```
გ.
                                                                                  (Thm 2.1, 13)
a) Since \( \Da \rightarrow \Dz \) is complete on \( Da \rightarrow \Dz \), it contains a smallest element \( \Da \rightarrow \Dz \), where for any g_{\epsilon} D_{1} 
ightarrow D_{2}
          fid) = d2 gid) for all d & Dn. (def z. 1.4)
  Assume f = LD1 > Dz, fid) and fid') are the value of f at of and d' respectively where of, d' & D1
     Define g & D1-> Dz and g(d) = f(d') for au de D1
       Because f = 0,00 g as f(d) = p2 g(d) = f(d') for all deD1.
    Define h & D1 > D2 s.t. h(d') = f(d) for all d' & D1
              f \subseteq_{Drob} h, f(d') \subseteq_{Dz} h(d') = f(d), for all d' \in D_1
  Then f(d)=f(d') for all of d' & D1 (Symmetry) and f is a constant function.
     Assume C is a constant value of f. Since f = 1 Dr-Dz, f (d) EDz g(d), then C EDz g(d) for all of EDr.
            then C is the lower bound for the set of values of all the functions in D1 > D2
              > C-102
       Therefore, Loz exists.
   Let chain S= {S1, S2, ... } CD2 and S1 ES2 E...
      for each Si \in S, we can define a constant function f_i in D_1 \rightarrow D_2:
             fi(d) = Si for all de Dr.
           because SiES, fi Ef, F: Ffrifing is a chain in D1 >D2
     Since [D1-D2 is complete in D1-> D2, for the Chain F there exists a lub g & D1-> D2 ( def 2,1,12)
     According to the definition of lub. f: = g, and fi(d) = g(d) for all d & D1
     Assume gld) is not constant, then g(d) = gld') for d, d' E D1.
              then there exist S_j \in S s.t. S_j(a) \subseteq g(a), S_j(a) \supseteq D_2 g(a), which conflicts the defination of lub.
       Therefore g is constant,
      Assume cg is a constant value of g.
           for all Sie S, Si E Cg. Then Cg is an upper bound of S.
           Since g is lub for the chain F, Cg is lub of S in Dz
           => US of S exists in D2
```