



Math for the people, by the people.

## condition on a near ring to be a ring

Canonical name	ConditionOnANearRingToBeARing
Date of creation	2013-03-22 17:19:54
Last modified on	2013-03-22 17:19:54
Owner	CWoo (3771)
Last modified by	CWoo (3771)
Numerical id	14
Author	CWoo (3771)
Entry type	Theorem
Classification	msc 20-00
Classification	msc 16-00
Classification	msc 13-00
Related topic	UnitalRing

Every ring is a near-ring. The converse is true only when additional conditions are imposed on the near-ring.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a near ring with a multiplicative identity 1 such that the  $\cdot$  also left distributes over  $+$ ; that is,  $c \cdot (a + b) = c \cdot a + c \cdot b$ . Then  $R$  is a ring.*

In short, a distributive near-ring with 1 is a ring.

Before proving this, let us list and prove some general facts about a near ring:

1. Every near ring has a unique additive identity: if both 0 and  $0'$  are additive identities, then  $0 = 0 + 0' = 0'$ .
2. Every element in a near ring has a unique additive inverse. The additive inverse of  $a$  is denoted by  $-a$ .

*Proof.* If  $b$  and  $c$  are additive inverses of  $a$ , then  $b + a = 0 = a + c$  and  $b = b + 0 = b + (a + c) = (b + a) + c = 0 + c = c$ .  $\square$

3.  $-(-a) = a$ , since  $a$  is the (unique) additive inverse of  $-a$ .
4. There is no ambiguity in defining “subtraction”  $-$  on a near ring  $R$  by  $a - b := a + (-b)$ .
5.  $a - b = 0$  iff  $a = b$ , which is just the combination of the above three facts.
6. If a near ring has a multiplicative identity, then it is unique. The proof is identical to the one given for the first Fact.
7. If a near ring has a multiplicative identity 1, then  $(-1)a = -a$ .

*Proof.*  $a + (-1)a = 1a + (-1)a = (1 + (-1))a = 0a = 0$ . Therefore  $(-1)a = -a$  since  $a$  has a unique additive inverse.  $\square$

We are now in the position to prove the theorem.

*Proof.* Set  $r = a + b$  and  $s = b + a$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 r - s &= r - (b + a) && \text{substitution} \\
 &= r + (-1)(b + a) && \text{by Fact ?? above} \\
 &= r + ((-1)b + (-1)a) && \text{by left distributivity} \\
 &= r + (-b + (-a)) && \text{by Fact ?? above} \\
 &= (a + b) + (-b + (-a)) && \text{substitution} \\
 &= ((a + b) + (-b)) + (-a) && \text{additive associativity} \\
 &= (a + (b + (-b))) + (-a) && \text{additive associativity} \\
 &= (a + 0) + (-a) && -b \text{ is the additive inverse of } b \\
 &= a + (-a) && 0 \text{ is the additive identity} \\
 &= 0 && \text{same reason as above}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $a + b = r = s = b + a$  by Fact ?? above. □