

Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development

Entrepreneurship education knowledge transfer in a conflict sub-Saharan African context

Journal:	Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development
Manuscript ID	JSBED-01-2017-0001.R4
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Entrepreneurship education, Sub-Saharan Africa, Knowledge transfer, Higher education, Conflict environment, Entrepreneurial behaviour

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Abstract

Purpose - This paper explores how entrepreneurship education interacts with knowledge transfer and entrepreneurial behaviour in a conflict sub-Saharan African context.

Design/methodology/approach - In-depth telephone interviews of 20 participants who benefited from entrepreneurship education knowledge transfer were used to document and analyse the effect of entrepreneurship education on their behaviours as micro-entrepreneurs in a conflict zone.

Findings – These participants exhibited rare forms of innovative behaviour, through their business skills, gained from their involvement in entrepreneurship education. In relation to the effect of the conflict on their entrepreneurial behaviours, whereas it emerged the conflict was not the major barrier to entrepreneurial intentions, it however affected how they made strategic decisions about downsizing, advertising and future business plans. Consequently, these decisions altered at different junctures because of the conflict and therefore defined their coping strategies.

Policy implications – The paper advocates a policy shift towards a more collaborative sub-regional approach to tackling the underlying causes of conflict in sub-Saharan Africa through investment in EE strategies as a spur to economic development. Central to this are *a priori* assumptions about economically disadvantaged populations and their symbiotic relationship with conflict, a phenomenon frequently exploited by armed groups with deviant agenda. Thus, access to employment opportunities could benefit disadvantaged populations, thereby plays a decisive role in conflict mitigation.

Originality and value – The paper provides empirical analysis integrating entrepreneurship education with knowledge transfer and entrepreneurial behaviour in a conflict sub-Saharan African context. In this way, novel insights are provided that contribute to current efforts aimed at developing a robust theoretical and conceptual foundation for EE domain.

Keywords - Entrepreneurship education, knowledge transfer, higher education, entrepreneurial behaviour, conflict environment, sub-Saharan Africa.

Paper type – Research paper

Introduction

Entrepreneurship education (EE) is high on the agenda for many governments and multilateral agencies across the world. Notably, the Association of African Universities (AAU) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) recognise EE as a catalyst for socio-economic development (Johnson et al., 2011; Wilson, 2008; Uslay et al., 2002). As a result, they provide EE policies and how these interact with the concept of knowledge transfer to affect entrepreneurial skills acquisition and socio-economic development, especially in regions that suffer persistent high rate of poverty and unemployment (Harrington and Maysami, 2015; Johnston et al., 2010). Also, the relationship between EE and entrepreneurial behaviour is well documented in academic circles (see, for example, Kirby, 2004; Bechard and Gregoire 2005; Co & Mitchell, 2006; Matlay & Carey, 2007; Solomon, 2007; Fuchs et al., 2008; Kabongo & Okpara 2010). Unfortunately, the current knowledge in EE field (see also Klandt, 2004; Kuratko, 2005; Fayolle et al., 2006; Nurmi & Paasio, 2007; Fuchs et al., 2008; Matlay, 2008) is limited by evidence obtained mainly from resource-rich developed economies where the business environment is more stable, and the business dynamics often predictable.

Over the last 15years, improved political climate, fiscal discipline, and macroeconomic stability have significantly enhanced Africa's entrepreneurship landscape. Particularly, the informal sector in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) has spawned economic activities on a scale unseen before, which have helped to guarantee the continent's impressive economic growth. In addition, different sub-Saharan African countries (see Kabongo & Okpara 2010 for a list of African educational institutions offering EE), especially those with a history of inter-communal and terrorist conflicts (e.g., Nigeria and Rwanda) are increasingly turning to EE as a way to catalyse their peace-building efforts. They view EE as a means to tackle widespread poverty and unemployment widely perceived as the underlying causes of those conflicts (Honeyman, 2016; Arogundade, 2011). For instance, anxious to deal with the persistent issue of poverty and youth unemployment, coupled with the Boko Haram conflict, the Nigerian government in 2006 made EE a compulsory higher education (HE) curriculum (Ojeifo, 2013). In doing so, it was envisioned that EE would influence entrepreneurial behaviour amongst university students and graduates.

Despite these developments, how EE interacts with entrepreneurial behaviour within the context of SSA is surprisingly missing in mainstream empirical debates in EE (Henry *et al.*, 2005a). If anything, research has tended to ignore the SSA context, particularly those with a history of conflict. Perhaps, the difficulty associated with obtaining reliable data to undertake a proper analysis is partly to be blamed. Notwithstanding, if the promise of EE as a vehicle to influence entrepreneurial behaviour and socio-economic development is constrained by the validity of evidence obtained only from one region, then global efforts, such as those championed by the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) framework, which aim to use entrepreneurship as a vehicle to foster a more cohesive and economically prosperous world might not have as much impact as envisaged. Thus, integrating emerging entrepreneurship developments, particularly from the perspective of SSA, with mainstream EE research seems a sensible way forward.

Why SSA as a focus for EE research?

With several sub-Saharan African countries (e.g., Côte d'Ivoire, Ethiopia, Rwanda and Tanzania) growing at between 6% and 10% (AfDB/OECD/UNDP, 2016), and also turning to EE to drive their socio-economic agenda (Nwekeaku, 2013), there is much to be gained from a focus on SSA as a context for EE research. As such, for a holistic and more comprehensive understanding of the EE concept and to widen the existing body of evidence in this field, there is a need to understand the effect of EE on entrepreneurial behaviour of micro-entrepreneurs operating in SSA. Echoing this view, Fayolle (2013, p.693) calls for an urgent "reflection" about how best to safeguard the future of EE research by developing "robust theoretical and conceptual foundations" in EE domain field. In retrospect, Bruton *et al.*, (2008, p. 9) emphasise that "emerging economies" lend themselves more uniquely as environments in which to obtain "fresh insights" to expand theoretical understanding of entrepreneurship and economic development.

However, the key question is how to use EE to nurture entrepreneurial behaviour through the process of knowledge transfer in a conflict sub-Saharan context. Frankly speaking, SSA's place as an important sub-regional constituency in the global fight against terrorist conflict makes a study of this nature both timely and important. By definition, knowledge transfer is seen as a distinct pathway by which individuals and

organisations learn, usually through scholarship, experience and information flow from one context to another for the purpose of individual and organisational development. Scholarship involves learning, and "learning is used to solve problems or provide new and creative insights" (Goh, 2002, p.23). Thus, the sociology and the process of learning shed a whole new light into the complexity of knowledge transfer, particularly, within a sub-Saharan African context where the interplay between poverty, unemployment and conflict presents new experimental challenges – and this makes it a unique context for EE research investigation (Refai, *et al.*, 2015).

In this study, knowledge transfer is employed as a mechanism to deliver EE to northeast Nigeria, a region besieged by Boko Haram terrorist conflict. The findings showed that although EE influenced entrepreneurship awareness and business skills development, lack of start-up capital rather than conflict was seen as the major hindrance to entrepreneurial activity and business expansion. Based on this, to support the development of entrepreneurial behaviour and entrepreneurship activity in SSA especially in regions affected by conflict, the study proposes a policy shift towards a more regionalised approach, rather than country-level emphasis, to using EE strategies to tackle poverty and unemployment seen as the underlying causes of conflict in SSA. Alongside, the study also advocates for a stronger emphasis on assessing individual's business skills and capabilities as a prerequisite to accessing government-backed microcredits. In view of the foregoing, this paper contributes to the EE literature. In particular, novel insights are gained from understanding how EE interacts with the process of knowledge transfer to impact entrepreneurial behaviour in a conflict sub-Saharan African context.

To achieve this mission, section one contextualises how the problem of poverty and unemployment, linked with early child or *Qur'anic* education in Northern Nigeria is helping to fuel Boko Haram's conflict. Section two evaluates EE concept and its relationship with entrepreneurial behaviour development. Specifically, the section argues that EE may actually provide a solution to unemployment amongst the Nigeria's disadvantaged populations, thereby mitigate the effect of terrorist conflict. This is followed by a discussion intended to exemplify how knowledge transfer was used as a mechanism for EE delivery to Nigeria's northeast severely affected by the Boko Haram conflict. The methodology of this study is explained and justified in

section three, followed by the analysis and empirical discussions in section four. The concluding section outlines the study's policy and research implications.

Context of Boko Haram conflict in Nigeria

As a group, Boko Haram has been associated with terrorist activities for over a decade. They recruit their members from mainly disadvantaged population, mostly unemployed youth across but not limited to northern Nigeria. Notably, their April 2014 abduction of more than 270 schoolgirls from Government School Chibok brought them into international limelight that sparked the 'BringBackOurGirls' global campaign. Despite recent release of some of the abductees and the increased military campaign by the Nigerian government and its international allies, Boko Haram remains defiant and undefeated (Burke, 2016). In fact, since 2013, 14.8million Nigerians including 7.3 million children have been severely affected by the Boko Haram's conflict. Of this population, 2.3 million people are known to have been internally displaced (UNICEF, 2016). Additionally, between 2012 and 2016 more than 30,000 deaths have been directly or indirectly linked to Boko Haram's conflict. Widespread poverty and unemployment, attributed to the prevalence of *Qur'anic* education in northern Nigeria, are seen as the underlying causes of Boko Haram conflict (Oladosu, 2012).

Qur'anic education is dominant in northern Nigeria who where it is seen as an antidote to Western education and Christian proselytisation. Most uneducated Muslim parents prefer to send their children to Qur'anic schools rather than to formal or State schools (Yusuf *et al.*, 2013). Qur'anic schools, popularly known as the 'Almajiri' schools in Nigeria, are similar to Madrasas in Central Asia and Pakistan (Awofeso, Ritchie & Degeling, 2003, p.314). Mostly male pupils aged 5-15 years from poor family backgrounds attend Almajiri schools. Historically, Almajiri schools have been known to produce prominent Islamic scholars. However, the current socioeconomic realities of the Nigerian situation and the increasing number of enrolments have made the Almajiri school system unsustainable (Hoechner, 2011). Partly, because, growth in enrolment coupled with lack of access to basic necessities meant that most Almajiri pupils and graduates (i.e., Almajirai) end up as "street urchins" to the detriment of Nigerian security (Aghedo and Eke, 2013, p.104). An estimated

9.5million pupils are currently enrolled in Nigeria's *Almajiri* schools compared to about 7.0million a decade earlier (UNICEF, 2016).

Besides growth in enrolment, the Almajiri school curriculum de-emphasises "critical thinking" and lacks basic learning in subjects such as English language, mathematics, problem-solving and ICT skills (Usman, 2009, p.64; Aluaigba, 2009). These are vital skills essential to survival in Nigeria where 56.1% of the youths aged 15-34 years old, mostly in northern Nigeria, are currently either unemployed or underemployed (National Bureau of Statistics, 2016). By comparison to formal or State school, the Almajiri schools in Nigeria harbour a large and rapidly growing unemployed population with deficiency in skills needed to develop entrepreneurial behaviour (Khalid, 2001). This deficiency has serious implications for entrepreneurship development, not least, the apparent vulnerability of such a large unemployed population to Boko Haram ideology. As unemployed and poor, the Almajirai population are more vulnerable to "exploitation" by Boko Haram group than any other population group in Nigeria (Salaam, 2012, p.152). For instance, Aghedo and Eze (2013, p. 106) found that the Almajirai act as "foot soldiers" that carry out paid violent activities on behalf of Boko Haram group. However, Tobias et al., (2013) identify that EE is an effective way of addressing conditions of unemployment and poverty, particularly amongst economically disadvantaged population, thereby mitigate their vulnerability to exploitation.

Entrepreneurship education, unemployment and conflict

To help reduce the confusion in the literature about the broad use of the concepts of EE and enterprise education (see Gibb, 1993b), it is necessary to first clarify that both concepts share a distinct but complementary prerequisites for achieving entrepreneurial effectiveness. Entrepreneurial effectiveness is the ability of an individual to behave as an entrepreneur or function in an entrepreneurial capacity (Fayolle and Gailly, 2008; Kuratko, 2005). On the one hand, enterprise education is the process of equipping students with an enhanced capacity to generate business ideas and to exhibit entrepreneurial skills. On the other hand, EE equips individuals (e.g., students, graduates) with the knowledge, attributes and capabilities to acquire entrepreneurial skills necessary for entrepreneurial effectiveness, particularly in the context of new venture formation and management. In this sense, EE could be

characterised as a "transdisciplinary" concept that requires a high degree of application of "knowledge transfer" (Quality Assurance Agency, 2012, p. 2). In this perspective, it is obvious that knowledge transfer has a close association with the process of EE dissemination. Dissemination of EE through knowledge transfer is essential to entrepreneurial skills acquisition necessary to exhibit entrepreneurial behaviour, particularly in SSA where poverty, unemployment and conflict interact. Rogers (2012) argues that EE is a means of building entrepreneurial knowledge and skills amongst disadvantaged groups affected by poverty and conflict, especially in developing economies. Aligned with this view is Brixiova *et al.*, (2015), which found that disadvantaged Black South African youths acquired entrepreneurial knowledge and skills through EE, which in turn enabled them to alter their economic circumstance through self-employment.

Notwithstanding, the complex interaction between an entrepreneurship activity and conflict means that this interaction, depending on the dynamics, may present different outcomes in cross-cultural environments and also for certain population groups. There is a probability that conflict may increase the presence of entrepreneurship activities whilst at the same time hinder entrepreneurship development regardless of the levels of interaction with EE. For instance, barriers (e.g., access to market, finance and networks) to entrepreneurship development and SME growth may be harder to deal with by women entrepreneurs in a conflict environment (Lemmon, 2012). Similarly, Ciarli et al., (2015) conclude that in South and Central Asia (e.g., Afghanistan) conflict produces different economic consequences for the individual (micro) and the State (macro) depending on the institutional context and arrangement. For the latter, conflict may hinder the development of employment opportunities for socio-economic development, whereas for the former, it may enhance selfemployment in economic activities that have low returns. Welter & Smallbone (2010, p.1) argue that "the institutional context influences the nature, pace of development and extent of entrepreneurship as well as the way entrepreneurs behave".

In any of these instances, it must be borne in mind that institutional contexts and arrangements have antecedents in terms of allocation, misallocation and the utility of entrepreneurial talents. This is because entrepreneurs vary in the extent to which they engage in defiance, avoid or simply acquiesce to informal institutional arrangements

(Sutter *et al.*, 2013). Thus, if the proper institutions are weak or non-existent, it takes time and effort to build stronger ones, and that situation applies to conflict or post-conflict environments in SSA and elsewhere, such as, in Syria where conflict prevents entrepreneurship development (Sanders & Weitzel, 2009; Bayram, 2017). As a consequence, entrepreneurial talent could be used as a productive, unproductive or even destructive asset (Baumol, 1990). Hence, under such environments, it is difficult to mitigate the barriers to the development of entrepreneurial behaviour as well as the conditions (e.g., economic stagnation) that hinder access to economic opportunities. This barrier is also relevant to situations in which individuals may be educated, but remain vulnerable to conflict because of poverty and unemployment. In her study of the effect of poverty on conflict, Kavanagh (2011) concluded there was a conditional association between the highly educated who are unemployed and their participation in terrorist conflict.

A common feature of the above studies is their focus on the impact of conflict on entrepreneurs and on entrepreneurship activity in South and Central Asia. This focus delimits this study's unique emphasis on the impact of EE knowledge transfer on the entrepreneurial behaviour of individuals affected by terrorist conflict in SSA. Others (e.g., Krause & Jutersonke, 2005; Demirguc-Kunt, 2011) have examined the role of entrepreneurship in post-conflict transition economies. However, the effect of EE on entrepreneurial behaviour from the perspective of a sub-Saharan African context where people are constantly threatened by terrorist conflict is very rare and underserved. Thus, this suggests that our current understanding of EE research and practice is incomplete. If anything, extant EE studies are overwhelmingly based on an assumption of peace, and much of the evidence are derived from developed Western economies where the business environment is usually stable. There is generally limited research study in EE under conflict conditions due to the difficulty associated with data collection (Bruck, et al., 2011; 2013). Of pertinence is the conflict environment of northern Nigeria, which has a turbulent institutional framework because pre-existing institutions (e.g., educational institutions) may have broken down as a result of the Boko Haram conflict.

Consistent with Bruck *et al.*, (2011), conflict is seen in this study as the deliberate and systematic use of violence by non-State armed groups (e.g., such as Boko Haram

group in this study) to achieve a radical socio-political agenda. Conflict emerges as one of a number of external shocks that has an adverse effect on people's socio-economic wellbeing and development (Nafziger and Auvinen, 2002). It increases vulnerability to poverty and vulnerability to violence. Vulnerability to violence may drive individuals into conflict (Justino, 2009), just as lack of access to economic opportunities and unstable institutional arrangements, at the start of or during a conflict, may also provide the incentive to engage in conflict (Goodhand, 2003; Noor *et al.*, 2016). However, if well designed and promoted effectively EE can reduce poverty and unemployment, and consequently vulnerability to conflict. EE can influence people's ability to acquire entrepreneurial talent in form of knowledge and skills that could enhance their ability to alter their individual and economic circumstance regardless of the environmental constraints.

Unfortunately, the lack of consensus (see Bird et al., 2012; Fayolle and Gailly, 2008; Kuratko, 2005; Henry et al., 2005a; 2005b; Fiet, 2001a; 2001b; Klinger and Schündeln, 2001; Krueger et al., 2000; Timmons and Stevenson, 1985) about the role of EE in developing entrepreneurial knowledge and skills is compounded by the Schumpeterian notion of entrepreneurship with its emphasis on "innovation" as a means to develop entrepreneurial skills, often in resource-rich Western environments (Prieger et al., 2016, p. 96). However, in many resource-poor developing economies, such as in SSA, the majority of economic activities are not yet a product of 'innovation' (Naude, 2011). In fact, in most developing economies, especially those with a history of conflict, innovation is probably not even the object of interest for many people (Demirgüc-Kunt et al, 2011). In such contexts, "entrepreneurship is important because it represents a route out of poverty, a means by which people with little capital, education, or experience can earn a living" (Baumol et al., 2007, p. 3). This means that the Schumpeterian entrepreneurship principles may not be universally applicable (Bruton et al., 2008). As such, the notion of 'entrepreneurial bricolage' - defined as "making do by applying a combination of the resources at hand to new problems and opportunities" (see Baker and Nelson, 2005, p. 333) – seems to offer a more plausible alternative about "how entrepreneurs behave and act entrepreneurially" when faced with environmental and resource constraints (Fayolle, 2013, p. 696).

By and large, in reality, the substantial economically disadvantaged but active population across the world merely exhibit entrepreneurial behaviour in a survivalist sense of "raising capital, carrying out investment, and being full residual claimants for their resulting earnings" (Banerjee and Duflo, 2007, p.151). If this is the case, as others (e.g., Hanon, 2006; Jones and Matlay, 2011; Kassean, 2015) imply, then EE could serve as a vital platform to provide the poor and the unemployed with the basic entrepreneurial knowledge and skills required in order to exhibit entrepreneurial behaviour in a productive manner. Based on this premise, a knowledge transfer project on EE was commissioned in 2014 in partnership with the University of Maiduguri (UNIMAID) in northeast Nigeria – the Boko Haram heartland. Nigeria's northeast showed the appropriate context in which to demonstrate, for the first time, through knowledge transfer, how EE interacts with entrepreneurial behaviour and conflict within a sub-Saharan African context.

Knowledge transfer of EE

A number of frameworks (e.g., Jamieson 1984; Garavan and O'Cinneide 1994; Hynes, 1996; Leitch and Harrison, 1999; Inkpen & Tsang, 2005; Fayolle and Gailly, 2008) used to deliver EE generally aim to create awareness about the significance of various contextual factors influencing entrepreneurial effectiveness and new venture creation. Whereas Garavan and O'Cinneide (1994) emphasise the importance of continuous skills enhancement for owners of small businesses, Jamieson (1984) offers a three-level sequential framework that advocates 'education about enterprise' - which focuses on creating a theoretical awareness of the importance of owning and running a small business, 'education for enterprise' – which deals with providing the practical skills for setting up and managing a small business, and 'education in enterprise' - which stresses the importance of continuous business growth through learning, product or service innovation.

Thus, by adapting Jamieson's framework, EE project based on two-phase knowledge transfer delivery was used to facilitate the development of entrepreneurial behaviour amongst UMIMAID students. Under phase one, undertaken in the UK over a tenweek period, sixteen UNIMAID staffs responsible for supporting students in entrepreneurial learning benefitted from mentorship overseen by eight UK-based knowledge transfer experts. Phase one focused on the underpinning theory and

practice of entrepreneurship in an experiential learning process. Thus, classroom and industrial learning activities were used to support mutually beneficial exchanges. Alongside, graduates who benefited from the Student Placement in Entrepreneurship in Education Plus (SPEED) scheme coordinated in the UK were invited to share their personal experiences about how they overcame challenges to successfully set up their own businesses. The adoption of experiential learning e.g., 'live cases' helped to "close the gap between academic experience and real-world requirements", which Kassean *et al.*, (2015, p. 691) saw as crucial to successful EE delivery.

Similarly, UNIMAID staffs that completed phase one planned and led the delivery of the second phase in Maiduguri. The context was different and less conducive because of the conflict. However, the psychology of planning and delivery based on the learning principles derived from phase one offered a unique learning curve. It was striking to observe how culturally embedded norms (e.g., married Muslim women in hijab and their interactions with non-family members) were reified, yet, in ways that reinforced the importance of EE. Essentially, the focus was on developing an entrepreneurship curriculum template and using outreach activities to provide UNIMAID students with a route to entrepreneurial knowledge and skills acquisition. The outreach activities focussed on business ideas generation, business proposal writing, business planning, advertising, marketing and accounting skills. Thus, students were not just passive recipients of knowledge and skills, but were compelled to exhibit and regulate active behaviours that promoted entrepreneurial effectiveness through business plan writing and start-up activities. From a delivery viewpoint, the project delivery followed the iterative nature of Kolb's experiential learning, which Gopinath and Sawyer (1999) found reinforces the objective of any knowledge transfer programme associated with EE.

Sampling and data collection

Because of the on-going Boko Haram insurgency it was difficult to recruit study participants in northeast Nigeria. Thus, snowballing technique was used as a means to collect data from a sample of twenty participants. Moreover, movement of people within Maiduguri was severely restricted and all travel by foreigners to the northeast region strictly forbidden. Nigeria's northeast remains a dangerous place to visit, with a lot of internally displaced persons. To put this into perspective, the UK's Foreign

and Commonwealth Office (FCO) advise against all travel to Maiduguri and the northeast has barely changed since the visit in 2014. Various studies (e.g., Bullough *et al.*, 2014; de Groot and Goskel, 2011) have employed snowballing to obtain primary research data from conflict or post-conflict contexts.

A diverse group of mostly male participants aged between 23 to 55 years, living and working in Bornu State and conurbation, participated in this study. The participants have university degrees and were fluent in English language. Some were university lecturers responsible for teaching and assessing students in a range of subjects including business, IT and engineering. The difficulty associated with recruiting the appropriate participants most of whom have forcefully relocated, as well as with rescheduling cancelled interview appointments meant that the data collection spanned from October 2015 to January 2016. Essentially, it was easy to employ semi-structured interviews to collect data from participants via telephone with a speakerphone functionality. Semi-structured interviews allowed for further probing, which sometimes proceeded along a course as directed by participants' responses (Rubin and Rubin, 2005). Each interview on average lasted for forty-five minutes. Interviews were digitally recorded, with informed consent, using an audio recorder and subsequently transcribed verbatim.

Despite the view that telephone interview is inferior to face-to-face interview, Sturges and Hanrahan (2004) reported no significant differences between the two in terms of the insights and the results obtained. If anything, telephone interview was shown to be more effective especially in situations in which participants might be required to discuss sensitive subjects, such as, the effect of trauma on their overall well-being (see also Carr, 1999). As the participants in this study were dealing with the traumatic effect of Boko Haram conflict, the use of telephone interview was deemed most appropriate and very effective in obtaining rich insights. Following Burnard (1994) and Irvine (2011), which advised re-establishing an atmosphere of mutual trust by using shared memories of social activities, it was possible to mitigate the risk of participants' reticence or parsimony common in non face-to-face interviews.

As such, the interviews were non-prescriptive and focussed on participants' experiences with the EE they had received and its effect on their entrepreneurial

behaviours vis-à-vis the Boko Haram conflict. Essentially, the overall conduct of the interviews mirrored Easterby-Smith *et al.*, (2008), which advised the use of exploratory tone in seeking deeper meanings and insights participants attribute to their responses. To bring out the value of these insights, inductive approach informed the interview analysis (Miles and Huberman, 1994; Sekaran and Bougie, 2013). Specifically, thematic data analysis progressed iteratively by listening to the interview recordings, reading verbatim transcriptions, identifying interpretive themes and exploring these themes to search for disconfirming data, and at the end of the analysis no such data was found (Kvale, 1996). The key themes that supported the analysis are presented below. For ethical reasons, all participants' details have been anonymised.

Analysis and empirical discussions

'Entrepreneurship awareness', 'business skills development' and 'entrepreneurial intention' were three common themes linked with EE, which participants frequently referred to as either entrepreneurship 'training' or 'course'. Features frequently used to describe the impact of these themes on entrepreneurial behaviour range from 'knowledge of entrepreneurship', 'curriculum development' to skills about 'relationships management', 'advertising', 'business plan writing, 'market analysis' and 'business expansion'. These three prominent themes in different ways defined how participants perceived their coping strategies and interaction with the conflict, thus, informed the following analysis and the empirical discussions.

EE and Entrepreneurship awareness

Entrepreneurship awareness can be seen as attaining a state of knowledge and mindset that gives one the optimal direction towards achieving entrepreneurial effectiveness without the need for them to learn the full theory of entrepreneurship. Participants in this study appeared to have gained sufficient knowledge of the crosscutting nature of entrepreneurship as a disciplinary concept as well as developed the mindset to pursue entrepreneurial ambitions. As such, the perception that EE provided both theoretical and practical value was overwhelming. Thus, as P1 summarises: "My thinking has changed regarding entrepreneurship. I have come to understand that entrepreneurship is multidisciplinary. It is not something exclusive to faculty of social sciences or the department of Business Administration". Another

exemplified the practical impact of EE on his professional practice: "Before, I had a different view of training students. Now the view I have is to train students to go out and be on their own. I want to train somebody to be creative, not just to serve as a subordinate to someone else" (P.2). The change in orientation towards professional practice has a stronger resonance:

"My name is Engineer BM. we are trying to inculcate the idea of entrepreneurship. When we came to the University of Wolverhampton, we had discussions with knowledge transfer experts and we are able to pick things from what they taught us, and then see how we can apply and transfer it here to our students" (P.3).

From the above, having entrepreneurship awareness was not only equated to the idea of knowledge transfer. More importantly, it was viewed as the ability to alter not just students' entrepreneurial behaviour, but also institutional strategies around curriculum development. Thus: "Sincerely speaking, our contributions to the new university [of Maiduguri] curriculum were drawn from our experience and interactions at University of Wolverhampton regarding entrepreneurship curriculum design. And this is what the students are being exposed to" (P.13). Entrepreneurship awareness was also associated with having the ability to educate others as well as monitor their progress in pursuit of entrepreneurial activities. This relates to Henry and Leitch, (2005, p.101) who identify that entrepreneurial activity of individuals varies according to their awareness and education in entrepreneurship, which "as much as possible should provide an opportunity to improve and monitor the entrepreneurial learning process of others". This notion is perceptible in this study:

"We have been doing that for three years now, and there is no year we have not had up to 4,000 or 5,000 students in a year. So you are looking at an estimate of about 15,000 students over the past three years. So in terms of tracking and impact, it is only those who have shown serious interest about going into business after graduation...and that is 900 students we are trying to keep track of" (P.16).

In other words, through the awareness of EE, it was felt that one could influence students' towards taking up self-employment, thereby, mitigate the consequences of poverty and joblessness. For instance, as a tutor, P9 asserts: "I am very happy that the [UNIMAID] students are beginning to rethink. So, changing students' mindsets about paid employment is the one thing I know we have seriously gained from Wolverhampton". In fact, P1 retraced the wider context in which UNIMAID embarked upon the EE knowledge transfer with the University of Wolverhampton

Business School. In his view, this is linked to "the reality of the Nigerian situation" in which "graduates were being produced by universities but there are no jobs for them. So the idea was that if students are exposed to entrepreneurship, they may graduate and began to think less of paid employment and more of self-employment". By being able to influence students to consider self-employment, it seemed the participants have defied the odds in achieving the primary objective for investing in the EE knowledge transfer project. Overall, there was a greater awareness of how to produce graduates with a mindset to start their own business. This signals a new norm, an approach and orientation towards using more innovative learning models away from the hitherto didactic or rote learning emblematic of the nature of HE provision across much of SSA. As such, from that viewpoint, EE and entrepreneurial intention could be considered to have a direct association.

EE and Entrepreneurial intentions

From the foregoing, it was evident that EE influenced students' entrepreneurial intentions. As P5 asserts: "I attended the entrepreneurship training in 2013 at the University of Maiduguri. I have not started a business of my own yet, but I plan to do so. I am a computer engineer, so I hope to set up an IT centre where I can do repair and maintenance of computers". The same is true for P7 who stated: "I benefited a lot from the training. I am planning to go into transport business in the future". These findings are consistent with Bae et al., (2014), which found that participation in EE significantly influenced entrepreneurial intentions. Despite the enthusiasm to start their own business, unsurprisingly, participants viewed the effect of Boko Haram conflict on their entrepreneurial ambitions with some apprehension. P6 embodies this mood: "When I started I had three employees, but due to the insurgency I had to sack some of them". While lamenting the consequences of the conflict, P11 however struck an optimistic note: "the insurgency has really affected things a lot. But of course, businesses can thrive in the midst of the insurgency. You need to come to Maiduguri and see what people are doing. You will be surprised".

Notwithstanding, the lack of capital rather than the conflict emerged as the major barrier to developing entrepreneurial intentions. Again, P5 was quick to recognise lack of capital as a barrier to starting his new IT business, thus: "my problem is that I don't have business capital". New entrepreneurs usually encounter three hurdles: the

issue of start-up capital, knowledge of business strategy and market access. To access markets, entrepreneurs need social networks and to develop a business strategy they need knowledge about executing their business ideas or plan. When engaging with entrepreneurship in a market economy, the availability of finance and access to that finance is a critical and the most challenging task for new entrepreneurs, particularly in SSA. Therefore, by implication, "barriers or impediments to accessing appropriate levels of finance will have enduring and negative impact upon the performance of affected firms" (Marlow and Patton, 2005, p. 717).

Consequently, as P11 acknowledged: "I started my business in 2007, before I attended the course in 2013 I was employing one person, now I am employing five people, but you know I have not been able to expand my business much because we don't have capital". There are a number of reasons why micro-enterprises in SSA find it difficult to expand their business despite access to start-up capital. In most cases, for instance, they fail to separate their household expenditures from business transactions, which means they rarely keep accurate financial records. Because of this, "detecting fully how much, if any, return to capital has occurred over a specific period is difficult, if not impossible" (Honig, 1998, p. 373). Unfortunately, this scenario is typical of the attitude of the micro-entrepreneurs that constitute this study's sample. Taken collectively, it is not hard to see why lack of or insufficient capital featured strongly as a major impediment to entrepreneurial intentions.

EE and Business skills development

Apart from developing entrepreneurship awareness and entrepreneurial intentions, participants felt that they have acquired a range of business skills from EE. For instance, P9 acknowledged: "From the [EE] course I knew that I had to keep records. Those records help me to be clear in my mind about my inflows and outflows". Another participant who gained a mix of skills commented: "You must also know how to gain the attention of your customers...how to package your product very well" (P.15). Linked with skills acquisition for product packaging is skills for customer relationship as well as effective management of one's transactions with lenders or suppliers. P6 acknowledged: "the entrepreneurship training really helped me to better relate with my customers and suppliers, to pay them as and when due" (P.6). In addition, P17 stated: "Before the training at the University of Maiduguri I did not

have much knowledge about business strategy. I know better now how to plan and invest my money".

Skills about diversification and advertising also featured prominently as major component of business skills acquisition, which were seen as especially useful in the conflict environment. P4 says: "Before, I restricted myself, but after the training I diversified more", while P20 affirms: "the training helped me to advertise my business differently. So, I went to small hamlets to get people to sell and buy my goods, I give them complimentary cards, encouraging them to call me". Utility of business skills acquired from EE emerges in two forms in this study - as basis to make strategic decision about future business plans and as a coping strategy under increased market volatility, thus:

"Because of the problem of the insurgency I plan to expand to other locations where there is good demand for my goods. I want to open new branches in Kano, in Yobe (because Yobe is near Maiduguri). I hope to employ like 50 people in the next four years. I have also visited some places in Jigawa and can see that it is a very nice place for my business. Jigawa is especially hot at some points of the year so it is a very good place to sell drinks" (P.16).

The above insights would indicate that micro-entrepreneurs in resource-poor environments could deploy their business skills in strategic and innovative ways regardless of the environmental constraints. Thus, whereas Bruck *et al.*, (2011) argue that conflict environments stifle innovation, economic activities and opportunities for employment. However, evidence from this study suggests that conflict environments may not necessarily hinder the acquisition of business skills and the innovative use of such skills in a survivalist sense. This is because individual's resilience, creativity, ingenuity as well as strong disposition to survive even under very difficult circumstances may be unaffected by environmental constraints, such as, conflict. As with the findings of Kanaghan (2009), and as argued by Baumol (1990) and Baker & Nelson (2005), the real issue is whether or not individuals, when faced with resource and environmental constraints, choose to use their acquired business skills to invest in productive behaviours rather than on destructive activities.

It would appear that participants in this study were invested in productive behaviours including strategic thinking and writing business plans. For example, P15

acknowledges: "One of the main lessons I learnt was writing a good business plan. With the format they gave I was surprised by how much I was able to write". Therefore, it is highly likely that even under challenging conditions of poverty, unemployment, and conflict, "individuals will benefit from learning an innovative approach to becoming self-reliant by developing their creativity through the study of entrepreneurship" (Henry et al., 2005a, p. 101). Similarly, others (e.g., Krueger et al., 2000) have found positive linkages between EE and entrepreneurial intentions. Although some studies (e.g., Fatoki and Chindoga, 2011) found that lack of access to market analysis and market intelligence impeded entrepreneurial behaviour and entrepreneurial success, evidence from this study along with Krueger and Maleckova (2003) however showed that these constraints could be overcome through EE. Overall, EE facilitated access entrepreneurial knowledge and skills acquisition vital at those 'moments of truth' in any type of business environment.

Conclusions

A number of implications emerge from this study, which contribute to policy and research in entrepreneurship, particularly, the emerging theoretical context of 'transformative entrepreneuring'. Defined as "the process of addressing and ultimately transforming conditions or protracted socioeconomic constraint through entrepreneurship" (Tobias et al., 2013, p. 728), transformative entrepreneuring has the "potential to help substantially expand the domain of entrepreneurship as a solution to poverty" (Bruton et al., 2013, p.687). This study has shown that EE can have a transformative effect on people's entrepreneurial behaviour in a conflict context, thereby, alter their economic circumstance in ways that reduce the tendency to support conflict. This is particularly relevant to SSA where poverty, unemployment and conflict interact. A key instance of this transformative effect is seen in changes to people's attitudes and thinking about self-employment because of their awareness of the multidisciplinary nature of entrepreneurship. With this perspective comes the need to influence people's entrepreneurial learning orientation towards self-employment instead of paid employment. Instilling the idea of selfemployment amongst HE students and graduates remains the central focus of EE provision in Nigeria. Thus, from that perspective the introduction of EE as a compulsory HE policy seems a sensible initiative.

Therefore, from a HE policy standpoint, other countries in SSA dealing with the challenges of graduate unemployment and poverty may benefit from introducing a compulsory EE curriculum. Of relevance are those SSA countries (e.g., Rwanda, Ethiopia, and Côte d'Ivoire) with a history of conflict experiencing high rates of unemployment and poverty. From the findings, it was obvious that conflict per se was not the major barrier to developing an entrepreneurial behaviour. Rather, lack of access to capital emerged as a major impediment to pursuing one entrepreneurial ambition. This leads to a complex yet fundamental question of how to design the necessary EE pedagogies that can facilitate access to finance considered as one of the strategic imperatives to sustaining entrepreneurship development in SSA. The complexity associated with formulating such EE strategy will be more acute in conflict environments where the dynamics of education provision and business transaction may be different. What will the criteria be given the volatility of the environment vis-à-vis the individual's disposition and orientation to conflict? As such, in creating conditions, for instance, that would enable students and graduate entrepreneurs to access government-backed microcredit finance schemes, it is important for policy makers to be more sensitive to the peculiarities of contexts as well as the individual changing needs and circumstances.

To access government-backed finance scheme, potential recipients must be able to demonstrate the appropriate business mind-set and skills including the ability to cope with different business situations and challenges. At the very least, knowledge of basic accounting and problem-solving skills derived from EE should be a prerequisite. This will ensure that recipients not only possess the required competence and knowhow to exhibit a good business practice, but also that they appreciate the importance of accountability in their business transactions. For instance, from the findings, the ability to manage one's financial inflows and outflows through separating business and household expenditures is an example of a good business practice. Thus, the successful design and implementation of such government scheme rests on two basic assumptions. First, the EE curriculum must be designed to meet specific end-user needs around attaining entrepreneurial effectiveness. Secondly, the extent to which such a curriculum can lay claim to helping to influence individual's entrepreneurial behaviour development must be unequivocal.

Integrating end-users needs with EE curriculum development is particularly relevant to conflict mitigation in SSA, as populations in a conflict environment often have a symbiotic association with the conflict. This association shapes their circumstances including lives, choices and individual behaviours (Verwimp *et al.*, 2009). Unfortunately, armed groups constantly manipulate and exploit the dynamics of this phenomenon to advance their deviant agenda, often to the detriment of the individual and the wider society. We see evidence of this in relation to the *Almajirai* population. Thus, by incorporating end-user needs and more contextualised considerations in developing EE curriculum, in particular conceiving of individuals or groups in conflict as either abducted or coerced by circumstances outside their control (Humphreys & Weistein, 2008), then more sustainable strategies that influence good business practices through EE are more likely to be fashioned out with desirable outcomes.

Also, for a more sustainable way to reduce poverty and unemployment and their consequential factor of conflict, there is a need for policy to go beyond military campaigns and begin to articulate a more joined-up sub-regional approach to economic development in SSA through investment in EE programmes beyond national boundaries. A joined-up approach is necessary given the fact that many of today's conflicts in Africa are regionalised, yet, policy in most cases is constrained by narrow focus on country-level analysis. For instance, the Boko Haram's conflict affects Nigeria's regional neighbours including Chad (particularly the Lake Chad region), Niger and Mali. In addition, the causes of Boko Haram conflict are rooted not just in fundamentalist ideology and radicalisation of unemployed northern Nigerian youths (Onuoha, 2014; Walker, 2012), but by the wider socio-economic imbalances across the sub-region. Therefore, a comprehensive EE policy framework drawn up by the affected countries that addresses the socio-economic ramifications of Boko Haram's threat will be more fruitful in the immediate and long-term. However, this cannot be achieved in isolation of a consensus around a cross-national effort that seeks to foster the 'spirit of enterprise' within the affected countries.

From a research perspective, there is a need for a further study based on rigorous empirical foundations to support better theoretical understanding of the relationship between EE and conflict. For example, a cross-national study directed at unravelling

the underlying incentives for joining non-State armed groups, such as, Boko Haram, could focus on a much deeper scrutiny of the levels and quality of human capital development across the sub-region, particularly conflict-affected countries. In many countries in SSA, as seen in Nigeria, the underlying reasons why people sympathise with armed groups may result from poverty, unemployment and lack of access to economic opportunities. Ultimately, such a cross-national study must propose the conditions that enhance entrepreneurial behaviour through access to EE. This could include proposals to establish regional EE knowledge ecosystems that work to correct the socio-economic imbalances as well as compensate for the adverse effects on the economic prospects of individuals and communities devastated by the conflict. Thus, from a practical standpoint, establishing EE knowledge ecosystems that are appropriate to the needs of diverse communities affected by conflict will of course presents a challenge (e.g., delivery capacity). This challenge can be overcome through a process of knowledge transfer in which EE knowledge dissemination is core. Since knowledge transfer process involves learning, knowledge acquisition and adaptation as well as knowledge retention (Argote, 2013), in establishing EE knowledge ecosystems consideration must therefore be given to the cultural and contextual factors in which the knowledge transfer occurs. In other words, the processes, the characteristics of learning, the motivations and the needs of the knowledge recipients, especially if they have been affected by conflict, must be clearly understood and aligned with the knowledge transfer intervention. Such alignment will ensure that EE can address the issue of unemployment and poverty seen as the underlying causes of conflict.

In conclusion, EE generally can be an effective platform to provide strategic coherence to disparate poverty, unemployment and conflict mitigation initiatives in developing countries. Invariably, within the context of SSA, some broad (e.g., lack of sub-regional EE strategy and curriculum) and narrow (e.g., lack of human capacity) challenges must be overcome in order for EE to be effective. In this light, although this study is limited by its focus on sub-Saharan Nigeria, by drawing from the policy points outlined in this study some of the above challenges can be overcome. Thus, unless there is a more collaborative approach that prioritises the effective implementation of regional EE strategies as a sustainable way to address the issue of poverty and unemployment in SSA, then conflicts would persist.

References

AfDB/OECD/UNDP (2016), "Africa's Economic Outlook 2016: Sustainable Cities and Structural Tranformation", OECD Publishing: Paris.

Aghedo, I. and Eke, S. J. (2013), "From alms to arms: The Almajiri phenomenon and internal security in northern Nigeria", *The Korean Journal of Policy Studies*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 97-123.

Aluaigba, M. T. (2009), "Circumventing or superimposing poverty on the African child? The *Almajiri* syndrome in northern Nigeria", *Childhood in Africa*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 19-24.

Argote, L. (2013), Organizational Learning creating, retaining and transferring knowledge, 2nd Edition, Springer, New York.

Arogundade, B. B. (2011), "Entrepreneurship Education: An Imperative for Sustainable Development in Nigeria", *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies* (JETERAPS), Vol. 2, pp. 26–29.

Awofeso, N., Ritchie, J. and Degeling, P. (2003), "The Almajiri heritage and threat of non-State terrorism in nothern Nigeria - Lessons from Central Asia and Pakistan", *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, Vol. 26 No. 4, pp. 311-325.

Bae, T. J., Qian, S., Miao, C., & Fiet, J. O. (2014), "The Relationship Between Entrepreneurship Education and Entrepreneurial Intentions: A Meta-Analytic Review", *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp. 217–254.

Baker, T. and Nelson, R. E. (2005), "Creating something from nothing: resource construction through entrepreneurial Bricolage", *Adminstrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 50 No. 3, pp. 329-366

Banerjee, A. and Duflo, E. (2007), "The Economic Lives of the Poor", *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Vol. 21 No.1, pp. 141-167.

Baumol, W. (1990), "Entrepreneurship: Productive, unproductive, and destructive", *The Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 98 No. 5, pp. 893-921

Baumol, W., Litan, R. E. and Schramm, C. (2007), *Good capitalism, bad capitalism and the economies of growth and prosperity*, Yale University Press, New Haven CT.

Bayram, S. A. (2017), "Entrepreneurship in conflict zones Insights on the start-ups in Syria, [Accessed online 26.03.2017], Available via: https://ahmadsb.com/books/entre-in-conflict-zone/ENTREPRENEURSHIP-IN-CONFLICT-ZONES.pdf

Bechard, J. and Gregoire, D. (2005), "Entrepreneurship education research revisited: the case of higher education", *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 22-43.

Bird, B., Schjoedt, L., & Baum, J. R. (2012), "Editor's Introduction. Entrepreneurs' Behavior: Elucidation and Measurement", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 36 No. 5, pp. 889–913.

Brixiova, Z., Ncube, M. and Bicaba, Z. (2015), "Skills and youth entrepreneurship in Africa: Analysis with evidence from Swaziland", *World Development*, Vol. 67, pp. 11-26.

Bruck, T., Naude, W., and Verwimp, P. (2011), "Small Business, Entrepreneurship and Violent Conflict in Developing Countries", *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 161-78.

Bruck, T., Naude, W., and Verwimp, P. (2013), "Business under Fire: Entrepreneurship and Violent Conflict in Developing Countries", *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, Vol. 57 No. 1, pp. 3–19.

Bruton, G., Ketchen, D. and Ireland, D. (2013), "Entrepreneurship as a solution to poverty", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(6), pp. 683-689

Bruton, G., Ahlstrom, D. and Obloj, K. (2008), "Entrepreneurship in emerging economies: where are we today and where should the research go in the future", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 1-14.

Bullough, A., Renko, M. and Myatt, T. (2014), "Danger zone entrepreneurs: the importance of resilience and self-efficacy for entrepreneurial intentions", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 473-499.

Burke, J. (2016), "Nigerian clashes cast doubt on the claim that Boko Haram is on its knees", *The Guardian*, 20 November, 2016, available at: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/nov/20/nigerian-clashes-doubt-boko-haram-technically-defeated (accessed 01 January 2017).

Burnard, P. (1994), "The telephone interview as a data collection method", *Nurse Education Today*, Vol. 6, pp. 511-524.

Ciarli T., Kofol C., Menon C. (2015) "Business as unusual. An explanation of the increase of private economic activity in high-conflict areas in Afghanistan", *SERC Discussion Paper*, No. 182, LSE, London [Accessed Online 26.03.2014], Available via:http://voeu.org/article/entrepreneurship-conflict-and-growth-evidence-afghanistan

Carr, E. (1999), "Talking on the telephone with people who have experienced pain in hospital: clinical audit or research?", *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, Vol. 29, No.1, pp.194-200.

Co, M. J., and Mitchell, B. (2006), "Entrepreneurship education in South Africa: a nationwide survey", *Education + Training*, Vol. 48 No. 5, pp. 348–359.

Demirgüc-Kunt, A., Klapper, L. and Panos, G. (2011), "Entrepreneurship in post-conflict transition", *Economics of Transition*, Vol.19 Issue 1, pp. 27-78.

de Groot, O. and Goskel, I. (2011), "Conflict and education demand in the Basque Region", *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, Vol. 55 No. 4, pp. 652-677.

Easterby-smith, M., Lyles, M. and Tsang, E. (2008), "Inter-organizational knowledge transfer: current themes and future prospects", *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 45 No. 4, pp. 0022-2380.

Fatoki, O. and Chindoga, L. (2011), "An investigation into the obstacles to youth entrepreneurship in South Africa", *International Business Research*, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 161-169.

Fayolle, A. (2013), "Personal views on the future of entrepreneurship education", *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, Vol. 25 Issue 7-8, pp. 692-701

Fayolle, A., Gailly, B., and Lassas-Clerc, N. (2006), "Assessing the impact of entrepreneurship education programmes: a new methodology", *Journal of European Industrial Training*, Vol. 30 No. 9, pp. 701–720.

Fayolle, A. and Gailly, B. (2008), "From craft to science: teaching models and learning processes in entrepreneurship education", *Journal of European Industrial Training*, Vol. 32 No. 7, pp. 569-593.

Fiet, J.O. (2001a), "The theoretical side of teaching entrepreneurship theory", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp.1-24.

Fiet, J.O. (2001b), "The pedagogical side of entrepreneurship theory", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp.101-17.

Fuchs, K, Werner, A. and Wallau, F. (2008), "Entrepreneurship education in Germany and Sweden: what role do different schools systems play?", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 365-81.

Garavan, T. N., and O'Cinneide, B. (1994), "Entrepreneurship education and training programmes: A review and evaluation – Part 1", *Journal of European Industrial Training*, Vol. 18 No. 8, pp. 3-13.

Gibb, A. A. (1993b), "The enterprise culture and education: understanding enterprise education and its links with small business entrepreneurship and wider educational goals", *International Small Business Journal*, Vol.11 No.3, pp. 11-34.

Goh, S.C. (2002), "Managing effective knowledge: an integrative framework and some practice implications", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 23-30.

Goodhand, J. (2003), "Enduring disorder and persistent poverty: a review of the linkages between war and chronic poverty", *World Development*, Vol. 31 No. 3, pp. 629-646.

Gopinath, C. and Sawyer, J. (1999), "Exploring the learning from an enterprise simulation", *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 18 No. 5, pp. 477-489.

Hanon, P.D. (2006), "Teaching pigeons to dance: sense and meaning in entrepreneurship education", *Education* + *Training*, Vol. 48 No. 5, pp. 296-308.

Harrington, C. and Maysami, R. (2015), "Entrepreneurship education and the role of the regional university", *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 29-38.

Henry, C., Hill, F. and Leitch, C. (2005a), "Entrepreneurship education and training: can entrepreneurship be taught? Part I", *Education* + *Training*, Vol. 47 No. 2, pp. 98-111.

Henry, C., Hill, F. and Leitch, C. (2005b), "Entrepreneurship education and training: can entrepreneurship be taught? Part II", *Education* + *Training*, Vol. 47 No. 3, pp. 158-169.

Hoechner, H. (2011), "Striving for knowledge and dignity: How Quranic students in Kano, Nigeria, learn to live with rejection and educational disadvantage", *European Journal of Development Research*, Vol. 23 No. 5, pp. 712-728.

Honeyman, C. (2016), *The orderly entrepreneur, youth, education, and governance in Rwanda*, Stanford University Press, California.

Honig, B. (1998), "What determines success? Examining the human, financial, and social capital of Jamaican microenterpreneurs", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 13, Issue 5, pp. 371-394.

Humphreys, M. and Weistein, J. (2008), "Who fights? The determinants of participation in civil war", *American Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 52 No. 2, pp. 436-455.

Hynes, B. (1996), "Entrepreneurship education and training - introducing entrepreneurship into non-business disciplines", *Journal of European Industrial Training*, Vol. 20 No. 8, pp. 10–17.

Inkpen, A. and Tsang, E. (2005), "Social capital, networks, and knowledge transfer", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 146-165.

Irvine, A. (2011), "Duration, dominance and depth in telephone and face-to-face interviews: a comparative exploration", *International Journal of Qualitative Research Methods*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 202-220.

Jamieson, I. (1984), "Education for enterprise", in Watts, A.G. and Moran, P. (Eds), CRAC, Ballinger, Cambridge, pp.19-27.

Jones, C. and Matlay, H. (2011), "Understanding the heterogeneity of entrepreneurship education: going beyond Gartner", *Education* + *Training*, Vol. 53 No. 8/9, pp. 692–703.

Johnson, A. T., Hirt, B. and Hoba, P. (2011), "Higher Education, Policy Networks, and Policy Entrepreneurship in Africa: The case of the Association of African Universities", *Higher Education Policy*, Vol. 24 Issue 1, pp. 85-102.

Johnston, L., Robinson, S. and Lockett, N. (2010), "Recognising "open innovation" in HE industry interaction for knowledge transfer and exchange", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, Vol.16 No. 6, pp. 540 - 560.

Justino, P. (2009), "Poverty and violent conflict: a micro level perspective on the causes and duration of warfare", *Journal of Peace Research*, Vol. 46 No. 3, pp. 315-333.

Kabongo, J. D. and Okpara, J. O. (2010), "Entrepreneurship education in sub-Saharan African universities", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research*, Vol.16 No. 4, pp. 296-308.

Kassean, H., Vanevenhoven, J., Liguori, E. and Winkel, D. (2015), "Entrepreneurship education: a need for reflection, real-world experience and action", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research* Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 690-708.

Kavanagh, J. (2011), "Selection, Availability, and Opportunity: The conditional effect of poverty on terrorist group participation", *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, Vol.55 No.1, pp. 106-132

Khalid, S. (2001), "Nigeria's educational crisis: the Almajiranci system and social realities", *Islamic Culture*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 85-103.

Kirby, D. (2004), "Entrepreneurship education: can business schools meet the challenge", *Education* + *Training*, Vol. 46 Nos 8/9, pp.510-9.

Klandt, H. (2004), "Entrepreneurship education and research in German-speaking Europe", *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, Vol. 3 No. 3, pp. 293-301.

Klinger, B. and Schündeln, M. (2001), "Can entrepreneurial activity be taught? Quasi-experimental evidence from Central America", *World Development*, Vol. 39 No. 9, pp. 1592-1610.

Krause, K. and O. Jutersonke (2005), "Peace, Security, and Development in Post-Conflict Environments", *Security Dialogue*, Vol. 36 No.4, pp. 447-462

Krueger, N., Reilly, M. and Carsrud, A. (2000), "Competing models of entrepreneurial intentions", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 15 Issue 5, pp. 411-432.

Krueger, A. B. and Maleckova, J. (2003), "Education, Poverty and Terrorism: Is there a causal connection?", *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 119-144.

Kuratko, D. F. (2005), "The emergence of entrepreneurship education: development, trends, and challenges", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 29 No. 5, pp. 577-598.

Kvale, S. (1996), "Interviews: an introduction to qualitative research interviewing", Thousand Oaks CA: Sage.

Leitch, C., and Harrison, R. (1999), "A process model for entrepreneurship education and development", *International Journal of Entrepreneurship Behaviour & Research*, Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 83–109.

Lemmon, G. T. (2012), "Entrepreneurship in postconflict zones", Working Paper, Council on Foreign Relations, New York: CFR

Matlay, H. and Carey, C. (2007), "Entrepreneurship education in the UK: a longitudinal perspective", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, Vol. 14 No.2, pp. 252-63.

Matlay, H. (2008), "The impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial outcomes", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 382-96.

Marlow, S. and Patton, D. (2005), "All credit to men? Entrepreneurship, finance, and gender", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol.29 No 6, pp. 717-735.

Marshall, C. and Rossman, G. (2006), *Designing qualitative research* (4th ed.), Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.

Miles, M. and Huberman, M. A. (1994), *Qualitative data analysis* (2nd ed.), Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.

Nafziger, E. and Auvinen, J. (2002), "Economic development, inequality, war and State violence", *World Development*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 153-16.

National Bureau of Statistics (2016), "Unemployment/Under-employment Watch", *available at:* http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/report/397 (accessed 12 July 2016).

Naude, W. (2011), "Entrepreneurship is not a binding constraint on growth and development in the poorest countries", *World Development*, Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 33-44.

Noor, M., Farid, U. and Warren, L. (2016), "An institutional perspective on entrepreneurship in a conflict environment: Evidence from Pakistan", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, Vol. 22 No.5, pp.698 - 717.

Nurmi, P. and Paasio, K. (2007), "Entrepreneurship in Finnish Universities", *Education + Training*, Vol. 49 No. 2, pp. 56-66.

Nwekeaku, C., (2013), "Entrepreneurship Education and Challenges to Nigerian Universities", *Journal of Education and Practice*, Vol. 4 No. 3, pp. 51–56.

Ojeifo, S.A., (2013), "Entrepreneurship Education In Nigeria: A Panacea For Youth Unemployment", *Journal of Education & Practice*, Vol. 4 No. 6, pp. 61–67.

Oladosu, A.G. (2012), "Arabic and Islamic education in Nigeria: The case of Al-Majiri schools", *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 71, pp.1820-1824.

Onuoha, F. C. (2014), "Why do you youths join Boko Haram? Special Report. *United States Institute of Peace*", available at: https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/SR348Why do Youth Join Boko Haram.pdf (accessed 15 June 2016).

Preiger, J. E., Bampoky, C., Blanco, L.R. and Liu, A. (2016), "Economic growth and optimal level of entrepreneurship", *World Development*, Vol. 82, pp. 95-109.

Quality Assurance Agency (2012), "Enterprise and entrepreneurship education Guidance for UK higher education providers", available at: http://www.qaa.ac.uk/en/Publications/Documents/enterprise-entrepreneurship-guidance.pdf (accessed 24 June 2016).

Refai, D., Klapper, R. and Thompson, J. L. (2015), "A Holistic Social Constructionist perspective to Enterprise Education", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, Vol. 21 No. 3. pp. 316-337.

Rogers, P. (2012), "Nigeria: the generic context of the Boko Haram violence, *Oxford Research Group*", available at: http://www.oxfordresearchgroup.org.uk/sites/default//files/AprEn12.pdf (accessed 13 May 2016).

Rubin, H. and Rubin, I. (2005), "Qualitative interviewing: the art of hearing data" (2nd edition), Thousand Oaks CA: Sage.

Salaam, A. O. (2012), "Boko Haram: beyond religious fanaticism", *Journal of Policing, Intelligence and Counter Terrorism*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 147-162.

Sanders, M. and Weitzel, U. (2009), "Institutions and the allocation of entrepreneurial talent between productive and destructive activities", *Tjalling C. Koopmans Research Institute Discussion Paper Series* No. 09-36, [Accessed Online 26.03.2017], Available via: http://www.uu.nl/rebo/economie/discussionpapers

Sekaran, U. and Bougie, R. (2013), *Research methods for business - a skill-building approach*, sixth edition, Wiley & Sons Publishers, Chichester, United Kingdom.

Solomon, G. T. (2007), "An examination of entrepreneurship in the United States", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp.168-82.

Sturges, J. and Hanrahan, K (2004), "Comparing telephone and face-to-face qualitative interviewing: a research note", *Qualitative Research*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 107-118.

Sutter, C. J., Webb, J.W., Kistruck, G.M. and Bailey, A.G. (2013), "Entrepreneurs responses to semi-formal illegitimate institutional arrangements", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(6), pp. 743-758

Timmons, J. A. and Stevenson, H.H. (1985), "Entrepreneurship education in the 1980s – what entrepreneurs say", in Kao, J. and Stevenson, H.H. (Eds), *Entrepreneurship – What it is and How to Teach it*, Harvard Business School, Cambridge, MA, pp. 115-34.

Tobias, J., Mair, J. and Barbosa-Leiker, C. (2013), "Toward a theory of transformative entrepreneuring: poverty reduction and conflict resolution in Rwanda's entrepreneurial coffee sector", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(6), pp. 728-742.

NICEF (2016), "Out of school: Nigeria conflict forces more than 1million children from school *UNICEF Global Report*", available at: http://www.unicef.org/appeals/nigeria.html (accessed 16 April 2016).

Uslay, C., Teach, R. D., and Schwartz, R. G. (2002), "Promoting Entrepreneurship for Economic Development: A Cross- Cultural Analysis of Student Attitudes", *Journal of Research in Marketing and Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 101–118.

Usman, L. (2009), "Adolescent street boy urchins and vocational training in northern Nigeria", *Diaspora, Indigenous and Minority Education*, Vol. 3 No. 3, pp.175-190.

Verwimp, P., Justino, P. and Bruck, T. (2009), "The analysis of conflict: a microlevel perspective", *Journal of Peace Research*, Vol. 46 No. 3, pp. 307-314.

Walker, A (2012), "What is Boko Haram? Special Report. *United States Institute of Peace*", available at: https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/SR308.pdf (accessed 27 January 2016).

Welter, F. and Smallbone, D. (2010), "Institutional perspectives on entrepreneurial behaviour in a challenging environment". *Journal of Small Business Management*, 49(1), pp. 107-125.

Wilson, K. E. (2008), "Entrepreneurship education in Europe" in *Entrepreneurship and Higher Education*, Chapter 5, OECD, available at: https://ssrn.com/abstracts=1392369 (accessed 29 December 2016).

World Bank, 2013. *Nigeria Economic Report*, Washington, D.C. Available at: http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2013/05/17708026/nigeria-economic-report (accessed.

Yusuf, M., Ladan, B., Idris, U. and Halilu, A. (2013), "Comparative study of the state of literacy in Nigeria and Cuba", *European Scientific Journal*, Vol. 9 No. 19, pp. 34-44.