Age **NSW** 25.82 10.35 185 0.37 0.84

No. of

Observations

15992

185

185

325

1043

Control Sample

Without replacement:

Caliper, $\delta = 0.00001$

Caliper, $\delta = 0.00005$

Full CPS

Random

Low to high

Mean

Propensity

Score^A

0.01

0.32

(0.03)

0.32

0.37

(0.03)

0.37

 $(0.02)^{D}$

33.23

25.26

(0.79)

25.23

25.29

(0.53)

		(0.03)	(0.79)	(0.23)	(0.04)	(0.03)
High to low	185	0.32	25.26	10.30	0.84	0.06
_		(0.03)	(0.79)	(0.23)	(0.04)	(0.03)
With replacement:						
Nearest neighbor	119	0.37	25.36	10.31	0.84	0.06
		(0.03)	(1.04)	(0.31)	(0.06)	(0.04)

^(0.31) (0.06) 25.26 10.31 0.84 (1.03)(0.30)(0.06)(0.04)

10.28

School

12.03

(0.15)

10.30

(0.23)

10.28

Black

0.07

(0.03)

0.84

(0.04)

0.84

0.84

(0.07)

0.50

(0.06)

0.49

(0.60)

0.50

(0.06)

U75

U74

0.29

0.88

(0.03)

0.37

(0.05)

0.37

(0.05)

0.37

(0.05)

0.35

(0.07)

0.36

(0.06)

0.35

(0.06)

0.34

(0.06)

1532

(248)

1687

(341)

1687

(341)

1687

(341)

1516

(506)

1509

(647)

1523

(675)

1545

(701)

2095

(367)

2305

(495)

2286

(495)

2305

(495)

2407

(727)

2424

(845)

2305

(877)

2213

(890)

14017 13651

Treatment

Effect

(Diff. in

Means)

1794B

(633)

 $(583)^{E}$

1559

(733)

1605

(730)

1559

(733)

1360

(913)

1119

(875)

1158

(852)

1122

(850)

-8498

Regression

Treatment

Effect

1672^C

(638)

1066

(554)

1651

(709)

1681

(704)

1651

(709)

1375

(907)

1142

(874)

1139

(851)

1119

(843)

TABLE 2.—SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS AND ESTIMATED IMPACTS FROM THE NSW AND CPS SAMPLES

0.06

0.07

(0.02)

0.06

(0.03)

0.06

0.07

0.07

No

0.71

0.30

(0.03)

0.65

(0.05)

0.66

(0.05)

0.65

(0.05)

0.69

(0.07)

0.69

(0.07)

0.69

Hispanic Degree Married RE74 RE75

0.19

0.71

(0.03)

0.22

(0.04)

0.22

(0.04)

0.22

(0.04)

0.17

(0.06)

0.17

(0.06)

0.17

(0.06)

0.17

(0.06)

^{0.40} 0.89 (0.04)0.51 (0.05)0.51 (0.05)0.51 (0.05)0.49

^(0.02) (1.03)(0.32)(0.05)(0.04)(0.06)Caliper, $\delta = 0.0001$ 1731 0.37 25.19 10.36 0.84 0.07 0.69 (0.02)(1.03)(0.31)(0.05)(0.04)(0.06)Variables: Age, age of participant: School, number of school years: Black, 1 if black, 0 otherwise; Hisp, 1 if Hispanic, 0 otherwise; No degree, 1 if participant had no school degrees, 0 otherwise; Married, 1 if married, 0 otherwise; RE74, real earnings (1982US\$) in 1974; RE75, real earnings (1982US\$) in 1975; U74, 1 if unemployed in 1974, 0 otherwise; U75, 1 if unemployed in 1975, 0 otherwise; and RE78, real earnings (1982US\$) in 1978. (B) The treatment effect for the NSW sample is estimated using the experimental control group.

⁽A) The propensity score is estimated using a logit of treatment status on: Age, Age², Age³, School, School², Married, No degree, Black, Hisp, RE74, RE75, U74, U75, School · RE74.

⁽C) The regression treatment effect controls for all covariates linearly. For matching with replacement, weighted least squares is used, where treatment units are weighted at 1 and the weight for a control is the

number of times it is matched to a treatment unit.

⁽D) The standard error applies to the difference in means between the matched and the NSW sample, except in the last two columns, where the standard error applies to the treatment effect. (E) Standard errors for the treatment effect and regression treatment effect are computed using a bootstrap with 500 replications.