

# Information Arrival in Financial Markets

## *Job Market Paper*

By MICHAEL G. ZDINAK \*

[DOWNLOAD LATEST VERSION](#)

NOVEMBER 11, 2014

*This article introduces a new high-frequency analysis of six years of data for options written on the S&P 500 and traded on the Chicago Board of Exchange. I quantify in real time the information contained in the probability measure implied by option prices, using concepts developed in information theory. Here information is analogous to a reduction in uncertainty surrounding the future price of the underlying security. A simple nonparametric estimator allows us to measure the amount of information gained as an option approaches maturity. I then test for jumps in the expectation of said future price. I find the intraday flow of information in a large and important market is not continuous, and often increases in discrete intervals. This fact is used to identify events in which a large amount of information is revealed to investors.*

\* Washington University in St. Louis, One Brookings Drive, St. Louis, MO 63130 (e-mail: [zdinakmg@wustl.edu](mailto:zdinakmg@wustl.edu)).

How does information arrive in financial markets? In this paper, I confront the basic characterization of the process by which investors learn about the future value of an asset. The topic is of importance to much of financial economics, yet continues to be one of the least explored. Indeed, this paper is the first to quantify in real time how information drives price discovery in option markets. In doing so, the paper offers three methodological contributions to the literature on measuring the information found in option prices, and documents two empirical facts not explained by existing theoretical models. First, I find the arrival of information drives jumps in investor expectation of the future price, and second, that this process is not constant over the life of an option.

This paper joins a growing literature of high-frequency analysis of investor expectations, of which Birru and Figlewski (2012) offer another example. Both the literature and this paper estimate the distribution of future returns as implied by observed option prices. Following Cox and Ross (1976) and Cox, Ross, and Rubinstein (1979), this estimation relies on a representative investor's ability to arbitrage an option and its underlying asset. In that way, all risk except the underlying uncertainty surrounding the asset's future price may be hedged away. The resulting implied distribution is known as the "risk-neutral density". Following Harrison and Kreps (1979), if this distribution is known, then options may be priced as if all investors are "risk-neutral". In other words, the price of an option is independent of the individual risk-preferences of an investor. Figlewski (2018) offers a recent review of the key ideas in this literature. Option prices therefore reflect investor beliefs over the probability the world will achieve some future state, and it is this information that is of vital interest to investors, researchers, and policymakers. This fact combined with the growth in derivative markets has inspired renewed interest in understanding how the beliefs of investors respond to new information.

In this paper, I provide a high-frequency analysis of the price discovery process in option markets. Using six years of data for options written on the S&P 500 and traded on the Chicago Board of Exchange, I characterize the intraday evolution of the density function implied by the price of options with the same maturity date. The analysis is done for the final 3 months of each option's life-cycle, as the density is shown to become more and more concentrated over time. This paper is the first to estimate the intraday dynamics of the risk-neutral density over the life-cycle, and offers the following three methodological contributions to the literature. First, I show how a simple nonparametric estimator can be used to approximate the implied density of future returns at high-frequencies. Second, I show how concepts developed in information theory can be used to quantify the amount of information contained in the estimated density. Third, I show how this novel approach permits a simple testing procedure for the presence of jumps in the evolution of the risk-neutral density, coinciding with the arrival of new information. The results of this testing procedure represent the paper's main contribution to the literature.

I find that information often arrives in discrete intervals. Even at high-frequencies the risk-neutral density can be shown to jump, a result not anticipated by existing theoretical models. The testing procedure reveals both the frequency and magnitude of these jumps in investor expectations. I identify at least one jump for a majority of days, and find days without jumps contribute little to the total information gained over the life-cycle. I then document two empirical facts new to the literature: First, the majority of information accrues only in the final month. I show investors learn little about the future price of an asset for much of an option's life. Second, jumps contribute a majority of information early in the life-cycle. Only in the final month does information arrive often enough to contribute more to the total gained.

The paper builds on earlier work in many ways, but several features distinguish the findings from previous results. These include; 1. a focus on the evolution of the risk-neutral density over an option's life cycle, 2. a fully nonparametric estimation

technique, 3. a measure of information as a reduction in uncertainty, 4. a simple framework to test for jumps, and 5. the frequency and length of the sample of options data used. These features are discussed briefly in turn.

This paper targets the evolution of the risk-neutral density over time. In a departure from much of the earlier literature (Aït-sahalia and Lo, 1998), among others, the density is not estimated for a fixed maturity. Instead, for options with the same expiration date, the focus is on how the density becomes more concentrated as the maturity date approaches. The new perspective is shown to permit a simple testing procedure for the presence of jumps in the evolution of the density, and does not require the estimated density to be interpolated over time.

The paper employs an estimation procedure that is fully nonparametric. Inspired by the original procedure proposed by Breeden and Litzenberger (1978), the estimator places no restrictions on the shape of the density function or the dynamics of the underlying asset's price. In contrast to semiparametric procedures, such as those following Shimko (1993), the estimation procedure does not require the interpolation or the extrapolation of observed option prices, or the need for significant tradeoffs in measures of goodness-of-fit and smoothness.

This paper introduces concepts from information theory to quantify the uncertainty investors face about the future value of an underlying asset. The basic insight is that information can be measured as the reduction in uncertainty over time. The basic quantity of information theory is entropy. The concept is not new to economics, and both Sims (2003) and Frankel and Kamenica (2019) use entropy to model rational inattention and the information in decision problems respectively. Stutzer (2000) and Buchen and Kelly (1996) go so far as to use the concept of maximum entropy to infer the risk-neutral density from observed prices. However, this paper represents the first application of entropy to the problem of quantifying the information gained in the density function over time.

The paper employs a framework and hypothesis test for jumps in the risk-neutral density. Here the evolution of the entropy of the density reflects the arrival of new information. The procedure is equivalent to testing for jumps in a nonstationary timeseries, and follows Zivot and Andrews (1992). The test derives from the literature on testing for unit roots in economic timeseries, beginning with Dickey and Fuller (1979), and generalized by Said and Dickey (1984).

The choice of procedure is threefold. First the test is straightforward and transparent. It is fast and simple to implement, and the results are easily interpreted. Second, the procedure reveals the frequency, magnitude, and timing of each jump, three items that are of immediate interest. Third, the procedure permits a statistical test for each identified jump. The analysis therefore differs in this respect from other high-frequency event-studies, such as Goldberg and Grisse (2013) and Andersen, Bollerslev, Diebold and Vega (2003), among others, who examine the response of interest rates and exchange rates to economic news announcements.

Finally, the paper uses a new dataset of intraday quotes for all options written on the S&P 500 and traded on the Chicago Board of Exchange. The data is novel in two respects, namely the high frequency and long calendar span of the sample of SPX options analyzed. The intraday analysis covers six years, or nearly 1,500 trading days, beginning in January 2009 and ending in December 2014. In comparison to other high-frequency studies, Jiang and Tian (2005) and Birru and Figlewski (2012), who focus on forecasting realized variance and the change in the quantiles of the risk-neutral density during the fall of 2008, the data presented here represents a more complete picture of the intraday evolution of investor expectations.

The paper proceed as follows. In section 2, I describe the high-frequency options data sample. Section 3 characterizes the nonparametric estimator used to approximate the risk-neutral density. In section 4 I propose the use of information theory to quantify the information in the estimated density. Section 5 describes the

framework to test for jumps in the arrival of information. Section 6 discusses the results of the hypothesis tests. In section 7 I present select case studies around events where there was a large jump in information. Finally, section 8 concludes.

## REFERENCES

- Aït-sahalia, Yacine, and Andrew W Lo. 1998. "Nonparametric Estimation of State-Price." *The Journal of Finance* 53 (2): 499–547.
- Andersen, Torben G., Tim Bollerslev, Francis X. Diebold, and Clara Vega. 2003. "Micro Effects of Macro Announcements: Real-Time Price Discovery in Foreign Exchange." *American Economic Review* 93 (1): 38–62.
- Birru, Justin, and Stephen Figlewski. 2012. "Anatomy of a Meltdown: The Risk Neutral Density for the S&P 500 in the Fall of 2008." *Journal of Financial Markets* 15 (2): 151–80.
- Breeden, Douglas T., and Robert H. Litzenberger. 1978. "Prices of State-Contingent Claims Implicit in Option Prices." *The Journal of Business* 51 (4): 621.
- Buchen, Peter W., and Michael Kelly. 1996. "The Maximum Entropy Distribution of an Asset Inferred from Option Prices." *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 31 (1): 143.
- Cox, John C., and Stephen A. Ross. 1976. "The Valuation of Options for Alternative Stochastic Processes." *Journal of Financial Economics*.
- Cox, John C, Stephen A Ross, Mark Rubinstein, Stephen Ross, Franco Modigliani, and Edward Lear Publishing. 1979. "Option Pricing: A Simplified Approach †."
- Dickey, David A., and Wayne A. Fuller. 1979. "Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series With a Unit Root." *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74 (366): 427.
- Figlewski, Stephen. 2018. "Risk Neutral Densities : A Review Risk Neutral Densities : A Review."
- Frankel, Alexander, and Emir Kamenica. 2019. "Quantifying Information and Uncertainty." *American Economic Review* 109 (9): 3650–80.

- Goldberg, Linda S., and Christian Grisse. 2013. "Time Variation in Asset Price Responses to Macro Announcements." *SSRN Electronic Journal*, no. 626.
- Harrison, J Michael, and David M Kreps. 1979. "Martingales and Arbitrage in Multiperiod Securities Markets." *Journal of Economic Theory* 20 (3): 381–408.
- Jiang, George J., and Yisong S. Tian. 2005. "The Model-Free Implied Volatility and Its Information Content." *Review of Financial Studies* 18 (4): 1305–42.
- Said, Said E., and David A. Dickey. 1984. "Testing for Unit Roots in Autoregressive-Moving Average Models of Unknown Order." *Biometrika* 71 (3): 599–607.
- Shimko, David. 1993. "Bounds of Probability." *Risk Publications* 6 (4): 33–37.
- Sims, Christopher A. 2003. "Implications of Rational Inattention." *Journal of Monetary Economics* 50 (3): 665–90.
- Stutzer, Michael J. 2000. "Simple Entropic Derivation of a Generalized Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model." *Entropy* 2 (2): 70–77.
- Zivot, Eric, and Donald W.K. Andrews. 1992. "Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and the Unit-Root Hypothesis." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 10 (3): 251–70.