs in (2).*

**Example 2.** For  $n = 1$ , the closed subsets of  $k$  are  $k$  itself and the finite subsets. Since  $k$  is infinite, the points and  $k$  are the irreducible subsets, implying  $\dim(k) = 1$  and the other assertions for  $n = 1$ .

**Example 3.** The irreducible subsets of  $k^2$  are  $k^2$  itself, single points, and  $V(f)$  where  $f \in k[X, Y]$  is a prime element.

**Definition 4** (Transcendence degree). Let  $K \subseteq L$  be a field extension. A set  $S \subseteq L$  is called *algebraically independent* over  $K$  if for all polynomials  $P \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and pairwise different  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ ,

$$P(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad P = 0.$$

A *transcendence basis* of  $L/K$  is a subset  $S \subseteq L$  which is algebraically independent over  $K$  and such that  $L/K(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is algebraic. The **transcendence degree**  $\deg_{\text{tr}} L/K$  of  $L/K$  is the cardinality of any transcendence basis.

**Example.** The empty set is a transcendence basis of  $K/K$ .

**Definition 5** (regular functions, [1, Definition 2.2.2]). Let  $X \subseteq k^n$  be closed,  $U \subseteq X$  open. A function  $f: U \rightarrow k$  is called *regular* at  $x \in U$  if  $x$  has a neighbourhood  $\Omega \subseteq k^n$  for which there are polynomials  $p, q \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $V(q) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$  and

$$f(y) = \frac{p(y)}{q(y)} \quad \text{for all } y \in U \cap \Omega$$

The ring  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  of **regular functions** on  $U$  consists of all functions  $U \xrightarrow{f} k$  which are regular at every  $x \in U$ .

**Proposition 2.** If  $X \subseteq k^n$  is closed then  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$  is surjective.

In [1, Proposition 2.2.2], we actually proved a stronger result: If  $X \subseteq k^n$  is irreducible closed, i.e.  $X = V(\mathfrak{p})$  for some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ , then  $\mathcal{O}(X) \simeq R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Proposition 2 immediately follows from this, as any closed subset decomposes into irreducible closed subsets according to Proposition 1 (it is crucial that each  $X_i$  occuring in such a contains a non-empty open subset of  $X$ , cf. [1, Proposition 2.1.1]).

**Remark 2.** When  $X \subseteq k^n$  is an irreducible open-closed subset (that is, an open subset of an irreducible closed subset – a.k.a. a *quasi-affine variety*, cf. [1, Definition 2.2.1]) then  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is a domain.

**Remark 3.** Let  $T$  be any topological space,  $A \subseteq T$  such that every  $t \in T$  has an open neighbourhood  $U \subseteq T$  such that  $A \cap U$  is closed in  $U$ , then  $A$  is closed in  $T$  (we suspect that this is mentioned only because Professor Franke ~~mistook this class for Algebraic Geometry I~~ recently used this in Algebraic Geometry I). If the condition is required only for  $t \in A$ , then  $A$  is called *locally closed*.

If  $X$  is irreducible, let  $K(X)$  be the quotient field of  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ . This is called the *field of rational functions* on  $X$ .

**Theorem B** ([1, Theorem 6]). If  $X \subseteq k^n$  is locally closed and irreducible, then

$$\dim(X) = \deg \text{tr}(K(X)/k).$$

Moreover,  $X$  is catenary and equality always holds in (2), i.e.  $\dim(Y) + \text{codim}(Y, X) = \dim(X)$  whenever  $Y \subseteq X$  is closed, irreducible.

One may check that locally closed sets are precisely the open subsets of closed sets. In particular,  $X$  from the above theorem is a quasi-affine variety, as we used to call it in Algebra I.

**Remark 4.** It is easy to see that  $\dim k^n \geq n$  since we have the chain

$$\{0\}^n \subsetneq k \times \{0\}^{n-1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq k^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subsetneq k^n$$

of irreducible closed subsets. To prove  $\dim(k^n) \leq n$ , and  $\dim(X) \leq \deg \operatorname{tr}(K(X)/k)$ , one proves  $\deg \operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})/k) > \deg \operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{q})/k)$  whenever  $A/k$  is an algebra of finite type over  $k$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}$  are prime ideals and  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{p})$  denotes the quotient field of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ .

For general affine  $X$  one uses the Noether Normalization theorem to get a finite morphism  $X \xrightarrow{(f_1, \dots, f_d)} \mathbb{A}^d(k) = k^d$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is integral over  $k[f_1, \dots, f_d]$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  are  $k$ -algebraically independent). One then uses the going-up (going-down) for (certain) integral ring extensions to lift chains of irreducible subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^d(k) = k^d$  to chains of irreducible subsets of  $X$  (all of this may be found in much more detail in [1, Section 2.4-2.6]).

## A.2. Localization of rings

**Definition 1** (Multiplicative subsets). Let  $R$  be any ring (commutative, with 1). A subset  $S \subseteq R$  is called a **multiplicative subset** of  $R$  if it is closed under finite products (in particular  $\prod_{s \in \emptyset} s = 1 \in S$ ).

**Definition 2** (Localization of a ring). A **localization**  $R_S$  of  $R$  with respect to  $S$  is a ring  $R_S$  with a ring morphism  $R \xrightarrow{\psi_S} R_S$  such that  $\psi_S(S) \subseteq R_S^\times$  (the group of units of  $R_S$ ) and such that  $\psi_S$  has the universal property (on the left) for such ring morphisms:

If  $R \xrightarrow{\alpha} A$  is any ring morphism such that  $\alpha(S) \subseteq A^\times$  then there is a unique ring morphism  $R_S \xrightarrow{\mu} A$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A \\ \psi_S \searrow & & \nearrow \exists! \mu \\ & R_S & \end{array}$$

commutes.

It turns out (by a Yoneda-style argument) that this universal property characterizes  $R_S$  uniquely up to unique isomorphism. One constructs  $R_S$  (and thereby proves its existence) by  $R_S = (R \times S)/\sim$  where  $(r, s) \sim (\rho, \sigma)$  iff there is  $t \in S$  such that  $t \cdot r \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \rho \cdot s$  (note that since  $R$  is not necessarily a domain the factor  $t$  on both sides cannot be omitted). One thinks of  $(r, s)/\sim$  as  $\frac{r}{s}$  and introduces the ring operations in an obvious way.

If  $I \subseteq R$  is any ideal then  $I_S = I \cdot R_S = \left\{ \frac{i}{s} \mid i \in I, s \in S \right\}$  is an ideal in  $R_S$ , and any ideal in  $R_S$  can be obtained in this way:  $J = (J \cap R) \cdot R_S$  for any ideal  $J \subseteq R_S$  where  $J \cap R$  denotes

the preimage of  $J$  in  $R$  under  $\psi_S$ . It follows then  $R_S$  is Noetherian when  $R$  is. For prime ideals one obtains a bijection (cf. [1, Corollary 2.3.1(e)])

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Spec} R_S &\xrightarrow{\sim} \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap S = \emptyset\} \\ \mathfrak{p} &\longmapsto \mathfrak{p} \cap R \\ \mathfrak{q} \cdot R_S &\longleftarrow \mathfrak{q} . \end{aligned}$$

We have an equivalence of categories between the category of  $R_S$ -modules and the category of  $R$ -modules  $M$  on which  $M \xrightarrow{s} M$  acts bijectively for every  $s \in S$ . For every  $R$ -module  $M$  there is an  $R$ -module  $M_S$  belonging to the right hand side together with a morphism of  $R$ -modules  $M \rightarrow M_S$ , which has the universal property (on the left) for all morphisms from  $M$  to some  $R_S$ -module. It can be constructed as  $\{\frac{m}{s} \mid m \in M, s \in S\} / \sim$  with  $\frac{m}{s} \sim \frac{\mu}{\sigma}$  iff  $m \cdot \sigma \cdot t = \mu \cdot s \cdot t$  for some  $t \in S$ .  $M = I$  is an ideal in  $R$ , one can take  $M_S = I_S = I \cdot R_S$ . As for rings, we call  $M_S$  the *localization* of  $M$  (cf. [1, Proposition 2.3.2]).

### A.3. “Advanced” Galois theory: trace and norm

Let  $L/K$  be a finite field extension,  $\bar{L}$  an algebraic closure of  $L$ . Let  $x \in L$ . There is a unique monic generator  $\operatorname{Min}_{x/K}$  of the ideal  $\{P \in K[T] \mid P(x) = 0\}$  in the principal ideal domain  $K[T]$ . Recall that

$$d = [K(x) : K] = \deg \operatorname{Min}_{x/K} =: \deg(x/K)$$

is called the *degree* and  $\operatorname{Min}_{x/K}$  the *minimal polynomial* of  $x$  over  $K$ .

**Definition 1** (Characteristic polynomial, trace and norm). Let  $x \in L$ . Consider the corresponding endomorphism  $L \xrightarrow{x \cdot (-)} L$  of the  $K$ -vector space  $L$ . Then the **characteristic polynomial**  $P_{x,L}$ , the **trace**  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(x)$  and the **norm**  $N_{L/K}(x)$  of  $x$  with respect to  $L/K$  are defined as the corresponding invariants of the endomorphism  $x \cdot (-)$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{x,L/K} &= \det(T \cdot \operatorname{id} - x) = T^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i T^i , \\ \operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(x) &= -p_{n-1} , \quad \text{and} \quad N_{L/K}(x) = (-1)^n p_0 . \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem C.** (a) If  $V$  is any finite dimensional  $L$ -vector space and  $f \in \operatorname{End}_L(V)$ , then

$$\det_K(f) = N_{L/K}(\det_L(f)) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Tr}_K(f) = \operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(\operatorname{Tr}_L(f)) ,$$

where, for  $M$  a field,  $\operatorname{Tr}_M(f)$  and  $\det_M(f)$  are trace and determinant of the  $f$  regarded as an endomorphism of the  $M$ -vector space  $V$ .

(b) If  $M/L$  is a finite field extension and  $x \in M$ , then

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{M/K}(x) = \operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(\operatorname{Tr}_{M/L}(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{M/K}(x) = N_{L/K}(N_{M/L}(x)) .$$

Let  $x \in L$  and let  $x = x_1, \dots, x_e$  be the pairwise different images of  $x$  under the  $K$ -linear embeddings  $L \hookrightarrow \bar{L}$ . Also, let  $d = \deg(x/K)$  and  $n = [L : K]$  as before.

- (c) Suppose that  $e = 1$ . If  $\text{char } K = 0$ , then  $x \in K$ . If  $\text{char } K = p > 0$ , then  $x^{p^k} \in K$  for some non-negative integer  $k$ .
- (d) We have

$$\text{Min}_{x/K} = \prod_{i=1}^e (T - x_i)^{d/e} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{x,L/K} = \prod_{i=1}^e (T - x_i)^{n/e} = \prod_{\sigma} (T - \sigma(x))^{n/r}$$

where  $\sigma$  runs over the different embeddings  $L \hookrightarrow \bar{L}$  and  $r$  is their number.

- (e) We have  $P_{x,L/K} = \text{Min}_{x/K}^{[L:K(x)]}$ . More general, for any intermediate field  $K \subseteq E \subseteq L$  we have  $P_{x,L/K} = P_{x,L/E}^{[L:E]} \forall x \in E$ .

*Proof.* Let's prove (e) first. Choose bases  $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$  of  $L/E$  and  $(e_1, \dots, e_m)$  of  $E/K$  and let  $M$  be the matrix representation of  $E \xrightarrow{x} E$  in that basis. It is known from basic Galois theory that  $(e_i m_j)_{i,j}$  form a basis of  $L/K$ . The matrix representation of  $L \xrightarrow{x} L$  in that basis is a block diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} M & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & M \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $k = [L : E]$  times the block  $M$  on the diagonal. This shows that  $P_{x,L/K} = P_{x,E/K}^k$  as stated. If  $E = K(x)$  then  $P_{x,E/K} = \text{Min}_{x/K}$  since  $x$  is a zero of the left hand side by Cayley-Hamilton and  $\deg P_{x,E/K} = [E : K] = [K(x) : K] = \deg(x/K) = \deg \text{Min}_{x/K}$  and both polynomials are normed. This shows (e).

Now we prove part (a). Let  $\mathcal{C} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k)$  is a basis of  $L/K$  and  $\mathcal{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$  a basis of  $V$  as an  $L$ -vector space. Denote by  $\text{Mat}_{\mathcal{B}}(f) = (f_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^m$  the matrix representing  $f$  in basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (\ell_i v_j)_{i,j}$  is a basis of  $V$  as a  $K$ -vector space and

$$\text{Mat}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(f) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Mat}_{\mathcal{C}}(f_{1,1}) & \cdots & \text{Mat}_{\mathcal{C}}(f_{1,m}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Mat}_{\mathcal{C}}(f_{m,1}) & \cdots & \text{Mat}_{\mathcal{C}}(f_{m,m}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the trace of a matrix is the sum of its diagonal elements, the assertion about traces follows. The assertion about determinants would be immediate too by

$$\begin{aligned} \det_K(f) &= \det \text{Mat}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(f) = \prod_{i=1}^m \det \text{Mat}_{\mathcal{C}}(f_{i,i}) = \prod_{i=1}^m N_{L/K}(f_{i,i}) = N_{L/K} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m f_{i,i} \right) \\ &= N_{L/K} \det_L(f) \end{aligned}$$

if  $f_{i,j} = 0$  for all  $i > j$ , as in that case,  $\text{Mat}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$  and hence also  $\text{Mat}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(f)$  are upper triangular (block) matrices. But that's no problem since we can always choose  $\mathcal{B}$  in such a way that  $\text{Mat}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$  is upper triangular. Part (b) is just the special case  $V = M$ , so we proved (a) and (b).

Let's prove the first assertion of (d). If  $\text{char } K = 0$ , then  $\text{Min}_{x/K}$  is separable. Thus,  $d = e$  and  $\text{Min}_{x/K} = (T - x_1) \cdots (T - x_e)$  since the zeros of  $\text{Min}_{x/K}$  are precisely the possible images of  $x$  under the  $K$ -linear embeddings  $L \hookrightarrow \bar{L}$ .

Now let  $\text{char } K = p > 0$ . There is a separable polynomial  $\mu \in K[T]$  and a non-negative integer  $k$  such that  $\text{Min}_{x/K} = \mu(T^{p^k})$ . Indeed, if  $\text{Min}_{x/K}$  is irreducible but not separable, then its derivative must be the zero polynomial, hence each monomial of  $\text{Min}_{x/K}$  is a power of  $T^p$  and  $\text{Min}_{x/K} = \mu_1(T^p)$  for some polynomial  $\mu_1 \in K[T]$ . Iterating this argument, we finally arrive at a separable polynomial  $\mu$  (note that in each step the degree strictly decreases).

Let  $y_1, \dots, y_{e'}$  be the zeros of  $\mu$  in  $\bar{L}$ . Then  $0 = \text{Min}_{x/K}(x_i) = \mu(x_i^{p^k})$ , hence  $x_i^{p^k}$  must be some of the  $y_j$  for each  $i \leq e$ . Note that  $x_i^{p^k} - x_j^{p^k} = (x_i - x_j)^{p^k} \neq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , hence  $x_1^{p^k}, \dots, x_e^{p^k}$  are pairwise different. On the other hand,  $\bar{L}$  being algebraically closed, each  $y_i$  has a  $p^{k^{\text{th}}}$  root  $\eta \in \bar{L}$ . Then  $\text{Min}_{x/K}(\eta) = \mu(y_i) = 0$  and  $\eta$  must be among the  $x_i$ . Summarizing, we get  $e = e'$  and  $x_1^{p^k}, \dots, x_e^{p^k}$  are  $y_1, \dots, y_e$  in some order. Since  $\mu$  factorizes into linear factors,

$$\text{Min}_{x/K} = \mu(T^{p^k}) = \prod_{i=1}^e (T^{p^k} - y_i) = \prod_{i=1}^e (T^{p^k} - x_i^{p^k}) = \prod_{i=1}^e (T - x_i)^{p^k}$$

and comparison of degrees yields  $p^k = \frac{d}{e}$ . This shows the first assertion of (d). The second one immediately follows from this and (e). For the third one, let  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_e$  be the different  $K$ -linear embeddings  $K(x) \hookrightarrow \bar{L}$ ,  $\psi_i(x) = x_i$ . It is easy to see, that each of the  $\psi_i$  has the same number  $b$  of extensions to a  $K$ -linear embedding  $\sigma: L \hookrightarrow \bar{L}$ . Then by the previous step the left hand side is

$$\prod_{i=1}^e (T - x_i)^{n/e} = \prod_{\sigma} (T - \sigma(x))^{n/(be)} = \prod_{\sigma} (T - \sigma(x))^{n/r}.$$

Last thing we have to do is part (c). If  $\text{char } K = 0$ , then  $\text{Min}_{x/K}$  is separable and thus  $\text{Min}_{x/K} = T - x$  as  $e = 1$ . Then  $x \in K$ . By (d), in characteristic  $p > 0$ , there is a non-negative integer  $k$  such that  $\text{Min}_{x/K} = (T - x)^{p^k} = T^{p^k} - x^{p^k}$ , hence  $x^{p^k} \in K$ . *q.e.d.*

## A.4. Construction and basic properties of tensor products of modules over a ring

**Definition 1.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be modules over the (commutative) ring  $R$ . Let  $\text{Bil}_R(M, N; T)$  be the  $R$ -module of  $R$ -bilinear maps  $f: M \times N \rightarrow T$ . A **tensor product**  $M \otimes_R N$  is an  $R$ -module together with an  $R$ -bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} M \times N &\longrightarrow M \otimes_R N \\ (m, n) &\longmapsto m \otimes_R n = m \otimes n \end{aligned}$$

which has the *universal property* for  $R$ -bilinear maps  $M \times N \xrightarrow{\tau} T$ :

If  $\tau$  is any such map, there is a unique  $t \in \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, T)$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{\tau} & T \\ \searrow & & \nearrow t \\ - \otimes - & & M \otimes_R N \end{array}$$



**Remark 1.** (a) It is easy to see that this characterizes  $M \otimes_R N$  uniquely up to unique isomorphism.

(b)  $M \otimes_R N$  is generated as an  $R$ -module by  $\{m \otimes n \mid m \in M, n \in N\}$ . To see this, let  $T$  be the quotient of  $M \otimes_R N$  by the submodule generated by elements of this form, and consider  $\tau = 0$ . Then both  $t_1 = 0$ , and  $t_2$  the projection  $M \otimes_R N \rightarrow T$  would make the above diagram commute, which forces  $0 = t_1 = t_2$ , hence the quotient is 0.

Thus, a morphism  $M \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{f} X$  of  $R$ -modules is uniquely determined by giving  $f(m \otimes n)$  for  $m \in M, n \in N$ . Existence is guaranteed by the universal property, provided that the expression given for  $f(m \otimes n)$  is bilinear in  $m$  and  $n$ .

**Proposition 1.**  $M \otimes_R N$  exists.

*Proof.*  $M \otimes_R N$  can be constructed by  $F/K$  and  $m \otimes n$  by the image of  $\delta_{(m,n)}$  in  $F/K$ . Here  $F = \bigoplus_{(m,n) \in M \times N} R$  is the free  $R$ -module generated by  $M \times N$  and  $K$  the submodule generated by elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{(m+m',n)} - \delta_{(m,n)} - \delta_{(m',n)} , \quad \delta_{(m,n+n')} - \delta_{(m,n)} - \delta_{(m,n')} , \\ \delta_{(rm,n)} - r\delta_{(m,n)} , \quad \delta_{(m,rn)} - r\delta_{(m,n)} . \end{aligned}$$

It's easy to check that this works.

*q.e.d.*

#### A.4.1. Use of the tensor product to basis-change a module

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $A$  an  $R$ -algebra,  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then  $A \otimes_R M$  has a unique structure of an  $A$ -module such that

$$\alpha \cdot (a \otimes m) = (\alpha \cdot a) \otimes m.$$

In fact, the right hand side is  $R$ -bilinear in  $a$  and  $m$ , showing the existence. There is a unique morphism  $\alpha \cdot (-) = \mu_\alpha: A \otimes_R M \rightarrow A \otimes_R M$  such that  $\mu_\alpha(a \otimes m) = (\alpha \cdot a) \otimes m$  for all  $a \in A, m \in M$ . We have  $\mu_{\alpha+\alpha'} = \mu_\alpha + \mu_{\alpha'}$ . Also,  $\mu_\alpha = \rho \cdot (-)$  (in the  $R$ -module structure) when  $\alpha$  is the image of  $\rho \in R$  in  $A$ . In particular,  $\mu_1 = \text{id}_{A \otimes_R M}$ . It follows that we have obtained an  $A$ -module-structure on  $A \otimes_R M$ .

We have a homomorphism  $M \rightarrow A \otimes_R M$  sending  $m$  to  $1 \otimes m$ . This is a morphism of  $R$ -modules by the  $R$ -bilinearity of  $- \otimes -$ . Let  $T$  be any  $A$ -module and  $\tau \in \text{Hom}_R(M, T)$ , then there is a unique homomorphism  $t: A \otimes_R M \rightarrow T$  of  $R$ -modules such that  $t(a \otimes m) = a\tau(m)$ , i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\tau} & T \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ 1 \otimes - & & \exists! t \\ & A \otimes_R M & \end{array}$$

commutes. Also,  $t$  is  $A$ -linear:

$$\alpha \cdot t(a \otimes m) = \alpha \cdot (a \cdot \tau(m)) = t((\alpha \cdot a) \otimes m) = t(\alpha \cdot (a \otimes m)) .$$

As the  $a \otimes m$  generate  $A \otimes M$  as an  $R$ -module this implies  $A$ -linearity of  $\tau$ . Also, if  $t$  makes the above diagram commutative and is  $A$ -linear then  $t$  is  $R$ -linear and  $t(a \otimes m) = a \cdot t(1 \otimes m) = a \otimes \tau(m)$  hence  $t$  is uniquely determined.

It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} (M \otimes_R A) \otimes_A (A \otimes_R N) &\xrightarrow{\sim} (M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R A \\ (m \otimes a) \otimes (1 \otimes n) &= (m \otimes 1) \otimes (a \otimes n) \longleftarrow (m \otimes n) \otimes a \\ (m \otimes a') \otimes (a'' \otimes n) &\longmapsto (m \otimes n) \otimes (a' \cdot a''). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M \oplus N) \otimes_R A &\xrightarrow{\sim} (M \otimes_R A) \oplus (N \otimes_R A) \\ (m, n) \otimes a &\longmapsto (m \otimes a, n \otimes a) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\operatorname{coker} \left( M \otimes_R A \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \operatorname{id}_A} N \otimes_R A \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{coker} \left( M \xrightarrow{\mu} N \right) \otimes_R A.$$

In the case where  $R = k$  is a field, we also have

$$\ker \left( M \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \operatorname{id}_A} N \otimes_k A \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker \left( M \xrightarrow{\mu} N \right) \otimes_k A,$$

but this does not hold in general. The last two isomorphisms are just a non-abstract nonsense way of stating the following

**Fact 1.** If  $N$  is any  $R$ -module (not necessarily an  $R$ -algebra), then  $- \otimes_R N: R\text{-mod} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  is a *right-exact* functor (i.e. if  $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then so is  $M' \otimes_R N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M'' \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0$ ) but it need not be *exact*. Those  $R$ -modules  $N$  for which it is exact are called **flat**.

The above assertion about cokernels is an immediate consequence. Moreover, when  $R = k$  is a field, then an  $k$ -module  $N$  is a  $k$ -vector space, hence free, hence *projective* and thus flat (by some standard facts from commutative algebra), proving that in this case the above assertion about kernels holds.

Also, note that if  $A = R/I$  where  $I \subseteq R$  is some ideal, then

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_R A &\xrightarrow{\sim} M/(I \cdot M) \\ m \otimes (r \bmod I) &\longmapsto (r \cdot m) \bmod (I \cdot M). \end{aligned}$$

In the case where  $B$  is another  $R$ -algebra,  $A \otimes_R B$  has a unique ring structure such that  $(a \otimes b) \cdot (\alpha \otimes \beta) = (a \cdot \alpha) \otimes (b \cdot \beta)$ . The construction is done by steps: First construct multiplication maps  $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}: A \otimes_R B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$  such that  $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(a \otimes b) = (a \cdot \alpha) \otimes (b \cdot \beta)$ . Then show

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\alpha + \alpha', \beta} &= \mu_{\alpha, \beta} + \mu_{\alpha', \beta} \\ \mu_{\alpha, \beta + \beta'} &= \mu_{\alpha, \beta} + \mu_{\alpha, \beta'} \\ r \cdot \mu_{\alpha, \beta} &= \mu_{r \cdot \alpha, \beta} = \mu_{\alpha, r \cdot \beta} \end{aligned}$$

using the analogous properties of  $(a \cdot \alpha) \otimes (b \cdot \beta)$  and the uniqueness part of the universal property to get  $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ . Once this is done,  $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(c)$  is  $R$ -bilinear in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , for fixed  $c \in A \otimes_R B$ . Then it follows that there is a unique map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: (A \otimes_R B) \times (A \otimes_R B) &\longrightarrow A \otimes_R B \\ (\alpha \otimes \beta, c) &\longmapsto \mu_{\alpha,\beta}(c) \end{aligned}$$

and we can say  $c \cdot d = \mu(c, d)$  for  $c, d \in A \otimes_R B$ .

There are morphisms  $A \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$ ,  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$  and  $B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$ ,  $b \mapsto 1 \otimes b$  of  $R$ -algebras and the universal property

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R\text{-Alg}}(A, T) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{B\text{-Alg}}(A \otimes_R B, T) \\ \text{Hom}_{R\text{-Alg}}(B, S) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(A \otimes_R B, S) \end{aligned}$$

hold for any  $A$ -algebra  $S$  and any  $B$ -algebra  $T$ .

## A.5. Sheaves

**Definition 1** (Presheaf). A **presheaf**  $\mathcal{G}$  (with values in the category  $\mathcal{A}$  of sets, groups, rings, etc.) on a topological space  $X$  associates

- every open subset  $U \subseteq X$  with an object  $\mathcal{G}(U) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$  (called the set (group, ring, etc.) of *sections* of  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $U$ )
- and for every inclusion  $V \subseteq U$  of open subsets, a morphism  $(-)|_V: \mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(V)$  such that  $g|_U = g$  when  $g \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  and such that  $(g|_V)|_W = g|_W$  for open  $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$  and  $g \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ .

This can also be expressed as  $\mathcal{G}$  being a *functor* from the category of open subsets of  $X$  (the inclusions being the morphisms) to the given category.

**Definition 2** (Sheaf). A presheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  is called **sheaf** if it fulfills the so called *sheaf axiom*, i.e. if for any open cover  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  of an open subset  $U \subseteq X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(U) &\longrightarrow \left\{ (g_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}(U_i) \mid g_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = g_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} \text{ for } i, j \in I \right\} \\ g &\longmapsto (g|_{U_i})_{i \in I} \end{aligned}$$

is bijective.

In general, this map is always well-defined but may fail to be bijective. If it is injective at least,  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a **separated** presheaf.

**Remark 1.** (a) The sheaf axiom is interesting even if  $I = \emptyset$  when it implies that  $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$  (if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a sheaf of groups or rings).

(b) A typical example is the sheaf of  $k$ -valued functions on  $X$ , associating to any  $U \subseteq X$  the rings of  $k$ -valued functions on  $U$ . Normally, one considers *subsheaves* defined by conditions like being locally constant,  $C^\infty$ , holomorphic, regular in some sense and so on.

- (c) Our definitions of  $\mathcal{O}(Z)$  or  $\mathcal{O}(d)(Z)$  can be applied to any subset  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ . When  $Z = \emptyset$ , it gives  $\mathcal{O}(Z) = \{0\}$  (or  $\mathcal{O}(d)(Z) = \{0\}$ ) with the empty function as the only element. When  $Z$  is quasi-projective,  $\mathcal{O}$  is sheaf of rings (a subsheaf of the sheaf of  $k$ -valued functions on  $Z$ ) and  $\mathcal{O}(d): U \mapsto \mathcal{O}(d)(U)$  is a sheaf of modules.

Professor Franke would like to point out that the following definition being given here is in *no way*, by *any chance*, related to the recent appearance of this very definition in his other lecture Algebraic Geometry I.

**Definition 3** (Sheaf of modules). A **sheaf of modules** over a sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $X$  is a sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $X$  of abelian groups together with maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(U) \times \mathcal{M}(U) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(U) \\ (\rho, \mu) &\longmapsto \rho \cdot \mu \end{aligned}$$

defining the structure of an  $\mathcal{R}(U)$ -module on  $\mathcal{M}(U)$  and such that  $(\rho \cdot \mu)|_V = (\rho|_V) \cdot (\mu|_V)$  when  $V \subseteq U$  are open subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(U)$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}(U)$ . A sheaf of modules is **locally free** of rank  $n$  if every  $x \in X$  has a neighbourhood  $U$  such that  $\mathcal{M}|_U \simeq (\mathcal{R}|_U)^n$ . When  $n = 1$  this is called a *line bundle*.

**Definition 4** (Prevariety). A **prevariety** over an algebraically closed field  $k$  is a Noetherian, irreducible topological space  $X$  together with a subsheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$  of the sheaf of  $k$ -valued functions on  $X$  such that

- any  $x \in X$  has an open neighbourhood  $U$  for which there is a homeomorphism  $U \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\sim} V \subseteq k^n$  where  $V$  is a quasi-affine variety in  $k^n$
- and such that a  $k$ -valued function  $\lambda$  on the open subset  $W$  satisfies  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_X(W)$  if and only if  $\varphi^*\lambda = (y \mapsto \lambda(\varphi(y)))$  defines an element of  $\mathcal{O}(\varphi(W))$ .

A **morphism**  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  of prevarieties is a continuous map such that for any open  $V \subseteq Y$  and any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_Y(V)$ ,  $f^*\lambda$  is an element of  $\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}V)$ .

A **variety** is a prevariety  $X$  with the additional property that for any prevariety and any pair  $X \xrightarrow[a]{b} Y$  or morphisms,  $\text{Eq}(a, b) = \{x \in X \mid a(x) = b(x)\}$  is a closed subset of  $X$ .

**Remark 2.** The  $n$  in the above definition is *not* required to be constant, not even for a single  $x \in X$ . In fact, this wouldn't be a sensible thing to ask for, as e.g.  $k \subseteq k^1$  and  $k \times \{0\} \subseteq k^2$  are isomorphic affine varieties. However, the *Krull dimension*  $\dim X$  (in the sense of Definition A.1.3) is a well-defined thing and one can show that  $\dim X = \dim V$  in the above situation (this is a consequence of [1, Theorem 6] and the *locality of codimension*, cf. Remark 1.1.1(a) or [1, Remark 2.1.3]).

**Remark 3.** Recall that a topological space  $T$  is Hausdorff (of  $T_2$ ) iff the following equivalent conditions hold

- (a) Any two different points in  $T$  have disjoint open neighbourhoods.
- (b) The *diagonal*  $\Delta = \{(t, t) \mid t \in T\}$  is a closed subset of  $T \times T$ .

- (c) For any pair  $S \xrightleftharpoons[b]{a} T$  of continuous maps,  $K = \text{Eq}(a, b) = \{t \in T \mid a(t) = b(t)\}$  is closed in  $T$ .

Note that (c) reminds strongly of the *separateness* condition for varieties in Definition 4, except that we restrict  $S$  to be a prevariety and  $a, b$  morphisms of prevarieties there.

**Definition 5** (Stalk). The **stalk** at  $x \in X$  of a presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on the topological space  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is the set of pairs  $(U, \alpha)$  of open neighbourhoods  $U$  of  $x$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  modulo the equivalence relation  $\sim$ . This relation is defined by  $(U, \alpha) \sim (V, \beta)$  iff there is an open neighbourhood  $W \subseteq U \cap V$  of  $x$  such that  $\alpha|_W = \beta|_W$ . The group (ring) operations  $* \in \{+, \cdot\}$  are given as follows: If  $a = (U, \alpha)/\sim$  and  $b = (V, \beta)/\sim$  are elements of  $\mathcal{F}_x$ , then

$$a * b = (W, (\alpha|_W) * (\beta|_W))/\sim$$

where  $W$  is any open neighbourhood of  $x$  contained in  $U \cap V$ . This gives  $\mathcal{F}_x$  a structure of a group (ring, etc.) if  $\mathcal{F}$  is of the appropriate type of sheaves. When  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module,  $\mathcal{M}_x$  gets the structure of an  $\mathcal{R}_x$ -module in the same way.

**Remark 4.** Let  $X \subseteq k^n$  be quasi-affine and  $\mathcal{O}$  be its structure sheaf. When  $f = (U, \varphi)/\sim \in \mathcal{O}_x$ ,  $f(x) := \varphi(x)$  is independent of its representative. When  $f(x) \neq 0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_x^\times$  as  $U \setminus V(\varphi)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $U$  and  $\varphi|_{U \setminus V(\varphi)} \in \mathcal{O}(U \setminus V(\varphi))^\times$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{O}_x \setminus \mathcal{O}_x^\times$  is the kernels of the homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow k$ ,  $f \mapsto f(x)$  and hence an ideal, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is a local ring.

As the construction of stalks is local<sup>1</sup>,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \mid f(x) = 0\}$  for any prevariety  $X$  and any  $x \in X$ .

**Remark 5.** It turns out that the quasi-affine and quasi-projective varieties are varieties in the sens of Definition 4.

---

<sup>1</sup>Pun not intended.

# Bibliography

- [1] Nicholas Schwab; Ferdinand Wagner. *Algebra I by Jens Franke (lecture notes)*. GitHub: <https://github.com/Nicholas42/AlgebraFranke/tree/master/AlgebraI>.
- [2] H. Matsumura and M. Reid. *Commutative Ring Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1989. ISBN: 978-0-521-36764-6. URL: <http://www.math.unam.mx/javier/Matsumura.pdf>.
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors. *The Stacks Project*.
- [3] E. Kunz. *Kähler Differentials*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, 1986. ISBN: 978-3-528-08973-3. URL: [http://www.uni-regensburg.de/Fakultaeten/nat\\_Fak\\_I/kunz/kaehler/paragraph1.pdf](http://www.uni-regensburg.de/Fakultaeten/nat_Fak_I/kunz/kaehler/paragraph1.pdf).