DA-2 Foundations of Data Analytics(CSE3505)

Reg.No : 20BCE1025 Name : Abhishek N N

Slot : F2

Faculty : Dr. Trilok Nath Pandey

Suppose you have the following dataset

Registration Number	Study Time in	Attendance in %	CGPA	
Titalinger	Hrs	111 / 0		
1	4	100	6.2	
2	6	50	5.3	
3	16	95	9.9	
4	12	85	9.0	
5	18	100	10.0	
6	2	50	4.0	
7	5	70	6.9	
8	9	80	7.7	
9	15	80	8.9	
10	3	75	6.5	
11	7	7.5	8.0	

The above table shows the marks obtained by students based on their study hours and attendance in the class.

- a) Derived the multiple regression equation to predict CGPA based on study Time and Attendance.
- b) Apply multiple regression to predict the CGPA of a student if he has 78% attendance and 8hr Study time.
- c) Finally write an R script to perform the multiple regression and predict the CGPA of the student as per the condition given in bit (b).
- d) Interpret the results and various statistics measures obtained after executing the script and attach the outputs.

Foundation of Data Analytics Digital Assignment 2 Abhishek NN 20BCE1025



let study time = x, Attendance = x, capa-y										
Regn	XI	XS	Y	$X_1 - \overline{X_1}$	x5-x5	-	(Ra-N2)	2		X1.X2
Ĩ	4	100	6,2	-4.818	27.995	23.215	781.45	6.22	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	-134.69
a	16	50	5.3	-2.818	-22.045	7,942	486.002	6.174	48,3	62.12
3	16	95	9.9	7.182	22,955		526111			16 4.85
4	12	85	9.0	8.182	12,955		167.82			
5	18	100	10.0	9.182	27,955	84,306	781.457	23.03	70.44	256,67
6	2	90	4.0	-6.818	-22.075	46.488	486,002	23.03	76.957	150.314
7	5	70	6.9	-3.818	-2.045	14.579	4.184	2.056	1.209	7.81
8	9	80	7.7	0.182	7,955	0.033	63,275	0.038	1.663	1.446
9	15	80	8.9	6.182	7.995	38.215	63,275	8.711	11.209	49,174
10	3	75	6,5	-5,818	9.955	33.851	8.729	5.765	-2.918	17.19
th	7	75	8.0	-1.818	-64.544	3,306	4165,927	-0.926	-32 ·844	117.355

 $EX_1 = 97$, $EX_2 = 792.5$, EY = 82.4, $\overline{X_1} = 8.8182$ $\overline{X_2} = 72.0455$, $\overline{Y} = 7.4909$

 $E \times_1 ? = 313.636$, $E \times_2 ? = 7535.227$ $E \times_1 Y = 97.182$, $E \times_2 Y = 212.455$ $E \times_1 \times_2 = 699.091$

 $b_1 = \frac{(\varepsilon x_1 x_2)(\varepsilon x_2 x_3) - (\varepsilon x_1 x_2)(\varepsilon x_2 x_1)}{(\varepsilon x_1 x_2)(\varepsilon x_2 x_2) - (\varepsilon x_1 x_2)(\varepsilon x_2 x_2)}$

= 97.182 x 7535.227 - 699.091 x 212.455 313.636 x 7535.227 - (699.091) 2

b1 = 0.31141

 $b_{2} = \frac{(\epsilon x_{1}^{2})(\epsilon x_{2}, y) - (\epsilon x_{1} x_{2})(\epsilon x_{2} y)}{(\epsilon x_{1}^{2})(\epsilon x_{2}^{2}) - (\epsilon x_{1} x_{2})^{2}}$

ba = 313,636x 212,455 - 699,091 x 212,455 313,636 x 7535,227 - (699,091)2

ba = -0.007/

```
a = Y - b_1 X_1 - b_2 X_2
= 7.49 - (0.31 \times 8.82) - (72.03 * (-6.0007))
= 4.79503

i. Regression equation
Y = 0.31141 * X_1 - 0.0007 * X_2 + 4.79503

(b) Apply multiple regression to predict the CGPA of a student if he has 78.7 extendance and 8 Hrs of study time

sol Given X_1 = 8, X_2 = 78, Y = 7

i. brom equation bound in (a)

Y = 0.3141 * X_1 - 0.0007 * X_2 + 4.79503
= 0.3141 * 8 - 0.0007 * 7 + 78.7 + 4.79503
= 7.23171

So predicted CGPA is 7.23
```

c) Finally write an R script to perform the multiple regression and predict the CGPA of the student as per the condition given in bit (b).

```
> df <- data.frame(</pre>
      studyHr = c(4,6,16,12,18,2,5,9,15,3,7),
+
      attendance = c(100,50,95,85,100,50,70,80,80,75,7.5),
      cgpa = c(6.2,5.3,9.9,9.0,10.0,4.0,6.9,7.7,8.9,6.5,8.0)
+ )
> df
   studyHr attendance cgpa
1
         4
                100.0 6.2
2
         6
                 50.0 5.3
3
                 95.0 9.9
        16
4
        12
                 85.0 9.0
5
                100.0 10.0
        18
         2
6
                 50.0 4.0
7
                 70.0 6.9
         5
8
         9
                 80.0 7.7
9
        15
                 80.0 8.9
10
         3
                 75.0 6.5
11
         7
                  7.5 8.0
> model <- lm(cgpa~studyHr+attendance,data=df)</pre>
> model
Call:
lm(formula = cgpa \sim studyHr + attendance, data = df)
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
                  studyHr
                            attendance
               0.3114073
  4.7950347
                            -0.0006964
> predictionDf <- data.frame(</pre>
      studyHr = c(8),
+
      attendance = c(78)
+ )
> predict(model, newdata = predictionDf)
7.231975
```

d) Interpret the results and various statistics measures obtained after executing the script and attach the outputs.

```
> summary(model)
Call:
lm(formula = cgpa ~ studyHr + attendance, data = df)
Residuals:
   Min
            10 Median
                          30
                                 Max
-1.3830 -0.4206 0.1886 0.5620 1.0303
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 4.7950347 0.8073421 5.939 0.000346 ***
studvHr
           0.3114073 0.0572976 5.435 0.000620 ***
attendance -0.0006964 0.0116896 -0.060 0.953957
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.9037 on 8 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.8217, Adjusted R-squared:
                                                0.7771
F-statistic: 18.44 on 2 and 8 DF, p-value: 0.00101
> summary(df)
   studvHr
                  attendance
                                    cgpa
Min. : 2.000
                                Min. : 4.000
                Min. : 7.50
 1st Qu.: 6.350
Median : 7.000
               Median : 80.00
                                Median : 7.700
Mean : 8.818
                Mean : 72.05
                                Mean : 7.491
3rd Qu.:13.500 3rd Qu.: 90.00
                                3rd Qu.: 8.950
Max. :18.000
                Max. :100.00
                                Max. :10.000
```

From the linear model we got the predicted value of 7.231975 which is matching with calculation result got at question (b) while checking the sumarry of the linear model created, residuals and coefficients are obtained.

Residuals are essentially the difference between actual observed response values and response & value that model predicted. We can observe that difference of minimum and maximum as difference greater than I and & median and 39 are in between & to I only, thence we can that dispersion measures are consistent and hence I the model ean-cover most of the data point and accuracy is greater. The Fstatistie is 18.44 and power is greater.

The Fstatistie is 18.44 and power is greater. The Fstatistie is 18.44 and power is greater.