1.

let the first number be in the form of p = 2k+1 where k is an integer, and the second number be in the form of q = 2l+1

$$q + p = (2k+1) + (2l+1)$$
  
=  $2k + 2l + 2$   
=  $2(k + l + 1) \rightarrow is even$ 

2.

let the first number be in the form of p=2k where k is an integer, and the second number be in the form of q=2l

$$p + k = 2k + 2q$$
  
=  $2(k+q) \rightarrow is even$ 

11.

we can disprove it by counterexample of value sqr(2), where sqr(2) \* sqr(2) = 2.

12.

a : nonzero rational number

b : irrational number

 $P \ \to \ Q$ 

 $a*b \rightarrow irrational$ 

• proof by contradition :

we assume that ab is rational and trying to disprove it a = x/y

$$ab = z/w$$

$$b(x/y) = z/w$$

b = (y/x) \* (z/w) which is rational

 $\mbox{-Q} \rightarrow \mbox{-P}$  that means that that the product of two irrational numbers is rational.

```
15.
     if x+y >= 2 then x >= 1 and y >= 1
     x+y >= 2 \rightarrow x >=1 \land y >=1
     proving using contrapositive p \rightarrow q \equiv -q \rightarrow -p
      -q = x < 1 \land y < 1
     x < 1 \land y < 1 \rightarrow x+y<2 #
16.
     if mn is even, then m is even and n is even
 we can prove this using contrapositive:
   -q \rightarrow -p
   assuming m is an odd number and n is an odd number
   m = 2k+1 , n = 2l+1
   m*n = (2k+1)*(2l+1)
        = 4lk + 2k + 2l +1
        = 2(2lk+k+l)+1
        = 2a+1 , m = 2lk+k+l m is an integer
        2a+1, m = 2lk+k+l is odd number
so using contrapositive we proved that -q \rightarrow -p , then p \rightarrow q
17.
     n^3 + 5 is odd, then n is even
     p \rightarrow q
     a) using contrapositive:
     n is odd can be written as n = 2t+1
      n^3 + 5
     = (2t+1)^3 + 5
     = (2t)^3 + 3(2t)^2 + 3(2t) + 1 + 5
     = 8t^3 + 12t^2 + 6t + 1 + 5
     = 2(4t^3 + 6t^2 + 6t + 3) \rightarrow is even
     -q \rightarrow -p == p \rightarrow q
     b)
     assuming that n^3 + 5 is odd and n is odd
     goal : p \land -q \rightarrow false
     since n^3 + 5 is odd and n^3 is odd, n^3 + 5 - n^3 is
odd which is false because odd - odd = even
p \land -q \rightarrow false == p \rightarrow q
```

18.

$$3n + 2$$
 is even, then n is even  $p \rightarrow q$ 

a) if n is odd n = 2k+13n + 2 = 3(2k+1) +2 = 6k+3+2 = 6k+5 = 2(3k+2)+1 is odd  $-q \rightarrow -p$  ==  $p \rightarrow q$ 

b) if 3n + 2 is even and n is odd n = 2k+1 3(2k+1)+2 = 6k+3+2 = 6k+5 = 2(3k+2)+1 is odd which is a contradiction to the assumption we made.  $p \land -q \rightarrow false == p \rightarrow q$ 

21.

for n = 1 the proposition is 
$$(a + b)^1 >= a^1 + b^1$$
  
==  $(a + b) >= a + b$   
 $(a + b) = a + b #$ 

22.

p : if you pick three socks from a drawer containing just blue socks and black socks.

q : you must get either a pair of blue socks or a pair of black socks.

$$p \rightarrow q$$

using contradiction  $p \wedge -q$ 

if you pick three socks from a drawer containing just blue socks and black socks, but u didn't get neithera pair of blue socks nor a pair of black socks, which is a contradiction to our statement, because there are only two color not 3 colors in the drawer.  $p \rightarrow q$ 

choosing 3 different dates from 25 dates different from each other is immpossible because the year has only 12 months, such that 25 dates is 2 dates from the same month is equal to 24 + 1 last date from any other month.

25.

theorm : r irrational such that  $r^3 + r + 1 = 0$ , where r = a/b

$$(a/b)^3 + (a/b) + 1 = 0$$

if a and b both odds, then the L.H.S is odd != 0 if a is odd and b is even, then the L.H.S is odd != 0 if a is even and b is odd, then the L.H.S is odd != 0

because a and b are in the simplist form, both cant be even at the same time. Leading to there is no such root exists

34.

this is NOT a valid solution. it's a solution for  $(2X)^2 - 1 = x^2 + (2x)^2 - 1 = x$ 

35.

this is NOT a valid solution its valid for  $x + 3 = (x - 3)^2$  not for sqr(x+3) = x - 3

36.

 $p1 \ \rightarrow \ p2$ 

 $p2 \rightarrow p3$ 

 $p3 \ \rightarrow \ p4$ 

 $p4 \ \rightarrow \ p1$ 

to prove p4  $\leftrightarrow$  p1 we need to prove that p4  $\rightarrow$  p1 (p2  $\rightarrow$  p3  $\land$  p3  $\rightarrow$  p4  $\land$  p4  $\rightarrow$  p1)  $\rightarrow$  (p4  $\rightarrow$  p1), then we can say that p1 and p4 are logically equivalence and same for the rest

- 37. reverse the method before.
- 38. 2 is a counter example and 4.