

PIONEER PROJECT PEER REVIEW FORM

| Reviewer: | Hüseyin Yağız Devre | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Student Reviewed: | Ariehant Gupta | | |

Answer the following questions after or as you review a peer's paper.

RESEARCH QUESTION or PROBLEM STATEMENT

1. What is the project about? What "puzzle" is the writer trying to resolve? What is the need they are trying to address or the problem they are trying to solve? Please identify and, in your own words, restate the writer's research question or topic.

The research is about evaluating Dementia language pattern data and patterns using Hidden Markov Models, Naive Bayes and HunPos.

2. Is the research question or problem statement clear and precise? Does it address a problem that is interesting and <u>relevant</u>?

Yes it is

ARGUMENT

3. What is the author's main point or argument? Please restate it in your own words.

The author's main point is Dementia, loss of memory language pattern data and patterns using Hidden Markov Models, Naive Bayes and HunPos.

4. Is the main point or argument clear and precise? Does it answer the research question? Yes it is

RESEARCH DESIGN

5. Does the writer provide a clear and convincing "road map" of the research project? Is the data used suitable and enough to answer the research question?

Yes the writer does provide a clear and convincing "road map"

6. Does the writer have a sufficient number of sources for references? Are there a diversity of sources, i.e. are they all from different areas, book, internet, articles, journals, etc. Are the sources relevant to the research question? Do the sources provide new information to aid in answering the research question or problems statement?

Yes the writer does Yes there is a diversity Yes they are relevant Yes they provide

STRUCTURE and LANGUAGE

7. Is the paper well organized?

Yes it is



| 8. Is there | a logica | I flow o | f information | and anal | vsis? |
|-------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|-------|
|-------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|-------|

Yes there is

9. Are the paragraphs coherent? How are the transitions?

Yes they are

10. How is the language? Is the paper well-written (engaging)? Are there any colloquialisms and slang that should be removed?

Yes but I am not sure about the words I and we

OVERALL

11. Is the paper overall coherent?

Yes it is

12. Do you have any general comments and suggestions?

it is really good and because you associate it to a real world case scenario is great can not wait for the final paper

WRITER'S SELF-ASSESSMENT (To be done after receiving peer feedback)

- 1. What was the main idea that you tried to convey and did the reviewer understand it? How can you make it clearer (if needed)?
- 2. What area or areas do you most want to revisit or improve (i.e. which areas/aspects do you most want to rework)?
- 3. What are you most proud of in your paper and want to make sure you keep in each draft?