Lecture 2: Advanced R

Zhentao Shi

Jan 17, 2018

Advanced R

Introduction

In this lecture, we will talk about efficient computation in R.

- R is a vector-oriented language. In most case, vectorization speeds up computation.
- We turn to more CPUs for parallel execution to save time if there is no more space to optimize the code to improve the speed.
- Clusters are accessed remotely. Communicating with a remote cluster is different from operating a local PC.

Vectorization

Despite mathematical equivalence, various ways of calculation can perform distinctively in terms of computational speed.

Does computational speed matter? For a job that takes less than a minutes, the time difference is not a big deal. For modern economic structural estimation problems commonly seen in industrial organization, a single estimation can take up to a week. Code optimization is essential.

Other computational intensive procedures include bootstrap, simulated maximum likelihood and simulated method of moments. Even if a single execution does not take much time, repeating such a procedure for thousands of replications will consume a non-trivial duration.

Of course, optimizing code takes human time. It is a balance of human time and machine time.

Example

The heteroskedastic-robust variance for the OLS regression is

$$(X'X)^{-1}X'\hat{e}\hat{e}'X(X'X)^{-1}$$

The difficult part is $X'\hat{e}\hat{e}'X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{e}_{i}^{2}x_{i}x_{i}'$. There are at least 4 mathematically equivalent ways to compute this term.

- 1. literally sum over i = 1, ..., n one by one.
- 2. $X' \operatorname{diag}(\hat{e}^2) X$, with a dense central matrix.
- 3. $X' \operatorname{diag}(\hat{e}^2) X$, with a sparse central matrix.
- 4. Do cross product to X*e_hat. It takes advantage of the element-by-element operation in R.

We first generate the data of binary response and regressors. Due to the discrete nature of the dependent variable, the error term in the linear probability model is heteroskedastic. It is necessary to use the heteroskedastic-robust variance to consistently estimate the asymptotic variance of the OLS estimator. The code chunk below estimates the coefficients and obtains the residual.

```
lpm = function(n){
    # estimation in a linear probability model

# set the parameters
```

```
b0 = matrix( c(-1,1), nrow = 2 )

# generate the data
e = rnorm(n)
X = cbind( 1, rnorm(n) ) # you can try this line. See what is the difference.
Y = (X %*% b0 + e >=0 )
# note that in this regression b0 is not converge to b0 because the model is changed.

# OLS estimation
bhat = solve( t(X) %*% X, t(X)%*% Y )

# calculate the t-value
bhat2 = bhat[2] # parameter we want to test
e_hat = Y - X %*% bhat
return( list(X = X, e_hat = as.vector( e_hat) ) )
}
```

We run the 4 estimators for the same data, and compare the time.

```
# an example of robust variance matrix.
# compare the implementation via matrix, Matrix (package) and vecteroization.
library(Matrix)
\# n = 5000; Rep = 10; \# Matrix is quick, matrix is slow, adding is OK
n = 50; Rep = 1000; # Matrix is slow, matrix is quick, adding is OK
for (opt in 1:4){
 pts0 = Sys.time()
 for (iter in 1:Rep){
    set.seed(iter) # to make sure that the data used
    # different estimation methods are the same
   data.Xe = lpm(n)
   X = data.Xe$X;
   e_hat = data.Xe$e_hat
   XXe2 = matrix(0, nrow = 2, ncol = 2)
   if (opt == 1){
     for ( i in 1:n){
       XXe2 = XXe2 + e_hat[i]^2 * X[i,] %*% t(X[i,])
   } else if (opt == 2) {# the vectorized version
      e_hat2_M = matrix(0, nrow = n, ncol = n)
     diag(e_hat2_M) = e_hat^2
     XXe2 = t(X) %*% e_hat2_M %*% X
   } else if (opt == 3) {# the vectorized version
      e_hat2_M = Matrix( 0, ncol = n, nrow = n)
     diag(e_hat2_M) = e_hat^2
     XXe2 = t(X) %*% e_hat2_M %*% X
   } else if (opt == 4) {# the best vectorization method. No waste
```

```
Xe = X * e_hat
    XXe2 = t(Xe) %*% Xe
}

XX_inv = solve( t(X) %*% X )
    sig_B = XX_inv %*% XXe2 %*% XX_inv
}

cat("n = ", n, ", Rep = ", Rep, ", opt = ", opt, ", time = ", Sys.time() - pts0, "\n")
}

## n = 50 , Rep = 1000 , opt = 1 , time = 0.7667789
## n = 50 , Rep = 1000 , opt = 2 , time = 0.1955512
## n = 50 , Rep = 1000 , opt = 3 , time = 1.343105
## n = 50 , Rep = 1000 , opt = 4 , time = 0.1218262
```

We clearly see the difference in running time, though the 4 methods are mathematically the same. When n is small, matrix is fast and Matrix is slow; the vectorized version is the fastest. When n is big, matrix is slow and Matrix is fast; the vectorized version is still the fastest.

Efficient Loop

In standard for loops, we have to do a lot of housekeeping work. plyr, developed by Hadley Wickham, simplifies the job and facilitates parallelization.

Example

Here we calculate the empirical coverage probability of a Poisson distribution of degrees of freedom 2. We first write a user-defined function CI for confidence interval, which was used in the last lecture.

```
CI = function(x){ # construct confidence interval
    # x is a vector of random variables

n = length(x)
mu = mean(x)
sig = sd(x)
upper = mu + 1.96/sqrt(n) * sig
lower = mu - 1.96/sqrt(n) * sig
return( list( lower = lower, upper = upper) )
}
```

This is a standard for loop.

```
Rep = 10000
sample_size = 1000

# a standard loop
out = rep(0, Rep)
pts0 = Sys.time() # check time
mu = 2
for (i in 1:Rep){
    x = rpois(sample_size, mu)
    bounds = CI(x)
    out[i] = (( bounds$lower <= mu ) & (mu <= bounds$upper) )
}
cat( "empirical coverage probability = ", mean(out), "\n") # empirical size</pre>
```

```
## empirical coverage probability = 0.9522
pts1 = Sys.time() - pts0 # check time elapse
print(pts1)
```

Time difference of 0.8883429 secs

Pay attention to the line out = rep(0, Rep). It pre-defines a vector out to be filled by out[i] = ((bounds\$lower <= mu) & (mu <= bounds\$upper)). The computer opens a continuous patch of memory for the vector out. When new result comes in, the old element is replaced. If we do not pre-define out but append one more element in each loop, the length of out will change in each replication and every time a new patch of memory will assigned to store it. The latter approach will spend much more time just to locate the vector in the memory.

out is the result container. In a for loop, we pre-define a container, and replace the elements of the container in each loop by explicitly calling the index.

In contrast, a plyr loop saves the house keeping chores, and makes it easier to parallelize. In the example below, we encapsulate the chunk in the for loop as a new function capture, and run the replication via __ply. __ply is a family of functions. ldply here means that the input is a list (1) and the output is a data frame (d).

```
library(plyr)

capture = function(i){
    x = rpois(sample_size, mu)
    bounds = CI(x)
    return( ( bounds$lower <= mu ) & (mu <= bounds$upper) )
}

pts0 = Sys.time() # check time
out = ldply( .data = 1:Rep, .fun = capture )
cat( "empirical coverage probability = ", mean(out$V1), "\n") # empirical size

## empirical coverage probability = 0.9503
pts1 = Sys.time() - pts0 # check time elapse
print(pts1)</pre>
```

Time difference of 0.89188 secs

This example is so simple that the advantage of plyr is not be dramatic. The difference in coding will be noticeable in complex problems with big data frames. In terms of speed, plyr does not run much faster than a for loop. They are of similar performance. Parallel computing will be our next topic. It is quite easy to implement parallel execution with plyr—we just need to change one argument in the function.

Parallel Computing

The packages foreach and doParallel are useful for parallel computing. Below is the basic structure. registerDoParallel(number) prepares a few CPU cores to accept incoming jobs. When calling __ply, we explicitly specify .parallel to be TRUE. If myfunction also depends on other variables in the session and/or other packages, we must export them to other cores via the argument .paropts (parameter options).

```
library(plyr)
library(foreach)
library(doParallel)

registerDoParallel(a_number) # opens specified number of CPUs
```

If we have two CPUs running simultaneously, in theory we can cut the time to a half of that on a single CPU. Is that what happening in practice?

Example

Compare the speed of a parallel loop and a single-core sequential loop.

Time difference of 3.126605 secs

Surprisingly, the above code block of parallel computing runs even more slowly. It is because the task in each loop can be done in very short time. In contrast, the code chunk below will tell a different story. There the time in each loop is non-trivial, and then parallelism dominates the overhead of the CPU communication.

```
Rep = 200
sample_size = 2000000

registerDoParallel(8) # change the number of open CPUs according to
# the specification of your computer
```

```
pts0 = Sys.time() # check time
out = foreach(icount(Rep), .combine = c ) %dopar% { capture() }

cat( "empirical coverage probability = ", mean(out), "\n") # empirical size

## empirical coverage probability = 0.935

pts1 = Sys.time() - pts0 # check time elapse
print(pts1)

## Time difference of 4.214701 secs

pts0 = Sys.time()
out = foreach(icount(Rep), .combine = c ) %do% { capture() }
cat( "empirical coverage probability = ", mean(out), "\n") # empirical size

## empirical coverage probability = 0.96

pts1 = Sys.time() - pts0 # check time elapse
print(pts1)
```

Remote Computing

Time difference of 21.17567 secs

Investing money from our own pocket to an extremely powerful laptop to conduct heavy-lifting computational work is unnecessary. (i) We do not run these long jobs every day, it is more cost efficient to share a workhorse. (ii) We cannot keep our laptop always on when we move it around. The right solution is remote computing on a server.

No fundamental difference lies between local and remote computing. We prepare the data and code, open a shell for communication, run the code, and collect the results. One potential obstacle is dealing with a command-line-based operation system. Such command line tools is the norm of life two or three decades ago, but today we mostly work in a graphic operating system like Windows or OSX. For Windows users (I am one of them), I recommend PuTTY, a shell, and WinSCP, a graphic interface for input and output.

Most serves in the world are running Unix/Linux operation system. Here are a few commands for basic operations.

- mkdir
- cd
- copy
- top
- screen
- \bullet ssh user@address
- start a program

Our department's computation infrastructure has been improving. A server dedicated to professors is a 16-core machine. I have opened an account for you. You can try out this script on econsuper.

- 1. Log in econsuper.econ.cuhk.edu.hk;
- 2. Save the code block below as loop_server.R, and upload it to the server;
- 3. In a shell, run R --vanilla <loop_server.R> result_your_name.out;
- 4. To run a command in the background, add & at the end of the above command. To keep it running after closing the console, add nohup at the beginning of the command.

```
library(plyr)
library(foreach)
library(doParallel)
# prepare the functions
mu = 2
CI = function(x){
 # x is a vector of random variables
 n = length(x)
 mu = mean(x)
 sig = sd(x)
 upper = mu + 1.96/sqrt(n) * sig
 lower = mu - 1.96/sqrt(n) * sig
 return( list( lower = lower, upper = upper) )
}
capture = function(){
 x = rpois(sample_size, mu)
 bounds = CI(x)
 return( ( bounds$lower <= mu ) & (mu <= bounds$upper) )</pre>
Rep = 400
sample_size = 5000000
# pts0 = Sys.time() # check time
# out = ldply(.data = 1:Rep, .fun = capture, .parallel = FALSE)
\# cat( "empirical coverage probability = ", mean(out$V1), "\n") \# empirical size
# pts1 = Sys.time() - pts0 # check time elapse
# print(pts1)
#
# compare to the parallel version
registerDoParallel(8) # opens other CPUs
pts0 = Sys.time() # check time
out = foreach(icount(Rep), .combine = c ) %dopar% { capture() }
cat( "empirical coverage probability = ", mean(out), "\n") # empirical size
pts1 = Sys.time() - pts0 # check time elapse
print(pts1)
pts0 = Sys.time()
out = foreach(icount(Rep), .combine = c ) %do% { capture() }
cat( "empirical coverage probability = ", mean(out), "\n") # empirical size
pts1 = Sys.time() - pts0 # check time elapse
print(pts1)
```