


## SOC Design Lab4-2

### ● Block Diagram



- The interface protocol between firmware, user project and testbench  
以 Tap 為例: CPU 根據 FIRMWARE 的指令, 將 tap 值藉由 Wishbone interface(wbs\_data\_i)給 user project(FIR)存到 tap Ram, firmware outputs a Start Mark (0XA5) on mprj[23:16] to notify Testbench。

以 input x 為例: CPU 根據 FIRMWARE 的指令, 將 x 值藉由 Wishbone interface(wbs\_data\_i)給 user project(FIR)存到 data Ram, 經過 fir 運算後, CPU 根據 FIRMWARE 的指令接收 y, 再根據 FIRMWARE 的指令將最後一個 Y 透過 mprj 給 TESTBENCH。

Firmware code 和 testbench 之間溝通的 interface 為 mprj; Firmware code 和 user project 之間溝通的 interface 為 wishbone。

- Waveform and analysis of the hardware/software behavior.

Software:輸入 64 個 X 值, 分別為 0~63 到地址 0X300000C0, 透過 FIRMWARE 指令, CPU 將 X 輸入給 FIR。

<Input x>

First 0 :



Last 63 :



<output y>



<tap>



Hardware:

下圖為 FIR 運算中，相乘相加的結果



- What is the FIR engine theoretical throughput, i.e. data rate? Actually measured throughput?

theoretical throughput: 11 cycle(per output data y)

Actually measured throughput: 52 cycle(per output data y)



- What is latency for firmware to feed data?

CPU 藉由 firmware 傳 0 到 fir 接收 0 的時間為: 12 cycle



Firmware feed data 0 到 feed data 1 的時間為: 52 cycle



- What techniques used to improve the throughput?
  - Does bram12 give better performance, in what way?
 

以目前的情況下使用 BRAM12 並不會帶來比 BRAM11 更好的效能，因為此次 lab 並不需要儲存計算後的 Y 值。

- Can you suggest other method to improve the performance?

第一版:

```
int* __attribute__ ( ( section ( ".mprjram" ) ) ) fir(){
    initfir();
    int x;
    for(n = 0; n < 63; n++) {
        reg_user_x = n;
        outputsignal[n] = reg_user_y;
    }
    reg_user_lastx = n;
    outputsignal[n] = reg_user_y;
    return outputsignal;
}
```

```
Reading counter_la_fir.hex
counter_la_fir.hex loaded into memory
Memory 5 bytes = 0x6f 0x00 0x00 0x0b 0x13
VCD info: dumpfile counter_la_fir.vcd opened for output.
LA1 Test 1 started
LA1 Test 2 passed:      9611cycles
LA2 Test 1 started
LA2 Test 2 passed:      9611cycles
LA3 Test 1 started
LA3 Test 2 passed:      9611cycles
```

第二版:

```
int __attribute__ ( ( section ( ".mprjram" ) ) ) fir(){
    initfir();
    int n;
    int y;
    for(n = 0; n < 63; n++) {
        reg_user_x = n;
        reg_user_y;
    }
    reg_user_x = n;
    y = reg_user_y;
    return y;
}
```

```
1 Reading counter_la_fir.hex
2 counter_la_fir.hex loaded into memory
3 Memory 5 bytes = 0x6f 0x00 0x00 0x0b 0x13
4 VCD info: dumpfile counter_la_fir.vcd opened for output.
5 LA1 Test 1 started
6 LA1 Test 2 passed:      5835cycles
7 LA2 Test 1 started
8 LA2 Test 2 passed:      4775cycles
9 LA3 Test 1 started
10 LA3 Test 2 passed:      4775cycles
```

我們將 fir.c 檔，原本輸出 Y 會存到記憶體，但因為中間的 Y 我們並不需要做驗證，因此改成輸出 Y 不會存到記憶體，只有最後一筆 Y 會需要儲存到記憶體。

- Any other insights ?

1.

原本:

0x10-13 - data-length

**0x40-7F** – Tap parameters, (e.g., 0x40-43 Tap0, in sequence ...)

0x80-83 – X[n] input (r/w)

0x84-87 – Y[n] output (ro)

改良:

0X10-13 – data\_length

0X80-0XA8 – Tap parameters

0XC0-0XC3 – X[n](r/w)

0XC8-0XCB – Y[n](ro)

0X00 – config(r/w)

```
#define reg_user_tap0 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x30000080)
#define reg_user_tap1 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x30000084)
#define reg_user_tap2 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x30000088)
#define reg_user_tap3 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x3000008C)
#define reg_user_tap4 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x30000090)
#define reg_user_tap5 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x30000094)
#define reg_user_tap6 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x30000098)
#define reg_user_tap7 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x3000009C)
#define reg_user_tap8 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x300000A0)
#define reg_user_tap9 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x300000A4)
#define reg_user_tap10 (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x300000A8)
#define reg_user_x (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x300000C0)
#define reg_user_y (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x300000C8)
#define reg_user_config (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x30000000)
#define reg_user_len (*(volatile uint32_t*)0x30000010)
```

透過直接將 Tap 地址從 0X80 開始，可以使我們 Gate Counts 下降。

## 2.

以下兩張圖為將 Lab4-2 與 Lab4-1 做比較，而輸入 X 統一設定輸入 11 筆資料。可以發現 FIR 運算以硬體的方式(Lab4-2)所需之 Cycle 較以軟體的方式(Lab4-1)所需之 Cycle 少。

```
Reading counter_la_fir.hex
counter_la_fir.hex loaded into memory
Memory 5 bytes = 0x6f 0x00 0x00 0x0b 0x13
VCD info: dumpfile counter_la_fir.vcd opened for output.
LA1 Test 1 started
LA1 Test 2 passed:      3703cycles
```

```
Reading counter_la_fir.hex
counter_la_fir.hex loaded into memory
Memory 5 bytes = 0x6f 0x00 0x00 0x0b 0x13
VCD info: dumpfile counter_la_fir.vcd opened for output.
LA Test 1 started
LA Test 2 passed      169343 cycle
```

## ● Synthesis report

### LUT and FF :

#### 1. Slice Logic

Site Type	Used	Fixed	Prohibited	Available	Util%
Slice LUTs*	402	0	0	53200	0.76
LUT as Logic	346	0	0	53200	0.65
LUT as Memory	56	0	0	17400	0.32
LUT as Distributed RAM	56	0			
LUT as Shift Register	0	0			
Slice Registers	241	0	0	106400	0.23
Register as Flip Flop	209	0	0	106400	0.20
Register as Latch	32	0	0	106400	0.03
F7 Muxes	0	0	0	26600	0.00
F8 Muxes	0	0	0	13300	0.00

### RTL Component :

#### Detailed RTL Component Info :

```

+---Adders :
    2 Input  32 Bit    Adders := 1
    3 Input   6 Bit    Adders := 1
    2 Input   4 Bit    Adders := 3
+---Registers :
           32 Bit    Registers := 6
           4 Bit     Registers := 2
           2 Bit     Registers := 2
           1 Bit     Registers := 6
+---Multipliers :
           32x32    Multipliers := 1
+---RAMs :
           64K Bit  (2048 X 32 bit)    RAMs := 1
           352 Bit  (11 X 32 bit)     RAMs := 2
+---Muxes :
    2 Input  32 Bit    Muxes := 14
    4 Input  32 Bit    Muxes := 2
    5 Input  32 Bit    Muxes := 1
    2 Input  12 Bit    Muxes := 1
    2 Input   8 Bit    Muxes := 3
    3 Input   8 Bit    Muxes := 1
    3 Input   4 Bit    Muxes := 1
    2 Input   4 Bit    Muxes := 3
    5 Input   3 Bit    Muxes := 1
    2 Input   2 Bit    Muxes := 7
    3 Input   2 Bit    Muxes := 1
    2 Input   1 Bit    Muxes := 14
    3 Input   1 Bit    Muxes := 3
    4 Input   1 Bit    Muxes := 1

```

### Report Cell Usage :

Report Cell Usage:

	Cell	Count
1	BUFG	2
2	CARRY4	35
3	DSP48E1	3
4	LUT1	2
5	LUT2	97
6	LUT3	28
7	LUT4	126
8	LUT5	46
9	LUT6	107
10	RAM16X1S	32
11	RAM32M	5
12	RAM32X1D	2
13	RAMB36E1	2
14	FDRE	207
15	FDSE	2
16	LD	32
17	IBUF	68
18	OBUF	112
19	OBUFT	128

## Max delay path:

```
Slack (MET) :          4.440ns (required time - arrival time)
  Source:          FIR/tap_idx_reg[0]/C
                   (rising edge-triggered cell FDRE clocked by wb_clk_i  {rise@0.000ns fall@12.500ns period=25.000ns})
  Destination:     wbs_dat_o[31]
                   (output port clocked by wb_clk_i  {rise@0.000ns fall@12.500ns period=25.000ns})
  Path Group:      wb_clk_i
  Path Type:       Max at Slow Process Corner
  Requirement:     25.000ns (wb_clk_i rise@25.000ns - wb_clk_i rise@0.000ns)
  Data Path Delay:  17.145ns (logic 11.635ns (67.867%) route 5.509ns (32.133%))
  Logic Levels:    14  (CARRY4=5 DSP48E1=2 LUT2=1 LUT4=3 LUT6=2 OBUF=1)
  Output Delay:    0.000ns
  Clock Path Skew:  -3.380ns (DCD - SCD + CPR)
    Destination Clock Delay (DCD):  0.000ns = ( 25.000 - 25.000 )
    Source Clock Delay (SCD):        3.380ns
    Clock Pessimism Removal (CPR):   0.000ns
  Clock Uncertainty: 0.035ns ((TSJ^2 + TIJ^2)^1/2 + DJ) / 2 + PE
    Total System Jitter (TSJ):       0.071ns
    Total Input Jitter (TIJ):         0.000ns
    Discrete Jitter (DJ):             0.000ns
    Phase Error (PE):                 0.000ns
```

## Slack met

(clock wb_clk_i rise edge)		
	25.000	25.000 r
clock pessimism	0.000	25.000
clock uncertainty	-0.035	24.965
output delay	-0.000	24.965
-----		
required time		24.965
arrival time		-20.525
-----		
slack		4.440

- Metrics to measure the fir system

$$\text{Metrics} = 4775 * 20.525 * (346 + 241) = 57530035.625$$

Github link : <https://github.com/816-allen/SOC-Design-Lab4-2?search=1>