The selection of PM (5/9/2025)

1. What was the most impressive moment for you, why?

The most impressive moment of this moment is probably when Natthaphong replied back to the concerns that the People's party won't be able to effectively be an opposition party. He said that if both his party and Pheu Thai party "work with honesty" as an opposition party, it wouldn't be a problem since both of them have similar ideologies as well. He also reassured that he didn't vote Anutin to be a prime minister but he voted Anutin to dissolve the parliament. I feel like he gave a good argument and a good reassurance of the People's party core ideologies.

2. What was the most disappointing moment for you, why?

The most disappointing moment is probably the fact that we can't just dissolve the whole parliament and start over. I didn't think that it was fair since Paetongtarn became the prime minister and I feel like it would be better if the government was chosen by true democracy and we wouldn't have to choose between the two parties that didn't even win the election to become the government.

3. What do you think will happen in Thai politics over the next few months according to the outcome of today's house meeting?

Anutin won with 311 votes, with the help of the People's party under the condition of a new election within 4 months. Therefore, the policies made by the new government or any actions within this period could be unstable or not as effective as it should be. The policies may lean towards the ideologies of the People's party since Anutin won partly because of them. Yet I also think there is a high tendency that Anutin might not keep the promise of holding an election within 4 months, which could lead to more political tension or even protests by the supporters of the people's party.

As many of the representatives debate the role of the People's party on being an opposition party to the government, which contradicts to the fact that they are the one who voted for the government, I think that this could be an excuse for other parties to use against them when they try to vote for policies or other actions in the future.