

Romantic Scam: “My Partner Might Be a Scammer” #1

Client Type: Everyday person

Problem:

“I met someone online. We’ve been talking for months. They say they live in another state, have some money problems, and need help getting back on their feet. I’ve already sent \$1,800. I don’t know if I’m being scammed, or if they’re just struggling. Can you find out the truth?”

Step 1: Intake Questions (Fast Client Form)

- How long have you known them?
 - Have you spoken on the phone or video?
 - Have they ever refused to meet? What’s the reason?
 - What details do you know about them? (Name, alias, email, phone, location, etc.)
 - What have they asked for (money, favors, accounts)?
 - Do you have any screenshots, voicemails, payment history?
-

Step 2: Core Collection Moves

| Objective | Tactic | Tool |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Confirm identity exists | Public records, obits, Pipl, BeenVerified, Whitepages | People-search & records tools |
| Check for scam behavior | Image reverse search (Tineye, Yandex), username reuse, romantic scam keywords | Open source tools |
| Spot digital inconsistency | Metadata from photos or emails, time zone slippage, language mismatch | Exif viewer, WHOIS |
| Look for networks/fake personas | Social circle inconsistency, cloned accounts, reused bios | Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter deep dives |

| Objective | Tactic | Tool |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| See if they're already reported | Scamwatch, Reddit, forums, BBB, legal filings | OSINT + reputation sites |

Step 3: Final Product – 1-Page “Risk Summary”

Online Relationship Briefing – “Reality Check”

Client ID: 2024-138
Subject: “Jay L.” from Houston, TX
Summary Verdict: ⚠️ Likely Fraudulent Relationship

Key Findings:

- Subject uses a name tied to 4 scam reports in 3 states
- No verifiable employment, residence, or family connections
- Phone number linked to VOIP burner; not local to Houston
- Money request pattern matches standard romantic scam model
- Repeated postponement of meeting, no video proof

Recommendations:

- Cease financial contact
- Consider filing with IC3.gov (FBI)
- Monitor for recontact using alternate aliases (provided in appendix)

Risk Indicators Table

| Behavior | Confidence | Notes |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Refuses video chat | <div></div> High | 6 excuses in text logs |
| Uses VOIP number | <div></div> Medium | Number used in 3 scam reports |

| Behavior | Confidence | Notes |
|------------------------------|------------|---|
| Sends same selfie repeatedly | ● High | Tineye shows image posted in 2022 elsewhere |

Mini-SAT: Key Assumptions Check

- ✓ “We assumed the person texting was the person in the profile.”
 - This broke: phone is a VOIP burner & tied to other aliases.
 - ✓ “We assumed their story about location and job was real.”
 - Inconsistent — no property, license, or employment found.
 - ✓ “We assumed they were motivated by connection.”
 - All money requests followed emotional hooks & escalating crises.
-

Suggested Package:

- Add-on: 20-minute call consult +\$150
- Optional “Watch List” follow-up: +\$100 in 3 months
- Full Date Archive as a zip file or on a secure drive (for +\$50–100)