

the
Singapore

WAY

TEACHER GUIDE

**Civic Engagement and
Community Building**

Table of Contents

1. Introduction to the Case	3
• Why This Case Matters	3
• What the Case Covers	3
• Global Relevance	3
• What Students Will Learn	3
• Framing Questions for Class	
• Case Snapshot Elements	
2. Pre-Class Preparation	
• What to Read	
• Optional Multimedia	
• Pre-Class Reflection Prompts	
• Optional Task: Community Audit Sketch	
• Instructor Checklist	
3. Session Plan	
• Session Duration Options	
• Learning Objectives	
• Sample 90-Minute Session Plan	
• Discussion Questions	
4. Case Facilitation Tools	
• A. “Cohesion Lens” Evaluation Grid	
• B. Community Timeline of Trust-Building (Singapore)	
• C. Community Mapping Toolkit	
• D. “Design a Shared Celebration” Toolkit	
• E. Interfaith or Intercultural Dialogue Prompt Cards	
5. Group Activities & Teaching Tactics	
• Activity 1: Redesign Your Neighbourhood for Trust.....	
• Activity 2: “Build a Shared Celebration” Lab	
• Activity 3: Interfaith or Intercultural Dialogue Simulation	
• Activity 4: “Civic Story Swap” Empathy Round	
• Activity 5: “Belonging Blueprint” – Youth Panel Challenge	
6. Assignments and Post-Class Engagement	
• Assignment 1: Community Space Redesign	
• Assignment 2: Youth Engagement Campaign	
• Assignment 3: Interfaith or Cross-Cultural Dialogue Toolkit	
• Assignment 4: Personal Essay – “The Time I Felt Like I Belonged”	
• Post-Class Engagement Ideas	

Table of Contents

7. Assessment and Feedback Tools	3
• A. Community Design Assignment Rubric	3
• B. Campaign or Workshop Rubric – Youth or Dialogue Engagement	3
• C. Storytelling Rubric – Personal Narrative	3
• Feedback Prompts for Peer Review or Reflection	3
 8. Instructor Notes and Commentary	
• Framing Insight: Community Is Designed, Not Assumed	
• Anticipated Student Responses & How to Engage Them	
• Instructor Strategies for Success	
• Suggested Anchor Quote	
• Recommended Case Pairings	
 9. Additional Resources	
• Recommended Readings & Reports	
• Videos & Documentaries	
• Online Platforms & Tools	
• Comparative Models to Explore	

1. Introduction to the Case

Why This Case Matters

In many parts of the world today, **communities are coming apart—polarized by politics, isolated by housing or divided by faith and fear**. But Singapore chose a different path. It invested deeply in the **architecture of cohesion**: neighbourhood design, youth leadership, community centres, and shared rituals.

This case explores how Singapore designed **social trust at scale**—turning strangers into neighbours, and neighbours into a connected, multi-faith, multi-cultural nation.

- The founding and function of **People's Association Community Centres (CCs)**
- How **ethnic housing quotas and block integration** created everyday diversity
- The strategic use of **multicultural festivals, common languages, and food diplomacy**
- The role of **faith leaders, youth groups, and national rituals** in trust-building
- How Singapore turned **empathy and proximity** into national infrastructure

Global Relevance

All over the world, nations face division, distrust, and disconnection. Whether by race, religion, class, or urban design, fragmentation threatens civic life.

Singapore's story offers a blueprint for deliberate cohesion—and invites your students to explore:

- How communities are built, not born
- How unity is designed through ritual, language, space, and youth
- What happens when a government invests in everyday peace and social glue

What Students Will Learn

Students will explore:

- The strategies and systems behind community trust
- The role of youth, faith, and civic rituals in multicultural harmony
- How neighbourhood architecture affects human connection
- What it means to design for not just safety—but belonging
- How community is both a value and a skill

Framing Questions for Class

- What builds trust between people who are different?
- Can governments “create” community—or only support it?
- What’s the role of ritual, language, and food in unity?
- What happens when youth are excluded from civic life?
- How do housing and neighbourhood spaces influence inclusion or isolation?