

1 semi-orthogonal decomposition

Def

(i) We define right(left) orthogonal sub-category as following

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp := \{F \in \mathcal{D} \mid \forall E \in \mathcal{C}, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(E, F) = 0\}$$

$${}^\perp\mathcal{C} := \{E \in \mathcal{D} \mid \forall F \in \mathcal{C}, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(E, F) = 0\}$$

(ii) \mathcal{C} is strictly full subcategory of \mathcal{D} iff $\forall E \in \mathcal{C}$ and $F \in \mathcal{D}$ s.t. $E \simeq F \implies F \in \mathcal{C}$

(iii) Thick closure of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{D} is the minimum strictly full subcategory of \mathcal{D} which contains \mathcal{C} and is closed under taking summands.

(iv)

Semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$i < j \implies \mathcal{C}_i \subset \mathcal{C}_j^\perp, C_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_m & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F \\ & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & & & & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & \\ & & C_m & & & & C_2 & & C_1 & & \end{array}$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_m \rangle$$

Thm 1.1.

\mathcal{C}_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) are unique up to isomorphism and

$$p_i: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i \quad F \mapsto C_i$$

$$p_{i,m}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{C}_i, \dots, \mathcal{C}_m \rangle \quad F \mapsto F_i$$

is functorial.

Proof. Since \mathcal{C}_m is a strict full subcategory, $F_m \in \mathcal{C}_m$. $\forall E \in \mathcal{C}_j$ ($1 \leq j < m$), $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_m, E) = 0$

$$F_m \longrightarrow F_{m-1} \longrightarrow C_{m-1} \longrightarrow F_m[1] \quad (\text{exact.tri.})$$

Take $E \in \mathcal{C}_j$ ($j < m-1$) and acting cohomological functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, E)$ this exact triangle,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(C_{m-1}, E) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_{m-1}, E) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(C_m, E) \quad (\text{exact})$$

By definition, since both the left and right sides are 0, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_{m-1}, E) = 0$

Repeating this procedure, we obtain $\forall E \in \mathcal{C}_i$ ($1 \leq i < j$) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_j, E) = 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_m & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F \\ & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & & & & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & \\ & & C_m & & & & C_2 & & C_1 & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \downarrow f \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F'_m & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F'_3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F'_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F' \\ & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & & & & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & \swarrow [1] & \searrow & \\ & & C'_m & & & & C'_2 & & C'_1 & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & F_2 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \longrightarrow & F_2[1] & & (\text{exact.tri.}) \\ & & \swarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 0 & & \\ & & C'_1[-1] & \longrightarrow & F'_2 & \longrightarrow & F' & \longrightarrow & C'_1 & \longrightarrow & F'_2[1] & & (\text{exact.tri.}) \end{array}$$

From previous lemma, there uniquely exists morphisms which commute the diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F_2 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \longrightarrow & F_2[1] \quad (\text{exact.tri.}) \\
 \exists! p_{2,m}(f) \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow f & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \exists! p_1(f) & & \\
 {}^"C'_1[-1] & \longrightarrow & F'_2 & \longrightarrow & F' & \longrightarrow & C'_1 \longrightarrow F'_2[1] \quad (\text{exact.tri.})
 \end{array}$$

This indicates $p_1(g) \circ p_1(f) = p_1(g \circ f)$ and $p_{2,m}(g) \circ p_{2,m}(f) = p_{2,m}(g \circ f)$. ■

Thm 1.2. —

If there exists SOD of $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, C_m \rangle$

$$K(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C}_1) \oplus \dots \oplus K(\mathcal{C}_m) \quad [F] \mapsto (p_1(F), \dots, p_m(F))$$

Proof. (well-defined)

Since

$$E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow E[1] \quad (\text{exact}) \implies p_1(E) \longrightarrow p_1(F) \longrightarrow p_1(G) \longrightarrow p_1(E[1]) \quad (\text{exact})$$

$$[F] - [E] - [G] = 0 \implies [p_i(F)] - [p_i(E)] - [p_i(G)] = 0$$

(injection)

$$\begin{aligned}
 [F] &\sim [F_2] + [C_1] \\
 &\sim [F_3] + [C_2] + [C_1] \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\sim [C_m] + \dots + [C_1]
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(p_1(F), \dots, p_m(F)) = 0$ means $[F] = 0$

(surjection) ■

Thm 1.3. —

(i) Define $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$ as $C_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$

(ii)