

## planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

## conjugacy in $A_n$

Canonical name ConjugacyInAn
Date of creation 2013-03-22 17:18:04
Last modified on 2013-03-22 17:18:04

Owner rm50 (10146) Last modified by rm50 (10146)

Numerical id 6

Author rm50 (10146) Entry type Theorem Classification msc 20M30 Recall that conjugacy classes in the symmetric group  $S_n$  are determined solely by cycle type. In the alternating group  $A_n$ , however, this is not always true. A single conjugacy class in  $S_n$  that is contained in  $A_n$  may split into two distinct classes when considered as a subset of  $A_n$ . For example, in  $S_3$ ,  $(1\ 2\ 3)$  and  $(1\ 3\ 2)$  are conjugate, since

$$(2\ 3)(1\ 2\ 3)(2\ 3) = (1\ 3\ 2)$$

but these two are not conjugate in  $A_3$  (note that  $(2\ 3) \notin A_3$ ).

Note in particular that the fact that conjugacy in  $S_n$  is determined by cycle type means that if  $\sigma \in A_n$  then all of its conjugates in  $S_n$  also lie in  $A_n$ .

The following theorem fully characterizes the behavior of conjugacy classes in  $A_n$ :

**Theorem 1.** A conjugacy class in  $S_n$  splits into two distinct conjugacy classes under the action of  $A_n$  if and only if its cycle type consists of distinct odd integers. Otherwise, it remains a single conjugacy class in  $A_n$ .

Thus, for example, in  $S_7$ , the elements of the conjugacy class of  $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5)$  are all conjugate in  $A_7$ , while the elements of the conjugacy class of  $(1\ 2\ 3)(4\ 5\ 6)$  split into two distinct conjugacy classes in  $A_7$  since there are two cycles of length 3. Similarly, any conjugacy class containing an even-length cycle, such as  $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)(5\ 6)$ , splits in  $A_7$ .

We will prove the above theorem by proving the following statements:

- A conjugacy class in  $S_n$  consisting solely of even permutations (i.e. that is contained in  $A_n$ ) either is a single conjugacy class or is the disjoint union of two equal-sized conjugacy classes when considered under the action of  $A_n$ .
- If  $\sigma \in A_n$ , then the elements of the conjugacy class of  $\sigma$  in  $S_n$  (which is just all elements of the same cycle type as  $\sigma$ ) are conjugate in  $A_n$  if and only if  $\sigma$  commutes with some odd permutation.
- $\sigma \in S_n$  does not commute with an odd permutation if and only if the cycle type of  $\sigma$  consists of *distinct* odd integers.

Throughout, we will denote by  $C_S(\sigma)$  the conjugacy class of  $\sigma$  under the action of  $S_n$ .

To prove the first statement, note that conjugacy is a transitive action. By the theorem that orbits of a normal subgroup are equal in size when the full group acts transitively, we see that if  $\sigma \in A_n$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_S(\sigma)$  splits into  $|S_n:A_nC_{S_n}(\sigma)|$  classes under the action of  $A_n$  (recall that  $C_G(x)$ , the centralizer of x, is simply the stabilizer of x under the conjugation action of G on itself). But since  $|S_n:A_n|$  is either 1 or 2, we see that the conjugacy class of  $\sigma$  either remains a single class in  $A_n$  or splits into two classes.

Note also that the elements of  $C_S(\sigma)$  are all conjugate in  $A_n$  if and only if  $A_nC_{S_n}(\sigma) = S_n$ , which happens if and only if  $C_{S_n}(\sigma) \nsubseteq A_n$ , which in turn is the case if and only if some odd permutation is in the centralizer of  $\sigma$ , which means precisely that  $\sigma$  commutes with some odd permutation. This proves the second statement.

To prove the third statement, suppose first that  $\sigma$  does not commute with an odd permutation. Clearly  $\sigma$  commutes with any cycle in its own cycle decomposition, so if  $\sigma$  contains a cycle of even length, that is an odd permutation with which  $\sigma$  commutes. So  $\sigma$  must consist solely of [disjoint] cycles of odd length. If two of these cycles have the same length, say  $(a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_{2k+1})$  and  $(b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_{2k+1})$ , then

$$((a_1 b_1) \dots (a_{2k+1} b_{2k+1}))(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2k+1})(b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2k+1})((a_1 b_1) \dots (a_{2k+1} b_{2k+1}))^{-1} = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2k+1})(b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2k+1})$$

so the product of  $(a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_{2k+1})$  and  $(b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_{2k+1})$ , and thus  $\sigma$ , commutes with the product of 2k+1 transpositions, which is an odd permutation. Thus all the cycles in the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$  must have different [odd] lengths.

To prove the converse, we show that if the cycles in the cycle decomposition all have distinct lengths, then  $\sigma$  commutes precisely with the group generated by its cycles. It follows then that if all the distinct lengths are odd, then  $\sigma$  commutes only with these permutations, which are all even. Choose  $\sigma$  with distinct cycle lengths in its cycle decomposition, and suppose that  $\sigma$  commutes with some element  $\tau \in S_n$ . Conjugation preserves cycle length, so since  $\tau$  commutes with  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  has all its cycles of distinct lengths, each cycle in  $\tau$  must commute with each cycle in  $\sigma$  individually.

Now, choose a nontrivial cycle  $\tau_1$  of  $\tau$ , and choose  $j \in \tau$  such that  $\sigma$  moves j (we can do this, since  $\sigma$  can have at most one cycle of length 1 and the cycle length of  $\tau$  is greater than 1). Let  $\sigma_1$  be the cycle of  $\sigma$  containing j. Then  $\tau_1$  commutes with  $\sigma_1$  since  $\tau$  commutes with  $\sigma$ , so  $\tau_1$  is in the centralizer of

 $\sigma_1$ , and it is not disjoint from  $\sigma_1$ . But the centralizer of a k-cycle  $\rho$  consists of products of powers of  $\rho$  and cycles disjoint from  $\rho$ . Thus  $\tau_1$  is a power of  $\sigma_1$ . So each cycle in  $\tau$  is a power of a cycle in  $\sigma$ , and we are done.