

INSTITUTE OF CONTROL AND COMPUTATION ENGINEERING  
FACULTY OF ELECTRONICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  
WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY



MASTER OF SCIENCE THESIS

# STAR-TRACKER PROGRAM FOR CUBESAT SATELLITES

Szymon MICHALSKI

Supervisor:  
prof. dr hab. inż. Ryszard Romaniuk

Warszawa 2016

# Contents

<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	5
1.2 Outline of thesis . . . . .	5
1.3 Cubesat . . . . .	6
1.4 Means of attitude estimation . . . . .	6
1.5 On-board computer . . . . .	6
<b>2 Preliminaries</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Coordinate frames . . . . .	7
2.1.1 ECI frame . . . . .	7
2.1.2 ECEF frame . . . . .	7
2.1.3 NED frame . . . . .	7
2.1.4 BODY frame . . . . .	7
2.2 Attitude representations . . . . .	7
2.2.1 Euler angles . . . . .	7
2.2.2 Quaternions . . . . .	7
2.3 Quaternion properties . . . . .	7
2.3.1 Advantages of quaternions . . . . .	7
2.3.2 Multiplication of quaternions . . . . .	7
2.3.3 Quaternions and rotations . . . . .	7
2.4 Wahba's problem . . . . .	7
2.5 Cholesky factorization . . . . .	8
2.6 Lyapunov analysis . . . . .	8
<b>3 Star-tracker program</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 Centroid - start recognition . . . . .	9
3.2 Star identification . . . . .	10
3.2.1 Angle Matching . . . . .	11
3.2.2 Spherical Triangle Matching . . . . .	11
3.2.3 Planar Triangle . . . . .	11
3.2.4 Pyramid . . . . .	11
3.2.5 Rate Matching . . . . .	12
3.2.6 Voting . . . . .	12
3.2.7 Grid . . . . .	12
3.2.8 Different elements of algorithm . . . . .	12
3.3 Star Catalogue Generation . . . . .	12
3.4 Candidate Matching . . . . .	12

3.5	Result Verification . . . . .	12
3.6	k-vector . . . . .	12
3.7	Attitude Determination . . . . .	13
3.7.1	q-method . . . . .	13
3.7.2	QUEST . . . . .	13
3.7.3	TRIAD . . . . .	13
3.7.4	The Fast Optimal Attitude Matrix . . . . .	13
3.7.5	DCM (direction cosine matrix) - (Singular Value De- composition?) . . . . .	13
<b>4</b>	<b>Prototype</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Complete program</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Testing of star-tracker</b>	<b>17</b>
	List of Tables21 List of Figures22 toc	

## Nomenclature

$c$       Speed of light in a vacuum inertial frame

$h$       Planck constant

[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Motivation

The goal of this work is to make fully operational star-tracker program, that could be used on Cubesat satellites. Such program could be used on space missions and could start Polish state-of-the-art technology in growing space technology sector.

## 1.2 Outline of thesis

This thesis consists of several chapters. Here they are shortly summarized:

**Chapter 1** serves as introduction to this thesis and describes the motivation and goal of this work. It also describes the background of the topic.

**Chapter 2** describes all the important foundations for the fully understanding given work.

**Chapter 3** is the main part of this thesis. It describes how the star-tracker program works and goes through detailed comparison of different approaches.

**Chapter 4** describes the created prototype of star-tracker in Python language.

**Chapter 5** talks about the implementation of star-tracker on the existing prototype of on-board computer.

**Chapter 6** describes how the finished program is performing.

**Chapter 7** contains conclusions about this work and created star-tracker program.

### 1.3 Cubesat

Cubesat was designed on Caltech in 1999[9]. Dimensions of satellite are measured in units. Each unit (often described simply as u) can be 10x10x10cm and can weight up to 1.33 kg. Satellites can be 1u, 2u, 3u, 6u or even 12u.

Such small satellites are susceptible to noise from densly packed electronics.

Zdjecie Cubesata

### 1.4 Means of attitude estimation

There exist many different types of attitude estimation: sun sensors, star-trackers, magnetometers, etc. However star-tracker gives the best possible accuracy for nowadays and is not susceptible to electrical nor magnetic noise.

[6] Wiley J. Larson and James R. Wertz, editors. Space Mission Analysis and Design. Microcosm, Inc., Torrance, CA, second edition, 1995. [11]

Sensor	Accuracy	
Magnetometers	1.0o (5000km alt) 5.0 (200 km alt)	Attitude measured relative to Earth
Earth sensors	0.05 (GEO) 0.1 (LEO)	
Sun sensors	0.01	
Star sensors	2 arc-sec	
Gyroscopes	0.001 deg/hr	
Directional antennas	0.01 to 0.5	

Table 1: Sensor Accuracy Ranges. Adapted from [10]

### 1.5 On-board computer

This section will describe the on-board computer which was done as part of other thesis.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Coordinate frames

#### 2.1.1 ECI frame

#### 2.1.2 ECEF frame

#### 2.1.3 NED frame

#### 2.1.4 BODY frame

### 2.2 Attitude representations

#### 2.2.1 Euler angles

#### 2.2.2 Quaternions

### 2.3 Quaternion properties

#### 2.3.1 Advantages of quaternions

#### 2.3.2 Multiplication of quaternions

#### 2.3.3 Quaternions and rotations

### 2.4 Wahba's problem

$$\sum_j^n ||r_j - Mb_j|| \tag{1}$$

## **2.5 Cholesky factorization**

## **2.6 Lyapunov analysis**



### 3 Star-tracker program

Generally star-tracker is divided into three main parts[12]:

- recogiting stars on the image and converting the data into list of star vectors by calculating star centroids;
- identifyng which star vector represents which real star in catalogue. This is done by comparing star vectors from the image with data in star catalogue, which is generated before space mission;
- estimating the attitude by calculating the displacement between two frames.

#### 3.1 Centroid - start recognition

Due to limitations of camera there exists necessity of calculating star centroids. Each camera converts image into photo divided by pixels. As it is necessary to have high precision of star coordinates, the pixel accuracy is not enough. Subpixel accuracy is needed. Typically it is done by defocusing the lens of the camera and calculating the lumosity of all pixels around the lightest ones. The idea of how to calculate such centroids is adapted from[12].

If FOV is too small, one star will be considered by program as few stars, and if FOV is too large, few stars placed near each other will be considered as one star. Calculating star centroids is tradeoff between counting few stars as one and counting one star as a few. It seems however that it is worse to count one star as few than few stars as one.

$$x_{start} = x - \frac{a_{ROI} - 1}{2} \quad (2)$$

$$y_{start} = y - \frac{a_{ROI} - 1}{2} \quad (3)$$

$$x_{end} = x_{start} + a_{ROI} \quad (4)$$

$$y_{end} = y_{start} + a_{ROI} \quad (5)$$

$$I_{bottom} = \sum_{i=1}^{x_{end}-1} I(i, y_{start}) \quad (6)$$

$$I_{top} = \sum_{i=2}^{x_{end}} I(i, y_{end}) \quad (7)$$

$$I_{left} = \sum_{j=1}^{y_{end}-1} I(x_{start}, j) \quad (8)$$

$$I_{right} = \sum_{j=2}^{y_{end}} I(x_{start}, j) \quad (9)$$

$$I_{border} = \frac{I_{top} + I_{bottom} + I_{left} + I_{right}}{4(a_{ROI} - 1)} \quad (10)$$

$$\tilde{I}(x, y) = I(x, y) - I_{border} \quad (11)$$

$$B = \sum_{i=x_{start}+1}^{x_{end}-1} \sum_{j=y_{start}+1}^{y_{end}-1} \tilde{I}(i, j) \quad (12)$$

$$x_{CM} = \sum_{i=x_{start}+1}^{x_{end}-1} \sum_{j=y_{start}+1}^{y_{end}-1} \frac{i \times \tilde{I}(i, j)}{B} \quad (13)$$

$$y_{CM} = \sum_{i=x_{start}+1}^{x_{end}-1} \sum_{j=y_{start}+1}^{y_{end}-1} \frac{j \times \tilde{I}(i, j)}{B} \quad (14)$$

$$u = \frac{[\mu x_{CM} \quad \mu y_{CM} \quad f]^T}{\| [\mu x_{CM} \quad \mu y_{CM} \quad f] \|} \quad (15)$$

### 3.2 Star identification

all [13]

Brightness Independent 4-Star Matching Algorithm for Lost-in-Space  
3-Axis Attitude Acquisition[14]

SP-Search: A New Algorithm for Star Pattern Recognition [15]

Star Identification using Neural networks [16] [17]

Star pattern recognition using neural networks [18]

### 3.2.1 Angle Matching

### 3.2.2 Spherical Triangle Matching

### 3.2.3 Planar Triangle

[19]

$$s = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + c) \quad (16)$$

$$a = ||\mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{u}_q|| \quad (17)$$

$$b = ||\mathbf{u}_q - \mathbf{u}_r|| \quad (18)$$

$$c = ||\mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{u}_r|| \quad (19)$$

$$A = \sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)} \quad (20)$$

$$J = A \frac{(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)}{36} \quad (21)$$

### 3.2.4 Pyramid

[20]

### 3.2.5 Rate Matching

### 3.2.6 Voting

[21]

### 3.2.7 Grid

### 3.2.8 Different elements of algorithm

## 3.3 Star Catalogue Generation

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \cos \delta \\ \sin \alpha \cos \delta \\ \sin \delta \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

$$m_i \leq m_{max} \quad (23)$$

$$m_j \leq m_{max} \quad (24)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_a^T \mathbf{u}_b \geq \cos \theta_{FOV} \quad (25)$$

## 3.4 Candidate Matching

## 3.5 Result Verification

## 3.6 k-vector

[22]

[23]

[24]

### 3.7 Attitude Determination

[1]

AIM (Attitude estimation using Image Matching)[?]

all [10] [25]

#### 3.7.1 q-method

#### 3.7.2 QUEST

improvement to quest implementation [26]

kallman filtering [27]

#### 3.7.3 TRIAD

#### 3.7.4 The Fast Optimal Attitude Matrix

#### 3.7.5 DCM (direction cosine matrix) - (Singular Value Decomposition?)

[12]

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i r_i^T \quad (26)$$

$$B = U S V^T \quad (27)$$

$$U_+ = U \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \det U \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

$$V_+ = V \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \det V \end{bmatrix} \quad (29)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}_+ \mathbf{V}_+^T \tag{30}$$

## **4    Prototype**

## **5 Complete program**



## **6    Testing of star-tracker**

[28]

## References

- [1] K. L. Jenssen, K. H. Yabar, and J. T. Gravdahl, “A comparison of attitude determination methods: theory and experiments,” in *proceedings of the 62nd International Astronautical Congress, Cape Town, South Africa*, pp. 3–7, 2011.
- [2] R. G. Valenti, I. Dryanovski, and J. Xiao, “Keeping a Good Attitude: A Quaternion-Based Orientation Filter for IMUs and MARGs,” *Sensors*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 19302–19330, 2015.
- [3] T. Delabie, “A highly efficient attitude estimation algorithm for star trackers based on optimal image matching,” in *AIAA Guidance, Navigation and Control Conference, Minneapolis, Minnesota*, 2012.
- [4] E. Jalabert, E. Fabacher, N. Guy, S. Lizy-Destrez, W. Rappin, and G. Rivier, “Optimization of star research algorithm for ESMO star tracker,” 2011.
- [5] D. Felikson, J. Hahmall, M. F. Vess, and M. Ekinici, “On-Orbit Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) Star Tracker Warm Pixel Analysis,” in *AIAA Guidance, Navigation and Control Conference, Portland, Oregon*, vol. 6728, 2011.
- [6] M. W. Knutson, *Fast star tracker centroid algorithm for high performance CubeSat with air bearing validation*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2012.
- [7] A. Rose, “STAR integrated tracker,” *arXiv preprint nucl-ex/0307015*, 2003.
- [8] D. Mortari and A. Romoli, “StarNav III: a three fields of view star tracker,” in *Aerospace Conference Proceedings, 2002. IEEE*, vol. 1, pp. 1–57, IEEE, 2002.
- [9] H. Heidt, J. Puig-Suari, A. Moore, S. Nakasuka, and R. Twiggs, “CubeSat: A new generation of picosatellite for education and industry low-cost space experimentation,” 2000.
- [10] C. D. Hall, “Spacecraft attitude dynamics and control,” *Lecture Notes posted on Handouts page [online]*, vol. 12, no. 2003, 2003.
- [11] S. M. R. C. P. Lima, “Comparison of small satellite attitude determination methods,” 2000.

- [12] C. R. McBryde and E. G. Lightsey, "A star tracker design for CubeSats," in *Aerospace Conference, 2012 IEEE*, pp. 1–14, March 2012.
- [13] B. B. Spratling and D. Mortari, "A survey on star identification algorithms," *Algorithms*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 93–107, 2009.
- [14] Y. Dong, F. Xing, and Z. You, "Brightness independent 4-star matching algorithm for lost-in-space 3-axis attitude acquisition," *Tsinghua Science & Technology*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 543–548, 2006.
- [15] D. Mortari, "SP-search: A new algorithm for star pattern recognition," *Advances in the Astronautical Sciences*, vol. 102, no. Pt II, pp. 1165–1174, 1999.
- [16] S. S. Miri and M. E. Shiri, "Star identification using Delaunay triangulation and distributed neural networks," *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization*, vol. 2, no. 3, p. 234, 2012.
- [17] T. Lindblad, C. S. Lindsey, Å. Eide, Ö. Solberg, and A. Bolseth, "Star Identification using Neural Networks,"
- [18] C. Li, K. Li, L. Zhang, S. Jin, and J. Zu, "Star pattern recognition method based on neural network," *Chinese Science Bulletin*, vol. 48, no. 18, pp. 1927–1930, 2003.
- [19] C. L. Cole and J. L. Crassidis, "Fast star-pattern recognition using planar triangles," *Journal of guidance, control, and dynamics*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 64–71, 2006.
- [20] D. Mortari, M. A. Samaan, C. Bruccoleri, and J. L. Junkins, "The pyramid star identification technique," *Navigation*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 171–183, 2004.
- [21] M. Kolomenkin, S. Pollak, I. Shimshoni, and M. Lindenbaum, "Geometric voting algorithm for star trackers," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 441–456, 2008.
- [22] D. Mortari and J. Rogers, "A k-vector Approach to Sampling, Interpolation, and Approximation," *The Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, vol. 60, no. 3-4, pp. 686–706, 2013.
- [23] D. Mortari, "A fast on-board autonomous attitude determination system based on a new star-ID technique for a wide FOV star tracker," *Advances in the Astronautical Sciences*, vol. 93, pp. 893–904, 1996.

- [24] D. Mortari and B. Neta, “K-vector range searching techniques,” *Adv. Astronaut. Sci*, vol. 105, pp. 449–464, 2000.
- [25] F. L. Markley and D. Mortari, “How to estimate attitude from vector observations,” 1999.
- [26] Cheng Yang and Shuster Malcolm D., “Improvement to the Implementation of the QUEST Algorithm,” *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 301–305, 2013. doi: 10.2514/1.62549.
- [27] M. Shuster, “Kalman filtering of spacecraft attitude and the QUEST model,” *Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, vol. 38, pp. 377–393, 1990.
- [28] J.-J. Kim, J. Tappe, A. Jordan, and B. Agrawal, *Star Tracker Attitude Estimation for an Indoor Ground-Based Spacecraft Simulator*. Guidance, Navigation, and Control and Co-located Conferences, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, aug 2011. doi:10.2514/6.2011-6270.

## List of Tables

1	Sensor Accuracy Ranges. Adapted from [10] . . . . .	6
---	---	---

## List of Figures

Robot Learning Darmstadt Problems with Euler Angles: Not Unique:  
Many angles result in the same rotation Hard to quantify differences between  
two Euler Angles Unit-Quaternion Solves the problems of singularities with  
the Euler Angles Easier to compute differences of orientations Important if  
we want to control the orientation of the end-effector See Siciliano or Spong  
Textbook!

Polar moment