

Re: Re[2]: Michinski and Zanth separation

Skyler Zanth <skyler@hdottawa.com>

Tue 4/16/2024 8:52 PM

To: M Anne Vespry <anne@vespry.ca>

Here is the law broken down on a government of Ontario site.

<https://www.ontario.ca/page/dividing-property-when-marriage-or-common-law-relationship-ends>

Dividing property when a marriage or common law relationship ends

Learn what happens to your property when you divorce or separate, what you might owe or be owed and how to get support.

www.ontario.ca

Here is proof that we are common-law in the eyes of the law here in Canada/Ontario and if Natasha states otherwise then she would technically be committing fraud. Along with breaking god knows how many tax laws.

Canada Revenue Agency
My Account

SKYLER ZANTH

As of April 16, 2024

Print/Save

Contact information [Edit](#)

Mailing address
15490 ASHBURN RD
BERWICK ON K0C 1G0

Home address
15490 ASHBURN RD
BERWICK ON K0C 1G0

Home phone number
613-898-0992
Effective February 20, 2017

Marital status [Edit](#)

Common-law with NATASHIA

Child information [+ Add](#)
(for benefits and credits only)
According to our records, you are currently not [primarily responsible](#) for any children.
If you have any questions concerning your account, please call 1-800-387-1193.

Authorized representative(s) [+ Add](#)

Not only does that stipulate that its my home, still, but I breakdown in the offer, why the contract is nullified and cannot be enforced. So please, can I have a copy of my paperwork?

While I'm here, here is proof of my disability, that is registered the the federal government. If this isn't sufficient, let me know what you need to meet your requirements and I will provide it to you. Because you will need proof of this soon. I'm just looking to make this separation fair for both of us, like actually fair, even though Natasha has stated that she will be trying to take everything and leave me with all the debt. And has thus far proved to be her intentions with the actions she's taking. So you have a able bodied sane person, trying to take advantage of a mentally disabled individual, along with years of track

record that proves the systematic actions and behaviors to take advantage of someone. This "sale" of the house being one of them, stating she will be leaving me with all the debt being another. And even after all that, I'm still looking to make this fair and not go beyond that. Anyways, if this doesn't satisfy your requirements to provide juristic reason why the mortgage documents and any other documents in regards to that specific deal, let me know. Have a great night.

Canada Revenue Agency
My Account
Audit enquiries
File a formal dispute
Request CPP/EI ruling

More information

Disability tax credit (DTC) ?

Our records indicate the following disability tax credit information for:
SKYLER ZANTH

Year	Status
1993 - 2027	You are eligible to claim the disability tax credit for yourself.

These are the digital applications submitted through My Account or by phone:
Applications submitted by mail, or uploaded online through Submit Documents can be tracked through [Progress Tracker](#).

Name	Year submitted	Part A	Part B	Application summary
According to our records, you have not submitted any digital applications through My Account or by phone.				

Items per page: 5 0 to 0 of 0

Apply for DTC

Looking for your information on file?
Manage your personal information such as direct deposit, addresses, and more on your [Profile](#) page.

[Tax information slips \(T4 and more\)](#)
View your original and amended tax information slips (T4 and more) issued by an employer, payer, administrator, or Service Canada for up to ten years.

From: Skyler Zanth <skyler@hdottawa.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2024 8:09 PM
To: M Anne Vespry <anne@vespry.ca>
Subject: Re: Re[2]: Michinski and Zanth separation

Like I don't understand the defensive stance on the matter? If I sold her the house, like she claims, I would have been given a copy of everything. Which I never got, there's no bill of sale in my files or anything, Natasha couldn't even find the bill of sale while she was here. After receiving the title you sent, I called Richard and simply asked for him to go over the paperwork he drew up for us and explain it, since I still don't have all the paperwork regarding the deal, and wanted to find out more information. I was his customer since he represented me and explained the contract at the time, he also represented Natasha, and I would like to see the paperwork where I signed off on this lawyer representing two opposing parties. Every time I have dealt with a lawyer, who represented both sides of the deal, we had to sign paperwork stating that it was fine for that person to represent both of us, due to a possible conflict of interest. I can go on and on about the juristic reasons to obtain a copy of something I should already have. Anything about that deal would be great, because there's a lot of questions coming up from my end. In the offer you will understand why I'm questioning this, it goes into detail about that time in our relationship. It's kind of making sense why I never received paperwork on this, along with Richards weird response to a clients extremely simple question because he would deal with this type of contract every day. How could he explain it 3 years ago, but terrified to even stay on the phone for more than 5 minutes now? Or fail to provide a copy to a client who asked for it? Please I'm just trying to make this easy.

From: M Anne Vespry <anne@vespry.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2024 4:41 PM
To: Skyler Zanth <skyler@hdottawa.com>
Subject: Re[2]: Michinski and Zanth separation

Dear Mr. Zanth,

As soon as you or your legal counsel provide juristic reason why the mortgage documents are material or required disclosure, I will provide them.

Sincerely,

M. Anne Vespry
Barrister & Solicitor
Suite 642
99 - 1568 Merivale Road
Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5Y7

Email: anne@vespry.ca

This e-mail is sent on a without prejudice basis. The contents are confidential, and only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. Legal privilege is expressly claimed. If you have received this message in error, please contact us immediately and then delete the message immediately. Please do not forward it, distribute it or make any copy. Thank you.

----- Original Message -----

From "Skyler Zanth" <skyler@hdottawa.com>
To "M Anne Vespry" <anne@vespry.ca>
Date 4/16/2024 1:14:11 PM
Subject Re: Michinski and Zanth separation

And the mortgage documents please.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: M Anne Vespry <anne@vespry.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2024 9:00:00 AM
To: Skyler Zanth <skyler@hdottawa.com>
Subject: Michinski and Zanth separation

Dear Mr. Zanth,

If the documents you are awaiting are those regarding the transfer of title, please see attached. If you are referring to something else, please be more specific.

I look forward to receiving the offer you have prepared.

Sincerely,

M. Anne Vespary

Barrister & Solicitor

Suite 642

99 - 1568 Merivale Road

Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5Y7

Email: anne@vespry.ca

This e-mail is sent on a without prejudice basis. The contents are confidential, and only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. Legal privilege is expressly claimed. If you have received this message in error, please contact us immediately and then delete the message immediately. Please do not forward it, distribute it or make any copy. Thank you.

----- Original Message -----

From "Skyler Zanth" <skyler@hdottawa.com>

To "anne@vespry.ca" <anne@vespry.ca>

Date 4/13/2024 9:34:25 AM

Subject

I find it entertaining that given the strict deadline, no one has responded to my earlier email. Given Natasha's attitude towards the separation and obtaining legal counsel prior to our break up, I would have thought the responses would have been quicker. I have an offer ready to be sent to you, I'm just waiting on these documents. The email was sent on april 6th at 8:14pm. It must have taken Natasha more than two weeks to find you and set up your initial appointments, so it feels like she's trying to take advantage of a situation with false claims. I asked for the documents from Natasha at the same time I sent the email, and can prove with texts because she claimed to send it but informed her that she didnt and sent me some other documents. I just want what's fair for both parties, which you will see in the offer. Just a friendly warning she tends to exaggerate her claims and likes to cry wolf, if you haven't already, maybe you should have a more real conversation with her regarding our relationship and get specific with questions.