

# Mini Pretty Proofs

Jack

Last Updated: January 9, 2025

This is my notes template.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Sets</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	<a href="#">Introduction</a> . . . . .	2

## §1 Sets

Sets.<sup>1</sup>

Number theory [IR90].

### §1.1 Introduction

#### Definition 1.1

A **set** is an unordered collection of objects.

#### Example 1.2

Below are some finite sets:

- $\{1, 2, 4, 7, -3\}$  is a set of five numbers.
- $\{a, b, c\}$  is a set of three letters.
- $\{\text{red}, \text{blue}, \text{green}\}$  is a set of three colors.

Here are some infinite sets!

- $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is the set of natural numbers.
- $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is the set of integers.
- $\mathbb{Q} = \{\frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$  is the set of rational numbers.
- $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers (think number line).

**Question 1.3.** Prove that for any set  $H$ ,  $|H| < |2^H|$ .

That is, the cardinality of the powerset of  $H$  is strictly larger than the cardinality of  $H$  itself.

We'll refer to 1.3 as a theorem once we prove it. Notice that once we prove it, we can construct a hierarchy of infinities, each provably larger than before.

**Remark 1.4.** The prove of 1.3 uses what a technique analogous to “diagonalization” which appears in many places. In mathematics, you can see it used in [Cantor’s diagonalization argument](#), [Russell’s paradox](#), and [Godel’s incompleteness theorem](#). In computer science to prove the undecidability of the

<sup>1</sup>Footnote.

[halting problem](#). In other places too :)

## References

- [IR90] K. Ireland and M.I. Rosen. *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1990.