

/*****question1*****/

First, the city is represented as a graph where each neighborhood is a node, and the streets connecting them are the edges. The weight of each edge represents the travel time between neighborhoods.

Create a matrix of distances, where the element at the i^{th} row and j^{th} column represents the shortest known distance from neighborhood i to neighborhood j . Initially, this matrix is filled with the direct distances between neighborhoods (direct street connections), and distances from a neighborhood to itself are set to zero.

Initialization:

Create a matrix $dist$, where $dist[i][j]$ will eventually hold the shortest distance from vertex i to vertex j .

If there is a direct street from i to j , $dist[i][j]$ is initialized to this street's travel time.

If i and j are not directly connected, $dist[i][j]$ is set to infinity (or a very high value).

The diagonal of the matrix (where i equals j) is set to zero since the distance from a vertex to itself is zero.

Algorithm Iteration:

The algorithm iteratively updates $dist[i][j]$ for all pairs (i, j) by considering all possible intermediate vertices.

For each pair of vertices (i, j) , the algorithm checks whether there is a vertex k such that going from i to k and then from k to j is cheaper than the current known path from i to j .

The key formula used is: $distance[i][j] = \min(distance[i][j], distance[i][k] + distance[k][j])$.

After considering all intermediate neighborhoods, the matrix will contain the shortest possible distances between all pairs of neighborhoods.

If so, the matrix is updated with this shorter path. Result Interpretation: The final matrix will give the committee the shortest travel time between any two neighborhoods in Metropolis.

This information is crucial for:

Optimizing Traffic Flow: By knowing the shortest paths, the committee can direct traffic more efficiently, reducing congestion.

Emergency Services Routing: Quickest routes for ambulances, fire trucks, and police vehicles can be determined.

Public Transportation Planning: Bus routes and other public transport can be planned to follow these efficient paths.

Information to Residents: Residents can be informed about the optimal routes for their daily commutes.

Continuous Updates and Adaptation: As the city evolves (e.g., new neighborhoods, road closures, or changes in traffic patterns), the algorithm can be rerun to update the paths, ensuring the traffic optimization is always based on current conditions.

/*****question2*****/

In a traditional divide-and-conquer approach, the problem is divided into independent subproblems, which are solved separately. The solutions to these subproblems are then combined to solve the original problem. This approach works well when the subproblems are distinct and don't overlap. However, if the subproblems overlap and share common subproblems, the divide-and-conquer approach may end up solving the same subproblem multiple times, leading to inefficiency.

Dynamic programming, on the other hand, is specifically designed to efficiently handle cases where subproblems overlap. It does this by solving each subproblem only once and storing its solution in a table (usually an array or a matrix). When the same subproblem needs to be solved again, instead of recomputing it, the solution is simply retrieved from the table. This approach significantly reduces the computation time, especially when there are a large number of overlapping subproblems.

The n th Fibonacci number is defined as the sum of the $(n-1)$ th and $(n-2)$ th Fibonacci numbers, with the base cases being the 0th and 1st numbers, which are 0 and 1, respectively.

In a divide-and-conquer approach, to calculate the n th Fibonacci number, we would recursively calculate the $(n-1)$ th and $(n-2)$ th numbers, and so on. This approach leads to a lot of redundant calculations. For example, to calculate the 5th Fibonacci number, we need to calculate the 4th and 3rd numbers. To calculate the 4th number, we need the 3rd and 2nd numbers, and so on. You can see that the 3rd Fibonacci number is being calculated twice, and as n increases, the redundancy increases exponentially.

In contrast, using dynamic programming, we start by calculating the lower Fibonacci numbers and storing their values. Once we have the values of the 0th and 1st numbers, we use them to calculate the 2nd number, and then use the 1st and 2nd numbers to calculate the 3rd, and so on, up to the n th number. Each number is calculated only once, and its value is stored for future reference. This method is much more efficient as it avoids redundant calculations.

/*****question3*****/

Imagine I am in charge of a network system, where data packets need to be transferred from one node to another most efficiently. The challenge here is to find a path that minimizes congestion and maximizes speed. Dynamic programming shines in this context. It breaks down the network into smaller, manageable sub-networks and finds the optimal path in each sub-section. By solving these sub-problems and combining their solutions, dynamic programming ensures the most efficient data flow across the entire network. This approach not only improves the speed of data transmission but also reduces the risk of network bottlenecks. The essence of dynamic programming lies in its ability to break down complex problems into smaller, more manageable sub-problems. By solving these sub-problems just once and storing their solutions, dynamic programming avoids redundant

calculations, leading to much more efficient problem-solving than traditional methods.