I declare that the assignment submitted on Elearning system is original except for source material explicitly acknowledged, and that the same or related material has not been previously submitted for another course. I also acknowledge that I am aware of University policy and regulations on honesty in academic work, and of the disciplinary guidelines and procedures applicable to breaches of such policy and regulations, as contained in the website http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty/.

Signed (Student		_) Date:	14/02/2018
Name	LIU Yicun	_ SID	1155092202

^{*} Due to the fact that I haven't been assigned a IE Cluster account, I simply borrowed the account from one of my classmates (jj015). I assure that I did not discuss any part of my solution with her and no code has been shared.

A: Find the Top K Similar Users

Consider the similarity is counted by the common followees of users and the input data is the users and their followers list, we fist process input data to create tuples in the mappers like:

(k1, k2, k3)

which means k1 and k2 both follows k3.

```
# input comes from STDIN (standard input)
for line in sys.stdin:
    # remove leading and trailing whitespace
    line = line.strip()
    # split the line into words
    fids = line.split()
    pid, fids[0] = fids[0].split(':')
    # increase counters
    for m_index in range(0,len(fids)):
        #for s_index in range(m_index + 1,len(fids)):
        for s_index in range(0,len(fids)):
        if(m_index != s_index):
            print '%s\t%s,%s' % (fids[m_index],fids[s_index], pid)
```

Then we user k1 as the key value to assign tuples to different reducers.

In each reducer, we use k2 as secondary key to conduct secondary sort. In each reducer step, the intermediate value is stored like:

```
sim_arr = {}
id_list = {}
checksum_arr = {}
```

for which the space complexity is O(3N).

Because the output of Q1 is part of the standard output required by Q2, so we simply demonstrate the output at Q2.

Q2: Similar Users, Checksum and Common Followee IDs.

For the reducer constructed in Q1, we tested it on IE Cluster on the small dataset and they apply it on the medium dataset, with 40 mappers and 10 reducers configuration:

				Job Overvie
	Job Name:	streamjob3415959133480690413.jai	•	
	User Name:	jj015		
	Queue:	default		
	State:	SUCCEEDED		
	Uberized:			
	Submitted:	Wed Feb 14 14:58:56 HKT 2018		
Started: Wed Feb 14 14:59:02 HKT 2018				
Finished: Wed Feb 14 15:01:53 HKT 2018				
		2mins, 50sec		
	Diagnostics:			
	Average Map Time			
	Average Shuffle Time			
	Average Merge Time			
	Average Reduce Time	1mins, 2sec		
ApplicationMaster				
Attempt Number		Start Time	Node	Logs
	Wed Feb 14 14:58:59 HK	Γ 2018	dic7.ie.cuhk.edu.hk:8042	logs
Task Type		Total	Complete	
Map		40	40	
Reduce		10	10	

The total time takes about 2 min and 50 sec. And the search result for my SID is:

```
1 | 1192202:299983, {511382,536234,337641,1512706,477155},3375118
2 | 1192202:477155, {299983,337641,1591997},2229621
3 | 1192202:477157, {907962,1591997},2499959
4 | 992202:88384, {1250485,931967,193909,521780,2214256,522010,1166296,2685404,1484054},10970161
5 | 992202:1291150, {1250485,2214258,2637943,521780,2214256,522010,2685400,1484054,1166296},14696482
6 | 992202:1200402, {2637943,193909,521780,2685400,522010,2685404},9246446
7 | 1592202:377622, {3228136},3228136
8 | 892202:1060765, {2400946},2400946
9 | 892202:2835804, {2400946},2400946
10 | 892202:2388699, {2400946},2400946
```

Q3: Performance Analysis of Different Number of Mappers and Reducers

For this step, I test on the medium dataset for previous mapper and reducer, with:

Number of mapper: 10,20,40 (m=10,20,40)

Number of reducer: 1,5,10 (r=1,5,10)

Here is the performance table:

	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Total
	mapper	mapper	mapper	reducer	reducer	reducer	job
	time	time	time	time	time	time	time
m=10, r=1	1min 29s	9s	29s	10min 34s	10min 34s	10min 34s	11min 44s
m=20, r=1	1min 14s	4s	16s	9min 17s	9min 17s	9min 17s	10min 48s
m=40, r=1	44s	4s	9s	9min 8s	9min 8s	9min 8s	9min 58s
m=10, r=5	1min 31s	7s	29s	2min 14s	1min 49s	2min 4s	4min 12s
m=20, r=5	1min 9s	4s	15s	2min 11s	1min 49s	1min 56s	3min 43s
m=40, r=5	37s	4s	11 s	2min 4s	1min 21s	1min 33s	3min 12s
m=10, r=10	1min 39s	7 s	34s	1min 27s	1min	1min 7s	3min 10s
m=20, r=10	1min 2s	5s	15s	1min 23s	53s	1min 8s	3min 2s
m=40, r=10	1min 15s	7 s	11 s	1min 20s	53s	1min 2s	2min 50s

As indicated by the complexity analysis in my implementation of mapper and reducer, most computational cost is concentrated on reducer side, with is aligned with the actual results. From the table, we can see that there is some small performance gain when increasing the number of mappers/reducers in the task. The gain is not significant when the number of mappers and reducers is not balanced (e.g. increase mapper number when there are already 40 mappers and only 1 reducer). That is due to the bottleneck exists in the computation involved in the mappers. For mapreduce task, most part of the reducer task cannot start before their corresponding mapper task is finished. That cause the bottleneck in the mappers. For most tasks, we expect larger number in mappers than reducers to ensure the reducers start to compute tasks

Q4: Large Dataset

as soon as possible.

For this part, we use the configuration of 40 mappers and 10 reducers, considering we should not take too much resources from the IE clusters.

						Job Overview
		Job Name:	streamjob8463216408268228116	.jar		
		User Name:	jj015			
		Queue:	default			
		State:	SUCCEEDED			
		Uberized:	false			
		Submitted:	Wed Feb 14 11:38:41 HKT 2018			
		Started:	Wed Feb 14 11:38:47 HKT 2018			
		Finished:	Wed Feb 14 13:22:20 HKT 2018			
		Elapsed:	1hrs, 43mins, 33sec			
		Diagnostics:				
		Average Map Time	8mins, 11sec			
		Average Shuffle Time	49mins, 14sec			
		Average Merge Time	6sec			
		Average Reduce Time	45mins, 51sec			
	ApplicationMaster					
	Attempt Number	St	art Time	N	Node	Logs
1	Attempt Number	Wed Feb 14 11:38:44 HKT 2018		dicvm1.ie.cuhk.edu.hk:80		
		WEG 1 ED 14 11.30.44 FIXT	2010	uicviiii.ie.culik.euu.iik.ou	<u> </u>	<u>logs</u>
	Task Type		Total		Complete	
	Мар		40	40		
	Reduce		10	10		

The total job takes 1 hour 43 hours with most of the time spent on the reducer. Thanks to the O(3N) complexity in reducer, we can successfully run the large dataset without very large memory and CPU consumption.