上 海 交 通 大 学 试 卷(<u>A</u>卷)

(2016 至 2017 学年 第 2 学期)

| | 班级号 | | 学号 | _ 姓名 |
|----|----------------|-----------------------|----|------|
| | 课程名称 | 计算机系统基础(2) | | 成绩 |
| | | | | |
| Pr | oblem 1: CPU S | Scheduling (21 points | 5) | |
| 1. | [1] | [2] | | |
| | [3] | [4] | | |
| | [5] | [6] | | |
| | [7] | [8] | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. | 1) [1] | [2] | | [3] |
| | [4] | [5] | | |
| | 2) | | | |

我承诺,我将严 格遵守考试纪律。

承诺人:_____

| 题号 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 得分 | | | | | | | |
| 批阅人(流水阅 卷教师签名处) | | | | | | | |

Problem 2: Address Translation (29 points)

- 1. [1]
- [2]
- [3]
- [4]

- 2. [1]
- [2]
- [3]
- [4]

- [5]
- [6]
- [7]
- [8]

- [9]
- [10]
- [11]
- [12]

- [13]
- [14]
- [15]
- [16]

3.

Problem 3: Memory Mapping (28 points)

1 [1] [2]

[3]

[4]

2

3 [1] [2]

[3]

4

Problem 4: Concurrency (22 points)

1.

2.

1. [1]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5]

2.

3.

Problem 1: CPU Scheduling (21 points)

1. The following table shows the information of four jobs. No I/O issues are involved.

| Job | Arrival Time | Length of run-time | | |
|-----|--------------|--------------------|--|--|
| A | 0ms | 9ms | | |
| В | 2ms | 6ms | | |
| С | 6ms | 5ms | | |
| D | 10ms | 2ms | | |

- ♦ The RR time-slice is 1ms.
- ♦ Suppose when a job arrives, it is added to the tail of a work queue. The RR policy selects the next job of the current job in the queue.

Please calculate the average turnaround time and average response time for various scheduling policies. (8')

| Scheduling Policy | Average Turnaround Time | Average Response Time |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| FIFO | [1] | [2] |
| SJF | [3] | [4] |
| STJF | [5] | [6] |
| RR | [7] | [8] |

- 2. Suppose we use **MLFQ** scheduling policy. (8')
 - ♦ There are 3 priority queues Q0, Q1, and Q2; Q2 has the highest priority, and Q0 has the lowest priority.
 - ♦ FIFO is used in each queue.
 - The CPU scheduling is carried out only at completion of processes or time-slices.
 - → The right table shows the arrival time of jobs in the workload.

| Job | Arrival |
|-----|---------|
| | Time |
| A | 0ms |
| В | 7ms |
| С | 15ms |
| D | 19ms |

Following table shows the execution of CPU. No I/O issues are involved.

NOTE: X represents an unknown time quantum

| Time | 0 | Х | 3 x | 4X | 6X | 7x | 8X | 9x | 10x | 11X | 13X | 15X | 19X |
|------|---|---|------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| CPU | A | A | В | В | C | D | C | A | D | A | D | A | D |

1) Please determine the following values. (All the answers are integers) (10')

2) Based on the above execution, can you list an unwise parameter of this **MLFQ** scheduling policy, and explain why? (3')

Problem 2: Address Translation (29 points)

This problem concerns the way virtual addresses are translated into physical addresses. Below are the specifications of the system on which the translation occurs:

- ♦ The main memory is byte addressable.
- ♦ The memory accesses are to 1-byte words (not 4-byte words).
- ♦ The system uses a two-level page table.
- ♦ The page size is **512B**.
- ♦ PPN is **5 bits** wide.
- ♦ The number of PTEs in L1 page table is equal to that in L2 page table.
- ♦ The TLB is 2-way set associative with 8 entries in total.
- ♦ TLBT is 4 bits wide.
- ♦ The following figure shows the formats of the virtual addresses:

| \/DNI_4 | \/DNI 2 | 1/00 |
|---------|---------|------|
| VPN-1 | VPN-2 | VPO |

Virtual Address

1. Warm-up Questions (2' * 4 = 8')

| The VPO bits | [1] |
|---------------------------|-----|
| The VPN-1 bits | [2] |
| The virtual address bits | [3] |
| The physical address bits | [4] |

The contents of TLB and the first 4 entries of the two-level page table are given below. And only some of the L2 page tables are shown. Note that the **ADDR** column of L1 page table means the base physical address of L2 page tables. Also, the base physical address (**ADDR**) is shown in the bottom of each L2 page table. All numbers are in hexadecimal.

| Set | Tag | PPN | Valid | Tag | PPN | Valid |
|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| 0 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 01 | 1 |
| 1 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 09 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 0d | 1 | е | 0f | 1 |
| 3 | f | 13 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 1 |

TLB: 4 sets, 8 entries, 2-way set associative

| VPN-1 | ADDR | Valid |
|-------|-------|-------|
| 00 | 3800 | 1 |
| 01 | 3a00 | 1 |
| 02 | 2e00 | 0 |
| 03 | 3600 | 1 |
| | • • • | • • • |

Part of L1 Page Table

| VPN-2 | PPN | Valid | VPN-2 | PPN | Valid |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 00 | 0c | 1 | 00 | 11 | 0 |
| 01 | 0d | 1 | 01 | 13 | 1 |
| 02 | 0e | 0 | 02 | 0f | 1 |
| 03 | 12 | 1 | 03 | 03 | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| ADDR: | 0x3c00 | | ADDR: | 0x3a00 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| VPN-2 | PPN | Valid | VPN-2 | PPN | Valid |
| VPN-2 00 | PPN 04 | Valid 1 | VPN-2 | PPN 05 | Valid 0 |
| | | 1 | Ī | | |
| 00 | 04 | 1 | 00 | 05 | 0 |
| 00 01 | 04 0f | 1 | 00 01 | 05 14 | 0 |
| 00 01 02 | 04 0f 06 | 1 1 1 | 00 01 02 | 05 14 0e | 0 1 0 |

Part of L2 Page Table: Only some of the L2 page tables are shown

2. Please translate virtual address to physical address and fill in the following blanks (If the value is unknown or meaningless, enter '--' for them) (1'*16 = 16')

| Parameter | Value |
|-------------------|---------|
| Virtual Address | 0x47e0 |
| VPN-1 | 0x_[1] |
| VPN-2 | 0x_[2]_ |
| TLB Index | 0x_[3] |
| TLB Tag | 0x_[4] |
| TLB Hit? (Y/N) | [5] |
| Page Fault? (Y/N) | [6] |
| PPN | 0x[7] |
| Physical Address | 0x[8] |

| Parameter | Value |
|-------------------|--------|
| Virtual Address | 0x03cc |
| VPN-1 | 0x[9] |
| VPN-2 | 0x[10] |
| TLB Index | 0x[11] |
| TLB Tag | 0x[12] |
| TLB Hit? (Y/N) | [13] |
| Page Fault? (Y/N) | [14] |
| PPN | 0x[15] |
| Physical Address | 0x[16] |

3. What is the register **CR3** used for? (2') Which type of addresses (**physical** address or **virtual** address) is stored in it? Please also explain why this type of address is used. (2') When will the value in **CR3** be changed? (give an example) (1')

Problem 3: Virtual Memory (28 points)

Suppose the program runs on a byte-addressable system which has **32-bit** wide virtual address and **two-level** page tables. Each L1 and L2 page table entry takes **4 bytes**. Each L1 page table and L2 page table has 1024 entries. The size of each page is **4 KB**. Please answer the following questions.


```
#define PAGE SIZE (1<<12)</pre>
#define ARRAY LEN (1024 * PAGE SIZE)
int main(void) {
   int idx;
   int fd = open("ics.txt",O RDWR);
A:
   char* sbuf = mmap(0, ARRAY_LEN,
                      PROT READ | PROT WRITE, MAP SHARED, fd, 0);
   char* pbuf = mmap(0, ARRAY LEN,
                      PROT READ | PROT WRITE, MAP PRIVATE, fd, 0);
B:
   for (idx = 0; idx < ARRAY LEN; idx++)
       sbuf[idx] = pbuf[idx] + 1;
C:
   fork();
D:
   for (idx = 0; idx < ARRAY LEN; idx++) {
       sbuf[idx] = pbuf[idx] + 1;
       pbuf[idx] = sbuf[idx] + 1;
   }
E:
   munmap(sbuf, ARRAY LEN);
   munmap(pbuf, ARRAY LEN);
   close(fd);
   return 0;
}
```

Assumption:

- 1) After setting **MAP_SHARED** flag, the updates to the shared area will be immediately synchronized to the file.
- 2) After setting **MAP_PRIVATE** flag, the changes made to the file after **mmap()** call and before copy-on-write are visible to the mapped area. The modification on the private area will cause a copy-on-write (**COW**) mapping.
- 3) The ics.txt is a file filled with a lot of character '0' and its size is more than ARRAY LEN.

Before label **C**, the **virtual** and **physical** address of **pbuf** are **0xb7c00000** and **0xef400000** respectively, and the **virtual** address of **sbuf** is **0xb7800000**.

The following figure shows part of the page table when the program arrives at label **A**. The number within block is the index of page table. The white block without number means one or more empty page table entries. Please answer the following questions (**NOTE:** please ignore the page fault on the **stack** for the following questions)



- 1. Please fill in the following blanks. (2'*4 = 8')
 - Bit range [31:0] is the total bit range of a virtual address.
 Bit range ____[1]___ of a virtual address represents the index in L1 page table.
 Bit range ____[2]___ of a virtual address represents the offset within a page (VPO).
 - 2) How many L1 and L2 page table entries are needed **totally** in the given virtual memory system to express an array whose virtual address range is [0, 0xffffff]. **HINT**: more than one L2 page table is needed.

L1 page table entries: ___[3]___ total L2 page table entries: ___[4]___

- 2. How many **page fault** exceptions are raised by code between label **B** and **C**? Note: Each page fault will fill in both the L1 page table entry (if necessary) and the L2 page table entry. (2') How many of them will handle **COW**? (2') Please also write down your explanation. (2')
- 3. Please write down the addresses of below variables of the **child** process when the program reaches label **D**. Write 'DK' if it is unknown. (2'*4=8')

pbuf[0]: virtual address = ___[1]___ physical address = ___[2]___
pbuf[2048]: virtual address = ___[3]___ physical address = ___[4]___

4. Suppose that both **pbuf** and **sbuf** are configured to use **huge** pages and the size of each huge page is **4MB**. Please draw a graph like above to show the page table of the process when the program reaches label **E**. (6') NOTE: you can use a **black** block to represent the consecutive filled page table entries and use an **empty** block to represent the consecutive unfilled page table entries. For example, you can draw (0x1) (black block) (0xA) to represent the consecutive filled entries from 0x1 to 0xA

Problem 4: Concurrency (22 points)

TAs find using normal test-and-set (TAS) is not a good approach, because TAS instruction is quite expensive (as compared to a normal load from memory). Thus, they instead use this code to implement a lock, so-called "double-test-and-set".

```
typedef struct __lock_t { int flag; } lock_t; // init to 0

void lock(lock_t *lock) {
  do {
    while (lock->flag) // unprotected lock check
    ; // spin
  } while (TAS(&lock->flag, 1)); // actual atomic locking
}

void unlock(lock_t *lock) { lock->flag = 0; }
```

- 1. Does this lock work correctly? Why or why not? (3')
- 2. When does it perform better than a simple spinlock built with test-and-set? Why? Hints: Consider the number of threads acquiring the same lock. (3')

To avoid spin, we use park() and unpark() to refine the implementation of lock. (NOTE: the following C code is identical to the C code shown in class)

```
typedef struct __lock_t {
                                    void lock init (lock t *lock) {
                                      lock - > flag = 0;
 int flag;
 int guard;
                                     lock->guard = 0;
                                     queue init(lock->q);
 queue t *q;
} lock t;
                                    }
void lock (lock t *lock) {
                                              // L1
 while (TAS(&lock->guard, 1) == 1) ;
                                              // L2
 if (lock->flag == 0) {
   lock->flag = 1; // lock is acquired
                                              // L3
                                              // L4
   lock->guard = 0;
 } else {
   queue_add(lock->q, gettid());
                                              // L5
                                              // L6
   setpark();
   lock->guard = 0;
                                              // L7
                                              // L8
   park();
 }
void unlock (lock t *lock) {
 while(TAS(&lock->guard, 1) == 1);
                                              // U1
 if (queue empty(lock->q)) {
                                              // U2
   // no one wants it
   lock - flag = 0;
                                              // U3
 } else {
   // hold lock (for next thread!)
   unpark(queue remove(lock->q));
                                              // U4
                                              // U5
 lock->quard = 0;
}
```

Suppose that there are **one uniprocessor** and **two threads** (**T** and **S**). The CPU scheduler runs **T** and **S** alternately, and each line of above C code (labeled by number L1-L8 and U1-U5) runs **atomically**. The execution flow of **T** and **S** scheduled on the uniprocessor is shown by a sequence of "t" and "s" (each "t" or "s" represent one line of C code was executed by the thread T and S). For example, the sequence "tttss" means that **T** runs 3 lines of code and then **S** runs 2 lines of code.

The initial state of the shared lock is **NOT** held, and two threads T and S call lock() at the same time to acquire the same shared lock. Suppose the execution flow of T and S is "lock()->critical path->unlock()" and the number of code lines in critical path is more than 3.

| 1. | Fill in the following blanks using L1-L8 or U1-U5. $(2'*5=10')$ |
|----|---|
| | Suppose the current execution sequence is "t" (NOTE: it means that the CPU |
| | scheduler runs 1 line of code in lock() by T). Which line of code in lock() will be |
| | executed by T when it is scheduled again ? L2 |
| | 1) Then, the CPU scheduler further runs "tt" (NOTE: the current full execution |
| | sequence is " ttt "). Which line of code in $lock()$ will be executed by T when it |
| | is scheduled again ?[1] |
| | 2) Then, the CPU scheduler further runs "sss" (NOTE: the current full execution |
| | sequence is " $tttsss$ "). Which line of code in $lock()$ will be executed by S |
| | when it is scheduled again ?[2] |
| | 3) Then, the CPU scheduler further runs "tttsss" (NOTE: the current full |
| | execution sequence is "tttssstttsss"). Which line of code in lock() will be |
| | executed by S when it is scheduled again ?[3] |
| | 4) Then, suppose S is waiting on the lock. Which line of code in lock() makes S |
| | wait for the lock? $__[4]$ $__$. Meanwhile, ${f T}$ has completed its critical section |
| | and starts to call unlock() to release the lock. Then, the CPU scheduler runs |
| | " tt ". Which line of code in $unlock()$ will be executed by $f T$ when it is scheduled |
| | again?[5] |
| | |

- 2. If the line L6 in lock() is deleted, the modified code exists a **race-condition** bug. Please explain how does it happen? (3')
- 3. Please list at least two purposes of the lock->guard variable? (3')