Let $\tanh(x) = g(x)$. We will find the derivative of g and use it to solve eq. (1) $\frac{d}{dx} \cdot g(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \cdot \frac{e^x}{o^2 + o^{-x}}$

$$= \frac{(e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}) \cdot (e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}) - (e^{\lambda} - e^{\lambda})(e^{\lambda} - e^{\lambda})}{(e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda})^{2}}$$

:.
$$d_{\alpha}g(x) = \nabla g(x) = \left[-\left(\frac{e^{2}-e^{-2}}{e^{2}+e^{-2}}\right)^{2} = \left[-\left(tan2\right)^{2}\right] \otimes$$

Plugging the result @ to O, we get

= (gradient of in-sample error)

If w → ∞, tanh (wtdn) → 1

Then, (I-tanh (wdn)) -> 0

this implies that VZIn(to) becomes 0 and this results in varishing gradient issue. The weights won't updated properly. y=tanh(x)

LOWIN SI DI XI WIZ WIZ (L) = h(x) Q2. Weight matrices are: S= WIT 20 S(L) $W^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 7 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad W^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad W^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and 1=2, y=1. 50= [0.1 0.7] [1] = [0.7] 1-0.7 0.4 [2] 200 [1] $d_{(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8 \end{bmatrix}$ $d_{(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8 \end{bmatrix}$ Output transformation is identity. This means that O'(s(3))=1 We use the following equation to calculate of. things to \$ = 0'(s(l)) & [W(l+1) g(l+1)] d(l) l= [-1 to] remember. [3(L) = 2(x(L) y) 0'(s(L)) g(n) = 2(x(n)-1). |= 2(-1.8)=[-7.6] 8(2) = 0'(5(2)) &[W. 83)] = (1-tout (-148)) & 2. (-2.6) = [-1.2068] 8(1) = 0'(s(1)) & [w(2). g(2)] = [(1-tanh(0.7)). 1]. (-1.768) = [-0.876].

We ignore the oth row of W 3

'ON

DATE.

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial w^{(1)}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \cdot \left[-0.876 + \frac{1.774}{2} \right] = \left[\frac{0.876}{-1.752} + \frac{1.468}{9.468} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial W^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1)^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1)^{1/2}$$

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial w^{(n)}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

Ostandard residual block

input dim. = output dim

= |28 x 16 x 16 x 32

Botch feature channels

size map

size

case 1. Num of param, with bias = 18496 since,

Ist (12×9×9)+1)-32=9248

layer (12×9×9)+1)-32=9248

channel filter size depth (kennel size)

2nd

loyer ((2x7x7)+1) . 32 = 9248

case 2: Num of param without bias = 18472 since,

1st (n2xnxn) xn2 = 9216

2nd (92×7×7)×72 = 9216

2 Bottleneck block

input drm= output dim
= 128 × 16×16×128 chonels

Botchsize

case! Num of param. with bias = 17600 since 1st layer: $((128\times1\times1)+1)\times 32 = 4128$ and layer: $((32\times3\times3)+1)\times32 = 9248$ 3rd layer: $((32\times1\times1)+1)\times128 = 4224$ case 2. Num of param without bias = 17408 since,

1st layer: (128×1×1) × 72=4096

2nd loyer: (72×3×7) × 72=9216

3rd loyer: (72×1×1) × 128=4096

We can summarize the num of param in the following table

N. P. L.	standard residual block	bottle-neck block	IMPORTANT NOTE!
bias 0	18496	17600	If we consider batch-norm parameters then (1) we should add 32 * 2 + 32 * 2 for the standard residual block.
biasX	18472	17408	(2) we should add 32 * 2 + 32 * 2 + 128 * 2 for the bottleneck block

Advantage of bothereck over standard residual:

- · As we use less parameters, its computational wsf is lower than the standard nesidual block
- · It can be used to obtain a representation with reduced dimensionality.

 · This is similar to Autoencoder where the latent space (= vector or layer) contains (= encodes) the important features of the image!

Disadvantag of using bottleneck

. We might look some important features of the image because it uses identity convolution.

(because we force dimensionality to be reduced which may lead to loose some important features)

- (a) shape of mean and variance is 1 × C
- (b) As we normalise all the activation in a batch (batchrize=N) and this normalisation cover all pixels (or element) in HXW, the shape of mean and variance is |x|x|x C

$$W^{\bar{1}\bar{j}} = [\omega_1^{\bar{1}\bar{j}}, \omega_2^{\bar{1}\bar{j}}, \omega_3^{\bar{1}\bar{j}}], 7 = 1.2 / \bar{j} = 1.2$$

$$\frac{1}{4\times 1} = A \times \frac{1}{8\times 1}$$

$$\frac{1}{4\times 8} = A \times \frac{1}{8\times 1}$$

$$\frac{1}{4\times 1} = A \times \frac{1}{1}$$

$$\frac{1}{4\times 1} = A \times \frac{1}$$

$$\frac{1}{4\times 1} = A \times \frac{1}{1}$$

>	tallia alla	THE LEVE	11/16 14	THE THE	6 45	- 12	
\geq							
		7			10 11		5
	5(b) (Please see the r	next page for proof!)	16 + 16	45 114	7-18 1180	ALIS IS	
	- 24/ 1 1		1)2	7 16	2. 75.00	(30.37)	
	8211	ω, ο	ω', o			116	
>	914		p. 12	1 10 +	X-16 386 -	325	
	18/2	ω_2^{\parallel} ω_1^{\parallel}	W_2^{p} W_1^{p}	r d	1 7	ECHE TO S	
	JL/	11	12 12	98	dyn		
	12/3	W3 W2	W3 W3 2	91	No. of Contract of	260	
	JL/, =	2 (2)	12	0.	dyn	DAY S	
D	7944	O W3"	O Wo	40 mm N		La sell	2
	941	24	10)22	0	20/21	E- PK	
0	12/21	W1 0	W ₁ O			The Party	
10	JL/,	W2 W1	W2 W1	91	Jun		-
5	1 222	W ₂ = 0	2 00		, J. L.		
	JL/.	W3 W2	W_3^2 W_3^2	1 19	1		
0	7 23	The second second	33				
20	gr/11	ω ₃ ²¹	- 72	18			
	L /2/24-	L O W3	O W322				
D	ul true are	9.4	- a - 70	1 0 Thom	F./1		
0	8+	8×4	10 20		×	130	
DODA	91/2×	В	- 10 30	d	57		
1	,9×		7 1 2	190			9
D		Per stone	9.16	Be W		15 10	
	Ta=A					[7]	3
	40.44					-	





