CSCI 3155: Lab Assignment 4

Fall 2016
Checkpoint due Saturday, October 15, 2016
Assignment due Saturday, October 22, 2016

Learning Goals.   The primary learning goals of this lab are to understand the following:

- static type checking and the interplay between type checking and evaluation;

- parameter passing modes: call-by-value versus call-by-name;

- capture-avoiding substitution; and

- programming with higher-order functions.

PL Ideas Static type checking and type safety. Records. Parameter passing modes.

FP Skills Higher-order functions. Collections and callbacks.

Concretely, we will extend JAVASCRIPTY with immutable objects and extend our small-step interpreter from Lab 3. Unlike all prior language constructs, object expressions do not have an *a priori* bound on the number of sub-expressions because an object can have any number of fields. To represent objects, we will use collections from the Scala library and thus will need to get used to working with the Scala collection API.

General Guidelines.   During recitation find a partner for this lab assignment (should be different for every lab assignment). You will work on this assignment closely with your partner. However, note that **each student needs to submit** and are individually responsible for completing the assignment.

You are welcome to talk about these questions beyond your teams. However, we ask that you code in pairs. See the collaboration policy for details, including the following:

> *Bottom line, feel free to use resources that are available to you as long as the use is **reasonable** and you **cite** them in your submission. However, copying answers directly or indirectly from solution manuals, web pages, or your peers is certainly unreasonable.*

Also, recall the evaluation guideline from the course syllabus.

> *Both your ideas and also the clarity with which they are expressed matter—both in your English prose and your code!*

> *We will consider the following criteria in our grading:*

- How well does your submission answer the questions? *For example, a common mistake is to give an example when a question asks for an explanation. An example may be useful in your explanation, but it should not take the place of the explanation.*

- How clear is your submission? *If we cannot understand what you are trying to say, then we cannot give you points for it. Try reading your answer aloud to yourself or a friend; this technique is often a great way to identify holes in your reasoning. For code, not every program that "works" deserves full credit. We must be able to read and understand your intent. Make sure you state any pre-conditions or invariants for your functions (either in comments, as assertions, or as* `require` *clauses as appropriate).*

Try to make your code as concise and clear as possible. Challenge yourself to find the most crisp, concise way of expressing the intended computation. This may mean using ways of expression computation currently unfamiliar to you.

Finally, make sure that your file compiles and runs via `sbt test`. A program that does not compile will *not* be graded—no interview will be conducted.

Submission Instructions. We are using Github for assignment distribution and submission. You need to have a Github identity and must have your full name in your Github profile so that we can associate you with your submissions.

You will be editing and submitting the the following files:

- `src/main/scala/jsy/student/Lab4.scala` with your solution to the coding exercises;

- `src/test/scala/jsy/student/Lab4Spec.scala` with your additional tests; and

- `lab4-`*yourteamname*`.jsy` with a challenging test case for your JAVASCRIPTY interpreter.

You are also likely to edit `src/main/scala/jsy/student/Lab4Worksheet.sc` for any scratch work.

Following good git practice, please make commits in small bits corresponding to completing small conceptual parts and push often so that your progress is evident. We expect that you have some familarity with git from prior courses. If not, please discuss with your classmates and the course staff (e.g., via Piazza).

At any point, you may submit your `Lab4.scala` file to COG for auto-testing. You need to submit to COG for the auto-testing part of your score, as well as to continue to the interview.

Sign-up for an interview slot for an evaluator. To fairly accommodate everyone, the interview times are strict and **will not be rescheduled**. Missing an interview slot means missing the interview evaluation component of your lab score. Please take advantage of your interview time to maximize the feedback that you are able receive. Arrive at your interview ready to show your team's implementation and your written responses. Implementations that do not compile and run will not be evaluated.

Finally, upload to the moodle exactly the files named above, that is,

- `Lab4.scala`

- `Lab4Spec.scala`
- `lab4-`*`yourteamname`*`.jsy`

Getting Started. First, form a team of two and pick a team name. For our bookkeeping, please prefix your team name with `lab4-` (e.g., `lab4-anatomists`).

You must work in teams of two, and you will form teams in lab section. If you miss lab section on the day teams are formed, you need to find a partner on your own. If you really, really cannot find a partner, then please contact the course staff (via Piazza).

Then, log into moodle and follow the Github Classroom link for setting up your Lab 4 repository with your team name. The first person will create the team, and the second person will select the team name from the existing team names.

If you would like to look at the code before getting your own copy for submission, you may go to `https://github.com/csci3155/pppl-lab4`.

Checkpoint. The checkpoint is to encourage you to start the coding portion of the assignment early and it requires you to submit your partial solution on COG a week before the assignment is due. You do not need to complete all coding a week early but we want you to start working on it. This means that submitting the empty template that fails all tests is **not sufficient**. Failing to submit to the checkpoint will prevent you from proceeding to the interview. However, as long as you pass the checkpoint, this early score from the checkpoint will not affect your grade for the assignment or your overall grade for the course.

Scala Practice. A suggested way to get familiar with Scala is to do some small lessons with Scala Koans (`http://www.scalakoans.org/`). Useful ones for Lab 4 are AboutHigherOrderFunctions, AboutLists, AboutPartialFunctions, and AboutInfixPrefixAndPostfixOperators.

1. **Feedback**. Complete the survey on the linked from the moodle after completing this assignment. Any non-empty answer will receive full credit.

2. **Warm-Up: Collections**. To implement our interpreter for JAVASCRIPTY with objects, we will need to make use of collections from Scala's library. One of the most fundamental operations that one needs to perform with a collection is to iterate over the elements of the collection. Like many other languages with first-class functions (e.g., Python, ML), the Scala library provides various iteration operations via *higher-order functions*. Higher-order functions are functions that take functions as parameters. The function parameters are often called *callbacks*, and for collections, they typically specify what the library client wants to do for each element.

   In this question, we practice both writing such higher-order functions in a library and using them as a client.

   (a) Implement a function

   ```scala
   def compressRec[A](l: List[A]): List[A]
   ```

that eliminates consecutive duplicates of list elements. If a list contains repeated elements they should be replaced with a single copy of the element. The order of the elements should not be changed.

Example:

```scala
scala> compressRec(List(1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3))
res0: List[Int] = List(1, 2, 3)
```

This test has been provided for you in the template.

For this exercise, implement the function by direct recursion (e.g., pattern match on `l` and call `compressRec` recursively). Do not call any `List` library methods.

This exercise is from Ninety-Nine Scala Problems:

    http://aperiodic.net/phil/scala/s-99/ .

Some sample solutions are given there, which you are welcome to view. However, it is strongly encouraged that you first attempt this exercise before looking there. The purpose of the exercise is to get some practice for the later part of this homework. Note that the solutions there do not satisfy the requirements here (as they use library functions). If at some point you feel like you need more practice with collections, the above page is a good resource.

(b) Re-implement the compress function from the previous part as `compressFold` using the `foldRight` method from the `List` library. The call to `foldRight` has been provided for you. Do not call `compressFold` recursively or any other `List` library methods.

(c) Implement a higher-order recursive function

def `mapFirst[A](f: A => Option[A])(l: List[A]): List[A]`

that finds the first element in `l` where `f` applied to it returns a `Some(a)` for some value a. It should replace that element with a and leave `l` the same everywhere else.

Example:

```scala
scala> mapFirst((i: Int) => if (i < 0) Some(-i) else None)(List(1,2,-3,4,-5))
res0: List[Int] = List(1, 2, 3, 4, -5)
```

(d) Consider again the binary search tree data structure from Lab 1:

```scala
sealed abstract class Tree {
  def insert(n: Int): Tree

  def foldLeft[A](z: A)(f: (A, Int) => A): A = {
    def loop(acc: A, t: Tree): A = t match {
      case Empty => ???
      case Node(l, d, r) => ???
    }
    loop(z, this)
  }
}
case object Empty extends Tree
case class Node(l: Tree, d: Int, r: Tree) extends Tree
```

Here, we have implement the binary search tree `insert` as a method of `Tree`. For

4

this exercise, complete the higher-order method `foldLeft`. This method performs an in-order traversal of the input tree `this` calling the callback `f` to accumulate a result. Suppose the in-order traversal of the input tree yields the following sequence of data values: $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$. Then, `foldLeft` yields

$$f(\cdots(f(f(z, d_1), d_2))\cdots), d_n)\,.$$

We have provided a test client `sum` that computes the sum of all of the data values in the tree using your `foldLeft` method.

(e) Implement a function

def `strictlyOrdered(t: Tree): Boolean`

as a client of your `foldLeft` method that checks that the data values of `t` as an in-order traversal are in strictly accending order (i.e., $d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_n$).

Example:

```
scala> strictlyOrdered(treeFromList(List(1,1,2)))
res0: Boolean = false
```

3. **JavaScripty Type Checker**

As we have seen in the prior labs, dealing with conversions and checking for dynamic type errors complicate the interpreter implementation. Some languages restrict the possible programs that it will execute to ones that it can guarantee will not result in a dynamic type error. This restriction of programs is enforced with an analysis phase after parsing known as *type checking*. Such languages are called *strongly, statically-typed*. In this lab, we will implement a strongly, statically-typed version of JAVASCRIPTY. We will not permit any type conversions and will guarantee the absence of dynamic type errors.

In this lab, we extend JAVASCRIPTY with types, multi-parameter functions, and objects/records (see Figure 1). We have a language of types τ and annotate function parameters with types. Functions can now take any number of parameters. We write a sequence of things using either an overbar or dots (e.g., $\overline{e}$ or $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ for a sequence of expressions). An object literal

$$\{f_1 : e_1, \ldots, f_n : e_n\}$$

is a comma-separated sequence of field names with initialization expressions surrounded by braces. Objects in this lab are more like records or C-`structs` as opposed to JavaScript objects, as we do not have any form of mutation, dynamic extension, or dynamic dispatch. The field read expression $e_1.f$ evaluates e_1 to an object value and then looks up the field named f. An object value is a sequence of field names associated with values. The type language τ includes base types for numbers, booleans, strings, and **undefined**, as well as constructed types for functions $(\overline{x : \varsigma}) \Rightarrow \tau$ and objects $\{f_1 : \tau_1; \ldots; f_n : \tau_n\}$.

As an aside, we have chosen a syntax that is compatible with the TypeScript language that adds typing to JavaScript. TypeScript aims to be fully compatible with JavaScript, so it is not as strictly typed as JAVASCRIPTY in this lab.

expressions	$e ::= x \mid n \mid b \mid \textbf{undefined} \mid uop\, e_1 \mid e_1\, bop\, e_2 \mid e_1\, ?\, e_2 : e_3$
	$\mid m\, x = e_1; e_2 \mid \textbf{console.log}(e_1)$
	$\mid str \mid p(\overline{x : \varsigma})\, tann \Rightarrow e_1 \mid e_0(\overline{e})$
	$\mid \{f_1 : e_1, \ldots, f_n : e_n\} \mid e_1.f$
values	$v ::= n \mid b \mid \textbf{undefined} \mid str \mid p(\overline{x : \varsigma})\, tann \Rightarrow e_1$
	$\mid \{f_1 : v_1, \ldots, f_n : v_n\}$
unary operators	$uop ::= - \mid !$
binary operators	$bop ::= \, , \mid + \mid - \mid * \mid / \mid === \mid !== \mid < \mid <= \mid > \mid >= \mid \&\& \mid \mid\mid$
types	$\tau ::= \textbf{number} \mid \textbf{bool} \mid \textbf{string} \mid \textbf{Undefined} \mid (\overline{x : \varsigma}) \Rightarrow \tau \mid \{f_1 : \tau_1; \ldots; f_n : \tau_n\}$
moded types	$\varsigma ::= m\tau$
parameter mode	$m ::= \textbf{const} \mid \textbf{name}$
variables	x
numbers (doubles)	n
booleans	$b ::= \textbf{true} \mid \textbf{false}$
strings	str
function names	$p ::= x \mid \varepsilon$
field names	f
type annotations	$tann ::= \, : \tau \mid \varepsilon$
type environments	$\Gamma ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau]$

Figure 1: Abstract Syntax of JAVASCRIPTY

statements	$s ::= m\, x = e \mid e \mid \{s_1\} \mid ; \mid s_1\, s_2$
expressions	$e ::= \cdots \mid \underline{m\, x = e_1, e_2} \mid (e_1)$
	$\mid \underline{p(\overline{x : \varsigma})\, tann \Rightarrow e_1} \mid \textbf{function}\, p(\overline{x : \varsigma})\, tann\, \{\, s_1\, \textbf{return}\, e_1\, \} \mid (\overline{x : \varsigma}) \Rightarrow e$
moded types	$\varsigma ::= \cdots \mid \tau$

Figure 2: Concrete Syntax of JAVASCRIPTY

```
/* Functions */
case class Function(p: Option[String], params: List[(String,MTyp)], tann: Option[Typ],
                    e1: Expr) extends Expr
  Function(p, (x,ς), tann, e₁)    p(x:ς)tann => e₁
case class Call(e1: Expr, args: List[Expr]) extends Expr
  Call(e₁, ē)    e₁(ē)


/* Parameter Modes */
case object Const extends Mode
  Const    const
case object Name extends Mode
  Name    name
case class MTyp(m: Mode, t: Typ)
  MTyp(m, τ)    mτ


/* Objects */
case class Obj(efields: Map[String,Expr]) extends Expr
  Object(f:e)    {f:e}
case class GetField(e1: Expr, f: String) extends Expr
  GetField(e₁, f)    e₁.f


/* Types */
case class TFunction(params: List[(String,MTyp)], tret: Typ) extends Typ
  TFunction(x:ς, τ)    (x:ς) ⇒ τ
case class TObj(tfields: Map[String, Typ]) extends Typ
  TObj(f:τ)    {f:τ}
```

Figure 3: Representing in Scala the abstract syntax of JAVASCRIPTY. After each **case class** or **case object**, we show the correspondence between the representation and the concrete syntax.

As before, the concrete syntax accepted by the parser is slightly less flexible than the abstract syntax in order to match the syntactic structure of JavaScript. For function expressions, the body is surrounded by curly braces (i.e., { }) and consists of a statement s_1 for **const** bindings followed by a **return** with an expression e_1. To be compatible with TypeScript syntax, we permit dropping the mode annotation on function parameter types in the concrete syntax, which is parsed as **const**.

In Figure 3, we show the updated and new AST nodes. We update Function and Call for multiple parameters/arguments. Object literals and field read expressions are represented by Object and GetField, respectively.

In this lab, we implement a type checker that is very similar to a big-step interpreter. Instead of computing the value of an expression by recursively computing the value of each subexpression, we infer the type of an expression, by recursively inferring the type of each subexpression. An expression is *well-typed* if we can infer a type for it.

Given its similarity to big-step evaluation, we can formalize a type inference algorithm in a similar way. In Figure 4, we define the judgment form $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ which says informally, "In type environment Γ, expression e has type τ." We will implement a function

```
def typeof(env: Map[String,Typ], e: Expr): Typ
```

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$$

TypeVar
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)}$$

TypeNeg
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{number}}{\Gamma \vdash -e_1 : \textbf{number}}$$

TypeNot
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash !e_1 : \textbf{bool}}$$

TypeSeq
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 , e_2 : \tau_2}$$

TypeArith
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{number} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \textbf{number} \qquad bop \in \{+,-,*,/\}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \, bop \, e_2 : \textbf{number}}$$

TypePlusString
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{string} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \textbf{string}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \textbf{string}}$$

TypeInequalityNumber
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{number} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \textbf{number} \qquad bop \in \{<,<=,>,>=\}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \, bop \, e_2 : \textbf{bool}}$$

TypeInequalityString
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{string} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \textbf{string} \qquad bop \in \{<,<=,>,>=\}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \, bop \, e_2 : \textbf{bool}}$$

TypeEquality
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \qquad \tau \text{ has no function types} \qquad bop \in \{===,!==\}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \, bop \, e_2 : \textbf{bool}}$$

TypeAndOr
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{bool} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \textbf{bool} \qquad bop \in \{\&\&,||\}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \, bop \, e_2 : \textbf{bool}}$$

TypePrint
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{console.log}(e_1) : \textbf{Undefined}}$$

TypeIf
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \textbf{bool} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \, ? \, e_2 : e_3 : \tau}$$

TypeDecl
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash m \, x = e_1; e_2 : \tau_2}$$

TypeCall
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \cdots \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_n : \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash e(e_1, \ldots, e_n) : \tau}$$

TypeObject
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i \qquad (\text{for all } i)}{\Gamma \vdash \{\ldots, f_i : e_i, \ldots\} : \{\ldots, f_i : \tau_i, \ldots\}}$$

TypeGetField
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \{\ldots, f : \tau, \ldots\}}{\Gamma \vdash e.f : \tau}$$

TypeNumber
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \textbf{number}}$$

TypeBool
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash b : \textbf{bool}}$$

TypeString
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash str : \textbf{string}}$$

TypeUndefined
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{undefined} : \textbf{Undefined}}$$

TypeFunction
$$\frac{\Gamma[x_1 \mapsto \tau_1] \cdots [x_n \mapsto \tau_n] \vdash e : \tau \qquad \tau' = (x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \quad (x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) => e \quad : \tau'}$$

TypeFunctionAnn
$$\frac{\Gamma[x_1 \mapsto \tau_1] \cdots [x_n \mapsto \tau_n] \vdash e : \tau \qquad \tau' = (x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \quad (x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) : \tau => e \quad : \tau'}$$

TypeRecFunction
$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau'][x_1 \mapsto \tau_1] \cdots [x_n \mapsto \tau_n] \vdash e : \tau \qquad \tau' = (x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) \Rightarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \quad x(x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) : \tau => e \quad : \tau'}$$

Figure 4: Typing of Javascripty.

8

that corresponds directly to this judgment form. It takes as input a type environment `env` (Γ) and an expression `e` (*e*) returns a type `Typ` (τ). It is informative to compare these rules with the big-step operational semantics from Lab 3.

The TYPEEQUALITY is slightly informal in stating

$$\tau \text{ has no function types}.$$

We intend this statement to say that the structure of τ has no function types. The helper function `hasFunctionTyp` is intended to return **true** if a function type appears in the input and **false** if it does not, so this statement can be checked by taking the negation of a call to `hasFunctionTyp`.

To signal a type error, we will use a Scala exception

```
case class StaticTypeError(tbad: Typ, esub: Expr, e: Expr) extends Exception
```

where `tbad` is the type that is inferred sub-expression `esub` of input expression `e`. These arguments are used to construct a useful error message. We also provide a helper function `err` to simplify throwing this exception.

We suggest the following step-by-step order to complete `typeof`.

1. First, complete the cases for the basic expressions excluding `Function`, `Call`, `Obj`, and `GetField`.

2. Then, work on these remaining cases. These cases use collections, so be sure to complete the previous question before attempting these cases. You can also work on `step` before finishing `typeof`.

Hints: Helpful library methods here include

```
(l: List[A]).map(f: A => B): List[B]
(l: List[A]).foldLeft(f: (B,A) => B): B
(l: List[A]).foreach(f: A => Unit): Unit
(l: List[A]).exists(f: A => Boolean): Boolean
(l: List[A]).length: Int
(la: List[A]).zip(lb: List[B]): List[(A,B)]
(m1: Map[K,V]).++(m2: Map[K,V]): Map[K,V]
(m: Map[K,V]).map(f: ((K,V)) => (J,U)): Map[J,U]
(m: Map[K,V]).mapValues(f: V => U): Map[K,U]
(m: Map[K,V]).get(k: K): Option[V].
```

You may want to use `zip` in the `Call` case to match up formal parameters and actual arguments.

4. **JavaScripty Small-Step Interpreter**

In this question, we update `substitute` and `step` from Lab 3 for multi-parameter functions and objects. Because of type checking, the `step` cases can be greatly simplified. We eliminate the need to perform conversions and should no longer throw `DynamicTypeError`.

We introduce another Scala exception type

```scala
case class StuckError(e: Expr) extends Exception
```

that should be thrown when there is no possible next step. This exception looks a lot like `DynamicTypeError` except that the intent is that it should never be raised. It is intended to signal a coding error in our interpreter rather than an error in the JAVASCRIPTY test input.

In particular, if the JAVASCRIPTY expression e passed into `step` is closed and well-typed (i.e., judgment $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ holds meaning `inferType(e)` does not throw `StaticTypeError`), then `step` should never throw a `StuckError`. This property of JAVASCRIPTY is known as *type safety*.

A small-step operational semantics is given in Figure 6. This semantics no longer has conversions compared to Lab 3. It is much simpler because of type checking (e.g., even with the addition of objects, it fits on one page).

As specified, SEARCHOBJECT is non-deterministic (why?). As we view objects as an unordered set of fields, it says an object expression takes can take a step by stepping on any of its component fields. To match the reference implementation, you should make the step go on the first non-value as given by the left-to-right iteration of the collection using

```scala
(m: Map[K,V]).find(f: ((K,V)) => Boolean): Option[(K,V)].
```

We suggest the following step-by-step order to complete `step`.

1. **Core JAVASCRIPTY**. First, import `substitute`, `iterate`, `inequalityVal`, and the cases from your Lab 3 `step` function (perhaps excluding `Call`) and simplify them to remove calls to conversions (e.g., `toNumber`) and cases that throw `DynamicTypeError`. Follow the small-step operational semantics in Figure 6 to see how to simplify your Lab 3 code (e.g., start with DONEG).

2. **Objects**. Then, work on the object cases. These are actually simpler than the function cases. **Hint**: Note that field names are different than variable names. Object expressions are not variable binding constructs—what does that mean about `substitute` for them?

3. **Functions with Call-By-Value Parameters**. Then, work on the function cases (ignoring call-by-name). **Hints**: You might want to use your `mapFirst` function from the warm-up question. Helpful library methods here include in addition to those mentioned above include

```scala
(l: List[A]).forall(f: A => Boolean): Boolean
(l: List[A]).foldRight(f: (A,B) => B): B.
```

4. **Call-By-Name**. The final wrinkle in our interpreter is that call-by-name requires substituting an arbitrary expression into another expression. Thus, we must be careful to avoid free variable capture (cf., Notes 3.2). We did not have to consider this case before because we were only ever substituting values that did not have free variables.

   In this lab, you will need to modify your `substitute` function to avoid free variable capture. To do this, first implement a function

```scala
def rename(e: Expr)(renameVar: String => String): Expr
```

$$\boxed{m \vdash e \text{ redex}}$$

CONSTMODE
$$\frac{e \neq v}{\textbf{const} \vdash e \text{ redex}}$$

Figure 5: Define expressions that are reducible under a mode.

that renames an expression e using the `renameVar` parameter to decide how to rename *bound* variables in e. The inner helper function

```
def ren(env: Map[String,String], e: Expr): Expr
```

recurses over expression e with an environment env that maps original bound variable names to new bould variable names (as determined by code `renameVar`).

Then, modify `substitute`

```
def substitute(e: Expr, esub: Expr, x: String): Expr
```

with a call to `rename` to rename expression e to avoid capturing the free variables of esub. You can call the function

```
def freeVars(e: Expr): Set[String]
```

provided in `jsy.lab4.ast` to compute the set of free variables of an expression.

Finally, implement the `isRedex` relation following the judgment form $m \vdash e$ redex in Figure 5 and update `step` to use it for variable declarations and function calls according to the small-step judgment form $e \longrightarrow e'$ in Figure 6.

$$\boxed{e \longrightarrow e'}$$

DoNeg
$$\frac{n' = -n}{-n \longrightarrow n'}$$

DoNot
$$\frac{b' = \neg b}{!b \longrightarrow b'}$$

DoSeq
$$\frac{}{v_1 , e_2 \longrightarrow e_2}$$

DoArith
$$\frac{n' = n_1 \; bop \; n_2 \qquad bop \in \{+,-,*,/\}}{n_1 \; bop \; n_2 \longrightarrow n'}$$

DoPlusString
$$\frac{str' = str_1 + str_2}{str_1 + str_2 \longrightarrow str'}$$

DoInequalityNumber
$$\frac{b' = n_1 \; bop \; n_2 \qquad bop \in \{<,<=,>,>=\}}{n_1 \; bop \; n_2 \longrightarrow b'}$$

DoInequalityString
$$\frac{b' = str_1 \; bop \; str_2 \qquad bop \in \{<,<=,>,>=\}}{str_1 \; bop \; str_2 \longrightarrow b'}$$

DoEquality
$$\frac{b' = (v_1 \; bop \; v_2) \qquad bop \in \{===,!==\}}{v_1 \; bop \; v_2 \longrightarrow b'}$$

DoAndTrue
$$\frac{}{\textbf{true} \; \&\& \; e_2 \longrightarrow e_2}$$

DoAndFalse
$$\frac{}{\textbf{false} \; \&\& \; e_2 \longrightarrow \textbf{false}}$$

DoOrTrue
$$\frac{}{\textbf{true} \; || \; e_2 \longrightarrow \textbf{true}}$$

DoOrFalse
$$\frac{}{\textbf{false} \; || \; e_2 \longrightarrow e_2}$$

DoPrint
$$\frac{v_1 \text{ printed}}{\textbf{console.log}(v_1) \longrightarrow \textbf{undefined}}$$

DoIfTrue
$$\frac{}{\textbf{true} \, ? \, e_2 : e_3 \longrightarrow e_2}$$

DoIfFalse
$$\frac{}{\textbf{false} \, ? \, e_2 : e_3 \longrightarrow e_3}$$

DoDecl
$$\frac{m \nvdash e_1 \text{ redex}}{m \, x = e_1; e_2 \longrightarrow e_2[e_1/x]}$$

DoCall
$$\frac{v = (x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) \, tann => e \qquad m_i \nvdash e_i \text{ redex} \qquad \text{for all } i}{v(e_1, \ldots e_n) \longrightarrow e[e_n/x_n] \cdots [e_1/x_1]}$$

DoCallRec
$$\frac{v = x(x_1 : m_1 \, \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : m_n \, \tau_n) \, tann => e \qquad m_i \nvdash e_i \text{ redex} \qquad \text{for all } i}{v(e_1, \ldots e_n) \longrightarrow e[e_n/x_n] \cdots [e_1/x_1][v/x]}$$

DoGetField
$$\frac{}{\{f_1 : v_1, \ldots, f_i : v_i, \ldots, f_n : v_n\}.f_i \longrightarrow v_i}$$

SearchUnary
$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e_1'}{uop \, e_1 \longrightarrow uop \, e_1'}$$

SearchBinary$_1$
$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e_1'}{e_1 \; bop \; e_2 \longrightarrow e_1' \; bop \; e_2}$$

SearchBinary$_2$
$$\frac{e_2 \longrightarrow e_2'}{v_1 \; bop \; e_2 \longrightarrow v_1 \; bop \; e_2'}$$

SearchPrint
$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e_1'}{\textbf{console.log}(e_1) \longrightarrow \textbf{console.log}(e_1')}$$

SearchIf
$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e_1'}{e_1 \, ? \, e_2 : e_3 \longrightarrow e_1' \, ? \, e_2 : e_3}$$

SearchDecl
$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e_1' \qquad m \vdash e_1 \text{ redex}}{m \, x = e_1; e_2 \longrightarrow m \, x = e_1'; e_2}$$

SearchCall$_1$
$$\frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{e(e_1, \ldots, e_n) \longrightarrow e'(e_1, \ldots, e_n)}$$

SearchCall$_2$
$$\frac{e_i \longrightarrow e_i' \qquad m_i \vdash e_i \text{ redex} \qquad m_j \nvdash e_j \text{ redex} \qquad \text{for all } j < i}{(p(\overline{x : \varsigma}) \, tann => e)(e_1, \ldots, e_i, \ldots, e_n) \longrightarrow (p(\overline{x : \varsigma}) \, tann => e)(e_1, \ldots, e_i', \ldots, e_n)}$$

SearchObject
$$\frac{e_i \longrightarrow e_i'}{\{\ldots, f_i : e_i, \ldots\} \longrightarrow \{\ldots, f_i : e_i', \ldots\}}$$

SearchGetField
$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e_1'}{e_1.f \longrightarrow e_1'.f}$$

Figure 6: Small-step operational semantics of JAVASCRIPTY