

1. THE $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ NEAR-GROUP

Let \mathcal{C} denote the (unitary) near-group fusion category of type (G, n) , where $G := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $n = |G|$. More precisely, we will denote $G = \{\mathbb{1}, (g, 1), (1, g), (g, g)\}$ and $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) = G \sqcup \{X\}$. Note that $\text{FPdim}(x) = 1$ for $x \in G$ and $\text{FPdim}(X) = 2 + 2\sqrt{2}$. The aim of this document is to explain the current state of our attempt to classify the simple algebra objects in \mathcal{C} .

It is easy to show (via the code or just checking manually) that the candidates for simple algebra objects in \mathcal{C} are as follows:

- (1). $A_1^1 := G \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} G \oplus X^{\oplus 4}$,
- (2). $A_1^2 := \langle(1, g)\rangle \oplus X \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} G \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (3). $A_1^3 := \langle(g, 1)\rangle \oplus X \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} G \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (4). $A_1^4 := \langle(g, g)\rangle \oplus X \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} G \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (5). $A_1^5 := \langle(1, g)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(1, g)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (6). $A_1^6 := \langle(1, g)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(g, g)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (7). $A_1^7 := \langle(1, g)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(g, 1)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (8). $A_1^8 := \langle(g, g)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(1, g)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (9). $A_1^9 := \langle(g, g)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(g, g)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (10). $A_1^{10} := \langle(g, g)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(g, 1)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (11). $A_1^{11} := \langle(g, 1)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(1, g)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (12). $A_1^{12} := \langle(g, 1)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(g, g)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (13). $A_1^{13} := \langle(g, 1)\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \langle(g, 1)\rangle \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$,
- (14). $A_1^{14} := \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} G \oplus X^{\oplus 4}$,
- (15). $A_1^{15} := G \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus X$.

Here, $\langle(g, 1)\rangle := \mathbb{1} \oplus (g, 1)$ for example, and we write $\xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}}$ to denote Morita equivalence of algebra objects. Note that all of these, except for A_1^2 , A_1^3 and A_1^4 , are Morita equivalent subgroups of G . The main question we are interested in is whether any of these three candidates admit a simple algebra structure, and if so, how many?

I'll divide the rest of this document into three parts.

- (I). Which candidates lifting from Vec_G exist?
- (II). What are the dual fusion categories at play?
- (III). Under what circumstances does $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X$ admit a simple algebra structure?

2. ALGEBRAS LIFTING FROM Vec_G

We first try to answer the question of which candidates actually exist when the algebra object is a subgroup of G . Thanks to the classification of simple algebra objects in Vec_G , we know that $\langle(g, 1)\rangle$, $\langle(1, g)\rangle$ and $\langle(g, g)\rangle$ admit a single algebra structure, while G admits two. By direct computation, one can show that $\mathbb{1} \oplus X$ admits only a single algebra structure, so both A_1^1 and A_1^{15} must exist. While A_1^{15} is self-dual (by which we mean their dual fusion categories are not equivalent to \mathcal{C}), A_1^1 has many candidates for its dual fusion category.

Determining which of the algebra structures on the copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ exist is a bit trickier. Using the code's `findDualRings()` function, we find that candidates A_1^5 , A_1^9 and A_1^{13} cannot be self-dual. The other candidates lifting from copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ must be self-dual, however. Checking bimodule compatibility using the code reveals that we have two potential cases.

- (1). All three of A_1^5 , A_1^9 , A_1^{13} exist.
- (2). Exactly two of A_1^5 , A_1^9 , A_1^{13} exist, and the remaining $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup is self-dual.

In both cases, the Morita equivalence class of \mathcal{C} must contain at least one other fusion category.

Is there more we can say here? The Brauer-Picard group could probably help us figure out which case we're in.

3. THE DUAL FUSION CATEGORIES

The code's `findDualRings()` function finds exactly two potential dual fusion categories to A_1^5 , A_1^9 and A_1^{13} , which are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Irr}(\mathcal{D}_1) &= G \sqcup G\{X\}, \\ (g, 1) \otimes X &\cong X \otimes (g, 1), \quad (1, g) \otimes X \cong X \otimes (g, g), \quad (g, g) \otimes X \cong X \otimes (1, g), \\ X \otimes X &\cong \mathbb{1} \oplus X \oplus (g, 1)X; \\ \text{Irr}(\mathcal{D}'_1) &= G \sqcup G\{X\}, \\ (g, 1) \otimes X &\cong X \otimes (g, 1), \quad (1, g) \otimes X \cong X \otimes (g, g), \quad (g, g) \otimes X \cong X \otimes (1, g), \\ X \otimes X &\cong \mathbb{1} \oplus (1, g)X \oplus (g, g)X. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\text{FPdim}(X) = 1 + \sqrt{2}$. Note that the only difference in these rules is $X \otimes X$. We suspect that both of these are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded extensions of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ Haagerup-Izumi fusion category, with \mathcal{D}_1 being quasi-trivial.

Both \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}'_1 have 6 simple algebra objects lifting from Vec_G . This completely classifies the simple algebra objects in \mathcal{D}'_1 . For \mathcal{D}_1 , however, there is an additional unique algebra structure on $\mathbb{1} \oplus X$ lifting from the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ Haagerup-Izumi subcategory $\langle \mathbb{1}, (g, 1), X \rangle$. The algebra object $\mathbb{1} \oplus X$ in \mathcal{D}'_1 has a unique candidate for its dual fusion category, which coincides with the unique dual fusion category candidate for $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X$ in \mathcal{C} . This category is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Irr}(\mathcal{D}_2) &= \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{X\} \sqcup (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\{Y\} \sqcup \{Z\}, \\ g \otimes X &\cong X \otimes g, \quad X \otimes X \cong \mathbb{1} \oplus g, \\ g \otimes Y &\cong gY \cong Y \otimes g, \quad Y \otimes Y \cong \mathbb{1} \oplus Y \oplus gY, \\ g \otimes Z &\cong Z \cong Z \otimes g, \quad Z \otimes Z \cong \mathbb{1} \oplus g \oplus Y^{\oplus 2} \oplus gY^{\oplus 2}, \\ X \otimes Y &\cong X \otimes gY \cong Y \otimes X \cong gY \otimes X \cong Z, \\ X \otimes Z &\cong Z \otimes X \cong Y \oplus gY, \\ Y \otimes Z &\cong Z \otimes Y \cong X \oplus Z^{\oplus 2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have denoted $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{\mathbb{1}, g\}$ here. Because this is a bit messy, we clarify that $\langle \mathbb{1}, g, X \rangle$ is a Tambara-Yamagami fusion category, whereas $\langle \mathbb{1}, g, Y, gY \rangle$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ Haagerup-Izumi fusion category. We also remark that $\text{FPdim}(X) = \sqrt{2}$, $\text{FPdim}(Y) = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\text{FPdim}(Z) = 2 + \sqrt{2}$.

The simple algebra object candidates in \mathcal{D}_2 are a little tricky. It's perhaps worth mentioning what the simple algebra object candidates in these dual fusion categories are. The case of \mathcal{D}'_1 is easy: as previously mentioned, every candidate lifts from Vec_G . In particular, since the number of simple algebra objects is an invariant of Morita equivalence, this tells us that $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X$ cannot have a simple algebra structure in \mathcal{C} if $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathcal{D}'_1$. Conversely, if $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathcal{D}_1$, then we have 7 simple algebra objects **since $\mathbb{1} \oplus X$ lifts uniquely in \mathcal{D}_1 (check)**, so there must be exactly one simple algebra structure on one of the copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X$ in \mathcal{C} .

In \mathcal{D}_2 , the simple algebra object candidates are less obvious:

- (1). $A_2^1 := \mathbb{1} \oplus Y \oplus Z \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus g \oplus Y \oplus gY \oplus Z^{\oplus 2}$,
- (2). $A_2^2 := \mathbb{1} \oplus gY \oplus Z \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus g \oplus Y \oplus gY \oplus Z^{\oplus 2}$,
- (3). $A_2^3 := \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus g \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus Y \oplus gY \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus g \oplus Y^{\oplus 2} \oplus gY^{\oplus 2}$,
- (4). $A_2^4 := \mathbb{1} \oplus gY \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus g \oplus Y \oplus gY$,
- (5). $A_2^5 := \mathbb{1} \oplus gY \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus Y \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus g \oplus Y \oplus gY$,
- (6). $A_2^6 := \mathbb{1} \oplus Y \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus g \oplus Y \oplus gY$.

Note that all of these **lift uniquely from the Haagerup-Izumi subcategory (check)** except for A_2^1 and A_2^2 . In particular, since $\mathbb{1} \oplus Y$ and $\mathbb{1} \oplus gY$ have unique algebra structures in the Haagerup-Izumi subcategory, A_2^5 **can't exist**, implying that either A_2^1 or A_2^2 has two algebra structures. Checking the bimodules returned by the code, only A_2^1 and A_2^2 can provide Morita equivalences to \mathcal{C} (or they can be self-dual), while A_2^4 and A_2^6 have two dual candidates of rank 8, one of which being \mathcal{D}_1 . The object A_2^3 must be self-dual.

4. WHEN IS $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X$ AN ALGEBRA OBJECT?

Recall that \mathcal{C} is Morita equivalent either to \mathcal{D}_1 or \mathcal{D}'_1 , where the former has 7 simple algebra objects and the latter has 6. Since the number of simple algebra objects is an invariant of Morita equivalence, we can state the following.

- (1). There is a (unique) simple algebra structure on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X$ in \mathcal{C} if and only if $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathcal{D}_1$.

Recall that $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} G \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$. If we assume that $G \oplus X^{\oplus 2}$ contains G as a subalgebra object, then the factoring argument tells us that the dual fusion category of G contains a simple algebra object with Frobenius-Perron dimension $\text{FPdim}(G \oplus X^{\oplus 2})/\text{FPdim}(G) = 2 + \sqrt{2}$. Note that \mathcal{C} has no object with this dimension, and $G \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} \mathbb{1} \oplus X$ is self-dual. Thus, we obtain another condition on the existence of an algebra structure on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X$.

- (2). If there is a simple algebra structure on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus X$ and it contains G as a subalgebra object, then this subalgebra object is $A_1^1 \xrightarrow{\text{m.e.}} G \oplus X^{\oplus 4}$, and is not self-dual.

The code finds several candidates for the dual fusion category of A_1^1 , including both \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D}_1 . Some of these fusion categories (like \mathcal{D}_1 , as well as some others) admit an algebra object candidate of Frobenius-Perron dimension $2 + \sqrt{2}$.