



📖 Chapter: FFT in NumPy — The Two Facts That Rule Them All

💡 The Two Big Rules

Rule #1 — Number of bins = N

If you do an **N-point FFT**, you get **N bins**:

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

- Each bin is like a labeled drawer for one frequency.
- More samples → more bins.

Rule #2 — Bin spacing = $\Delta f = f_s / N$

This is the distance in Hz between bin centers.

- Smaller Δf → better ability to separate close frequencies.
- Also:

$$T_{\text{block}} = \frac{N}{f_s}$$

and

$$\Delta f = \frac{1}{T_{\text{block}}}$$

Meaning: longer observation → finer detail.

📊 Quick Table

Parameter	What happens if you increase it?	What stays the same?
N	More bins, smaller Δf , longer observation time	Nyquist limit stays same ($f_s/2$)
f_s	Larger Nyquist range, bigger Δf (coarser bins)	Number of bins (if N fixed)

🔍 Case Study — Same f_s , Different N

We fix:

- Sampling rate: $f_s = 8$ Hz
 - Tone: $f_0 = 2.5$ Hz
 - Compare $N = 8$ vs $N = 32$
-

Case A: $N = 8$

- $\Delta f = 8 / 8 = 1$ Hz
- Bin centers: [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, -3, -2, -1] Hz
- Observation time: $8 / 8 = 1$ s

Tone 2.5 Hz → between 2 Hz and 3 Hz bins → **spreads energy (spectral leakage)**.

Case B: $N = 32$

- $\Delta f = 8 / 32 = 0.25$ Hz
- Bin centers include: ..., 2.25, **2.5**, 2.75, ... Hz
- Observation time: $32 / 8 = 4$ s

Tone 2.5 Hz → lands exactly on bin #10 → **sharp single-bin peak**.

💡 Intuition:

Longer recording → more cycles observed → can tell 2.50 Hz from 2.49 Hz.

Exercise: Run This and See

```
import numpy as np

fs = 8.0
f0 = 2.5

for N in (8, 32):
    t = np.arange(N) / fs
    x = np.sin(2*np.pi*f0*t)
    X = np.fft.fft(x)

    freqs_pos = np.fft.rfftfreq(N, 1/fs)
    mag_pos = np.abs(X[:len(freqs_pos)]) / N
    if len(mag_pos) > 2:
        mag_pos[1:-1] *= 2

    print(f"N={N}, Δf={fs/N} Hz")
    print("Freq bins:", freqs_pos)
    print("Magnitudes:", np.round(mag_pos, 4))
    print("Peak:", freqs_pos[np.argmax(mag_pos)], "Hz\n")
```

Prediction before running:

- $N=8 \rightarrow$ smeared peak near 2 or 3 Hz
- $N=32 \rightarrow$ sharp peak exactly at 2.5 Hz

Takeaways

1. **N controls resolution** — bigger $N \rightarrow$ finer Δf .
2. **fs controls coverage** — bigger $f_s \rightarrow$ can see higher frequencies but bins get coarser (if N fixed).
3. **Time vs Frequency trade-off** — better Δf means slower reaction to changes.

Practice Challenges

1. If $f_s = 1000$ Hz and $N = 500$, what's Δf ?
2. If you double N , what happens to Δf and T ?
3. With f_s fixed, can you change Nyquist limit by changing N ? Why or why not?
4. Write a script to detect the exact bin index for $f_0 = 60$ Hz when $f_s = 512$ Hz and

N = 1024.
