

the  
**Singapore**

---

**WAY**

**TEACHER  
GUIDE**

**Urban Mobility and  
Sustainable Transport**

# Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction to the Case.....</b>	<b>3</b>
Why transport policy is central to Singapore’s development	
Urban mobility as a lever for equity, economy, and environment	
<b>2. Pre-Class Preparation.....</b>	<b>5</b>
Core readings, media, and system maps	
Concepts: ERP, COE, LTA, modal integration	
Reflective prompts: car culture vs. public interest	
<b>3. Session Plan.....</b>	<b>7</b>
Lesson formats for 60, 90, and 120 minutes	
Mobility heat maps, stakeholder simulations, and route planning labs	
<b>4. Case Facilitation Tools.....</b>	<b>9</b>
Singapore’s mobility ecosystem infographic	
Policy trade-off matrix (equity vs. emissions vs. growth)	
Transport timeline: From kampong paths to AI-powered MRTs	
<b>5. Group Activities &amp; Teaching Tactics.....</b>	<b>11</b>
Design your “Future Transport Zone”	
Simulation: Urban Mobility Cabinet Meeting	
Access equity mapping for vulnerable users	
<b>6. Assignments and Post-Class Engagement.....</b>	<b>13</b>
Essay: “The Price of Mobility”	
Transit redesign challenge for your city or campus	
Behavioural campaign brief: shifting commuters to public modes	
<b>7. Assessment and Feedback Tools.....</b>	<b>15</b>
Policy impact evaluation rubric	
Mobility design scoring sheet	
Reflective prompts on transport justice and trade-offs	
<b>8. Instructor Notes and Commentary.....</b>	<b>18</b>
Navigating debate on car bans, road pricing, and class mobility	
Bridging urban planning and behavioral economics	
Avoiding “greenwashing” and focusing on real accessibility	
<b>9. Additional Resources.....</b>	<b>20</b>
LTA policy documents, mode share data, and urban planning visualizations	
Videos on congestion pricing, green corridors, and MRT design	
Comparative cases: Tokyo, Bogotá, Zurich, Amsterdam	

# 1. Introduction to the Case

## Why Transport is a Social and Strategic Issue

Transport is not just about getting from A to B. It shapes who has access to opportunity, how cities grow, and how nations meet climate goals. Singapore's world-renowned transport system offers a **living case study of how infrastructure can be used as social policy**.

Unlike many cities that reacted to traffic, sprawl, and pollution, Singapore anticipated them. It designed mobility as a **strategic system**—one that aligns with economic goals, environmental targets, and social inclusion.

This case explores how Singapore:

- Manages traffic **without endless road expansion**
- Encourages public transport use through **car ownership controls** and **behavioral nudges**
- Leverages **data, pricing, and planning** to improve access and sustainability

## Why This Case Matters Today

Cities worldwide are grappling with:

- Congestion
- Carbon emissions
- Mobility inequality
- Over-dependence on cars
- Aging infrastructure

Singapore offers a model that is:

- **Proactive** rather than reactive
- **Integrated** across modes and policies
- **Technology-enabled** but socially grounded
- Focused on **livability over speed**

## Framing Questions to Anchor the Case

- What's the real cost of a car?
- Can you restrict car use without punishing the poor?
- How do you make buses and trains not just available—but desirable?
- What does it take to shift public behavior at a citywide scale?
- Who decides what “convenience” means—and for whom?

## Key Systems Students Will Explore

Policy / Program	Purpose
Electronic Road Pricing (ERP)	Dynamic congestion pricing to reduce traffic and manage peak demand
Certificate of Entitlement (COE)	Quota system that controls car population and reflects opportunity cost
Mass Rapid Transit (MRT)	Backbone of high-speed, high-capacity, low-emissions commuting
Land Transport Master Plan	10–15 year roadmap balancing growth, innovation, and sustainability
Mobility-as-a-Service (MaaS)	Emerging integration of ride-share, bike-share, MRT, and payments via apps

Singapore's mobility model isn't just efficient—it's intentional. It reveals what happens when a country treats movement as **a question of justice, strategy, and imagination.**

## 2. Pre-Class Preparation

To engage deeply with this case, students should understand the core concepts behind **Singapore's integrated transport system**, as well as reflect on their **own experiences with mobility, access, and public space**.

### Required Reading

- **Chapter 7 of *The Singapore Way*** by Maher Kaddoura  
Focus on:
  - The evolution of Singapore's transport vision
  - Policies like **ERP**, **COE**, and the **Land Transport Master Plan**
  - Equity considerations in public access and behavioral nudges
  - How the government has managed car demand while improving access to MRT, buses, and green corridors

### Suggested Multimedia Resources

Title	Type	Why It's Useful
<i>How Singapore Solved Traffic Without Building More Roads</i> - Vox or CNA	Video	Explains ERP and congestion pricing with real visuals
<i>Public Transport in Singapore: A User's Experience</i>	Documentary / Vlog	Brings the commuter journey to life from a design point of view
<i>MaaS and Urban Mobility Futures</i> - WEF or MIT Senseable Lab	Podcast	Offers broader perspectives on multimodal systems and integration

### Pre-Class Reflection Questions

Ask students to bring short written or mental responses to the following:

1. What's your primary mode of transport—and how does it shape your day?
2. Have you ever felt underserved or excluded by your city's transport system?
3. Would you support a congestion fee or car quota in your city? Why or why not?
4. How do transport decisions reflect class, equity, or privilege where you live?
5. What would it take to convince you—or your community—to go car-free?

## Optional Exercise: “My Mobility Map”

Have students sketch or describe their daily commute or travel routine. Then:

- Identify bottlenecks or stress points
- Mark gaps in accessibility, safety, or affordability
- Propose one system or policy change that would improve it

Encourage students to bring this to class to spark cross-context comparisons.

## Instructor Preparation Checklist

	Item
	Prepare transport system diagram (Singapore’s MRT, COE/ERP policies, land use zoning)
	Load 1-2 short videos or maps for case launch
	Optional: Print ERP pricing zones or policy fact sheets for debate
	Assign stakeholder roles or group clusters in advance if running a simulation

### 3. Session Plan

This case lends itself to **interactive, spatial, and system-oriented learning**. Students will engage as both urban citizens and policy designers, balancing **convenience, climate, and equity**.

#### Session Duration Options

Time	Session Structure
60 min	Core discussion + quick mobility trade-off activity
90 min	Full discussion + stakeholder simulation or route redesign lab
120 min	Deep case walkthrough + policy debate + team design proposals

#### Learning Objectives

By the end of the session, students should be able to:

1. Describe Singapore's integrated transport strategy and its key policy levers (e.g., COE, ERP)
2. Evaluate trade-offs between mobility, climate, equity, and growth
3. Apply behavioural economics and policy design to transportation reform
4. Propose or critique policies for inclusive and sustainable transport
5. Analyse how mobility shapes access to opportunity and urban quality of life

#### Suggested 90-Minute Session Flow

Time	Segment	Purpose
0-10 min	Icebreaker: "What's the Most Frustrating Part of Your Commute?"	Connect personal experience to systems thinking
10-25 min	Case Presentation: "From Rickshaws to Road Pricing"	Introduce Singapore's mobility journey and systems map
25-45 min	Guided Discussion: Equity, Access, and Behavior	Explore COE, ERP, MRT expansion, and modal balance
45-65 min	Simulation or Design Sprint	Teams act as urban planners or citizen reps to negotiate or propose transport reforms
65-85 min	Gallery Walk or Role Presentations	Teams pitch redesigns or defend trade-offs from their stakeholder lens
85-90 min	Wrap-Up + "Mobility Manifesto"	Students each name one value they'd center in future mobility planning

## Key Discussion Questions

- Can you regulate car use without restricting freedom?
- What makes public transport attractive—not just affordable?
- How do transport systems reflect privilege or exclusion?
- Should green mobility be nudged through subsidies—or enforced through penalties?
- Who benefits most—and least—from the current system in your city?

## Suggested Visual Aids

- Singapore MRT + LRT map
- COE auction prices chart (then vs. now)
- ERP pricing heat map by time of day
- Modal share trend lines (car vs. MRT vs. walking)
- Global comparison: Cost of owning a car in Singapore vs. London, New York, and Jakarta



## 4. Case Facilitation Tools

These tools are designed to help students **visualize Singapore's strategy, weigh trade-offs, and explore mobility as both a technical and social system.**

### A. Singapore's Mobility Ecosystem Map

Use a live or printed map to explain the **multi-modal system**, including:

Mode	Description
MRT/LRT	High-capacity rail backbone for city-state connectivity
Public Bus Network	First/last-mile complement to rail, frequent service
Walk/Cycle Paths	Integrated with parks, schools, and MRT stations
Private Hire & Taxis	Digitally integrated with transport apps and payment systems
Expressways	Heavily managed via ERP and limited land footprint

Show how each layer is **connected, priced, and optimized** around behavior.

### B. Policy Trade-Off Matrix

Create a 3-way matrix to explore how each major policy affects:

Policy Tool	Equity	Emissions	Convenience
ERP	Moderate	High	Medium-Low
COE	Low-Moderate	High	Low for car owners
MRT Expansion	High	High	High
Car-lite Zoning	High	High	Depends on user

This helps spark rich debate about **whose convenience matters**—and why.

## C. Timeline of Transformation

Prepare a timeline (visual or handout) of Singapore's transport evolution:

Year	Milestone
1975	Area Licensing Scheme (precursor to ERP)
1990	Launch of COE to control car population
1995-2005	MRT lines expanded citywide
2008	First Green Transport Master Plan
2020	Launch of Walk-Cycle-Ride mobility corridors
2023+	Shift to Electric Bus Fleet + Smart Road Pricing in trial

This shows that **long-term planning beats short-term patchwork**.

## D. Stakeholder Lenses (for Simulation or Role Play)

Give students identity cards or prompts for a Cabinet or Urban Planning Board simulation:

Role	Priorities
Ministry of Transport	Reducing congestion and emissions, budget constraints
Low-income Resident	Affordable access, long bus wait times, last-mile gaps
Private Car Owner	Cost of COE, ERP frustration, status value
Environmental NGO	Walkability, emissions, equity in policy enforcement
Business Chamber	Delivery logistics, road access, workforce mobility

These personas help illuminate real tensions across class, interest, and geography.

## 5. Group Activities & Teaching Tactics

These activities allow students to **step into the role of urban designers, policymakers, and commuters**—applying systems thinking and empathy to build inclusive, sustainable mobility solutions.

### Activity 1: Design Your “Future Transport Zone”

**Objective:** Create a micro-mobility zone that balances **access, sustainability, and convenience** for a defined urban area.

#### Instructions:

- Choose a real or fictional neighbourhood
- Design a 10-block transport system (walk/bike/public/shared)
- Decide on parking, car access, greenery, tech, and signage
- Integrate pricing, zoning, and behaviour nudges

#### Deliverables:

- Poster or digital map
- A 3-minute pitch explaining priorities and trade-offs

#### Debrief Questions:

- Who was prioritized in your design?
- What did you sacrifice—and why?
- What might be politically unpopular, but environmentally smart?

### Activity 2: Urban Mobility Cabinet Simulation

**Scenario:** A new congestion pricing system (like ERP) will be expanded to residential zones. Stakeholders must reach consensus on how, where, and when it’s deployed.

#### Roles:

- Transport Minister
- Community Representative
- Logistics Company CEO
- Environmental Group
- Taxi/Ride-Hail Alliance

**Goal:** Pass a 3-point implementation plan. Use a whiteboard or boardroom setup for realism.

**Bonus:** Midway twist—a political backlash or protest wave forces you to revise the plan.

### Activity 3: Transport Equity Heat Map

**Objective:** Identify who benefits from the current system—and who's left behind.

**Instructions:**

- Using your own city, sketch where MRT/BRT/high-speed access exists
- Mark underserved zones (elderly, disabled, low-income, rural)
- Add overlays: pollution exposure, commute times, last-mile barriers

**Output:** Teams propose **1 targeted fix** per zone and defend its budget priority.

### Quick Tactics for Active Engagement

Tactic	Purpose
"A Day in the Life" Walkthrough	Describe a commuter's full journey from doorstep to work—including pain points
"Mobility Mythbusters" Poll	Bust assumptions like "car ownership equals freedom" or "buses are for the poor"
"Fix My Commute" Wall	Let students anonymously post their biggest transport frustration and crowdsource design solutions

## 6. Assignments and Post-Class Engagement

These assignments give students the chance to apply the Singapore transport model to real-world challenges through **policy analysis, urban design, or reflective storytelling**.

### Assignment 1: Essay – “The Price of Mobility”

**Length:** 1,000–1,200 words

**Prompt:**

Singapore made mobility a matter of policy and pricing—controlling cars, encouraging public transit, and pricing congestion. Would such a strategy work in your city or country?

**Include:**

- An overview of your local transport context
- What tools (e.g., ERP, COE, subsidies) might work—and why
- Equity and political trade-offs
- One bold idea inspired by Singapore’s model

### Assignment 2: Transport Redesign Challenge

**Format:** Poster, slide deck, or visual model

**Task:**

Redesign the mobility system for a small city, campus, or district using Singapore-inspired strategies.

**Must Include:**

- Public transport priority zones
- Pricing or incentive mechanisms
- Accessibility features (for elderly, disabled, low-income groups)
- Sustainability targets (carbon, congestion, or commute time)

**Bonus:** Incorporate real maps or data from your chosen area.

## Assignment 3: Reflective Essay – “A Commute That Changed Me”

**Length:** 700–900 words

**Prompt:**

Describe a journey that revealed something about access, equity, or frustration in urban mobility—your own, or someone else’s.

**Then Reflect On:**

- How transport shapes dignity, opportunity, or frustration
- How Singapore’s policies might have altered that experience
- What one design, policy, or mindset you’d apply going forward

### Post-Class Engagement Ideas

Activity	Description
<b>Transit Walk Audit</b>	Students map and evaluate accessibility or safety on a local walking/transit route
<b>Public Voice Podcast</b>	Record 2-minute audio reflections on “If I Could Fix One Thing About Mobility...”
<b>LinkedIn Mini-Essay</b>	Publish a short post: “What I Learned from Singapore’s Mobility Model”

## 7. Assessment and Feedback Tools

These tools help you assess students on their **systems thinking, creativity, empathy, and evidence-based policy analysis**—while encouraging reflection on values and trade-offs.

### A. Essay Rubric – “The Price of Mobility”

Criteria	Excellent (5 pts)	Good (3–4 pts)	Needs Work (1–2 pts)
Policy Understanding	Deep insight into Singapore’s model and rationale	Surface-level or partial understanding	Misrepresents or omits key elements
Contextual Analysis	Strong application to local or chosen context	Some relevance, lacks depth	Generic or misaligned
Equity & Trade-Off Reflection	Considers both outcomes and fairness across groups	Mentions but doesn’t fully explore	Ignores or simplifies trade-offs
Creativity / Bold Thinking	Proposes realistic, innovative policy or reform	Safe or conventional idea	No real policy insight or originality
Structure and Clarity	Clear, focused, evidence-supported writing	Understandable but uneven	Disorganized or unclear

**Total: \_\_\_\_ / 25**

## B. Visual/Design Rubric – Transport Redesign Challenge

Criteria	Excellent (5 pts)	Good (3–4 pts)	Needs Work (1–2 pts)
Relevance and Realism	Addresses actual needs, geography, behaviour	Generally aligned with local context	Abstract or unrealistic
Equity and Access Design	Inclusive of vulnerable or marginalized users	Limited accessibility attention	One-size-fits-all or excludes user needs
Creativity / Innovation	Insightful use of policy, pricing, or layout	Some creativity, conventional layout	Copy-paste, minimal thought
Visual Communication	Clear, compelling, and readable	Understandable with minor issues	Crowded, confusing, or poorly labeled
Connection to Singapore	Explicitly linked to case strategies or tools	Partial case inspiration shown	No reference to case or lesson learned

Total: \_\_\_\_ / 25

## C. Reflective Essay Rubric – “A Commute That Changed Me”

Criteria	Excellent (5 pts)	Good (3–4 pts)	Needs Work (1–2 pts)
Storytelling & Insight	Personal, specific, and thought-provoking	Some personal detail, broadly reflective	Vague, general, or disconnected
System Awareness	Connects personal journey to broader policy	Mentions transport systems but shallow	Lacks connection to system or policy
Case Integration	Uses Singapore’s model to add perspective	Mentions case without deeper analysis	No case reference or understanding
Empathy & Values	Reflects on dignity, access, fairness	Touches on social impacts	Self-focused or narrowly logistical
Clarity and Flow	Well-written and coherent	Adequate structure	Disjointed or rushed

Total: \_\_\_\_ / 25



## **D. Quick Reflection Exit Card (After Class)**

Ask students to complete:

“One transport policy I used to oppose—but now understand differently is \_\_\_\_\_.”

“The biggest surprise I had about Singapore’s mobility model was \_\_\_\_\_.”

“If I could redesign one thing in my daily commute, it would be \_\_\_\_\_.”

Use these for real-time feedback or start of the next session.

## 8. Instructor Notes and Commentary

This section offers facilitation insights to help you navigate the **complex policy, cultural, and ethical dimensions** of transport design—especially when students are coming from diverse urban experiences.

### Teaching Philosophy: Transportation as a Social Contract

Singapore’s mobility system challenges the idea that freedom = car ownership. Instead, it promotes a vision of freedom as:

- **Access** to safe, fast, and reliable public options
- **Equity** in movement across income, geography, and ability
- **Responsibility** to reduce congestion and emissions

Encourage students to shift from “consumer” to **citizen-mover mindset**—one that sees mobility as a shared design challenge.

### Common Student Reactions and How to Guide Them

Student Reaction	Instructor Response
“It’s unfair to make cars so expensive.”	Explore Singapore’s rationale: limited land, climate goals, and public trade-offs.
“Only rich countries can afford this level of planning.”	Show how low-cost solutions (bus-only lanes, bike-share, ERP-like models) scale access.
“Why penalize drivers instead of improving transit?”	Ask: How do you balance incentives with disincentives—and what does behavior require?
“This would never work in [my city].”	Use as a design prompt: What would it take to adapt—not adopt—Singapore’s strategy?

### Core Teaching Themes to Emphasize

- **Policy integration:** Singapore’s model isn’t just transit—it connects housing, land use, pricing, and sustainability.
- **Behavioural design:** Pricing and route design aren’t just technical—they influence human decisions.
- **Mobility justice:** Who is most impacted by bad transport—and how do we design for inclusion?
- **Technology as tool, not fix:** Tech like ERP or trip planning apps supports—but doesn’t replace—good planning.

## Opening Quote to Spark Discussion

“In Singapore, we don’t solve traffic by building more roads. We solve it by reducing the need to drive.”

Use this to anchor your session around **smart restraint, systems design, and courage in policy.**

## Instructor Tips

- Encourage **lived experience sharing**—commutes reveal class, geography, and inequality
- Ask students to **map their mobility frustrations** as a starting point
- Keep equity at the centre—don’t let shiny tech distract from access questions
- Draw comparisons to other cities: Bogotá BRT, Tokyo trains, Amsterdam cycling, or Lagos minibuses reforms

## 9. Additional Resources

These resources will help both instructors and students explore **transport planning, sustainable mobility, and policy innovation** in more depth—locally, regionally, and globally.

### Key Readings & Reports

Title	Source	Focus
<i>Land Transport Master Plan 2040</i>	Land Transport Authority (LTA), Singapore	Strategic blueprint for future-ready, car-lite mobility
<i>Transport for Inclusive Societies</i>	World Bank	Examines transport access for low-income and vulnerable populations
<i>The Future of Urban Mobility 2.0</i>	Arthur D. Little / UITP	Compares transit innovation models across 84 cities
<i>Shifting Gears</i>	McKinsey Global Institute	Behavioral nudges and mobility ecosystem development
<i>Designing Streets for People</i>	ITDP / NACTO	Urban design playbooks for safe, equitable public space

### Videos & Documentaries

Title	Platform	Why It's Useful
<i>How Singapore Solved Traffic Without Building More Roads</i>	Vox / CNA	Excellent explainer of ERP, car quotas, and transit incentives
<i>Bogotá's Bus Revolution</i>	World Resources Institute / DW	Compares bus rapid transit design in Latin America
<i>The Cost of Cars</i>	The Guardian / Bloomberg Cities	Looks at equity and land use in car-dominated cities
<i>Mobility for All</i>	TEDx / UN Habitat	Speeches from activists and designers on urban access
<i>The Commute</i>	Short film	Narrative journey through a working-class commuter's challenges in an underserved area

## Data Tools & Planning Platforms

- <https://www.lta.gov.sg> – Singapore Land Transport Authority (policies, maps, reports)
- <https://www.citytransport.info> – Global city transit systems comparison tool
- <https://www.mobilityobservatory.com> – Tracks innovations in transport pricing, MaaS, and equity
- <https://ourworldindata.org/transport> – Global mobility data visualizations
- <https://www.nacto.org> – Design guides for streets, bikeways, and transit access

## Global Case Studies for Comparison

City	Why Compare
Tokyo, Japan	Rail-focused urban model with walkability and high density
Bogotá, Colombia	Bus rapid transit (BRT) innovation under budget constraints
Amsterdam, Netherlands	Cycling and pedestrian prioritization with car disincentives
Zurich, Switzerland	High public transit mode share with smart ticketing and car controls
New York City, USA	Current debates on congestion pricing and equity in underserved boroughs