Record For Implementing Sensor Fusion

Mingze Chen

June 24, 2021

1 Environment Setup

After connecting to the robot through *ssh*, then execute the following command:

l husarion@husarion: \$ roscore

start 2nd. command line window and execute following command:

start 3rd. command line window and launch the *robot_localization* through executing:

```
1 ~/pathTo/catkin_ws$ source ./devel/setup.bash
```

2 ~/pathTo/catkin_ws\$ roslaunch playground start_filter.launch

Now our purpose is implementing KF algorithm into existing move.py script.

To launch the *UWB tag* through executing:

```
1 ~/pathTo/catkin_ws$ source ./devel/setup.bash
```

2 First Order Kalman Filter

First order Kalman Filter tracks a first order system, e.g. our state vector is: $x = \{x, \dot{x}\}^T$, which corresponds to X-coordinate and X-velocity.

^{2 ~/}pathTo/catkin_ws\$ roslaunch localizer_dwm1001 dwm1001.launch

In Kalman filter theory, the most important part is **Status update equation**:

The Kalman expression or status update equation is:

 $Current \ state \ estimated \ value = Predicted \ value \ of \ current \ state + Kalman \ Gain * (measured \ value - predicted \ value \ of \ the \ state)$

which is:

$$\hat{X}(t) = X_p(t) + K \times [X_m(t) - X_p(t)] \tag{1}$$

where
$$K = \frac{\sigma_p^2}{\sigma_p^2 + \sigma_m^2}$$

One sample implementation ¹ can be like:

```
from collections import namedtuple
   gaussian = namedtuple('Gaussian', ['mean', 'var'])
   gaussian.__repr__ = lambda s: '(={:.3f}, 2={:.3f})'.format(
      s[0], s[1])
4
5
   def update(prior, measurement):
6
                           # mean and variance of prior
7
       z, R = measurement # mean and variance of measurement
8
       y = z - x
                        # residual
       K = P / (P + R) # Kalman gain
10
11
       x = x + K*y # posterior
12
       P = (1 - K) * P # posterior variance
13
14
       return gaussian(x, P)
15
16
   def predict(posterior, movement):
       x, P = posterior # mean and variance of posterior
17
       dx, Q = movement # mean and variance of movement
18
19
       x = x + dx
20
       P = P + Q
       return gaussian(x, P)
```

Inside the *playground* package there's a **Python** script called *kalman_filter.py*.

Its code snippet is here:

 $^{^1 \}rm https://github.com/rlabbe/Kalman-and-Bayesian-Filters-in-Python/blob/master/04-One-Dimensional-Kalman-Filters.ipynb$

```
def predict_step(mean1, var1, mean2, var2):
       global new_mean, new_var
3
       new_mean = mean1 + mean2
4
       new_var = var1 + var2
5
       return new_mean, new_var
6
7
   # correct step function
8
   def correct_step(mean1, var1, mean2, var2):
9
10
       This function takes in two means and two squared
          variance terms, and return updated gaussian
          parameters.
11
12
       # calculate the new gaussian parameters
13
       new_mean = (var1 * mean2 + var2 * mean1) / (var1 + var2)
       # also equals to var1 * var2 /(var1 +
14
       new_var = 1 / (1 / var1 + 1 / var2)
15
16
       return new_mean, new_var
```

Although no variable called kalman_gain was calculated explicitly, through mathematical derivation we can know, they're the same.

Now execute:

Following experiments were conducted:

- 1. let the robot move forward 1m, as shown in Figure 6 and 7
- 2. partial round movement with hard-coded velocity as shown in Figure 9 and perfect round movement with actual velocity from rostopic *velocity* as shown in Figure 10 and 11
- 3. Move the robot forward for about 4m with remote control alone (See 1) and the result is shown in Figure 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5

Listing 1: Kalman Filter with control input only code

```
1  | from matplotlib.pyplot import figure
2  |
3  | cdf = pd.read_csv('straightControl.csv')
4  | cuwb_x = cdf.uwb_x
5  | uwb_y = cdf.uwb_y
6  | vel_linear_x = cdf['vel_linear_x'].fillna(0.0)
7  | la_x = cdf.la_x
```

```
8
9
   dt = 1.
10
   R = sensor_var
  kf = KalmanFilter(dim_x=2, dim_z=1, dim_u = 1)
11
12 kf.P *= 10
13 kf.R *= R
14
   # Here process_var is definitely not a proper parameter,
15
       because the remote control is not perfect,
   \# it can't be constant velocity of 0.5 m/s although
16
       assigned so
17
18
   kf.Q = Q_discrete_white_noise(2, dt, 0.3)
   kf.F = np.array([[1., 0], [0., 0.]])
19
   kf.B = np.array([[dt], [ 1.]])
   kf.H = np.array([[1., 0]])
21
   print(kf.P)
23
24
   zs = cuwb_x
25 | xs = []
   cmd_velocity = np.array([0.5])
27
   for z in zs:
       kf.predict(u=cmd_velocity)
28
29
       kf.update(z)
       xs.append(kf.x[0])
30
31
32
   figure(figsize=(16, 12), dpi=80)
   plt.plot(xs, label='Kalman Filter')
33
34 bp.plot_measurements(zs)
35 | plt.xlabel('Number of measurements')
36 | plt.legend(loc=4)
37 | plt.ylabel('X-coordinate (m)')
38 plt.rcParams.update({'font.size': 22})
39 | # plt.savefig("kalman_with_control.pdf", dpi=300)
```

R and Q are derived from extensive experiments:

```
1 process_var = 0.028 ** 2
2 sensor_var = 0.077 ** 2
```

Afterwards, position data based on calculation and KF are collected² in files called kf3105.csv, kf0306.csv and **sensorData.csv**³.

 $^{^2}$ Before collecting data, always make sure that all four anchors are working properly, otherwise it's very likely that the columns uwb_x and uwb_y are both 0.0 in the entire process. 3 sensorData.csv includes 'time', 'la_x', 'la_y', 'uwb_x', 'uwb_y', 'vel_linear_x', 'vel_angular_z', 'mpu_ang_vel_z', 'mpu_linear_acc_x', 'mpu_linear_acc_y', 'odom_linear_x', 'odom_angular_z', 'odom_filtered_linear_x', 'odom_filtered_angular_z', 'odom_yaw', 'odom_filtered_yaw'




Figure 1: Single movement plot with remote control alone




Figure 2: Single movement plot with remote control alone - 18 iteration 5k particles




Figure 3: Single movement plot with remote control alone - 8 iteration 5k particles




Figure 4: Single movement plot with remote control alone - 8 iteration 10k particles

3 Observation

- A bigger sensor measurement variance can make the localisation trajectory from Figure 7 smoother, which is closer to the real movement process, as shown in Figure 8.
- According to the comparison from Figure 3 and 4 we can see, when we increase the numbers from 5k to 10k, after the first iteration, there's definitely more particles around the ground truth position of the robot, which makes the result more reliable, at the same time, the cost is the increment of the time for computation.

Implemented KF tracks the position of tag so closely after convergence that the measurement error from UWB tag affect the KF result which is not expected.

Our calculation process is:

1. Initial position was calculated based on UWB




Figure 5: Polygon movement plot with remote control alone inside the lab




Figure 6: Plot from script kfMergePlot.py




Figure 7: Single movement plot with sensor_var = 0.077 ** 2




Figure 8: Single movement plot with sensor_var = 0.3 ** 2




Figure 9: Plot when sensor_var = 0.3 ** 2 for partial round movement with hard-coded velocity




Figure 10: Plot when sensor_var = 0.077 ** 2 for perfect round movement with velocity acquired from rostopic /velocity




Figure 11: Plot when sensor_var = 0.077 ** 2 for perfect round movement with velocity acquired from rostopic /velocity and with track as comparison

2. Afterwards, every small step was calculated based on an *internal EKF* with only IMU and Odometry as input and an external KF with fused output from the EKF and UWB tag

The computation of KF affects the efficiency of robot movement logic more or less, so the better solution is:

- 1. moving the robot
- 2. gathering all necessary data in a csv file
- 3. conducting sensor fusion algorithm

4 Batch Processing

Its plot is in Figure 12, we can see noise centered around 0, which proves that the filter is well designed.

5 Smoothing the Results

In order to further eliminate the noise, $rts_smoother()$ from KalmanFilter was used to smooth the result, its plot is shown in Figure 13:

6 Two Dimensional Kalman Filter

Our new state vector is $x = \{x, \dot{x}, y, \dot{y}\}^T$ which corresponds to x-coordinate, x-velocity, y-coordinate and y-velocity.




Figure 12: Residual

To verify the performance of KF in two dimension, Python scripts $move_oval.py$ and $pentagon_move.py$ are used to generate data separately into oval.csv and pentagon.csv and their corresponding plot are in Figure 14 and 15.

7 Results and Conclusions

Order one KF will work well while the car is moving in a straight line at a constant speed, but cars turn, speed up, and slow down, in which case a second order filter will perform better. A lower order KF can also track a higher order process with higher process noise.

8 Idea for next step

- 1. Using remote control to move the robot and run two dimensional kalman filter
- 2. Further separate the logic of filter and movement entirely, hide the implementation of movement from filter, no matter movement is through script




Figure 13: Smoothing result

or remote control.

- 3. Gather raw and filtered velocity, angular velocity and odometry data etc..
- 4. Extend one dimensional KF to multi-dimensional/variable KF, UKF and EKF $\,$
- 5. Conduct a long distance movement in corridor and check the performance of sensor fusion algorithm
- 6. Consider designing a random walk model for the final demonstration




Figure 14: Oval movement




Figure 15: Pentagon movement