

PIONEER PROJECT PEER REVIEW FORM

Reviewer: Hüseyin Yağız Devre
Student Reviewed: Sally Song

Answer the following questions after or as you review a peer's paper.

RESEARCH QUESTION or PROBLEM STATEMENT

1. What is the project about? What "puzzle" is the writer trying to resolve? What is the need they are trying to address or the problem they are trying to solve? Please identify and, in your own words, restate the writer's research question or topic.

The research is about evaluating and determining the news bias using Sentiment Analysis with VADER and NB using NLTK

2. Is the research question or problem statement clear and precise? Does it address a problem that is interesting and relevant?

Yes it is, yes it does

ARGUMENT

3. What is the author's main point or argument? Please restate it in your own words.

The author's main point and argument is a hybrid model on detecting bias in news articles using VADER and Naive Bayes using NLTK. Author compares them.

4. Is the main point or argument clear and precise? Does it answer the research question?

Yes the main point and arguments are clear and precise yes it answers the research question

RESEARCH DESIGN

5. Does the writer provide a clear and convincing "road map" of the research project? Is the data used suitable and enough to answer the research question?

Yes the writer provide a clear and convincing "road map"

The data is suitable and enough to answer the research question

6. Does the writer have a sufficient number of sources for references? Are there a diversity of sources, i.e. are they all from different areas, book, internet, articles, journals, etc. Are the sources relevant to the research question? Do the sources provide new information to aid in answering the research question or problems statement?

Yes the resources are sufficient and the graphics and tables taken from the resources are informative

STRUCTURE and LANGUAGE

7. Is the paper well organized?

Yes it is

8. Is there a logical flow of information and analysis?

Yes there is a logical flow of information and analysis

9. Are the paragraphs coherent? How are the transitions?

Yes the paragraphs are coherent

They are well written

10. How is the language? Is the paper well-written (engaging)? Are there any colloquialisms and slang that should be removed?

It is engaging and informative. No I think there is not

OVERALL

11. Is the paper overall coherent?

Yes it is

12. Do you have any general comments and suggestions?

I really liked the visuals and graphics as well as tables, great job! It is informative and well researched

WRITER'S SELF-ASSESSMENT *(To be done after receiving peer feedback)*

1. What was the main idea that you tried to convey and did the reviewer understand it? How can you make it clearer (if needed)?

2. What area or areas do you most want to revisit or improve (i.e. which areas/aspects do you most want to rework)?

3. What are you most proud of in your paper and want to make sure you keep in each draft?