Duplicate Question Detection using Online Learning

Chapman Siu

College of Computing, Georgia Institute of Technology

Atlanta, GA 30332-0280, USA

Email: chapmansiu@gatech.edu

Abstract

An integral part the learning experiences for both on-campus and off-campus courses are online communities such as Piazza. Piazza is described as a "Q&A" forum which is created for every class. An integral part of many online communities is the concept of "voting" to determine popular and useful information. However in many class orientated forums, this feature is not emphasised as post relevance tends to change relative to course assessments. Creating a way to understand quality posts can be beneficial for students and instructors, as it will reduce the clutter in the community by allow students to "see" important posts in the follow up section of different threads and for instructors to identify potential experts and teaching assistant candidates for future classes.

In this project, we aim to develop suitable approach for understanding quality of posts through introducing online machine learning techniques to assist with reducing clutter within young online communities.

Keywords

duplicate question, Piazza, online learning, machine learning

I. Introduction

To understand and be able to explain quality posts, the type of modelling we are after is explanatory modelling, where we seek to provide causal explanations. One key consideration is that Shmueli[3] describes how explanatory modelling does not always generalise well to predictive models. Shumueli[3] also notes that the choice between explanatory and predictive

modelling may affect the type of variables you use (variables have to make sense from a causal perspective), the type of preprocessing you may perform (data compression methods such as SVD may be inappropriate from a causal perspective), types of models you may build (ensemble of models would be inappropriate from a causal perspective).

Comparing with previous studies, we are aiming to demonstrate how these techniques can be used in an online learning context. Online learning focuses on modelling data which arrives in sequential order to update our best predictor for future data as opposed to training on the whole training data set at once. This is important part of this project as with young communities, often the whole training data set will not be available until the community is relatively mature. This research would be similar to Zhang *et al*[6] conducted similar experiment with duplicate question detection via batch learning. Pal *et al.*[2] explains that early identification and engagement with these users can improve the experience of these users and more importantly improve the overall quality of participation within a community. Within Pal *et al.*[2] retrospective analysis, it had already shown that some of the potential experts had already left the community when the analysis concluded leading to missed opportunities within the community.

Furthermore to understand the type of questions which a community is asking can allow the noise to be diminished. For example on roughly 13 October 2016 the OMSCS admission results for Spring 2017 intake was annouced. Out of the newest 15 posts, 9 of them were new students questions or notes, with two threads having over 60 posts altogether. Similarly, reddit had 5 of 7 posts within the last 24 hours about new admissions, with 42 out of 44 comments in the respective posts in the threads on admissions.

Having adaptive duplication detection not only assists in special events but also in young communities, where there is a lack of training data or examples to create a sensible detection for duplicated questions. On forums like Piazza this would assist in reducing the amount of clutter which exists, similar to how stackoverflow closes duplicate questions for redundant questions to decrease the maintenance and people's resources on answering the same question[6].

The following usage scenarios demonstrate the benefits of such a tool.

Scenario 1 - *Without tool.* Steve recently gained admission to OMSCS program. However he is confused by the registration process and is unsure which course he should pick. He posts to the Google Plus community but received no response due to the flood of registration related posts.

Scenario 2 - *With tool.* Steve recently gained admission to OMSCS program. However he is

confused by the registration process and is unsure which course he should pick. He posts to the Google+ community. By using our automated tool, we can readily detect similar questions that have been asked before and direct Steve to a useful resource.

We evaluate our approach on synthetically generated posts based on real questions asked on Google+ and Reddit, combined with unofficial FAQs generated by the community. We will also evaluate the performance by simulating a newly created Piazza forum to see if the tool has a similar efficacy.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows.

- We propose the problem of duplicate question detection in online communities. We procose a novel approach which considers and integrates multiple factors to detect duplicate questions.

- We evelute different types of communities based on real and synthetic questions.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. We elaborate on the motivation of our work and introduce online learning variants of Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) and Word2Vec, and describe the other components of the overall framework. Next we will discuss some issues about the performance, efficiency and threats to validity. We will review related work, conclude the paper and mention future work.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Online Latent Dirichlet Allocation

Online Latent Dirichlet Allocation is an online variant of Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA). LDA is a well-known topic modelling technique proposed by Blei *et al.*[7]. LDA is a generative probabilistic model of a textual corpus (i.e., a set of textual documents), which takes a training textual corpus as input, and a number of parameters including the number of topics (K) considered. In the training phase, for each document s, LDA will compute its topic distribution θ_s, which is a vector with K elements, and each element corresponds to a topic. The value of each element in θ_s is a real number from 0 to 1, which represents the proportion of the words in s that belong to the corresponding topic in s. After training, LDA can be used to predict the topic distribution θ_m of a new document m. In our case, a document is the description of a question, and the topic is a higher level concept corresponding to a distribution of words. For example, we may have the

topic "admissions", which is a distribution of words such as "citizenship", "GRE", "TOEFL", "transcripts".

LDA can be extended in an online learning problem by reframing LDA using approximate inference techniques (variational inference) which then becomes an optimization problem and allows LDA to be trained via known approaches such as stochastic gradient descent[8].

B. Online Word2Vec

Word2Vec is all about computing distributed vector representations of words. In this project we will be using the skip-gram variant.

The training objective of skip-gram is to learn word vector representations that are good at predicting its context in the same sentence. Mathematically, given a sequence of training words $w_1, w_2, ..., w_T$, the objective of the skip-gram model is to maximize the average log-likelihood

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{j=-k}^{j=k} \log \Pr(w_{t+j}|w_t)$$

where k is the size of the training window.

In the skip-gram model, every word w is associated with two vectors u_w and v_w which are vector representations of w as word and context respectively. The probability of correctly predicting word w_i given word w_j is determined by the softmax model, which is

$$\Pr(w_i|w_j) = \frac{\exp(u_{w_i}^T v_{w_j})}{\sum_{l=1}^{V} \exp(u_l^T v_{w_j})}$$

where V is the vocabulary size.

The skip-gram model with softmax is expensive because the cost of computing $\log(\Pr(w_i|w_j))$ is proportional to V, which can be easily in order of millions.

Online variant of Word2Vec solves the online learning problem, by updating vocabulary whenever new documents are ingested. The new words are then initialized with random weights, whilst existing words retain their weights as normal. Then training will be again be initialised in an iterative fashion.

C. Online Latent Semantic Indexing

Latent Semantic indexing is a transformation on bag-of-words models by applying truncated SVD to term-document matrices. This can be performed on word counts or tf-idf (term frequency-inverse document frequency).

The online variant of latent semantic indexing is created through progressively updating the dictionary of words with a decay factor which will eventually "forget" older words. SVD truncation can be performed in an online fashion using known stochastic SVD algorithms.

D. Matrix Cosine Similarity

Cosine similarity is used to compute the similiarty between pairs of sets of words based on common words that they share. After preprocessing, the words are transformed into two bags (i.e. multisets) of words. For two sets of words m and n, we represent the two bags of words that extracted as Bag_m and Bag_n respectively. Next we merge Bag_m and Bag_n and eliminate duplicate words to obtain the union set Bag_u, which contains v words. Following vector space modelling, we represent the two sentences as two vectors: $Vec_m = (wt_{m,1}, wt_{m,2}, ..., wt_{m,v})$ and $Vec_n = (wt_{n,1}, wt_{n,2}, ..., wt_{n,v})$. The weight $wt_{q,i}$ denotes the relative term frequency of the i-th word in sentence q's title, which is computed as follows:

$$wt_{q,i} = \frac{n_{q,i}}{\sum_v n_{q,v}}$$

where $n_{q,i}$ denotes the number of times the i-th word of Bag_u appears in the sentence q, $\sum_v n_{q,v}$ denotes the total number of occurences of all words in the title of question q, where v is the index of the word in Bag_u. We measure the similarity between two questions' titles by computing the cosine similarity of their vector representations Vec_m and Vec_n as follows:

$$CosineSim(Vec_m, Vec_n) = \frac{\langle Vec_m, Vec_n \rangle}{|Vec_m||Vec_n|}$$

The numerator $\langle Vec_m, Vec_n \rangle$ which is the dot product of the two vectors

$$\langle Vec_m, Vec_n \rangle = wt_{m,1} \times wt_{n,1} + ... + wt_{m,v} wt_{n,v}$$

The terms $|Vec_m|$ and $|Vec_n|$ in the denomiator denote the sizes of the two vectors respectively, where the size of Vec_m is computed as

$$|Vec_m| = \sqrt{wt_{m,1}^2 + wt_{m,2}^2 + ... + wt_{m,v}^2}$$

Cosine similarity measures do not require the whole corpus in order to compute similarity; rather it depends only on the pairwise sets of words. As such there is no online variant to cosine similarity as one does not need to build or store a corpus in its formulation.

III. PROPOSED APPROACH

In this section we will present the overall framework of duplicate question detection model. We will consider the components: title, tags, description, each having the three techniques applied.

A. Overall Framework

The framework of this prediction model using online learning consists of two phases: model building phase and prediction phase. In the model building phase, the goal is to train the models for building text features, which is then placed in a matrix similarity framework which become predictors for the supervised learning model. In the prediction phase the text models and the supervised models are used in conjunction to create a final prediction on similar questions.

The modelling process consists of three parts:

- Text Feature Building
- Matrix Cosine Similarity
- Model Training

On the prediction phase it involves three parts:

- Text Feature Prediction
- Matrix Cosine Similarity
- Model Prediction

B. Text Feature Building

To build text features, questions are to be collected in an iterative manner. Known pairs of similar questions are then to be labelled and preprocessed. In the preprocessing step, the title, description and tags (if applicable) are extracted. Next they are tokenized, common English stop words are removed and stemming is performed. Stop words are commonly occurring words, e.g.,

"a", "the", "and". Since they appear often, they possess low discriminatory power. Stemming is the process to reduce a word to its root. For exampling, using stemming on the words "marks", "marking", "marked" will result in the root word "mark". The Porter stemming algorithm from Python's gensim module was used.

After these preprocessing step is performed, then each document have its text features using the three algorithms described in the previous section: Online Latent Dirichlet Allocation, Online Word2Vec, Online Latent Semantic Indexing.

C. Matrix Cosine Similarity

Matrix Cosine Similiarity algorithm was described in the previous section. This technique is used on each of the word vector representations which were created in the text feature building phase in order to generate a similarity score. These scores will then be the features which are then passed into the supervised learning model.

D. Supervised Learning Models

Now that modelling matrix created from the Matrix Cosine similarity step is created, we can simply pass it into any machine learning algorithm. In this paper, we used Random Forest to evaluate the effectiveness of our duplicate question detection model.

IV. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

A. Experimental Setup

Our online learning model is evaluated based on two different data sets. Firstly it is evaluated on historical questions in Stack Overflow. We parse data for 'html' and 'javascript' tags from January 2008 to October 2016 using Stackexchange API. We extract approximately 750 000 questions over 10 month period. The next dataset used is posts from OMSCS subreddit from October 2016 to November 2016 using Python's praw API. The similar questions were created by using FAQ datasets compiled by Reddit and Google+ OMSCS communities, and the similar questions were manually labelled. The experimental environment is from Windows 10 desktop machine, Intel i3 processor with 8GB of RAM.

A Python library called Gensim was used to extract fields from the questions. Gensim is a flexible Python library statistical semantics. Gensim offered all the online text feature building

capabilities within this setup. This includes stopword lists, Porter stemming, Online LDA, Online Latent Semantic Indexing, Online Word2Vec algorithms.

The Python library scikit-learn was used to perform supervised learning portion of the model. The two candidate models used were RandomForest and Logistic Regression.

In Stack Overflow, users will manually detect duplicate questions. In our setup we successfully identified over 1,000 questions which were either marked as duplicates or manually linked to another question. These questions formed the basis for our duplicate labels.

For the OMSCS subreddit, we manually linked 30 posts with the relevant FAQ question, which is our corpus of known questions.

B. Evaluation Metrics

To evaluate the performace, we will use recall-rate which is also used in [6]

$$\text{recall-rate} = \frac{N_{\text{detected}}}{N_{\text{total}}}$$

C. Research Questions and Findings

How effective? How does it compare to a batch learning approach.

V. Discussion

A. Threats to Validity

Threats include the ability of this approach to generalise to all young communities. As young communities is quite broad, the focus in this paper has been quite targeted, looking at computer science forums, and learning centers. The duplicate questions were also quite targeted and manually pruned. In an more unbalanced set, this ....?

Threats to construct validity - suitability of evaluation metrics

VI. Related Work

Zhang *et al*[6]

Besides what has been in the proposal, links to online learning, such as vowpal wabbit:

Chopra *et al*[9]

Pal *et al*[2] described how we can identify experts in MOOC communities, this notion of detecting experts early can be used to build a corpus of questions based on highly voted expert answers which can then be used to extend a simple Reddit bot in order to provide value to the community.

VII. CONCLUSION

Some conclusion

REFERENCES

[1] Kincaid, J. (2010, February 5). "Piazzza gives classmates an online forum to trade their knowledge"'. Retrieved September 18, 2016, from https://techcrunch.com/2010/02/05/piazzza-college-questions-answers/

[2] Pal, A., Farzan, R., Konstan, J. A., and Kraut, R. E. "Early detection of potential experts in question answering communities." in *User Modeling, Adaption and Personalization*. Springer, 2011, 231-242. Retrieved on 12 September 2016 from http://researcher.ibm.com/researcher/files/us-apal/umap11_earlyexperts.pdf

[3] Shmueli, G. (2010). "To explain or to predict?" *Statistical Science* 25(3), 289310. doi:10.1214/10-sts330. Retrieved on 30 September from http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~aldous/157/Papers/shmueli.pdf

[4] Manning C.D., Raghavan P. and Schtze H. (2008), "Introduction to Information Retrieval", Cambridge University Press. 2008. Retreived on 30 September from http://www-nlp.stanford.edu/IR-book/

[5] Bogatyy I. (2016) "Predicting answer types for question-answering". Retrieved on 30 September from https://cs224d.stanford.edu/reports/Bogatyy.pdf

[6] Zhang, Y., Lo, D., Xia, X., and Sun, J.-L. (2015). "Multi-factor duplicate question detection in stack overflow'. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 30(5), 981997. Retrieved on 17 September 2016 from https://soarsmu.github.io/papers/jcst-duplicateqns.pdf. doi:10.1007/s11390-015-1576-4

[7] Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y. and Jordan, M. I. (2003). "Latent dirichlet allocation". J. Mach. Learn. Res., 3, 993–1022. doi:10.1162/jmlr.2003.3.4-5.993. Retreived on 12 October from www.jmlr.org/papers/volume3/blei03a/blei03a.pdf

[8] Hoffman M. D., Blei D. M. and Bach F. (2010). "Online Learning for Latent Dirichlet Allocation" Retrieved on 12 October from http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~blei/papers/HoffmanBleiBach2010b.pdf

[9] Chopra S., Hadsell, R. and LeCun, Y. (2005). "Learning a Similarity Metric Discriminatively, with Application to Face Verification" Retrieved on 17 November from http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/publis/pdf/chopra-05.pdf

Chapman Siu is Masters candidate from Georgia Institute of Technology. Chapman is also a lion.