(https://profile.intra.42.fr)

Remember that the quality of the defenses, hence the quality of the of the school on the labor market depends on you. The remote defences during the Covid crisis allows more flexibility so you can progress into your curriculum, but also brings more risks of cheat, injustice, laziness, that will harm everyone's skills development. We do count on your maturity and wisdom during these remote defenses for the benefits of the entire community.

SCALE FOR PROJECT SWINGY (/PROJECTS/42CURSUS-SWINGY)

You should evaluate 1 student in this team

Git repository

?

Introduction

To ensure this evaluation goes smoothly, please respect the following set of rules :

- Please remain courteous, polite, respectful and constructive at all times during this exchange. The trust bond between the school's community and yourself depends on it.

- Should you notice any malfunctions within the submitted project, make sure you take the time to discuss those with the student (or group of students) being graded.

- Keep in mind that some subjects can be interpreted differently. If you come accross a situation where the student you're grading has interpreted the subject differently than you, try and judge fairly whether their interpretation is acceptable or not, and grade them accordingly. Our peer-evaluation system can only work if you both take it seriously.

Guidelines

-            You may only evaluate whatever is in the GiT submission directory of the student you are grading.

-        Make sure to check wether the GiT submission
directory belongs to the student (or group) you're grading, and
that it's the right project.

-        Make sure no mischievous aliases have been used to
trick you into correcting something that is not actually in the
official submitted directory.

-        Any script created to make this evaluation session
easier - whether it was produced by you or the student being
graded - must be checked rigorously in order to avoid bad
surprises.

-        If the student who is grading this project hasn't done
the project him/herself yet, he/she must read the whole topic
before starting the evaluation session.

-        Use the flags available to you on this scale in order to
report a submission directory that is empty, non-functional, that
contains a norm errors or a case of cheating, etc... In this case,
the evaluation session ends and the final grade is 0 (or -42, in
case of cheating). However, unless the student has cheated, we
advise you to go through the project together in order for the
two (or more) of you to identify the problems that may have led
for this project to fail, and avoid repeating those mistakes for
future projects.

Attachments

subject (https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/9395/en.subject.pdf)

Preliminaries

Compilation

Compile and build the program with the commands described in
the subject. Does the project compile and generate a runnable
jar file?

                              Yes   No

Program design

Does project follow the Model-View-Controller
architecture? It is not a problem if there are additional

classes that dont' fit in the design if they are helper classes
or add additional features.

Yes   No

Functionality

Game state

Check if the program preserves the state between plays. Are the
heroes persisted? Is everything the same as the last time you
played the game?

Yes   No

Validation

Check if the program validates the user input. Try running the
program and test what happens if there are missing values or
out of range values. Does the validation work? Do you receive a
reasonable error message?

Yes   No

Validation implementation

Check the project code and see if the validation was applied
through annotations. They should be in the model classes.

Yes   No

Bonus

Database persistence

Does the program connect to a database server and save the
player's heroes there?

⍰ Yes   ✕ No

Multiple views

Does the program offer a means by which to change the views
(console and GUI) during program execution?

⍰ Yes   ✕ No

Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

✔ Ok   ★ Outstanding project

▮ Empty work   ▮ Incomplete work   ▮ No author file   ▮ Invalid compilation   ▮ Norme

▮ Cheat   ▮ Crash   ▮ Incomplete group   ⊘ Forbidden function

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

```
```

Finish evaluation