

# Headfirst Story: The Life of a Digital Filter

#### Scene 1: The Idea 🥊

You want to filter a signal. Maybe cut off noise, maybe keep only low frequencies. But how do you *build* such a filter in digital land?

Enter **FIR filters** (Finite Impulse Response). They're like little recipe cards: a list of coefficients that tell the signal how much of today, yesterday, and earlier samples to mix together.

# Scene 2: The Designer 4 ( firwin )

firwin is the architect.

You tell it:

- · "Hey, I want a low-pass filter."
- · "Here's my sampling frequency."
- "Here's the cutoff."
- "Here's how many taps (coefficients) you can use."

It hands you back a neat list of numbers: h = [0.05, 0.1, 0.2, ...]These are the filter's **DNA**.

#### Scene 3: The Inspector ▲ ( freqz )

Okay, now you've got your filter DNA ( h ).

But how good is it?

That's where freqz comes in.

Think of freqz as the **examiner**.

- It takes your coefficients.
- It shines different test tones (frequencies) on them.
- It reports back: "At this frequency, the filter gives this much gain and this much

### Scene 4: The Ruler ( worn )

But wait — how many frequencies should the examiner test?

- worN answers this.
- If you say worN=8 , it checks only 8 spots between 0 and Nyquist.
- If you say worN=1024 , it checks 1024 spots, giving you a smoother curve.

Think of worN as the **resolution of your ruler**. Coarse ruler  $\rightarrow$  blocky picture. Fine ruler  $\rightarrow$  smooth picture.

#### Scene 5: The Language 🏵 ( fs )

By default, freqz talks in radians/sample  $(0 \rightarrow \pi)$ .

That's the native digital signal processing language.

But for humans, " $0.25\pi$  rad/sample" doesn't feel friendly.

So you pass fs=fs , and now the examiner translates into Hz.

- At fs=4000 Hz , Nyquist = 2000 Hz.
- Suddenly you see: "Filter cuts off at 1000 Hz" → ahh, that's readable!

## Scene 6: The Report ( W & H)

After testing, freqz hands you two scrolls:

- w = the list of frequencies tested (the x-axis ticks).
- H = the complex results (the y-axis values).

Each pair (w[i], H[i]) is like a line in the report:

• At frequency w[i] , the filter output is H[i]

Now, H[i] is complex. But don't panic.

The magnitude tells you gain (amplify? attenuate?).

• The angle tells you phase shift (delay?).

Engineers often write magnitude in dB:

- 0 dB → pass perfectly.
- -20 dB → down by factor 10.
- -80 dB → nearly gone.

So the flat top of the graph near 0 dB is the passband.

The sharp fall is the transition.

The deep negative floor is the stopband.

#### Scene 7: The Connection ← (How the pieces fit)

- 1. You **design** with firwin  $\rightarrow$  get coefficients h.
- 2. You inspect with freqz:
  - worN = how fine you measure.
  - fs = whether you read in radians or Hz.
  - Output = w (frequencies) + H (complex response).
- 3. From H, you derive:
  - Magnitude (gain/attenuation).
  - · Phase shift (timing).

Everything you want to know about the filter's signature is in H

#### Scene 8: The Big Picture 🕸

#### So...

- firwin is the designer.
- h is the blueprint.
- freqz is the inspector.
- worN is the *ruler*.
- fs is the language translator.
- w is the frequency axis.

H is the response signature.
And together they let you understand, measure, and trust your filter.
7 That's the nuts and bolts, but also the storyline so it sticks in your head.