Developer and User Manual of ComboReduct (draft)

Nil Geisweiller

October 2, 2017

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Installation	2
3	Folder overview	2
4	Combo language	3
5	Type checker engine 5.1 Type representation 5.2 Implicit vs explicit inputs 5.3 Arity	5 6 6 6 7
6	Reduct engine	7

1 Introduction

ComboReduct is a library providing C++ data structures and algorithms to manipulate a programatic language which is used in program evolution systems like MOSES.

2 Installation

Comboreduct is compiled and installed alongside MOSES. For compiling MOSES vou need $\,$

- a recent gcc (4.8 or above)
- the boost libaries (http://www.boost.org)
- the CMake package (http://www.cmake.org/HTML/Index.html)
- the CogUtil library (https://github.com/opencog/cogutil)

Create a build directory build from MOSES' root folder, go under it and run "cmake ..". This will create the needed build files. Then, make the project using "make" (again from the directory build). Then make install (you may need the root provilege) to install it.

More detailed information about the intallation can be found in INSTALL text file in the root directory.

3 Folder overview

In this section we give a bief explanation of the directories related to comboreduct. At the root we have:

- doc/comboreduct containing that manual
- moses/comboreduct containing the source code of the library
- tests/comboreduct containing the test unit code

Under moses/comboreduct the code is organized in the following folders:

- ant_combo_vocabulary containing an example of the use of ComboReduct in the context of the ant problem
- combo containing the code defining the data structure of combo programs and the type checker engine.
- crutil containing some common definitions
- main containing a bunch of executable to test ComboReduct functionalities

- reduct containing the code of the Reduct engine
- interpreter containing code for interpreting combo programs

Now we will go through each component of ComboReduct. The programatic language Combo, its type checker and the Reduct engine.

4 Combo language

Combo is a programatic language dedicated to program evolution. It contains primitives to handle boolean operators, arithmetic and action/perception of an interactive agent.

A Combo program is represented by a tree where each node is either an operator, a constant or a procedure call and where operands of operators are its children.

The C++ structure for it (the C++ code in under $\operatorname{src/ComboReduct/combo/vertex.h})$ is:

```
typedef tree<vertex> vtree;
```

where vertex is defined as a boost union type (variant):

- builtin is a enumaration of standard boolean-arithmetic operators and constants, like and, or, +, log, etc.
- wild_card represented by _*_ is used for unification when the program output type is boolean.
- argument is a structure representing input arguments into a Combo program, noted \$1, \$2, etc. For instance the following program (prefix representation) +(\$1 \$2), is the addition of two input arguments.
- contin_t is a float type.

- action is a pointer to an abstract class action_base (see src/ComboReduct/combo/action.h) to be implemented representing the set of actions controlled by the interactive agent, like for instance eat_food_ahead. The implementation of action_base can also provide methods defining properties like, for instance, if an actions always succeeds or is idempotent, etc. That set of properties can be later used by the reduction engine to normalize Combo programs containing user-defined actions.
- builtin_action is an enumeration of basic action operators like and_seq (executes a sequence of actions until one fails or the sequence is completed), boolean_while (execute an action repeatedly while a condition is met). For instance

```
boolean_while(is_hungry and_seq(look_for_food eat_food))
```

is a program that executes in sequence searching for food and eating food until hungriness has gone.

- perception is a pointer to an abstract class perception_base (see src/ComboReduct/combo/percept to be implemented representing the set of perceptions of an interactive agent, like is_hungry. The implementation of perception_base can also provide methods defining properties like, for instance, if the perception arguments are symetric or reflexif. That set of properties can be later used by the reduction engine to normalize Combo programs containing user-defined perceptions.
- definite_object is a pointer to an abstract class to be implemented representing the set of definite objects existing in the world of the interacting agent, like red_cube, green_ball. It is essentially a C++ string representing an identifier, that is not containing space or seperator symbols.
- indefinite_object is a pointer to an abstract class to be implemented representing the set of indefinite objects pointing to definite object existing in the world of the interactive agent, like random_ball, nearest_cube.
- message is class containing a message, it is a C++ string that can contain any symbol in it including space and other separators.
- procedure_call is a pointer to a Combo program, Combo handles recursive and mutually recursive procedure calls. ComboReduct can load a set of procedures. The syntax used is

procedure_name(arity) := procedure_body

• action_symbol is a pointer to an abstract class to be implemented representing symbols used to characterize actions, for instance in the context of a virtual pet with the action scratch the action symbol neck can be used to form scratch(neck)

5 Type checker engine

5.1 Type representation

ComboReduct contains a type checker engine, to check and infer types. A type is also a tree just like a program but the nodes refer to type operators and type constants instead of actual operators and constants. So type_tree is defined as follow (the code can be found at src/ComboReduct/combo/type_tree_def.h):

```
typedef tree<type_node> type_tree
```

Where type $_$ node is a C++ enumeration:

```
enum type_node {
  lambda_type,
  application_type,
  union_type,
  arg_list_type,
 boolean_type,
  contin_type,
  action_result_type,
  definite_object_type,
  action_definite_object_type,
  indefinite_object_type,
 message_type,
  action_symbol_type,
 wild_card_type,
  unknown_type,
  ill_formed_type,
  argument_type
};
```

There are 4 type operators, lambda_type to represent the abstraction of a function (like in λ -calculus), application_type to represent the application of a function (like the application operator of λ -calculus), union_type to represent the union of types and arg_list type to arbitrary large list of a given type. The other types are rather self-explanatory but more explanation can be found in the comments of the code.

So example a boolean function or arity 3 will be represented with the following type (_type suffix are omited for clarity):

lambda(boolean boolean boolean)

The first 3 boolean design the input types and the last boolean designs the ouput type. For instance the combo program and(\$1 \$2 \$3) has the type above.

5.2 Implicit vs explicit inputs

A combo program does not necessarily need to use explicitly arguments \$1, \$2, etc, to define its inputs. A combo program is treated just like an operator, for instance, not(boolean_if) will be treated as the function that takes 3 boolean inputs and returns the negation of the result of a boolean_if applied on them. The type tree of such combo program is therefore

lambda(boolean boolean boolean)

We say that such a combo program has implicit inputs. As such any operator alone is a combo program with implicit inputs. Some operators uses an indefinite number of the inputs of the same type (like +), their input types are described by using the type operator arg_list, for instance the type tree of + is

```
lambda(arg_list(contin) contin)
```

A combo program can be made from both explicit (\$1, \$2, etc) and implicit inputs the convention is that the explicit inputs are always enumerated at first in the input list, no matter the order they appear in the combo program. For instance the type of contin_if(and \$1 \$2) has type tree

lambda(contin contin arg_list(boolean) contin)

5.3 Arity

There is a subtlety in the calculation of the notion of arity in the type system of ComboReduct which is worth mentioning here. When the number of input arguments are fixed, that is the combo program takes exactly n input arguments then the arity is n. On the other hand if the number inputs are n-1 or more (that is the type operator arg_list is involved in the list of the input types) then the arity is -n.

5.4 Type inference

Type inference is performed by converting a Combo tree into a corresponding type tree representing the abstractions and applications of the operators with their operands, then that tree is reduced into a normal form to look more like what we can call a procedure type signature.

For instance, the type tree of +(3 5) is

```
application(lambda(arg_list(contin contin) contin contin)
```

then we apply a normalizer that reduce the application of function with inputs (a la λ -calculus when a redex is eliminated by β -reduction) to obtain the type contin.

There are of course several subtleties in that process which could be long to describe in detail but many comments have been placed in the code explaining that reduction process in detail.

5.5 Type checking

Type checking is operated by evaluating the inheritance between two types. So for instance if one wants to check that the combo program

contin_if(and(\$1 \$2) 3 5)

it will first infer its type using the type inference engine, which is

lambda(boolean boolean contin)

then check if the inferred type inherits the type we want the expression to have. For instance if the type to check the expression against is

lambda(boolean boolean union(contin boolean))

then the inheritance checker will be able to assess that (the answer positive in that example).

Once again the details and the assumptions made in the that process are numerous and not described here but the code contains the comments that explain them all in detail.

6 Reduct engine

One of the powerful aspects of ComboReduct is the Reduct engine, in charge of reducing a combo program into a normal form, that is into a unique semantically equivalent program. This is of great interest in program evolution as it, in some way, factorizes the syntactic space into its semantics' therefore avoiding reevaluating semantically equivalent programs. That is said it is important to note that such normalization process is not feasable in general, indeed answering whether two recursive functions are semantically equivalent is undecidable (and even so does answering the equivalence of two primitive recursive functions).

But should even normalizing be possible in theory, in practice it has to be fast, in the sense that the total cost of normalizing should be lower than the cost of re-evaluating semantically equivalent programs for a given search. Fortunately the reduct engine has been coded to be quite flexible and it is easy to craft a reduction process for a given problem -by combining a set of hard coded reduction rules- to get a good compromise between completeness and speed.

The source files at src/ComboReduct/reduct with suffix _rules contain the code and the detailed explanation of the different rules, and the sources files with suffix _reduction examples of how to combined thoses different hardcoded rules.